COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * * *

IN RE: CATEGORY 2 SLOT MACHINE OPERATOR APPLICATION

FOR TOWER ENTERTAINMENT, LLC

PUBLIC HEARING

BEFORE: WILLIAM H. RYAN, JR., CHAIRMAN

Gregory C. Fajt; Annmarie Kaiser; Keith R.

McCall; John J. McNally, III, Anthony C.

Moscato; David W. Woods; Members

Jennifer Langan, representing Robert

McCord, State Treasurer

HEARING: Tuesday, January 28, 2014

Thursday, January 30, 2014

LOCATION: Pennsylvania Convention Center, Ballroom A

1101 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19107

WITNESSES: Bart Blatstein, Paul Steelman, Michael

Pollock, Scott Fisher, Virginia McDowell,

Bill Sasso, Eric Ostimchuk, Steve Mullin,

George Burrell, Pierce Keating, Mike

Coleman, Veronica Joyner, Francis Hanney,

Colleen Puckett, Frank Tavani

Reporter: Sami Zeka

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

2 1 APPEARANCES 2 3 OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT COUNSEL 4 CYRUS PITRE, ESQUIRE 5 Chief Enforcement Counsel 6 CASSANDRA FENSTERMAKER, ESQUIRE, 7 Assistant Enforcement Counsel PA Gaming Control Board P.O. Box 69060 10 Harrisburg, PA 17106-9060 11 Counsel for PA Gaming Control Board 12 13 RAYMOND A. QUAGLIA, ESQUIRE 14 Ballard Spahr 15 1735 Market Street Floor 51 16 17 Philadelphia, PA 19103-7599 18 Counsel for Tower Entertainment 19 20 LARRY SPECTOR, ESQUIRE 21 Larry Spector, PC One South Broad Street 22 23 Suite 1500 24 Philadelphia, PA 19107 25 Counsel for the Protestants

		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	JANUARY 28, 2014	
4	OPENING REMARKS	
5	By Chairman	7 - 8
6	PRESENTATION	
7	By Mr. O'Toole	8 - 10
8	By Attorney Quaglia	11 - 13
9	By Mr. Blatstein	13 - 17
10	By Mr. Steelman	17 - 22
11	By Mr. Pollock	23 - 27
12	By Mr. Fisher	27 - 32
13	By Ms. McDowell	32 - 37
14	By Mr. Blatstein	37 - 38
15	By Mr. Sasso	38 - 42
16	By Mr. Ostimchuk	43 - 50
17	By Mr. Mullin	51 - 57
18	By Mr. Burrell	58 - 61
19	By Mr. Keating	62 - 65
20	By Mr. Blatstein	65 - 70
21	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	71 - 141
22	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	141 - 143
23	WITNESS: FRANCIS HANNEY	
24	TESTIMONY	
25	By Mr. Hanney	143 - 144

		4				
1	I N D E X (continued)					
2						
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION					
4	By Attorney Fenstermaker	144 - 151				
5	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	151 - 153				
6	PRESENTATION					
7	By Ms. Hensel	154 - 155				
8	By Mr. O'Neil	155 - 156				
9	By Mr. Mauro	156 - 158				
10	By Attorney Pitre	158 - 159				
11	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	159 - 162				
12	PRESENTATION					
13	By Attorney Spector	162 - 164				
14	WITNESS: VERONICA JOYNER					
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION					
16	By Attorney Spector	164 - 166				
17	WITNESS: COLLEEN PUCKETT					
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION					
19	By Attorney Spector	167 - 181				
20	WITNESS: FRANK TAVANI					
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION					
22	By Attorney Spector	181 - 216				
23	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	216 - 218				
24	CLOSING REMARKS					
25	By Chairman	218				

1	I N D E X (continued)	5
2		
3	January 30, 2014	
4	OPENING REMARKS	
5	By Chairman	218 - 220
6	PRESENTATION	
7	By Attorney Quaglia	220 - 222
8	WITNESS: ERIC OSTIMCHUK	
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
10	By Attorney Quaglia	222 - 232
11	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	232 - 238
12	WITNESS: FRANCIS HANNEY	
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
14	By Attorney Fenstermaker	239 - 243
15	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	244 - 245
16	CLOSING REMARKS	
17	By Chairman	245
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

4				6	
1		EXHIBITS			
2					
3			Page	Page	
4	Number	<u>Description</u>	Offered	<u>Admitted</u>	
5	Board Exhibits:				
6	1	Document	9	10*	
7	2	Document	9	10*	
8	3	Document	9	10*	
9	4	Document	9	10*	
10	5	Document	9	10*	
11	6	Document	9	10*	
12	7	Document	9	10*	
13	8	Document	9	10*	
14	9	Document	9	10*	
15					
16	Tower Entertainment Exhibits:				
17	10	Hard Copy of Presentation	12	12*	
18	11	CD of Presentation	12	12*	
19	12	Hard Copy of Slides	221	222*	
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25	* Exhibi	t Not Attached			

PROCEEDINGS

2 | ------

CHAIRMAN:

4 Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

My name is Bill Ryan, Chairman with the Gaming Control
Board here in Pennsylvania. And with me are all of my
colleagues on the Board. And also, Jen Langan is
here. Jen is here representing Treasurer Rob McCord,

9 who is an Ex-Officio Member of the Board.

This afternoon's hearing will follow the same format as this morning's hearing. We will hear a statement from the Executive Director regarding the licensing application process and a presentation from the Applicant, including response to any questions that arise over the course of the hearing. This will be followed by input from the Board's Bureau of Licensing and the Bureau of Investigations and Enforcement (BIE) through the Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC).

Last, as explained this morning, it is the Board's desire to have the entire hearing conducted in public. However, an issue of preserving confidential information, such as security information or a highly sensitive proprietary business model information, may arise. Should this situation occur,

```
the statute here in Pennsylvania creating the Board
1
   mandates that the Board conduct closed session for the
2
3
   sole purpose of hearing that confidential testimony.
 4
                  I guess starting, I would ask all
5
   representatives of Tower Entertainment and of the
6
   Board who may present testimony to please stand and be
   sworn in.
   WITNESSES SWORN EN MASSE
10
11
                  CHAIRMAN:
12
                  Thank you all. You can be seated.
13
   Before anyone does speak, I would ask that you state
14
   your name, at least the first time you speak, state
15
   your name and spell your last name and give your
16
   position so we can help the court reporter keep things
17
   straight.
18
                  MR. O'TOOLE:
19
                  Mr. Chairman ---.
20
                  CHAIRMAN:
2.1
                  Kevin.
22
                  MR. O'TOOLE:
23
                  Mr. Chairman, members of the Board.
24
   application of Tower Entertainment, LLC was filed with
25
   the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board on November
```

a

1 15th, 2012. Since that time, the evidentiary record
2 for this Applicant has been developed to include the
3 full application, local impact statements, traffic
4 studies, the transcript of the public input hearings,
5 the written comments presented and received by the
6 Board, the Licensing Suitability Report and a
7 stipulation as to the testimony, various staff members
8 of the Board would provide if called as a witness.

The parties have entered into a stipulated agreement that identifies each of these items that are offered as Exhibits One through Nine, and are to be admitted into the record as the stipulated evidentiary record for the Board's consideration.

(Board Exhibits One through Nine marked for identification.)

MR. O'TOOLE:

In addition, the transcript of these proceedings and any other evidence submitted and admitted as exhibits in connection with this Applicant's licensing hearing today, would likewise be part of the record for the Board's review and consideration. Finally, the written public comment submissions, including submissions received via the Board's website for this Applicant received by the

```
cutoff date of December 31st of 2012 --- 2013
1
2
   encompassed 67 submissions, including 38 supporting
3
   the application, six opposing the application and 23
 4
   that were neutral. Additionally, one petition
5
   containing 293 signatures opposing the application was
6
   received.
              Thank you.
                  CHAIRMAN:
8
                  In light of the stipulation regarding
   Exhibits One through Nine having been admitted to the
10
   evidentiary record in this matter, may I have a motion
11
   to accept the exhibits?
12
                  MR. FAJT:
1.3
                  So moved.
14
                  MR. MCNALLY:
15
                  Second.
16
                  CHAIRMAN:
17
                  All those in favor.
   ALL SAY AYE
18
19
                  CHAIRMAN:
20
                  Opposed? The motion passes and Exhibits
21
   One through Nine are included in the record.
                                                   Before
22
   we get to the Applicant's presentation, I would like
23
   to note that the Board granted a Petition to Intervene
24
   to the Congregation Rodeph Shalom, the Mathematics,
```

Civics and Science Charter School and to Friends

25

- 1 | Select School. At the conclusion of Tower's
- 2 presentation, the Interveners will have the
- 3 opportunity to address their opposition to Tower's
- 4 proposed facility.
- 5 Limited to the issues of traffic and
- 6 parking are which the Intervention Petition was
- 7 | granted. Any testimony presented outside of the
- 8 subject matters will not be heard and will not be part
- 9 of the record. Additionally, the Interveners will be
- 10 subject to questions from the Board and the OEC.
- 11 Tower may not ask questions of the Interveners and the
- 12 Interveners may not ask questions of Tower.
- Having gotten that behind us, we will
- 14 now hear from the Applicant.

ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:

- Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members
- 17 of the Board. I'm Ray Quaglia, that's Q-U-A-G-L-I-A,
- 18 with the Ballard Spahr law firm here in Philadelphia.
- 19 And it is my privilege and my pleasure to be before
- 20 you this afternoon on behalf of the Applicant, Tower
- 21 Entertainment, LLC, doing business as The Provence.
- 22 We would like to begin, as we always do, by thanking
- 23 the Board's staff for all of their hard work on this
- 24 application. Much has changed since the first round
- 25 of licensing hearings in 2006, but one constant has

12 been the dedication and professionalism of the staff. 1 2 We could not have gotten this far without them, and we 3 thank them for their efforts, their assistance and their unfailing good humor in getting us this far. 5 Mr. Chairman, the Applicant has two 6 additional exhibits to move into evidence. They have been presented to the clerk. Exhibit Ten is a hard copy of our presentation, and I believe each of the Board Members has a copy of that before him or her. 10 (Tower Entertainment Exhibit Ten marked 11 for identification.) 12 ATTORNEY QUAGLIA: And Exhibit Number 11 is the same 13 presentation on a CD which includes videos that will 14 15 be played as part of our presentation today. And we would move that they be admitted as part of the record 16 17 of this application. 18 (Tower Entertainment Exhibit 11 marked 19 for identification.) 2.0 CHAIRMAN: 2.1 Cyrus? 2.2 ATTORNEY PITRE: 2.3 No objection. 2.4 CHAIRMAN: 25 They will be admitted into evidence.

ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:

Thank you. We are sensitive to the
value of the Board's time today and the importance of
providing ample opportunity for the Commissioners to
ask any questions that they may have for us. Our
presentation is therefore designed to highlight for
the Board in a succinct fashion the factors that make
The Provence the clear choice for this Category 2
License.

Before beginning, I would be remiss not 10 11 to say that, having been through this process once or 12 twice before, I have never had the pleasure of dealing 13 with a project principal who has been as personally 14 involved, as infectiously enthused and as deeply 15 committed to the success of the project as Mr. 16 Blatstein has been. And with that preference, we 17 respectfully invite the Board to sit back and 18 hopefully enjoy this presentation of our exciting 19 vision for the future of gaming, tourism and economic 20 development in Philadelphia.

21 VIDEO PLAYS

22

1

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. My name is Bart Blatstein,

25 B-L-A-T-S-T-E-I-N. Over the last one and a half years,

```
I've had the pleasure of working closely with the
1
2
   staff of the PGCB. In fact, they've become so close
3
   to me they know me more about me now than my family.
   Casey, Dale and a special reach out to Mark and Ray
   from the Scranton office. They always treated me with
5
6
   dignity, respect and humor.
7
                  I started this journey back in September
8
   of 2011.
                  CHAIRMAN:
10
                  Excuse me, Mr. Blatstein?
11
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
12
                  Yes.
1.3
                  CHAIRMAN:
14
                  Could you move that up a little close to
15
   you, sir?
16
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
17
                  Sure.
18
                  CHAIRMAN:
19
                  They're a little tricky. I know.
20
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
21
                  I started this process back in September
22
   of 2011.
              It became apparent to me that this license
23
   --- this Category 2 License was going to become
   available again. And so, I put out to put together
24
25
   the greatest plan that I can possibly imagine for the
```

- 1 | City of Philadelphia and the State of Pennsylvania.
- 2 | To put it in perspective, it's two and a half years
- 3 ago. My daughter was engaged, and then after that she
- 4 became married, I became a father-in-law. And just
- 5 three weeks ago, I became a grandfather. I did
- 6 suggest to my daughter that a great name for my
- 7 | granddaughter would be Provence. That didn't go over
- 8 too well. Her name is Ariana.
- 9 This license represents a partnership, a
- 10 partnership between the PGCB. And it's a privilege.
- 11 The stated goal is to create the most revenue for the
- 12 taxpayers of Pennsylvania, and to create the greatest
- 13 project that exists in any urban setting in the
- 14 | country. The project whose shape, size, location and
- 15 | content make it the new standard of a fully integrated
- 16 casino anchored entertainment resort. But first,
- 17 | let's discuss location.
- We've all heard the quote that what
- 19 matters most in real estate are the three principles,
- 20 location, location, location. And boy, do we have a
- 21 great location. We have the largest land hold --- the
- 22 | contiguous land holdings in Center City, Philadelphia,
- 23 located right at the base of the off and on ramps of
- $24 \mid I-676$. There is no major top five city in the country
- 25 that has an interstate ramp system right in its core.

- 1 Then our program, it's a truly magnificent
 2 transformation project that includes every possible
 3 amenity that you can think of.
- In this case, size really does matter. 4 5 And having the largest project always allows for a new standard for casino anchored entertainment. 6 course, what is needed first is a great team. People who represent the best at what they do. And because I was the first Applicant to get involved, I had access 10 to the best. So, let's get the show on the road. 11 It's time to introduce The Provence. Who better to start it off than the architect, Paul Steelman? 12 Paul Steelman was the third architect that I interviewed. 1.3 14 And I was so blown away by Paul.
 - I have been in business 36 years, and I have met scores and scores of architects and designers. But nobody, nobody truly moved me as an architect and designer than Paul Steelman. While Paul has offices in Vegas, he's based in Vegas, and offices all over the far east in Macau and Beijing and Vietnam. Paul is originally from Longport, New Jersey, so we have a kinship there. Having a house in Margate, we're neighbors.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

He has done over 3,000 casino projects completed in 40 countries. Experience includes

Wynn's, Caesar's and Las Vegas Sands. Noted for creating gaming anchored destinations in Las Vegas and Asia, designer of the Sands Macau, founded Steelman Partners in 1987. And Paul truly has become a good friend of mine. I'd like to turn it over to Paul now.

MR. STEELMAN:

Thank you. Thank you, Bart. And Mr. Chairman Ryan and this esteemed Board, thank you so much for having me. My name is Paul Steelman, S-T-E-E-L-M-A-N. I'm the President and CEO of Steelman Partners, as Bart said, based in Las Vegas, but I'm from right across the river.

The Provence was a true journey about the design of this incredible project. And the --- it really was an interesting project for myself and Bart and the entire team. We are very, very happy to tell you that we've designed 3,000 projects in over 40 countries. And, of course, we've designed for every large gaming company in the world, including Sands, Galaxy, SJM, for Prince Albert at SBM in Monaco, Harrah's, MGM, and many, many more.

Bart has been an unbelievable visionary for us as a client. Not only is he a smart developer, an unbelievable guy that really understands the casino business, but he is also a true fan of this beautiful

1 city and the beautiful urban plan that was established
2 and the beautiful building of the Philadelphia
3 Inquirer.

1.3

We have three real simple goals in the architecture of this project. One, we wanted to create a great tourist attraction. We didn't want to just design something for locals to gamble. We wanted a tourist attraction on the list that any tourist would in fact see. Two, we wanted to maximize the gaming and sales tax payments to the City and the State. Three, we wanted to blend this building into the urban infrastructure. We didn't want to stick out like a sore thumb on it. So, how did we go about with the architecture of this project to in fact solve these three goals?

The first thing is that we design what's called a stratified casino. A stratified casino designs a casino for five distinctive groups, from a mass gamer all the way up to a VVIP, something that's often forgotten in the Pennsylvania market. Not all gamblers are the same. They are different. We wanted to create attractions, attractions, attractions and more diversity than you can imagine. We created an integrated destination that the features and the attractions will delight and surprise every visitor.

1 And surprise is what all great casino and 2 entertainment design is all about.

One of the things that we wanted to be really different in this building was natural lighting. We wanted the sun to shine in. That whole thing about forgetting what time it is, that's an old wives tale. Young people live in daylight, and we wanted a beautiful sky-lit casino. We wanted the integrated connection to connect into the urban infrastructure. Great resorts respect the urban infrastructure, they do not impact it. And this is what we've done. We have integrated this building strictly into the urban plan of Philadelphia.

Of course, all casinos and their success is based on a spectacular casino, and that's where the design starts. We designed a casino here that will compete with anything, whether it be in Monte Carlo, whether it be in Macau or whether it be in the new casinos that are proposed in New York State. It will compete on a worldwide stage. For some of our casinos and the subdividing of them and the stratification of them, we have proposed a Monte Carlo VIP casino. A very, very high end, very, very highly designed absolute must-see product that will be an incredible, beautiful casino.

Of course, all casinos need to have 1 2 incredible food offerings. We have created unique, 3 quick food offerings with an unbelievable --- each of them have a spectacular view of the city, and a city 4 5 view that's very unusual from this particular elevation. The Provence will offer a flexible theatre 6 that will feature world class acts, entertainments, concert stage shows, charity and cultural events. It's an unbelievable theatrical attraction on the roof 10 of this great building. 11 Of course, there are going to be a lot 12 of great restaurants and great food, great --- you've 13 probably read some of them about Tom Colicchio from 14 Craft. And, you know, all I can say is those \$10 15 chocolate chip cookies are worth \$10; they're 16 incredible. And we look forward to having him. 17 course, there will be incredible restaurants with 18 incredible décor. And, of course, a little bit that I love is that everybody will smile at the candy store. 19 20 And we're going to have an unbelievable one that 21 excites not only the visual senses but also your taste 2.2 senses. 23 As Bart mentioned, there's going to be a 24 diverse series of nightclubs on the roof, all with an 25 incredible view, all partaking in the city. And, of

course, this roof looks all the way from the art
museum and Schuylkill River all the way across
downtown to City Hall. And it's going to have a pool.
As much as --- it's a little hard to imagine on a day
like today, but it will be an incredible French-like
swimming pool with an infinity edge that will look out
over the city and be a fantastic thing.

2.4

Of course, the Inquirer building has features, offices that will become hotel rooms. It's a perfect adaptive-use building as far as we're concerned. That is the plan and the rendering of a potential hotel room in that, and it's a fantastic --- and it will actually serve the convention business.

All great projects in our world --- in our casino world are based on a gut instinct. They aren't really based on, you know, tremendous studies, but your gut instinct tells you when things are good, and I believe that this project's going to be great. Also, this project will feature what we call instant belonging. It's designed to create a feeling of instant belonging, not only for locals, but for tourists alike. And, of course, Bart, as we all know, sees art before science, and this will be the most artistic building.

What it basically all comes down to is a

- 1 | simple thing, simple delight, simple I love this
- 2 building, I love this city, I love this setting. It's
- 3 the key emotion that makes our building successful.
- 4 It's a smile. Of course, we all want to have
- 5 opportunities for memories in the Facebook world.
- 6 Everybody posts on Facebook where they've been. And
- 7 | in this particular building, we have given more
- 8 attractions and amenities than any. Of course, we
- 9 have the best ratios of any of the proponents,
- 10 probably the best ratios of any of the region because
- 11 our casino is small compared to the overall building
- 12 | size and the overall amenity package that we've drawn
- 13 to be here. And of course, Provence on Broad Street
- 14 and a sense of place, that's what we need to create.
- 15 It will really be a historic building, uniquely
- 16 | converted to really be a signature for Philadelphia.
- 17 There's a couple exterior views that
- 18 | we're just showing you going up Callowhill Street.
- 19 Now an exterior view of the roof. And you'll see a
- 20 video a little later. And thank you so very much for
- 21 hearing me out today.
- MR. BLATSTEIN:
- The next two speakers will be speaking
- 24 on market growth and revenue. The first one is
- 25 Michael Pollock. Michael is the head of economic and

policy analysis for Spectrum Gaming Group, one of the most widely respected gaming groups in the country, actually, the world. Former public information

4 officer New Jersey Casino Control Commission has

5 testified before the US Senate and eight state

6 regulatory authorities. Publisher, Michael Pollock's

Gaming Industry Observer --- creative name, by the

8 way. Adjunct professor at New York University and MBA

9 and bachelor's degree Rutgers University. Michael.

MR. POLLOCK:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Thank you, Bart. My name is Michael Pollock. It's P-O-L-L-O-C-K. Managing Director of Spectrum Gaming Group. And I've been involved in gaming policy and analysis for more than three decades, from a variety of vantage points. As a journalist, a regulator and analyst and a professor. I have testified before numerous state, national and international organizations. And one of my specialties is analyzing trends and policies with respect to gaming.

Now, Spectrum was founded in 1993, and we have literally hundreds of years of gaming experience among our executives and associates. And we've maintained offices in Atlantic City, New York, Las Vegas and throughout Asia. And I should add in

Fort Washington, Pennsylvania as well. One of the primary functions of our company is to perform gaming market and public policy analyses, both of which I directly oversee.

Now, for this project, Spectrum was engaged by the Applicant to project gross gaming revenue and the level of cannibalization for the proposed project for The Provence, as well as to compare these projections with the most likely revenues and impacts of other competing applicants.

We approached this project with the knowledge that new entrants in an established marketplace will be held to a higher standard. They will have to distinguish themselves for the better product to grow the market and establish its own market share.

Now, our experience gleaned from gaming markets around the world is that cannibalization in a crowded market can only be addressed by a project that embraces a different business model. One that promotes tourism, fosters more conventions and meetings, and reaches a broader demographic and geographic market. And in our experience, the most important goal would be to create a local magnet not just for incremental visitors and activity, but for incremental capital investment. Effectively, a

project of this magnitude must generate capital
investment beyond which shows up on its own balance
sheet if it is to meet the goals of greater revenue
growth, minimal cannibalization and the other
aforementioned goals.

2.1

As our report notes, The Provence, as designed by world renowned architect Paul Steelman, recognizes modern gaming principles, including that of developing integrated urban entertainment destinations. That's a relatively new concept in gaming, but one that recognizes the opportunities of developing an integrated entertainment concept in a pure urban environment. While there are other casino hotels in major U.S. cities, none comes close to offering the breadth of non-gaming attractions planned for The Provence. As such, Spectrum believes The Provence will have the unique ability both among the competing Philadelphia applicants and among urban casinos in other cities to attract new gaming and non-gaming revenue to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

The Provence has the potential to elevate the capital investment and quality of the other Pennsylvania casinos in the same market region. That was certainly the case in markets ranging from Las Vegas to Connecticut to Atlantic City. In each of

those markets, when a new entrant developed a compelling attraction that raised the bar, existing properties responded by adding new attractions and increasing their overall investment, largely as a defensive measure to protect their own market share. Such an outcome here would clearly further the stated legislative goals of the Gaming Act by growing overall revenue, increasing employment and promoting tourism.

- Based upon all of these factors, it is my expert opinion to a reasonable degree of economic certainty that The Provence is unique among the five applicants for the available Philadelphia Category 2 License in its ability to generate new gaming revenue for Pennsylvania. Spectrum's projections of the amount of that new revenue utilize the same methodologies that we have used in serving gaming commissions from New Jersey to Ohio, legislatures from Florida to Massachusetts, major investment banks and gaming companies around the world for more than the two decades we've been in business.
- With that in mind, I would like to introduce my colleague, Dr. Scott Fisher, with whom I have worked on numerous prior projects. For this engagement, we integrated our qualitative and quantitative functions to come up with projections and

a comparative analysis that meets Spectrum standards for being comprehensive, transparent and conservative while still taking into account the recognition of a project that will set a new standard for an urban integrated resort. And with that, I'm going to turn it over to Scott Fisher.

MR. FISHER:

1.3

Thank you, Michael. Good afternoon,
Chairman and members of the Board. My name is Scott
Fisher, spelled F-I-S-H-E-R, President of Leisure
Dynamics Research. I worked in conjunction with
Spectrum on this engagement to prepare our initial
gaming market assessment for The Provence in 2012, as
well as our recent update and our comparative study,
all of which have been submitted to you as part of the
record for this hearing. I have my Ph.D. in economics
from Tulane University, and I've been performing
gaming market assessments for the past 17 years,
initially as a co-founding partner of the Innovation
Group, and since 2009 with my own Leisure Dynamics
Research firm.

I've completed several hundred gaming market assessments worldwide, including analyses for large-scale U.S. developments such as Aqueduct and Seminole properties in Florida, and for some of the

world's largest integrated casino resorts in Macau,
Singapore and the Philippines. As Michael testified,
our engagement was to project gross gaming revenue and
the level of cannibalization for the proposed project,
The Provence, as well as to compare these projections
with most likely revenue and impacts of the other
competing applicants.

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The methodology that we employed to project the local market demand for the Philadelphia market was similar to the standard industry gravity model which we calibrated using the most recent data available for the region, which was through October For our model, we carved the relative market into 12 distinct market areas with a total adult population base of 22 million people covering the eastern half of Pennsylvania, New York City, New Jersey, Delaware and parts of Maryland. We estimated participation rates and average gaming expenditures per visit for each market area based on historical data for the preceding 12 months. We conducted site visits to casinos in Maryland, Delaware and Pennsylvania to validate the source of the patrons from various properties. We then projected participation rates and average gaming expenditures for each market area for 2016, which formed the basis

for our estimation of cannibalization rates for the existing facilities.

Next, we projected the change in regional participation rates with the addition of the new Philadelphia Category 2 property to the market, including the gaming win for the new property as well as the impact of the new property upon existing properties from the gaming win perspective. We also analyzed tourism trends and statistics, then made conservative projections with respect to out of market revenue expectations.

Our primary focus was, of course, The Provence. We performed the same analysis for the other competing applicants with appropriate adjustments for size, attractiveness and location factors. With respect to relative revenue potential, The Provence is unlike anything in the current market by virtue of the massive breadth of its non-gaming amenity mix. As Michael Pollock testified, The Provence will be a destination venue for a variety of purposes, whereas gaming is essentially the sole purpose of visiting other gaming properties in this market region. That is why it is our professional opinion that The Provence has, by far, the greatest potential to induce growth in the market.

How much growth? We project The Provence will most likely generate \$439 million in taxable game revenues in its second year of operation with a gaming supply of 3,300 slots and 150 gaming tables. Of that total, \$43 million reflects projected demand from tourism markets. We focused on year two revenues as we believe it most accurately reflects a stabilized year of operation. Applying the same model to each of the applicants before the Board with respect to total gaming revenues, The Provence wins handily. Indeed, we projected The Provence's \$439 million in year two gaming revenues will be at least \$106 million more than the revenue generated by any other applicant.

1.3

The same is true for non-cannibalized game revenue --- for new or non-cannibalized gaming revenue to the Commonwealth. The Provence is projected to generate \$219 in new gaming revenue, which exceeds by at least \$103 million the new gaming revenue projected by any other applicant. None of the other competing applicants enjoys a location or offers a compelling phase one building program commitment or other draw that would differentiate their project from existing Philadelphia casinos at nearly the scale that The Provence will.

We respectfully note for the Board, we 1 2 are not alone in our conclusions that The Provence 3 will substantially out perform any other applicant with respect to all relevant revenue categories. 4 5 Rather, our findings are wholly consistent with the 6 Gaming Market Assessment Commission from AKRF by the City of Philadelphia. We recognize other analysts have painted a much rosier picture of gaming revenue growth potential for Philadelphia. While it is quite 10 possible that we and AKRF have been overly 11 conservative in our revenue forecasts, more liberal 12 revenue assumptions do not change the superiority of 1.3 The Provence relative to the other four applicants are 14 an apples to apples revenue basis. Thus, for example, 15 if we were to rerun our model using the same 16 optimistic assumptions, such as used by Market 8, we 17 will project revenues for The Provence neighborhood of 18 \$700 million. That result will undoubtedly please Mr. 19 Blatstein, we are constrained as professionals to 20 question the reliability of any assumptions that 21 produce such obviously inflated numbers. 22 For all these reasons, it is my expert 23 opinion to a reasonable degree of economic certainty that by any measure, The Provence will generate 24 25 substantially more taxable gaming revenues for the

Commonwealth and substantially more new gaming revenue on a state as well as local level than any of the other competing Applicants. Thank you.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

This great facility needs to be run by a great company. And no greater company could be than my friend, Virginia McDowell, CEO of Isle of Capri Casinos. Virginia's a friend of mine. Virginia and I went --- we grew up in Philadelphia, not in the same neighborhood, though. She was a richer girl. We have both attended Temple University and we both sat on the President's Advisory Board together. It is my pleasure to introduce Virginia McDowell.

MS. MCDOWELL:

Thank you, Bart. And good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I'm Virginia

McDowell. The last name is spelled M-C-D-O-W-E-L-L.

We are very excited about being partnered with Bart for The Provence because we know that this will truly be a unique and special project in Philadelphia and the Commonwealth as a whole. The Provence is a brand unto itself, an urban entertainment destination. A place not only convenient and comfortable to local Philadelphia customers, but also a must-see and must-do destination for conventioneers, tourists and

visiting business travelers.

In order to make this opportunity a reality, you not only need a great location and a great brick and mortar facility, but you also need a great team. The Provence starts, of course, with Bart and Tower Entertainment. To The Provence and Bart's proven development experience, we bring extensive operating experience in the gaming business. Isle already has a well-established operating platform with gaming regulatory compliance, finance and information technology business systems in place. We have a senior management team that has over 200 years of experience in gaming, including significant east coast gaming experience with much of our team having worked in several of the larger casinos in Atlantic City.

We have operating experience in 15 states, several foreign countries and more than 50 different gaming properties. Considering only our senior management, we have been responsible for developing and/or managing 51,000 slot machines, 2,200 table games, 11,000 hotel rooms, 130 restaurants and all of the shopping and other amenities that go along with them. We also bring a significant amount of casino design and construction experience to the team. Our construction team has been directly involved with

- 1 developing more than 20 casinos across the world. I
- 2 personally spent almost 20 years of my career working
- 3 | in Atlantic City. My team and I know the market.
- 4 | We're experts in this market. And we're excited to
- 5 | work in this market by helping to bring Bart's vision
- 6 for this premier property to life.
- Currently, Isle of Capri operates 16
- 8 casino properties in seven states, including one here
- 9 | in Pennsylvania. We have approximately 7,500 team
- 10 members over 14,000 gaming positions and 2,300 hotel
- 11 rooms in our portfolio. As a far too frequent
- 12 business traveler myself, I can tell you that we're
- 13 always looking for that special wow when we visit
- 14 another city. The Provence is going to be that place
- 15 | in Philadelphia, one of the great cities of the world.
- 16 Based on my 30 year career in gaming, I am
- 17 | comfortable, confident and excited to say that we are
- 18 proposing a project for Pennsylvania, a partnership
- 19 | with Pennsylvania that will truly break the mold.
- We are among a very small group of
- 21 casino operators in the world with a true, proven
- 22 | ability to scale our business and successfully add new
- 23 properties to our portfolio because of our dynamic
- 24 | infrastructure, which is built for scalability and for
- 25 | flexibility. Our business has grown over the years to

```
16 casinos and our data warehouse, marketing,
1
2
   security, financial and operating infrastructure is
3
   among the most robust in the business and is built to
 4
   expand. Because of that scope, scale and quality of
5
   this project, I am completely confident that The
6
   Provence will produce more new revenue than any other
   applicant before this Board. It will be a true
8
   integrated destination. A unique regional and
   national draw that will expand the regional market
10
   while also targeting conventioneers and tourists.
11
   This is the new model for large-scale integrated urban
12
   entertainment, and it will capitalize on
13
   Philadelphia's unique national position.
14
                 But success is not just about location,
15
               It's about the overall experience that we
   of course.
16
   provide to our customers. And the way to provide a
17
   great experience to our guests starts with a great
18
   employee. The Provence will employ over 2,500 people,
19
   approximately 700 of which will be directly related to
20
   gaming jobs, and approximately ten percent of the
21
   overall jobs will be management positions.
                                                There's a
22
   tremendous amount of industry-specific training that
23
   has to happen for many of these jobs. From slot
2.4
   attendants and dealers to security and internal
25
   auditors. We are very fortunate because with over
```

7,500 employees across our 16 states, Isle has the ability to utilize those subject matter experts from around the company for training as necessary.

2.4

We're also very proud of some of our custom training programs and operational programs. Through our customer experience owners, or CEOS, and our award winning See, Say, Smile program, we actually train our employees to own the customer experience. We measure that across our entire company and the properties that we compete against. And we align incentive compensation directly with results. Isle has a strong commitment to diversity and to the communities where we operate and the states in which we are licensed. As it relates to our diversity program for employment, we promote and engage equal opportunities in all aspects of employment and training, as well as advancement opportunities.

As a co-founder and co-chair of an international mentoring program through the American Gaming Association called Global Gaming Women, I believe to my core in providing opportunity. George Burrell will talk more specifically to this shortly, but suffice it to say, diversity is an important part of our culture. Isle is a leader in responsible gaming, and we are active in the leadership of the

1 American Gaming Association. We adhere to the Code of

2 | Conduct of the AGA. Actually, way back when, because

3 I've been in this business for a few years, I was

4 actually part of the initial team that drafted the AGA

5 | Code of Conduct.

6

7

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

We provide annual trading in responsible gaming. We promote and enforce the self-exclusion programs in each of the jurisdictions where we operate. And we have worked closely with the Pennsylvania Office of Compulsive and Problem Gaming to tailor our program for Pennsylvania. We have submitted that program formally as part of our application. I hope that we have the opportunity to

14 show each of you what a unique and special destination 15 The Provence will be. And thank you very much.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Thank you, Virginia. Neighborhood. I'm from a Philadelphia neighborhood. I've developed in Philadelphia for 36 years. And to me, nothing is more important than when entering into someone else's neighborhood to fit in, to listen, to be part of the neighborhood discussion, to be available anytime night and day.

I started the process over two years ago. When I first came up with the idea, the next

thing I did was to start contacting the neighborhoods. 1 Our host neighborhood is Logan Square. It's a very 2 3 sophisticated neighborhood. It has residential density, it has the museums, it has the new Comcast 4 5 tower, the \$1.3 billion tower that was announced. 6 it's very important to sit and to listen to the people. I, again, attended over 50 --- myself, over 50 neighborhood meetings. And it's not --- it's more important to start the process early so that people 10 have a time --- have time to digest all the

information and understand any and all impacts.

The next speaker is Bill Sasso. Bill is a very dear friend of mine, the most credible guy in the world. Raised in North Philadelphia, didn't quite make it to Northeast Philly, like me, graduated LaSalle University and Harvard Law School, recipient of many, many awards for civic leadership, including the William Penn award, Board member of GPTMC and a former Board member of the Philadelphia Convention and Visitor's Bureau, it's my pleasure to introduce Bill Sasso.

MR. SASSO:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. I am Bill Sasso, spelled S-A-S-S-O. I am a partner of Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young,

where I've also served as Chairman of the firm for just about 20 some years. Because I was raised in North Philadelphia, I know those neighborhoods and I know those streets. I've seen the ups and downs for more than 60 years. And I know that The Provence is exactly what North Broad Street, Philadelphia and Pennsylvania need right now.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As a member of The Provence team, I've been responsible for our discussions with the various neighborhood groups and stakeholders regarding the consideration, discussion and ultimate agreement upon a community benefit plan. To understand how we got to where we are, I'd like to take a minute to illustrate the core neighborhoods surrounding the site. at a map, you'll see The Provence situated on North Broad Street, four blocks north of City Hall and two blocks north of the convention center. The Provence sits in the Logan Square neighborhood. Immediately to the east is Callowhill, with Spring Garden immediately to the north. The relevant area also includes West Poplar, Francisville and Fairmount.

A lot of developers hire consultants to deal directly with the neighborhood groups, but not Bart. For almost two years, Bart has personally met time after time with those neighborhood groups. I

have been with him as we have learned of their hopes 1 2 and their concerns. In all, Bart has personally met 3 more than 50 times with various stakeholder groups across the city, including at least 30 direct meetings 4 5 with these six neighborhood groups alone. We talked 6 about a lot of things. And we learned about what mattered to the neighborhoods most. Traffic, parking and streetscaping were at the top of their list. Also, security, opportunities for jobs and small 10 businesses, construction and ultimately the creation of a community foundation. So, we worked hand in hand 11 with our neighbors and we addressed their issues one 12 13 by one.

For parking and traffic, we have provided an additional garage with over 700 parking spaces. To a wide variety of improvements to local streets in conjunction with PennDOT and the City of Philadelphia. For public safety, we've brought in AlliedBarton and Tyco to create a first of its kind neighborhood safety plan that stretches beyond the building itself. The plan consists of three primary components, train neighborhood patrols, the use of technology and community partnerships, which will help make the areas surrounding The Provence a safer place. The architect of this plan is Mike Coleman with

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

AlliedBarton, the largest company in the area in this particular field. He's here today and available to address any questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have also committed to streetscaping around the property and beyond, and to a single phase of construction within specified work hours. create opportunity, we are committed to preferential hiring opportunities towards local residents, and preferential treatment and procurement of goods and services from local businesses. And finally, we agreed to create a new neighborhood charitable foundation. With The Provence, once operational, contributing hundreds of thousands of dollars per year to be distributed by the Board to jointly agreed upon And I am particularly proud that I will be able to continue to be involved with my home community as a member of the Foundation Board, along with Ed Panek who chairs the Zoning Committee of the Logan Square Neighborhood Association, our host community.

These discussions weren't always easy.

It was hard work, but I'm confident that we have not only put in place a plan to mitigate potential issues, but to, in fact, substantially improve the quality of life in the areas surrounding The Provence. That is why just last week we signed a Neighborhood

Development Agreement with many of our most proximate stakeholders, committing to be a good, productive neighbor, and to grow, move forward and prosper together, advancing our mutual interests through productive, open dialogue and cooperation.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Perhaps what makes us most proud is the support we have received. In our host community of Logan Square and the adjacent neighborhoods of Callowhill and Spring Garden, the Neighborhood Associations voted overwhelmingly to join us in our Neighborhood Development Agreement, choosing to work together to move the community forward. And I am also pleased to announce that we have received a letter of support from City Council President Darrell Clarke. As I believe you all know, our Council President has developed a skill of balancing economic development with neighborhood concerns. Last week, after reviewing our agreement with the community and just as important, after witnessing our relationship with neighborhood groups at the agreement signing, he extended his support to us. And given his commitment to Philadelphia's neighborhoods, we enthusiastically Thank you very much. welcomed it.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Traffic is always an important component

Wе

in any development. I have 36 years, again, of 1 2 development experience in the city, and I have to note 3 two large traffic generated or generating 4 developments. One I did years ago in the Waterfront, 5 the Riverview Movie Theatre Complex, which has over There we moved a lot of traffic every 6 5,000 seats. night and every weekend. There we had 500 car parking. And of recent, the Piazza, of which you have seen images of and we've had up to 10,000 people in 10 the Piazza, which is in a dense residential 11 neighborhood. There have never been concerns or

complaints about traffic in these neighborhoods.

know how to manage traffic.

Ostimchuk. Eric and I have been together for many years. He's the head of traffic impact studies, parking studies and roadway design for TPD, lead traffic planner for Northern Liberties, registered professional engineer in PA, New Jersey, Maryland, Virginia and Delaware, accepted as an expert witness for Philadelphia's Zoning Board of Appeals, and a graduate of Widener University.

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Thanks, Bart. My name is Eric
Ostimchuk, O-S-T-I-M-C-H-U-K. I'm a registered

- 1 professional engineer in Pennsylvania, New Jersey,
- 2 | Maryland, Virginia and Delaware, as well as a
- 3 registered professional traffic operations engineer.
- 4 I've testified as an expert witness during the past 16
- 5 | years on at least 56 prior occasions, primarily in
- 6 Pennsylvania before boards of zoning, commissioners
- 7 and supervisors, including the Philadelphia Zoning
- 8 Board Appeals.
- 9 I am the principal in charge of the
- 10 private transportation planning sector for Traffic
- 11 | Planning and Design. TPD's core competency is
- 12 transportation-related engineering and design,
- 13 including planning studies, highway, road and bridge
- 14 design and traffic signal design. We have worked with
- 15 and for the Philadelphia Streets Department and
- 16 | PennDOT on hundreds of projects since our inception in
- 17 1989.
- 18 For this project, TPD was engaged by
- 19 Tower to conduct a comprehensive review of present and
- 20 likely future traffic conditions around The Provence
- 21 | site, and to assess the feasibility of the site from
- 22 | an access perspective. We utilized generally accepted
- 23 traffic engineering methodology to perform our
- 24 engagement. All of which was subject to scrutiny by
- 25 | the Board's traffic engineering expert, Orth-Rodgers.

First we evaluated existing conditions in the relevant 1 2 area by counting cars, bicycles and other vehicles, 3 including buses and trucks. We then modeled the operations of 21 neighborhood intersections under 4 5 existing conditions. Next, we determined the total traffic that could be generated by The Provence. 6 There is published data for casino properties in this context, but we used actual local data by counting visitors at SugarHouse, Sands Bethworks and Harrah's. 10 We found that SugarHouse generated the highest rate of 11 traffic per gaming position of the three local 12 casinos. So, to be conservative, we used the 13 SugarHouse numbers for The Provence.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Our projections reflect trip generation assumptions based upon the August 2005 interim report of findings prepared for the Mayor of Philadelphia by the Philadelphia Gaming Advisory Task Force with appropriate and conservative adjustments for broad shifts and travel trends since 2005 and the public transit usage that we observed for SugarHouse. We then modeled the impact of the additional new traffic on existing operations, identifying locations where traffic operations needed to be improved. It was on that basis that we began developing an improvement plan, coordinating our efforts with PennDOT and the

Philadelphia Streets Department. This coordination

included a number of meetings with state and local

transportation officials as well as an ongoing

dialogue with Orth-Rodgers, a consultant to the Board.

2.4

We found that The Provence benefits from a historically strong transportation infrastructure at the Inquirer building. Please keep in mind that at the height of the Inquirer's operations, there were likely upwards of 3,300 employees arriving to and departing from this location each workday during the rush hours, not to mention a fleet of delivery vehicles. It's not as if a new facility is planned for an undeveloped piece of property. In fact, since 1995, traffic on streets around The Provence site has decreased by over 30 percent, according to publicly available data.

The Provence is enviably situated at the ramps to Interstate 676 or the Vine Street Expressway, which provides direct connections to both the Schuylkill Expressway and Interstate 95. The large majority of people traveling to The Provence by car will reach the site via this route, Interstate 676, with most of them coming from either 95 or Interstate 76. Patrons traveling from the north or south would be using either I-95 North or I-95 South, each of

which feeds directly into the Vine Street Expressway West.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

To access this site, motorists would exit the Vine Street Expressway at the PA 611 Broad Street Central Philadelphia ramp, merge onto North 15th Street, turn right onto Vine Street Local and turn right again onto 16th Street. They would have no need to traverse any other local streets. Motorists coming from the Pennsylvania Turnpike or points west would use the Schuylkill Expressway, exiting at the Vine Street Expressway East. From there, motorists would use the Broad Street/Central Philly ramp and turn right onto North 15th Street, right again onto Spring Street and right onto North 16th Street to reach The Provence. I cannot emphasize strongly enough for these customers, the large majority, there would be absolutely no reason to drive into neighborhoods.

Any other traffic will be dispersed having little to no impact on any single roadway. For example, on Broad Street north of the site or south of 676, we project an increase of one new car every two minutes during the Friday evening rush hour. Exiting the site, patrons will again be able to access the Vine Street Expressway rather easily. Exiting the

site to travel to I-95 or across to New Jersey, 1 2 motorists will travel south on either 15th or Broad 3 Street, turn left onto Vine Street Local and enter the 4 ramp to Vine Street Expressway. Motorists traveling 5 to the Schuylkill Expressway will travel south on 15th 6 Street, turn right onto Vine Street Local, turn right 7 onto 16th Street and access the Vine Street 8 Expressway.

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Conditions will only improve with the promised implementation of the improvements requested by PennDOT and Philadelphia Streets Department. the intersection of Broad and Callowhill Streets, Tower will be providing a dedicated northbound left turn lane and a dedicated southbound right turn lane. The intersection corners will be upgraded to be ADA compliant. Moving west along Callowhill Street, pedestrian upgrades will be completed. A new traffic signal will be installed at the intersection of Callowhill and North 15th Streets with ADA pedestrian upgrades to the intersection corners. Other significant offsite improvements have been identified in coordination with PennDOT, the Streets Department and Orth-Rodgers which Tower has agreed to implement.

Motorists traveling to The Provence will find no shortage of available parking spaces. First,

there are 2,400 spaces being developed with The 1 2 Provence. In addition, TPD counted approximately 3 4,500 available offsite parking spaces within a five 4 minute walk of The Provence. Of which, more than 5 3,000 were unoccupied. Because the peak hours for a casino are outside of the 9:00 to 5:00 weekday window, 6 The Provence will benefit from what we call shared use parking. Basically, that means the same parking space can be occupied by a commuting employee during the 10 workday and by a casino patron after the employee 11 leaves for the night.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Additionally, there are a myriad of options for reaching The Provence without having to drive or park at all. SEPTA's Broad Street subway line travels right past the site with the Race Vine Station one block to the south and the Spring Garden Station one block to the north. The City Hall Station provides connections with the Market Frankford line, which runs from the northeast through west Philadelphia, as well as the trolley lines providing access to the neighboring suburbs and the regional rail network to the surrounding region. Furthermore, the City's robust bus system passes the location on several sides.

Cars, buses, subways, taxis, trolleys,

1 limos, shuttles and trains are not the only means for

2 people to reach The Provence. People in the city do a

3 lot of walking, and The Provence is a convenient

4 destination. One can walk through its front doors

5 from the convention center in six minutes from

6 | Suburban Station --- and from Suburban Station within

less than ten minutes. It is also a fact that the

B bulk of the Center City's 41 million square feet of

9 office space is located west of Broad Street.

10 Further, we understand that The Provence plans to

11 operate a shuttle service between the facility and

12 local hotels and attractions.

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

We have worked closely on our transportation plan with PennDOT, the Philadelphia Streets Department and Orth-Rodgers and the surrounding community. And in summary, it is my expert opinion to a reasonable degree of traffic engineering certainty that the roadway and transit access to The Provence has improved and the available parking for the facility are more than sufficient from

22 roadways and neighborhoods.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Economic development is a key component to all of our projects. We commissioned a study for

the perspective of traffic impact on the surrounding

1 our Northern Liberties project, and we was determined

2 that the property values, the market values in our

3 census tracks increased by over \$1 billion. Now,

4 that's in a low density area. Can you imagine what

5 can happen on North Broad Street with all the

6 available inventory and for North Broad and for North

7 | Philadelphia.

9

10

18

friend of mine for 25 years. Steve has 30 plus years experience in urban economic development, former

Our next speaker is Steve Mullin, a

11 Philadelphia director of commerce, former Philadelphia

12 budget director, former chair of Philadelphia

13 | Commercial Development Corporation, an MA from

14 University of Pennsylvania and a BA from Harvard, and

15 an adjunct professor at Drexel University. In

16 addition to all of these qualifications, Steve lives

17 | in the neighborhood.

MR. MULLIN:

19 Thank you, Bart. And good afternoon,

20 Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. I'm Steven

21 Mullin, M-U-L-L-I-N, President of Econsult Solutions.

22 | Today I'm going to talk about the economic development

23 opportunities The Provence promises to bring to

24 Pennsylvania.

25 Econsult Solutions provides consulting

services in a wide array of areas including urban economics and development. Our clients include governments, private businesses and institutions. have extension --- extensive experience in the gaming industry and served as an advisor to the Philadelphia Gaming Advisory Task Force during the first Category 2 licensure period. My professional practice concentrates largely on Philadelphia's growth and resurgence. For example, I have been involved in the --- for the past 20 years in various efforts to revitalize North Broad Street, quite possibly Center City's last underdeveloped frontier.

I can say without hesitation that this will be one of the largest and more important private economic development projects that I have seen in the 20 plus years that I have lived in and served this city. I can tell you this based on my firsthand experience. As Bart mentioned, I've lived in the Spring Garden neighborhood, seven blocks from The Provence site, for nearly two decades. I pass by The Provence site every day, and I can tell you that this area, full of empty garages, vacant lots, empty parking lots and buildings is right for redevelopment. The Provence will be a catalyst to transform the entire area by generating a new sense of place in this

key location. For this engagement, we examined three components of economic and fiscal impact. First, the impact generated by the complex itself, both gaming and non-gaming components. And second, the impact generated by the spending of visitors outside of the complex, which we label ancillary spending impact. And third, the economic impact generated by the significant additional non-gaming commercial, retail and residential development this project will spur in the underdeveloped areas nearby.

Standard input/output methodology and very conservative economic consumptions, we have forecasted The Provence's potential economic and fiscal impacts for Pennsylvania. Economic spending, employment and state tax revenues. We forecast The Provence development both during construction phase and annually ongoing thereafter to be far and away the largest of all of the competing projects. During the construction phase, we estimate The Provence investment will generate nearly \$900 million in overall economic activity, support nearly 6,400 jobs in Pennsylvania while adding \$15 million to the state coffers. Once up and running, we estimate The Provence will annually generate over \$750 million in

statewide economic spending and supporting directly and indirectly over 7,900 jobs in Pennsylvania, while generating approximately \$20 million in annual state tax revenue.

As Scott Fisher testified, it is important to note here that the Board has already received expert testimony on The Provence's economic impact that is consistent with this analysis. The City's independent review conducted by AKRF, largely corroborated both by direct gaming revenue forecasts and our overall economic and fiscal impact findings in the City of Philadelphia, AKRF found The Provence to be the strongest proposal by a wide margin. These economic and fiscal benefits are real and substantial, and are largely what can be expected from many of the other proposals. But these specific project-related benefits are really only the tip of the iceberg and the basis for a much more important story.

Pennsylvania's foray into legalize gaming was not intended simply to maximize the number of slot machines and table games in the state. A key purpose of the gaming legislation, besides increasing employment and state gaming tax revenues, is to generate additional, sustainable, non-gaming economic growth and development in order to provide significant

additional non-gaming employment and state tax The Provence will maximize all three of revenues. these objectives for Pennsylvania. But the economic and tax revenue impacts of the project itself could be swamped over the next decade by the economic and tax based growth from additional non-gaming investments induced by this initial Provence development. on the City Planning Commission's work, zoning and land availability, we believe that The Provence will, over time, help spur more than two million square feet of new commercial, retail, entertainment and residential development in this area. This construction alone will, over time, generate over \$2 billion of economic activity for Pennsylvania.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

There are two basic reasons already highlighted throughout our testimony today why The Provence will generate greater additional investment and economic development than the other proposals. First, The Provence will not be like any other casino in Pennsylvania. While the casino will be an important core function, The Provence will be a destination entertainment center in its own right and will cater to a much larger audience than the standard casinos. Second, The Provence's primary advantage is, as mentioned throughout, its key Center City location.

Strategically significant for spurring the early stages of redevelopment along the North Broad corridor. This corridor is anchored to the --- by the expanded convention center just two blocks to the south, Center City's commercial core and parkway attractions to the west and the expanding Temple campus to the north. Direct economic beneficiaries would also include the under-developed areas between Vine and Spring Garden both east and west of Broad Street, and even further north.

I am very familiar with all of the proposed --- other proposed locations. In fact, my company has worked with two of the developers on those sites before they looked at casinos. Compared to The Provence, they are all geographically constrained in terms of sustainable, induced development. Simply put, because of its location and the condition of the surrounding area, no other proposal has the huge spin off potential of The Provence. The Provence represents therefore a unique opportunity to serve as a catalyst for significant non-gaming capital investment and hence, employment and state revenue tax growth.

We need go no further than Bart's Piazza and Northern Liberties to see an example of such a

positive transformation. As Bart mentioned, we 1 2 estimated its actual impact of Bart's developments in 3 Northern Liberties and at and around the Piazza. 4 the past decade, Bart's efforts transformed Northern 5 Liberties, generating nearly a half a billion dollars 6 in investment and construction activity, and increased the market value in this revitalized neighborhood by over \$1 billion. These investments have led to annual economic activity, supporting nearly 2,200 10 Pennsylvania jobs and over \$12 million in new state

In conclusion, it is my expert opinion to a reasonable degree of economic certainty that The Provence has by far the greatest ability among the applicants to spur sustainable economic development activities in Philadelphia and the Commonwealth. And over time, generate the greatest employment and tax revenue impacts of all the proposals under consideration. I thank you for your time.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

tax revenues every year.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Our next speaker is George Burrell to speak on diversity. George has been a friend of mine for over 20 years. He's a former member of the Philadelphia City Council. He served in senior positions in two mayoral administrations, successful

1 entrepreneur in law and business, established history

2 of community involvement and mentorship and a

3 bachelors in law degree from the University of

4 Pennsylvania. And George was a pro football player.

5 | I'll let him tell you.

6

MR. BURRELL:

Go Broncos. Good afternoon, Mr.

8 | Chairman and members of the Board. I am George

9 Burrell, B-U-R-R-E-L-L. I have known and respected

10 Bart Blatstein and his work for two decades. Bart's

11 record of finishing what he starts, how important I

12 believe a rejuvenated North Philadelphia is to the

13 future of our city. And his aggressive and innovative

14 commitment to diversity are what motivate my support

15 for The Provence.

Working for two mayors and in City

17 | Council, I witnessed Bart's passion as he improved

18 | real estate values and redefined under-performing and

19 underdeveloped neighborhoods like Manayunk, Columbus

20 Boulevard, Northern Liberties and North Philadelphia.

21 The Provence brings Bart's vision, resources and

22 | lifelong commitment Philadelphia to North Broad

23 Street. Bart and The Provence will be engaged

24 corporate citizens in North Philadelphia. The

25 Provence will be a working partner with the

Pennsylvania Convention Center and the museums along the parkway.

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I was on City Council when the Pennsylvania Convention Center was built. argument that convention traffic would paralyze Center City never materialized. But what most excites me about The Provence is Bart's willingness to implement a diversity program that will help minority and woman-owned businesses grow to scale. Governments typically focus on percentage participation to measure success in diversity programs. A well-intended goal. But percentage programs typically produce job sites with large numbers of small businesses with modest contracts who generate cash flow, not needed retained earnings and strong financial statements. and woman-owned businesses work too often as tier two subcontractors with no job site management or budget responsibility. As a result, they are not positioned to grow to scale and compete for prime contracts. culprit, lack of project management experience and access to capital.

Although percentages are relevant, the scope and dollar value of contracts awarded to minority and woman-owned businesses is more important.

Awarding contracts to minority and woman-owned

- businesses with significant scopes of work and 1 contract values will be a priority for The Provence. 2 3 I cannot overemphasize how important The Provence strategy, if copied by others, can be in growing 4 5 historically challenged businesses to scale. 6 growing businesses will make important contributions to the economies of the City and the Commonwealth. The Provence's priority will be to award contracts to However, if necessary, national local companies. 10 companies willing to establish offices in Philadelphia 11 will be engaged. Opportunities will be afforded to 12 smaller companies. The Provence will not 13 discriminate. The team constructing and operating 14 this world class facility will be diverse. We also 15 will work with businesses to ensure they apply for and 16 obtain the certifications required to work in the 17 gaming industry in Pennsylvania. 18 The Provence is committed to 38 percent 19 diversity participation in its construction. But what 20 will be a source of pride for this Gaming Control Board is the respect minority and woman-owned 21 22 businesses will have for how the goal is met. We will
- partner with organizations like the National Minority 24 Supplier and Development Council and the Hispanic
- 25 Chamber of Commerce to identify companies.

23

Additionally, we anticipate working with ORC and 1 2 community college to train hospitality workers. 3 we are committed to provide 25 paid internships each semester to students at Lincoln and Cheyney 4 5 Universities. The Provence will have a diverse Board 6 of Directors, executive leadership and workforce. The Isle of Capri has a strong diversity record, as you have already heard. And companies contracted to do business with The Provence will be required to achieve

Bart Blatstein has empowered Bob Vogel,
former State Representative Tony Payton and me to
develop this cutting edge diversity program. Working
with Bart, Tony, Bob and the Isle of Capri, The
Provence will succeed. Thank you very much for your

diversity goals consistent with The Provence's

MR. BLATSTEIN:

time and consideration.

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

strategy.

Our next speaker, Pierce Keating, will talk on construction. I own a company called Accelerated Construction, and we manage our own construction projects. We have developed --- we have built over hundreds of millions of dollars of projects. For a project of this size and scope, it was important to bring in a very talented, capable

company that could work in concert with Accelerated
Construction. I know that my friend Pierce Keating,
who we've done work together for over 20 years now, is
the man. He was responsible for the \$780 million
expansion of the Pennsylvania Convention Center and
also manages to have ten children that he has to
manage at home also. Anybody that can do those things
is my hero. He also happens to be the funniest member
of our group. Watch the jokes.

MR. KEATING:

Good afternoon. As was said, my name is Pierce Keating. Last name's spelled, K-E-A-T-I-N-G.

I am the majority owner and chairman of the Daniel J. Keating Company and I'm thrilled to be here today for a number of reasons. First of all, my relationship with Bart Blatstein goes back over 20 years, and we've enjoyed many successful projects together. Secondly, I believe this is a unique opportunity and a game changer for the City of Philadelphia.

Our experience in working in

Philadelphia, as stated, goes back over a century. We are particularly proud of the vast and diverse portfolio we have worked on across Center City, including new build, restoration and renovation projects. We have extensive experience in literally

every area that impacts a complex casino entertainment 1 2 complex. With hotels, we recently renovated the high-3 end Rittenhouse Hotel, including their multi-million 4 dollar luxury suites. On tourism, we built the 5 Liberty Bell Center. Our gaming experience includes 6 the recent exterior re-theming of the Golden Nugget in 7 Atlantic City. On preservations, a key component of the job here, we headed up the restoration of the Please Touch Museum in Philadelphia. On a somewhat personal note, I'm particularly proud of our work as 10 11 lead contractor on the storied restorations of both 12 City Hall and Independence Hall. Perhaps most 13 notably, our experience in working on North Broad 14 Street is as recent as the Pennsylvania Convention 15 Center expansion project. The Convention Center, at approximately \$500 million, was completed on schedule 16 17 and on budget.

We do not take our charge lightly. We have committed to completing The Provence in 18 months. We have devised a game plan to make that a reality, and have every confidence our expectations will be met. This project will create approximately 1,500 construction jobs. And with our understanding of site logistics, coordination, traffic control and scheduling, gives us a great comfort in our ability to

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

complete the project within the schedule parameters.

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 There are four key components to our 3 delivery, gaming floors, hotel, retail and garage. Wе 4 will divide the project into these zones and have 5 separate teams as well as separate contractors to 6 accomplish this work. This allows us to be working on every component of the building simultaneously with the workforce comprised of multiple subcontractors to allow for necessary manpower requirements. This also 10 allows us to maximize minority workforce 11 participation, a key ingredient of Bart's overall 12 plan. Keating effectively utilizes strategy of the 13 recent million square foot Pennsylvania Convention Center expansion. In addition to beating the 14 15 scheduled parameters, we achieved DBE participation 16 levels of 33 percent of subcontracts and a workforce 17 component of 37 percent.

With a time frame of 18 months, we need to average roughly \$15 million of work put in place per month. By way of comparison, at the Convention Center, there were periods where we were averaging \$30 to \$35 million of work in place per month. As you can see on this screen, the spending tends to occur roughly on a bell curve, and we have the resources ready to meet the challenge right away. Along with

our estimators and a cadre of subcontractors, we have worked hand in hand with Accelerated Construction and Isle of Capri to establish values for all portions of the construction work. We are confident in our schedule, our budget, and we are excited to get started on this project, which I personally believe will be one of the most important private developments in Philadelphia since my company was founded over 100 years ago. Thank you for your time.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

2.4

Thank you, Pierce. Let me now set out our plan for financing The Provence. As we have indicated, our total projected budget for the project was \$700 million, of which \$600 million is for the hard and soft cost of the project with the remaining \$100 million for land, which we already have. We have five documented financing options available to us in addition to my own significant financial resources. Collectively, these sources make available far more resources than necessary to develop The Provence.

Each of the five sources of financing are from highly credible, experienced lenders and financing sources in the gaming industry. Credit Suites Securities has demonstrated its ability to provide up to \$475 million secured loan to fund the

construction of the project. Summit Partners and 1 2 Providence Equity Capital Markets have provided 3 documentation for Junior Capital in amounts up to \$85 4 million or \$75 million respectively. In addition, 5 Isle of Capri currently has \$25 million posted in the form of a letter of credit to PGCB. 6 Lastly, Highbridge Principal Strategies provided a commitment to finance up to \$600 million. Highbridge Principal 8 Strategies is a \$29 billion fund owned by --- I'm 10 sorry. Owned by JP Morgan, which is a multi-trillion 11 dollar bank. And, of course, I have my own financial 12 resources available to me as well, which I provided for the staff with a detailed review. 1.3

The abundance of money available for this project demonstrates the strength of the project and its sponsor. In summary, we have built our capital structure to maximize flexibility, pay the most favorable pricing and to balance the use of debt and equity. In any of these financing scenarios, we have confirmed that our cash flows from The Provence will be far more than sufficient to fund the debt service on the property and leave us comfortable reserved to continue to reinvest in the property.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I financed my own projects. I used my own equity for our development. And I am extremely

conservative. My charge with our economists and
everybody else was to be conservative. No pie in the
sky estimations, just the facts, the details to keep
it right. Because, like anybody else, I don't like
losing my money. I want to make money and I want the
project to succeed. I have never not followed through
with a project. Never. And that's in 36 years.

1.3

To move on to tenancy. Well, the project is beautiful. What Paul Steelman and his team has done is just magnificent. But to activate this space, it was important to have all the components of this project in place, whether it be the concert hall to attract worldwide talent, world class talent or the restaurants. Just two weeks ago, we had an announcement of two major chefs, nationally acclaimed chefs. Tom Colicchio, the host for Top Chef, is now signed onto The Provence, as is Andrew Carmellini with restaurants all over the country. These are incredibly talented chefs. In fact, I've been in discussions about moving Top Chef the TV show to The Provence in Philadelphia.

In addition to that, we're going to have high-end retail, again, name acts. We have a jazz club, a comedy club, a concert hall, a spa and fitness facility, 60,000 square feet of retail, a magnificent

conservatory and botanical gardens, a swim club,
private swim club at 110 feet in the air facing south
with unobstructed views of the city. And it goes on
and on, of course, the boutique hotel. It is just
going to be that special place that I've always
dreamed of being part of and would make everybody here
very, very proud.

In conclusion, I'd like to talk about certainty. Again, I'm conservative. I've been through four recessions. It's important that we plan in a conservative manner. So, I want to talk about certainty. I hired the best architect in the world, Paul Steelman, and I just love working with this guy. And this project is just spectacular, like nothing that I've ever seen around the world. Because of this project, I've traveled as far away as to Macau to see the casinos there, Vegas too many times to mention in the last two years and everywhere in between.

Market growth. We're not going after the same market as everybody else. It's very simple. Everybody I know --- of everybody I know, nobody goes to the casinos in the region. They just don't go. They don't feel comfortable there, there's not enough amenities there. So, we're going after that other, what I'll call, 80 percent of people that just don't

- 1 | go. We're going to create a new market because that's
- 2 | what we need. It's located in Center City,
- 3 | Philadelphia. There are 275,000 workers in Center
- 4 City, Philadelphia. We have the second highest
- 5 downtown population on the east coast, and third in
- 6 the country with 180,000 people. It's busting at the
- 7 | seams, thank God, because that's where my business has
- 8 always been.
- 9 We have 38.2 million visits to
- 10 | Philadelphia a year. That's all visits, whether it's
- 11 personal, whether it's business or whatever. We have
- 12 | an incredibly dynamic diverse city, and we're here to
- 13 take advantage of that and to provide a product that
- 14 is needed. We have great restaurants and great
- 15 culture. We are voted the number one cultural
- 16 destination in the country by the --- by Travel and
- 17 Leisure magazine, but we need something else.
- 18 | Something else is missing. And that's what we're
- 19 going to provide here.
- I'd now like to introduce a video --- a
- 21 | short video from some of my team members.
- 22 VIDEO PLAYS
- MR. BLATSTEIN:
- 24 Let's dare to dream and usher in a new
- 25 age of entertainment in the state. Thank you for your

1 | time. Thank you very much.

ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:

Mr. Chairman, members of the Board,

4 having honored my commitment to Mr. Blatstein not to

5 | interrupt the presentation with legalities, we would,

6 before closing, like to proffer as experts four of our

witnesses. Mr. Pollock and Mr. Fisher in the area of

8 revenue projections. Mr. Ostimchuk in traffic

9 engineering and Mr. Mullin in urban economic

10 development.

2

11

12

1.3

19

2.2

CHAIRMAN:

Any objections, Cyrus?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

14 | We've reviewed the qualifications. We

15 | have no objections. Of course, it's up to the Board

16 to determine whether they want to accept these

17 | witnesses as experts or ask them further questions.

18 But we have no objection.

CHAIRMAN:

20 All right. I will entertain a motion to

21 accept the four witnesses individually as experts.

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I will make the motion to

24 approve the four witnesses, as Counselor has

25 identified, in their areas of expertise.

71 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Second? 3 MR. MCCALL: 4 Second. 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 All in favor? 7 ALL SAY AYE CHAIRMAN: 9 The motion carries and all Opposed? 10 four are considered qualified as experts by the Board. 11 ATTORNEY QUAGLIA: 12 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And with that, 13 the Applicant rests its case in chief. 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Any questions from the Board? Annmarie. 16 MS. KAISER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'll ask a few 17 18 questions, then I'll let others go. I may come back a 19 second time around. Thank you for your presentation. 20 As part of your presentation, you provided an overview 21 of some of the amenities and the clientele that you'd 22 be marketing to. But can you flush that out a bit, 23 both the gaming and non-gaming entities --- amenities. 24 What types of clientele you'd be marketing to? Would 25 it be folks in the area, folks outside the area?

Would it include residents of New Jersey?

1.3

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Sure. Thank you. The nickname for the project is the Clubhouse. I'm not a big gambler. I rarely gamble in casinos. And it had to be a place where myself and everybody I know can hang out, enjoy themselves, spend time. People, customers will spend more money if they have more to spend it on. It's a very simple paradigm. The amenities are the hotel itself in the tower, the iconic clock tower. There's three clock towers in Philadelphia, Independence Hall, City Hall and the Inquirer building, formerly known as the Elverson building.

There will be a nightclub, 9,000 square feet. We don't have one nightclub in the State of Pennsylvania that is in the top 100 nightclubs in the country. Not one. We will have a comedy club, a separate comedy club. We'll have a separate jazz club. We'll have 66,000 square feet of retail, because who doesn't like to shop.

This was built around the idea of let people dream. Let them be transformed somewhere else. We'll have a conservatory surrounded by restaurants and retail. This is, again, modeled after the beautiful conservatories in Longwood Gardens, of which

my wife and I went a couple weeks ago again. We're 1 2 going to have a concert hall. We want and we need the 3 name acts. We want the big acts, the big national and international acts. We're going to have --- we're 4 5 going to exhibit exotic cars because our largest two 6 shows in the Convention Center in Philadelphia are the car show and the auto --- the auto show and the flower show, each drawing over 250,000 visits. So, we're going to have that all year round. And the beauty of 10 the conservatory is it's an ever-changing kaleidoscope 11 of color. We're going to change it at the seasons. 12 The Bellagio in Vegas changes out every five --- five 13 times a year. We're looking to change it out even 14 more. And to partner with the Horticulture Society 15 here in Philadelphia.

We're going to have meeting rooms and convention space, if necessary, if shows want to come. We want people to come and spend their day here, their weekend here. We want them to enjoy the time here. That's some of the amenities.

MS. KAISER:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. If you are seeking to obtain residents of New Jersey to come in and frequent the casino, have you been following the issue of internet gaming? And what, if any, impact do you think that

will have on your ability to get the New Jersey folks
into your casino?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

2.2

Well I can't claim I'm an expert on internet gaming at all. I've been reading about it. It hasn't met its expectations in New Jersey, I have seen. And I --- it's not --- ours is not contingent upon internet gaming at the casino. Ours is an experience like you'd see in Vegas.

MS. KAISER:

Can you walk through the proposal and how it has evolved over time with respect to parking and what the plan is for parking, just to break that down a bit?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Sure. In any development, in urban developments especially, there are a number of different components that we always have to address, security, traffic, parking and trash. That was one question that never came up in any of the 50 meetings with the neighborhood groups, trash, where's the trash. Parking. I have extensive experience with parking in Philadelphia and urban settings and large scale developments. We approached it at, what did we think we needed, what would make the most sense. We

do not want people to not come to the project because of a parking problem.

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

We have over 2,400 parking spaces, which is probably over double the other Center City applicant. And it's important to understand that in addition to that, there's a lot of parking in the region. But we encourage all kinds of modes of transportation. We will have a shuttle bus system in Center City. Most of our development in Center City is weighted to the west of Broad Street, so we've become very walkable, even from the new Comcast tower. And we're going to encourage the walking, we're going to encourage taxis, we're going to encourage other modes of transportation. But I am --- I feel very, very strongly that our parking exceeds our need, based upon experience that I've had in the past.

And also --- I'm sorry for interrupting.

MS. KAISER:

It's okay.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

But, again, it's two years of working with the neighborhood groups about parking, also. And they also concur.

MS. KAISER:

1 And that would be the two garages;

2 | correct?

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. BLATSTEIN:

No, there's actually four.

MS. KAISER:

Okay.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

There's a garage on the first block on the 1400 block of Callowhill. There's a garage on the second block on the 1500 block of Callowhill. There's a garage on the third block in the 1600 block of Callowhill, all linked together. And then there's a garage at 15th and Spring Garden. That's the new one. 700 ---.

15 MS. KAISER:

The new one, yeah. Okay.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

That's correct. And the beauty of having four garages is also it means that we have five means of ingress and egress into our parking, so we're not all funneled and creating a choke point into one entranceway for parking and one way out. So, we have actually five. We have one means of egress in the first block --- an ingress. We have one means of ingress and egress on the second block, two on the

third block and another one on the block at 15th and 1 2 Spring Garden.

MS. KAISER:

3

4

10

19

2.3

2.4

25

Sorry. I was referring to A and Okay. 5 I wasn't clear. You've indicated that you've 6 worked extensively with the neighborhood groups. Will you continue to do that as you move forward with the project and should you be granted this license, and how would you do that?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

11 It's the only way to do it. It's the 12 only way I know. Not only that, my wife and I purchased a home in Center City, so we're just blocks 13 14 away from this project. So, of course. Absolutely. 15 I will continue to do it. As I say in every neighborhood meeting throughout the years, I'm 16 17 available anytime and anywhere, especially if there's food. 18

MS. KAISER:

20 What, if any, obstacles do you see that 21 could extend the time frame for completion of the 22 project?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

From a construction standpoint?

MS. KAISER:

Yes.

1

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 MR. BLATSTEIN:

3 I don't see any. I don't see any. 4 been before the Planning Commission a number of times, 5 Streets Department, the Deputy Mayor of 6 Transportation, L&I. I am --- you know, we are very, 7 very experienced in dealing with the City for permitting, and the State also. I've been with PennDOT a number of times, too. So, we will hit the 10 ground running. And we have support of the 11 neighborhoods, and have support of the District

MS. KAISER:

And you were talking about that you would have various teams working on the construction for gaming, hotel, retail, the garage. Will there be a liaison to work with all those various teams to ensure there's no conflicts or there's communication between the various groups?

Councilman, who's Council President, Darrell Clarke.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Well, sure. You heard from Pierce
Keating of the Daniel Keating Company, and he'll be
the CM, construction manager, on the project. My
company, Accelerated Construction, will oversee that
and be the liaison.

79 1 MS. KAISER: 2 That's it for now, Mr. Chairman. 3 CHAIRMAN: 4 Tony. 5 MR. MOSCATO: 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for 7 your presentation and good afternoon. Feedback onto what Commissioner Kaiser asked with regard to parking. It seems to be a big concern. Coming from Cameron 10 County, I don't really understand that. But I 11 understand that it is a big concern. Do you have 12 agreements in place with all these parking garages 13 that you have referenced throughout the presentation? 14 MR. BLATSTEIN: 15 Yes, we have. We have control of them, 16 that's correct. 17 MR. MOSCATO: 18 Okay. And then ---? 19 MR. BLATSTEIN: 20 Let me be clear. The first block, we 21 will be developing that garage. And the second block, 22 we will be developing that. We own the land. 23 third block already exists. It's an 820 car garage. 24 And the fourth facility, the new one, is on property

that I currently own also. And it will be to be

25

80 constructed. 1 2 MR. MOSCATO: 3 Okay. One of the parking garages, and 4 I'm not sure which one, is owned by a college; is that 5 correct? 6 MR. BLATSTEIN: 7 Yes, I believe ---. 8 MR. MOSCATO: 9 Do you have a lease? 10 MR. BLATSTEIN: 11 Yes. I have a leasehold agreement on 12 the property for an additional 16 more years. 13 MR. MOSCATO: Okay. Moving on from parking. 14 15 your team members testified that there would be 16 4,142,041 gaming visits per year. Would that be --- I 17 mean, are they assuming that's new gaming visits, 18 additional gaming visits or what, including the visits 19 that are already being attended at the other four 20 casinos? 2.1 MR. BLATSTEIN: 22 Well, again, this is designed to ---23 this is designed for a new audience. The number one 24 tourist attraction in Philadelphia is the historic 25 area. And that's attended, actually, by mostly

```
81
   families and children and school trips. The number
1
2
   two attended attraction in Philadelphia is ironically
3
   SugarHouse, with two million visits a year. We expect
   to --- we expect that most of our customers are new
4
5
   customers, customers that wouldn't normally attend any
6
   of the other facilities because the amenities just
   don't exist.
8
                  MR. MOSCATO:
9
                  Okay. So, to understand that,
10
   SugarHouse, you said, had two million visits per year?
11
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
12
                  Yes.
13
                  MR. MOSCATO:
14
                  And your team member testified that
15
   there would be in excess of four million visits per
16
   year?
17
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
18
                  Yes.
19
                  MR. MOSCATO:
20
                  So, you're going to pick up the other
21
   two?
2.2
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
2.3
                  I'm sorry. Scott Fisher.
2.4
                  MR. FISHER:
25
                  I assume you're looking at either the
```

2012 or 2013 report. And the answer to that question 1 2 is, those are the number of bodies that would come per 3 There was --- I don't believe the report says how many of those patrons are going to be new versus 4 5 It was how many dollars will be new or old. 6 there was a figure in there for that roughly half the dollars are new to the state and roughly 77 percent would be new to Philadelphia, which SugarHouse being the alternative one. 10

So, if you look at it from

Philadelphia's standpoint, I would say roughly three

million of the four million --- or a shade over three

million would be new patrons to the Philadelphia

market.

MR. MOSCATO:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.3

Okay. Thank you for that. The previous applicant testified that they had 4.3 million active customer in their database. How many does Isle of Capri have?

MS. MCDOWELL:

We have a million active customers in our database.

MR. MOSCATO:

Thank you. And the average wage of an Isle of Capri casino employee would be approximately?

	83
1	MS. MCDOWELL:
2	Average would be about \$36,000 a year.
3	MR. MOSCATO:
4	Plus benefits? Plus benefits?
5	MS. MCDOWELL:
6	No, that would be including benefits.
7	MR. MOSCATO:
8	Okay. And my last question, a six
9	minute walk time from the Convention Center to your
10	casino or to The Provence, since it's more than
11	just a casino again, you know, coming from where I
12	come from, I maybe tend to have a different
13	perspective, but we're all concerned about crime. How
14	would you ensure safety of people walking from the
15	different areas, the parking garages, the Convention
16	Center, City Hall, any of the historic sites, anything
17	like that?
18	MR. BLATSTEIN:
19	Sure. I don't know whether it's okay to
20	oring up Mike Coleman who is from AlliedBarton. Would
21	you mind?
22	MR. MOSCATO:
23	Sure.
24	MR. BLATSTEIN:
25	All right. Mike? When looking at the

```
security, it was very important for me to not make ---
1
2
   not only have the facility secure, but an apron of
3
   blocks surrounding the facility secured.
4
   reached out to the number one security company in the
5
   country. The largest private security company in the
6
   country, AlliedBarton. It ironically started in
   Philadelphia, formerly known as Spectacor. They have
   over 59,000 employees, and they're the largest
   security company --- private security company in
10
   Philadelphia. This is Mike Coleman.
11
                  CHAIRMAN:
12
                  Excuse me, sir. Have you been sworn?
1.3
                  MR. COLEMAN:
                  Yes, sir.
14
15
                  CHAIRMAN:
16
                  Okay. Just state your name for ---.
17
                  MR. COLEMAN:
18
                  Would you mind starting from the
19
   beginning?
20
                  CHAIRMAN:
21
                  State your name for the record and spell
22
   your last name.
2.3
                  MR. COLEMAN:
2.4
                  Mike Coleman. C-O-L-E-M-A-N.
25
                  CHAIRMAN:
```

85 1 Thank you. 2 MR. COLEMAN: 3 Sir, might you repeat the question? 4 MR. MOSCATO: 5 What is your plan to ensure the Sure. safety of people walking from the Convention Center to 6 the casino or from the historic sites to the casino or even the parking garages to the casino? MR. COLEMAN: 10 Development of the plan basically 11 includes three elements, and it's a community-based 12 plan. So, the operating model goes from Vine Street 13 to Spring Garden, 17th to Broad Street. So, the 14 program is comprised of security masters, electronic 15 security technology and patrols. So, there's a constant flow of personnel, either it will be foot 16 17 patrols being monitored through security cameras, 18 emergency call boxes and dispatch for the needs of the 19 visitor. 20 MR. MOSCATO: 21 Okay. The foot patrol would be provided 22 by your company? 2.3 MR. COLEMAN: 2.4 That is correct.

MR. MOSCATO:

25

86 And they are bonded agents or ---? 1 2 MR. COLEMAN: 3 Yes, sir. 4 MR. MOSCATO: 5 Okay. Okay. Thank you very much. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN: 8 Dave. 9 MR. WOODS: 10 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. A number of 11 questions. Mr. Steelman or Mr. Blatstein, your 12 project has evolved in an architectural standpoint 13 from when it began to what we see before us. In 14 response to community concerns, the French village 15 along the roof was removed, as I understand it. 16 was just wondering how you think that impacts the wow 17 factor that you had initially planned. 18 MR. BLATSTEIN: 19 No, the French village is still there. 20 It's just a façade along the street line that's 21 changed. Inside is the French village. 2.2 MR. WOODS: 2.3 Okay. 2.4 MR. BLATSTEIN: 25 And that was in working with the

Planning Commission, also.

2 MR. WOODS:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Use of mass transit. Could you speak to the use of mass transit at peak time, how many people coming to The Provence you would expect to be using mass transit?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Sure. I'm going to reach out to Eric Ostimchuk.

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

As I indicated before, we based our findings on the 2005 Interim Task Force Report as well as well as counts conducted at SugarHouse regarding public transit usage. And we, based on those items, we estimate 31 percent of the visitors would utilize public transit. That includes employees as well as customers. And includes those who take public transit to work in Center City and would then continue their journey to The Provence.

MR. WOODS:

Have you been able to look at any other facility that would give you confidence in those numbers?

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

Again, we utilized the modal splits that

we observed at the SugarHouse casino, which we then developed for Center City location. In that regard, yes.

MR. WOODS:

Ms. McDowell, the Isle of Capri, as I understood it, I was not a member of the Board at the time, did testify during a resort license hearing session. And specifically, could you comment on the operation's projections that you had made at that time for that facility as you see them now and how that might impact what you're seeing now going forward for The Provence?

MS. MCDOWELL:

Yeah. I lost the beginning of that.

15 | Were you talking about Nemacolin?

MR. WOODS:

17 Yes, I am.

4

5

6

10

11

12

1.3

14

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. MCDOWELL:

Okay. I think that one of the things that you have to remember about Nemacolin, as Scott Fisher had basically talked about two year projections, you know, you really don't look at a facility as approaching mature until its been open two years. So, Nemacolin is still in its infant state. We haven't even had our first year anniversary yet.

So, I would ask the Board to take that into consideration.

1.3

2.1

2.4

Certainly not producing the revenue that we had hoped to at the time. But I'm sure that the Board is very aware that there are only two properties in the United States that operate under the access plan type program that the Category 3 License entails. And we are still, quite frankly, working our way around that. I think the most important thing now is just that we built the facility that we said that we were going to build. It has been very, very accepted by our customers. We get very high approval ratings. We've received excellent feedback both from local community and from resort guests. And we have an excellent working relationship with the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board staff.

So, all the pieces are in place in order for this to be a successful property. And we're cautiously optimistic. It's obviously just not ramping as quickly as we had hoped to.

MR. WOODS:

Thank you. Mr. Blatstein, it's my understanding on February 11th, 2013 Tower entered into a membership interest purchase agreement with Mr. Robert Vogel, who I can see is here today, in which

1 Tower were, if awarded the license, would have Mr.

2 | Vogel purchase half the percent. Profit only,

3 | non-voting interest in Tower Gaming. Could you just

4 | speak to the role that you would play for the purchase

5 of that interest for, as I believe, is \$10.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Yes. I don't know of many people who rise to the stature of Mr. Vogel. He's a wonderful guy and he's a good friend. And I thought that he would be the greatest asset in dealing with our goals for diversity.

MR. WOODS:

Thank you. Mr. Chairman, that's all my questions.

15 CHAIRMAN:

John.

6

7

10

11

12

17

2.4

25

MR. MCNALLY:

Thank you. Mr. Blatstein, as part of the economic development spin-off, it was projected that approximately two million square feet would be developed as a result of your project. Do you have any indication in which neighborhood or which direction that will take?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Yes. If you look at Center City, it's

There's Market --- there's East Market 1 on an axis. 2 Street, which is developed, West Market Street, which 3 is developed, South Broad Street, known as Avenue of the Arts, which is developed. And that leaves the 4 5 last spine, North Broad Street, undeveloped. There's 6 an enormous amount of well, just derelict buildings and vacant lots that are just right for development. The Councilmember District Darrell Clarke is very much for density in --- on that spine, on North Broad 10 Street. And I would like to develop 100 percent of 11 it, but I won't be able to. But it's just ready for 12 an explosion of development. It just needs that 13 anchor. 14 You know, years ago, my dad grew up in 15 North Philadelphia. He was born and raised in Strawberry Mansion section of North Philadelphia. 16 17 I used to hear stories about how great North Broad 18 Street was and all the --- it was the entertainment district of the city, ironically. But it's missing 19 20 that anchor. It needs that there. And that's what we 21 can provide with this. 22 MR. MCNALLY: 23 What type of development do you see 24 going there? 25 MR. BLATSTEIN:

Well, just, I think it will be a mixed 1 2 bag of development, both residential and commercial. 3 We just finished last year the former state office building conversion of the 300,000 square foot 4 5 building into 204 luxury apartments. And that's just on the north end of the block. And so I anticipate a 6 lot more residential development, which will be great. We need jobs. You know, it's 8 interesting because if we took the Inquirer building 10 and converted it into apartment building, there would 11 be somewhere between eight to ten full-time employees. But with this development, we're looking at 2,500 jobs 12 13 in that very same location. It's a remarkable 14 contrast. 15 MR. MCNALLY: 16 With regard to your parking spaces, what 17 is the ratio of your parking spaces to the gaming 18 positions that you'll have? 19 MR. BLATSTEIN: 2.0 If I may? Eric? 21 MR. OSTIMCHUK: 22 The number of gaming positions that we 23 anticipated in our traffic study was in the 24 neighborhood of 4,300 gaming positions. 25 CHAIRMAN:

Sir, could you keep your voice up?

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

2.4

Sure. Certainly. As I had indicated, there are 2,400 dedicated spaces controlled by Tower, as well as 4,500 in a five minute walking space. With regard to the usage, the initial development plan looked at the special entertainment district, which the City of Philadelphia has enacted, which is four spaces per five gaming positions. That ratio was then applied with our auto usage to determine a number of 1,609 spaces needed. After discussions with the neighborhoods, we have added the additional parking lot of 700 spaces to bring the total to 2,400. In terms of a specific ratio, it would be the 2,400 versus the 4,350 gaming positions.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

I'd like to add, Mr. Commissioner, that
I own a parcel of land adjacent to the new 716 car
garage. If need be, I could develop that into another
1,000 parking spot garage.

MR. MCNALLY:

All right. Mr. Ostimchuk, while we have you. As part of your plan, the study area that you had selected, 21 intersections; correct?

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

94 Twenty-one (21) intersections was 1 2 studied based on input from the Streets Department and 3 PennDOT. But that is the number, correct. 4 MR. MCNALLY: 5 And so that's how you decided, then, the 6 21 is based on PennDOT? MR. OSTIMCHUK: It was based on input from PennDOT, yes. 8 9 MR. MCNALLY: 10 Okay. Now, we've had testimony already 11 in support of a Petition for Intervention that, along 12 with that Petition, was submitted a traffic impact 13 study. Have you had the opportunity to review that? 14 MR. OSTIMCHUK: 15 Yes. 16 MR. MCNALLY: 17 Okay. I don't know if it's proper at 18 this time, but I'd like to --- if you can, can you 19 tell us any criticisms that you have of that study 20 based on its scope or its accuracy as far as the 21 impact will have on the surrounding community? 2.2 MR. OSTIMCHUK: 2.3 Yes. 2.4 ATTORNEY QUAGLIA: 25 And Commissioner, for the record, I've

just handed to Mr. Ostimchuk. He had prepared a

letter in response to the statement that was attached

as an exhibit to the answer that we filed. And I

would also note for the record that that letter also

includes in detail the methodology by which the 31

percent figure was reached for the public transit that

Commissioner Woods was asking about. I can't promise

it's simulating reading, but it's all there.

MR. MCNALLY:

Thank you. If you could briefly summarize your criticisms?

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Certainly. My apologies. We noted a

--- the statement was neither signed nor verified by a

transportation professional, and the tone of the

Intervener's request was not prepared by a traffic

engineer. We have gone several reviews by

Orth-Rodgers Associates and PennDOT on behalf of the

Gaming Board. And we have been updated and

conditionally approved by PennDOT. And we have

committed in writing to address PennDOT's

recommendations with regard to improvements to be made

under The Provence.

MR. MCNALLY:

In particular, one of the Interveners

has the traffic going down, I think, all the way on the southern part, as far as Arch Street. Did you take a look at that intersection at all?

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

25

We did not. In fact, our route --- and I believe the one you're talking about is from eastbound Vine Street Expressway. In that regard, we would not have vehicles traveling nearly that far south. In fact, they would make the first right beyond Vine Street onto Spring Street and again onto 16th Street. Because these are all right turn movements, they add minimal impacts, typically, to signalized intersections.

MR. MCNALLY:

At one point in your application, Mr.

Blatstein, you make reference to a temporary facility
being utilized as part of the construction. Yet, from
what I understand from today's testimony and the
subsequent materials that you're going to do this all
in one phase; correct?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

I'm sorry, I didn't hear the last part of it.

MR. MCNALLY:

This is all going to be done in one

97 1 phase; correct? 2 MR. BLATSTEIN: 3 That's correct. This is all one phase. 4 From day one it was one phase. That's correct. 5 MR. MCNALLY: 6 So, you anticipate opening up the hotel the same day the gaming operations open? MR. BLATSTEIN: 9 Everything all at once. It has the 10 greatest impact and it's the only way to do it. 11 MR. MCNALLY: 12 That's all the questions I have. Thank 13 you, Chairman. 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Keith. 16 MR. MCCALL: 17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. If we could 18 get Mr. Ostimchuk up again? I want to hammer down on 19 this transit information. 20 MR. BLATSTEIN: 2.1 He's going to want a bonus. 22 MR. MCCALL: 2.3 I just want to hear, once again, how you 24 calculated the percentages of patrons arriving by 25 transit?

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

Sure.

1.3

2.4

MR. MCCALL:

And if I'm --- correct me if I'm wrong, but Philly Gaming Task Force, they assumed a six percent mass transit usage for North Delaware Avenue. Then they did an actual traffic count, if I'm correct, at SugarHouse, which resulted in a higher number than the six percent. And then, I further understand that you took that percentage increase and applied it to Provence. Is that a correct assumption?

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

It is correct insomuch as there were different percentages noted in the Task Force document with regard to locations in Philadelphia. For North Delaware Avenue, they had an assumption of six percent public transit use, while Center City, they had an estimate in 2005 of 20 percent public transit use. Since that time, public transit use in the city has risen by upwards of 25 percent. We've conducted modal counts, noting what type of mode people use to go to the casino at SugarHouse. And in doing that count, we noted that 17 percent, almost three times as many as indicated in Task Force at SugarHouse arrived via public transit.

We didn't apply three times to the 20 percent that was indicated in the Task Force for Center City. Rather, we applied the 11 percent difference, 17 percent versus six percent, to the 20 percent noted in Task Force to then develop the 31 percent estimate.

MR. MCCALL:

But originally, your assumptions were for peak times. Friday 4:00 to 6:00 p.m. at 48 percent, 7:00 to 10:00 p.m. 46 percent. Saturday 6:00 to 9:00 p.m. 46 percent. Now, you have since adjusted that. And so the new number, you're now assuming that that --- and there's a point behind this. You're now assuming 31 percent is --- that's the adjusted number after Orth-Rodgers --- see, Orth-Rodgers, I guess, issued a statement on the traffic impact study that you had a higher transit number than anybody else. And that your trip generation is different from what was originally requested. And also, your methodology was different from all the other applicants.

So, you know, I'm just trying to get a firm number on transit because it's going to have an impact on the number of cars that will be coming into the city as well. So, is the number now that we're working off of 31 percent?

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

2.4

Thirty-one (31) percent is the number, yes. That is correct.

MR. MCCALL:

And then you further assume that half of the persons that come in on transit would be utilizing Suburban Station; is that correct?

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

with regard to Suburban Station usage. But all manner --- the application of the transit was to determine an ultimate number of passenger cars to the development. We did assume certain percentages of people using transit, and then they would become walkers on the streets, which we did account for in our study. So, regardless of whether they were coming from the Suburban Station, Race-Vine subway stop, Spring Garden subway stop, they became pedestrians on the roadway.

MR. MCCALL:

And then another --- and then, so it's realistic, then, to assume that you expect people to walk, I think it said, four-tenths of a mile, almost a half mile to your casino?

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

People will walk four-tenths of a mile

in Center City. Certainly, they will.

MR. MCCALL:

And, again, just noting that the security of patrons that may have a large amount of cash in their pocket getting followed out of that casino while making that walk would be a little concerning.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

And that's a good question --- or a good comment. And that's why we have been the only applicant, I believe, that has created this envelope of security. And it's adjustable. So, again, it goes to the east of 12th Street. It goes, right now, to Vine Street on the south, just a little bit above Spring Garden on the north. And to the West 17th Street. And it's adjustable as need be.

MR. MCCALL:

Back to the transit, just one more. If you go to page five of your Spectrum Gaming Group.

This is your consultant that did your market analysis.

This is the January 7th, 2014, it was prepared for you. I'll refer you to page five and I'll read this directly. In Spectrum's experience, we do not perceive public transportation to be a significant means of access for casino gamblers. Do you want me

to read that again? And this is the January 7th, 2014 report, which basically says, Spectrum's experience, we do not perceive public transportation to be a significant means of access for casino gamblers.

2.3

2.4

And that kind of --- and the reason why I bring this up, it kind of falls into what was said to us with this morning's testimony when --- let me get this. I want to make sure I have the right name. When Pennsylvania Gaming Ventures, they're putting up the 3,400 spot parking garage because they said to us, people want to come and go as they please. Therefore, the parking garage and --- it accommodates that casino's patron.

I just want you try to just reconcile all of that. You know, you're anticipating this large number of persons to travel in by transit. Your own report says that you don't think there's going to be a lot of people coming in on mass transit. So, I just want you to reconcile the difference between your saying 31 percent are going to come in on mass transit, and your own consultant saying that you don't expect a lot of people to be coming in on mass transit?

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

With regard --- I can't speak to the

Spectrum study. As you're aware, I did not prepare that. I can only speak to the traffic study that I prepared.

MR. MCCALL:

2.4

Because, again, I don't want to --sorry for interrupting. Because the impact, then,
would be more cars on the road?

MR. OSTIMCHUK:

Well, certainly. If there's an under-estimate of public transit usage, there certainly would be more vehicles on the road. We believe that we have a realistic observation with regard to public transit usage. Quite honestly, if you were to develop this type of facility in Center City and not expect that type of public transit usage, I don't believe that that would be a great benefit to that type of facility.

You have to realize that there are a great number of people who are employed in Center City, Philadelphia who take regional rail every day. We're not just talking about the subway and buses. We're talking about regional rail from all manner of suburbs. The 31 percent is an estimate that we determined based on actual counts at a North Delaware Avenue facility, which saw 17 percent public transit

usage with only access to buses in that location.
Whereas, we have direct access to Suburban Station,

3 the subway, buses, all manner of public transit.

MR. FISHER:

Eric, I would like to add something, as well. There's two points to add to this discussion, and you're correct in --- with our statement in the Spectrum report. But there's two points. One is that there's --- I'm sorry. Scott Fisher. One point is that employees would be very likely to take public transit, and that would be blended in --- I believe, blended into Eric's number. We don't think there's so much as far as gamers coming with dollars in their pocket.

mentioned, a lot of people who work in the city take public transportation to work. They might walk here afterwards because it is very close to their office buildings. And may take public transportation home. We don't believe that --- Spectrum does firmly believe that people aren't going to take trains in to come to the casino or take the subway in or buses in in any high degree. But that number of 30-some odd --- of 31 percent does seem rational to us when you take into consideration those other factors.

MR. MCCALL:

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

2 And, again, the concern --- my concern 3 being, you know, we toured all of these sites 4 ourselves. And it just so happened that when we got 5 to The Provence --- or the proposed Provence, school 6 was letting out. And, you know, the Community College of Philadelphia is right around the corner. were a number of charter schools. And most of our ---I think all of the Board was there. There was a lot 10 of kids walking the streets. So, the concern being, 11 if people aren't coming in by transit, there's going 12 to be a lot more traffic on the road, which would be 13 concerning. But I think you've answered it.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Also, Commissioner, the entrance to The Provence is not on Broad Street. That's solely the entrance to the hotel. The entrance to The Provence is on the center in the middle of the 1500 block of Callowhill. And there's very little pedestrian traffic of any kind.

MR. MCCALL:

I have more questions, but I don't want to take out --- you can come back to me.

CHAIRMAN:

Go ahead.

MR. MCCALL:

1

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

2.3

24

25

2 Or do you want me to ask them?

CHAIRMAN:

Go ahead.

MR. FISHER:

Pardon me. I'll just add to that question real quickly. Again, Scott Fisher. From a peak hour perspective, three o'clock, 2:30, 3:30 in the afternoon would be a time that virtually no incremental gamers would be coming to the casino. It might be an hour that people would come to work, but not generally a time people would show up to go play. So, there shouldn't be that kind of traffic congestion to the casino.

MR. MCCALL:

Thank you. Mr. Blatstein, could you just go over, briefly, what the ratio of debt and equity is for the facility?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Yes. The budget's \$700 million of which the land contribution is \$100 million and the debt is \$600 million.

MR. MCCALL:

So, your equity source would include illiquid assets, meaning land property. Is that part

```
107
   of your equity in this proposal?
1
2
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
3
                  No, the land is already accounted for.
4
   If there's any additional needs, I have provided the
5
   Board with additional information regarding access to
6
   other capital that I have.
7
                  MR. MCCALL:
8
                  But the land is a illiquid asset that
9
   you're assuming in the equation; correct?
10
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
11
                  You mean the value of the land?
12
                  MR. MCCALL:
1.3
                  Uh-huh (yes). The value of the land.
14
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
15
                  I'm not sure I quite understand.
16
                  MR. MCCALL:
                  Well, you're assuming, on the equity
17
18
   side of the equation, illiquid assets.
                                             Is that
19
   correct? I'm looking at the report.
2.0
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
                  It's liquid because it's paid for.
2.1
22
                  MR. MCCALL:
2.3
                  Well I understand that it's liquid, but
24
   what if you don't sell the land for $100 million, you
25
   sell it for $50 million?
```

MR. BLATSTEIN:

The land that the casino will be on?

MR. MCCALL:

No. Well, in your equity, the equity
that you're using, not on the debt side, but the
equity side of the equation, do you use any illiquid
assets in showing what your equity is? I think our
report says ---

MR. BLATSTEIN:

10 No.

1

3

9

11

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCCALL:

12 --- what you're assuming.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

I mean, I would say, no. If there's additional needs for equity, I have access to that. You have --- you're privy to my financial statement and my sources of capital.

MR. MCCALL:

And, sir, the only question would be that, with those illiquid assets, that if you were to sell them to raise capital for your casino or the construction of your casino, if you don't realize 100 percent of what the worth of that asset is in your proposal to us, if you only receive --- if you need to raise equity or cash and you're only getting \$50

1 million out of that \$100 million, that's \$50 million 2 more that you have to come up with.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Yeah. I think I understand what you're saying. Again, the land is --- the property is paid for. The financing sources take into account the value of the property that we're contributing to the project. If there's needs for any additional funding, I have access to a significant amount. Again, you're privy to that.

MR. MCCALL:

I'm finished. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Greq.

MR. FAJT:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to continue to beat the dead horse on parking because I don't share your optimism on you having enough parking. We heard earlier today that, you know, the formula, at least the folks used this morning, was one parking spot for each gaming site, as Commissioner McNally mentioned earlier, and one spot for every two employees. And if I do that math correctly, as one of your folks stated earlier, you have about 3,100 gaming spots and about 3,000 employees, that comes out to

110 about 4,500 parking spots. 1 2 You are proposing --- and I know you 3 have access around the facility. I'm going to get to 4 that in a minute. But you're proposing about 2,400 5 spots. And I think 1,700 of those are in a garage and 6 about 716 are at 15th and Spring Garden. And why don't we go to the visual on page 146 of your proposal, because I have some questions that I'd like to ask? Yes. Go ahead and pull that up so everybody 10 can see that in the audience. There you go. 11 Show me where, first of all, the 2,400 12 spaces? Again, 15th Street and Spring Garden, 716 spots. Where is that in this overview? 13 14 MR. BLATSTEIN: 15 On the first block, right behind the 16 tower. 17 MR. FAJT: 18 Why don't you --- can you pull up your --- just so we can see where you're talking about? 19 20 Okay. 21 MR. BLATSTEIN: 22 On the first block ---2.3 MR. FAJT: 2.4 Yep, right behind the tower.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

	111
1	right behind the tower
2	MR. FAJT:
3	Okay.
4	MR. BLATSTEIN:
5	there's two levels of parking.
6	MR. FAJT:
7	Okay. And that's the 716?
8	MR. BLATSTEIN:
9	No. The 716 is right here next to the
10	former state office building.
11	MR. FAJT:
12	Okay. So, behind the towers, we're
13	looking at it; correct?
14	MR. BLATSTEIN:
15	Right. On the third block, there's an
16	existing 820 car garage.
17	MR. FAJT:
18	And that's the white building?
19	MR. BLATSTEIN:
20	That's correct.
21	MR. FAJT:
22	For those of you looking at
23	MR. BLATSTEIN:
24	And the balance is on the second block.
25	MR. FAJT:

```
Okay. So, again, the 24 --- let's stay
1
2
   with the 2,400. 716 are behind the tower at 15th and
3
   Spring Garden; correct? That's what your proposal
4
   says, 716.
5
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
6
                  That's correct.
                  MR. FAJT:
8
                  Okay. Is that attached to the casino or
9
   do you have to walk outside?
10
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
11
                  It's a half a block up.
12
                  MR. FAJT:
13
                  You have to walk outside.
                                              Thank you.
14
   Secondly, the 1,700 space garage, again, where is that
15
   on your --- it's underneath here; right? And so ---?
16
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
17
                  They were all connected, so there is no
18
   reason to walk outside.
19
                  MR. FAJT:
20
                  Got you. And so that's 2,400. And you
21
   had mentioned, I think, underneath or right behind the
22
   parking --- or behind the tower, can you show me
23
   initially --- and what is there?
2.4
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
25
                  All right. And there's approximately
```

113 1 900 parking spaces between behind the tower and the 2 main building. 3 MR. FAJT: Okay. So, ---? 4 5 MR. BLATSTEIN: All enclosed. 6 MR. FAJT: All enclosed. Okay. 9 MR. BLATSTEIN: 10 That's correct. 11 MR. FAJT: 12 So, you've got 900 that are attached, 13 1,700 that are attached ---? 14 MR. BLATSTEIN: 15 1,700 attached. 16 MR. FAJT: 17 And 900 attached; right? Or no? 18 MR. BLATSTEIN: 19 Pardon? 20 MR. FAJT: 21 The 900 that we're talking about, are 22 they attached? 2.3 MR. BLATSTEIN: 24 Yes. 900 between here and here. 25 MR. FAJT:

114 1 Okay. 2 MR. BLATSTEIN: 3 And 820 there, and 716 there. 4 MR. FAJT: 5 So, that's 1,700, I got you. I was 6 thinking there were --- okay. All right. So, 2,400 attached. Okay. Let me ask you about the diagram here. Is this a conceptual diagram or is this the way it's going to look? 10 MR. BLATSTEIN: 11 This is the way it's going to look, and 12 even prettier than ---. MR. FAJT: 13 14 Let's walk through, then --- I want to 15 make sure I understand how all this fits together. I 16 think I just heard somebody say that the entrance to 17 the hotel is going to be off of Broad Street; is that 18 correct? 19 MR. BLATSTEIN: 20 That's correct. 21 MR. FAJT: 22 And so where is that in this diagram? 2.3 Is it this turn in ---? 2.4 MR. BLATSTEIN: 25 In the diagram this is Broad Street

```
115
   here, that will be the entrance to the hotel.
1
2
                  MR. FAJT:
3
                  I see that. And where is the --- does
4
   it go underneath, or how are people ---?
5
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
6
                  No, you --- it's the existing entrance
   that you, if you have been by the property, you've
   seen it. So, the main entrance to the building or
   where the Inquirer was.
10
                  MR. FAJT:
11
                  And so, are they going to park on the
   street when somebody comes to check into the hotel, or
12
13
   how's that going to work?
14
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
15
                  They'll either park behind it here or be
16
   valet'ed. There's self-park behind here ---
17
                  MR. FAJT:
                  Okay.
18
19
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
20
                  --- or valet.
21
                  MR. FAJT:
22
                  But if somebody's coming into the hotel,
23
   dropping off their bags, their luggage and all of that
   stuff, what are they going to do? Are they going
24
25
   to ---?
```

```
116
1
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
2
                  There will be layby as commonly --- as
3
   commonly developed at hotels. A layby or an
   indentation at the sidewalk for cars to pull in and
4
5
   drop off, and then either be valet'ed or move
6
   themselves to a self-park behind it.
7
                  MR. FAJT:
8
                  And do you need City approval to do
9
   that?
10
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
11
                  Yes.
12
                  MR. FAJT:
13
                  Okay. All right. So, I get the tower.
14
    You list the --- you use an unusual term. It's 125
15
   key hotel. Is that the same as rooms?
16
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
17
                  Boutique. 125 ---.
18
                  MR. FAJT:
19
                  I thought our paper said --- used the
20
   term key.
21
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
22
                  Key. Key is ---.
2.3
                  MS. MCDOWELL:
2.4
                  Key.
25
                  MR. FAJT:
```

	117
1	Is that the same as room? 125 rooms.
2	MR. BLATSTEIN:
3	That's right.
4	MS. MCDOWELL:
5	Same as room.
6	MR. BLATSTEIN:
7	Same as room.
8	MR. FAJT:
9	Okay.
10	MR. BLATSTEIN:
11	It's the notice keys.
12	MR. FAJT:
13	All right. So, let's go over onto
14	Callowhill, then. Right behind the tower, I think you
15	just said, the first couple of floors there are
16	parking; is that correct?
17	MR. BLATSTEIN:
18	Yes.
19	MR. FAJT:
20	Okay. What is right above the parking?
21	MR. BLATSTEIN:
22	Right above the parking is the casino
23	floor.
24	MR. FAJT:
25	And the casino, it looks like, what,

```
118
   there's three or four floors of parking, and then the
1
2
   casino is on the top? Am I reading that right?
3
   where's ---?
 4
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
5
                  No, the first --- the first floor and
6
   the mezzanine ---.
                  MR. FAJT:
                  First floor is going to be the casino.
8
9
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
10
                  The first floor is going to be used as
11
   parking. The second floor is going to be a contiquous
   floor going up to the tower to 17th Street, is the
12
   casino floor. The casino's on one floor.
13
14
                  MR. FAJT:
15
                  Okay. And what is on the top floor,
16
   then, with all the lights?
17
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
18
                  That's the rooftop village.
19
                  MR. FAJT:
20
                  Okay.
21
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
22
                  That's where you'll have your small
23
   cafes, your shops, your entertainment, the nightclub,
   the concert hall, and such.
24
25
                  MR. FAJT:
```

Okay. And going down the Callowhill, then, there looks like there's an indentation halfway down the block. What is --- what is that?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Well, that's the main entrance, if you're walking to The Provence. And it's also the entrance to the conservatory.

MR. FAJT:

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

So, will you have a porte-cochere? I thought in the proposals or one of the pictures, it looked like you had a --- a quasi porte-cochere on Callowhill Street. Is that ---?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

No. There's a porte-cochere, but it's all up 16th Street. You make a right on 16th Street.

16th Street goes north. And then, go right into the porte-cochere. So, it's covered.

MR. FAJT:

Okay. And above the second half of that block, that Callowhill block, is that also going to be the nightclubs, pool, et cetera? They're going to be up there?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

The rooftop village is up there, with the shops and the cafes. And the pool is on the very

top of the roof, which is at 110 feet in the air.

MR. FAJT:

2.3

Okay. And will visitors to the rooftop amenities and the pool, will they have a separate entrance, as opposed to going through the casino? Or will they go through the casino to get to that top floor?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

That's a good question. No, they do not have to walk through the casino to get to the rooftop village.

MR. FAJT:

Okay. This is going to be partially for, I think, BIE or maybe even Susan Hensel. There was a discussion in some of our materials about a temporary facility. I did hear Mr. Blatstein say that that was not in the cards. This is a one-phase to be done. And that there is no temporary facility. Am I correct in that? And there was some discussion in our materials for a temporary facility. And that's the only reason I bring that up.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Dale Miller, M-I-L-L-E-R, Deputy Chief Enforcement Counsel. Mr. Fajt, in our investigation, that stuck out at us too. And we asked Mr. Blatstein

--- I believe we asked him under oath, when he took is deposition, about that. He clearly stated at that point --- and this was after we had raised this with Counsel. He clearly stated at that point that that was a thought that they had, in case the Board may have wanted them to get up and running quickly. They dismissed that at some point. And at the time we were conducting our investigation, that was off the table. They stated to us numerous times that was the case, and I believe once under oath as they're doing today. So, we have no qualms about their testimony that it's one and done.

MR. FAJT:

1.3

Okay. Thank you. Back to the chart --and I apologize for jumping around, Mr. Blatstein. In
the front of the porte-cochere, there looks like
there's a fountain, some greenery. What's there now?
Are you putting that in? Do you own that property?
And it looks like those are the Vine Street ramps that
are coming up there, or is that something that you're
adding also?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Well, that land is part of the ramp system. And we approached PennDOT. We have been --- we had several meetings with PennDOT. And I asked

```
122
   them if I could landscape the area. And they said,
1
2
   sure. You need a vegetative permit. It's the
3
   entrance to Philadelphia. It's a way to just beautify
4
   that whole entranceway at no cost to the taxpayers of
5
   Pennsylvania.
6
                  MR. FAJT:
7
                  Okay. So, you are committing to do that
8
   if you get the license?
9
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
10
                  Yes.
11
                  MR. FAJT:
12
                  Okay. I also noted in the materials ---
13
   and this is a question, I quess, for Isle of Capri and
14
   you, Mr. Blatstein. But, in our materials, it said
15
   that Isle of Capri must confer with Tower regarding
16
   the hiring of key or high-level employees. Are you
17
   familiar with that?
18
                  MS. MCDOWELL:
19
                  Uh-huh (yes).
20
                  MR. FAJT:
21
                  Okay. What does that mean, confer?
22
   Does that mean there's a veto power by Tower, or that
23
   you just have to tell them that you're hiring so-and-
2.4
   so?
25
                  MS. MCDOWELL:
```

```
We look at this as a very collaborative
1
2
   relationship. And we want to make sure, particularly
3
   when you're hiring the top executives for the
   facility, starting with the general manager, that we
4
5
   are in complete agreement that this is the
6
   professional that we want to move property forward.
                  When you move down the scale, and you're
8
   talking about hiring department heads, and you're
   talking about the rest of the workers, that's
10
   something that we would be entirely responsible for.
11
   But we want to make sure that we're all on the same
12
   page, as far as the senior management of the property.
                  MR. FAJT:
1.3
14
                  And what happens if you're not on the
15
   same page?
16
                  MS. MCDOWELL:
17
                  Oh, I'm sure we will get there.
18
                  MR. FAJT:
19
                  And so, again ---.
20
                  MS. MCDOWELL:
21
                  And we both want the same thing for this
22
   property.
2.3
                  MR. FAJT:
2.4
                  I understand.
25
                  MS. MCDOWELL:
```

And the nice thing about this is, 1 2 because this is a unique urban destination, you know, 3 for the ---. It's unlike anything that's ever been built before. We're already getting contacted by 4 people that are saying we would like to be part of 5 this. So, the nice thing is, is that we are going to 6 be able to pick from the cream of the crop of the gaming industry, not only in the United States, but literally all over the world, who would want to come 10 and run this property. 11 So, I don't think that there would be a 12 significant disagreement. I think that the tougher 13 situation's going to be, you know, picking, amongst 14 all of these qualified professionals, who's going to 15 run the property. 16 MR. FAJT: 17 But who ultimately has the authority to 18 make that decision, you or them? 19 MS. MCDOWELL: 20 I believe it would be collaborative. 21 MR. FAJT: 22 Okay. Question for, I guess, Rich 23 O'Neil and maybe, Cyrus, your folks can answer this.

you'll get to this, you know, when Rich testifies as

The \$700 million price tag, are --- and I'm sure

24

```
you did in our first hearing. But are you comfortable
1
2
   with their ability to raise $700 million from the get-
3
   go? Or, is that going to be a --- an amount that, you
   know, is expended obviously over 19 months? We heard
   Mr. Keating say the contract --- the construction's
5
6
   going to take a year and a half or so. Are we
   comfortable that they're going to be able to raise the
   $700 million, knowing that Mr. Blatstein's putting in
   $100 of the land and some other personal money? Are
10
   you comfortable with his ability to raise the rest?
11
                  ATTORNEY PITRE:
12
                  Yeah. It appears, roughly, that ---.
1.3
                  MR. FAJT:
14
                  Could you turn the mic on, Cyrus?
15
   sorry.
16
                  ATTORNEY PITRE:
17
                  Oh, I'm sorry. Can you hear me now?
18
                  MR. FAJT:
19
                  Yeah.
20
                  ATTORNEY PITRE:
21
                  Okay. It appears they'll have to raise
   roughly $600 million. That money has been accounted
22
2.3
   for with --- in dealing with the information we
   received from their lenders, their proposed lenders.
2.4
25
   Obviously, depending upon the financing package that
```

1 he chooses, there may be some additional licensing

2 ramifications with two of those funds. But he's

3 basically provided the information that we needed to

4 | feel comfortable with his ability to raise that \$600

5 million.

6

12

19

MR. FAJT:

7 Okay. Sticking with you, Cyrus, to

8 | follow up on Commissioner Woods's question about Mr.

9 Vogel's investment, do you have any concerns about his

10 investment of \$10 million for a 0.5 percent interest

11 in this facility?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

We don't have any concern. Obviously,

14 | it's a business decision that Mr. Blatstein has made.

15 The agreement was made between two parties. It's a

16 legitimate agreement. As a result, it's no concern of

17 ours, other than Mr. Vogel appears to be getting a

18 pretty good deal.

MR. FAJT:

Okay. Thank you. Last question. Mr.

21 Blatstein, I noted that your revenue estimates are

22 based on 3,000 slot machines. You know, you've

23 studied the Pennsylvania gaming market, as well as we

24 have. That just seems, to me, to be a high number to

25 come out of the gate with. And, you know, any

thoughts on that? I mean, there ---. We may have one or two other facilities in Pennsylvania, maybe have three, that have over 3,000. And they've been, you know, up and running now for, you know, six years.

Some started off with 3,000, and then went down, and now came back up to 3,000. Again, that just seems like a --- a lot of slot machines to come out of the gate with. There's obviously an expense with those slot machines. Any thoughts on how you picked that

MR. BLATSTEIN:

The largest floor is Parx.

MR. FAJT:

Right.

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

number?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

They've got 160,000 square feet. And after that it's Sands Bethlehem. They have about 139,000 square feet. I believe strongly that the bulk of the market's being missed and ---. And that we, as Paul Steelman stated, we're going to stratify the casino. It's just not going to be one big room with the same kind of product in there. There's going to be separate areas there. And I believe very strongly that this will be an extremely popular destination. And offering the selection of games is the same as

offering a selection of entertainment and food. 1 Ιt 2 goes hand in hand. 3

MR. FAJT:

Thank you. Mr. Chairman? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

4

5

1.3

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

6 Okay. Mr. Blatstein, it's my understanding, from the materials before us, that the management contract between Tower and Isle of Capri contains a termination clause whereby if the property 10 is sold to an unaffiliated third party in four years, 11 the management contract can be terminated. Are you 12 familiar with that, sir?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

I don't have it in front of me. 14 15 couldn't verbatim, you know?

CHAIRMAN:

Well, let me ask you, is there any plan on your part to --- if you are awarded the license by the Board, to perhaps sell the property within that period of time?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Mr. Ryan, Mr. Chairman, I don't have any hobbies. This is what I do. My wife, Jill, who's behind me, doesn't want me home during the day. I have no intention of going anywhere.

CHAIRMAN:

1.3

2.0

2.4

Okay. Thank you, sir. Any other questions from the Board? Annmarie?

MS. KAISER:

The question is probably best suited for Isle of Capri. But how do you plan to handle the issue of underage minors getting access to the casino, particularly since you also have non-gaming activities going on? It might be attractive to them. How do you keep them off the casino floor? And I know it's something casinos struggle with no matter where they are, but ---.

MS. MCDOWELL:

Uh-huh (yes). First off, we don't view this as a facility for children, and are not marketing it as such. But it is something that we take very seriously as a company. And one of the things that we've done when we've looked at the layout of The Provence is to identify the ingress and egress areas for the casino. There are ten of which we believe that we can control with eight security podiums. We train all of our security workers that are at those podiums to make certain that they, you know, understand how important this is. You know, one of the things that we do on an annual basis, just from a

compliance perspective, is, we send around monthly reports on underage, you know, access, and make sure that we're paying attention. We believe that which gets measured gets managed.

And we also, at those entrances to the casino, will be using the technology that we've used in the last two properties that we've built including Nemacolin, which is basically an electric verification of driver's licenses called Veridox, so that, when someone gives us a driver's license, we swipe it. We can tell right away whether it's false. And it reads the mag strip on the back. So, it --- we will be paying attention.

MS. KAISER:

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

That's always difficult. You have to keep up with the technology, because they certainly do as well. So, ---.

MS. MCDOWELL:

Absolutely.

MS. KAISER:

It is difficult. I recognize that.

MS. MCDOWELL:

It absolutely is. But it's something that we hold them accountable for.

MS. KAISER:

And just the other question I would have 1 2 --- and not to beat the dead horse, that we keep 3 bringing this up about the parking, but I want to make sure that I understand it clearly. I think, when I 4 5 was initially asking you the question, it was more 6 initially --- the parking garages under your control, or that would be part of the facility. You have the valet parking. And then you have the two other 9 parking garages. And then the other parking garage at 10 15th and Spring Garden. But, in addition to that, 11 when you clarified, you were indicating there's other 12 Those are shared use; correct? lots in that area. 13 They're for other purposes, but they are in the area 14 and available?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. To the west is Community College.

I am former Vice-Chairman of Community College, by the way, so I'm very familiar with the college. And there are a number of parking facilities that the college has. As you know, the college does not have heavy use at night, very little on weekends and during the summer. So, our busy times are their quietest times.

To the south of us, we have Hahnemann Hospital. Hahnemann Hospital is a --- the CEO's a

very dear friend of mine. And so, I'm very familiar

with their parking facilities also. That garage is just not used at night and weekends. There's a lot of empty parking stalls all around the area that aren't going to change, because these are institutional uses.

MS. KAISER:

2.4

And just lastly, you had indicated that
--- noted that there was a 30 percent reduction in
traffic since 1995. I can guess, but do you have some
idea of what caused that reduction in traffic?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Well, sure. I mean, unfortunately,
Philadelphia --- I don't know, in the '50s, I guess,
had two and a half million people. We're now one and
a half million people. The Inquirer itself had almost
3,000 people working there. So, we --- you know,
Philadelphia lost a lot of jobs over the years. So,
it was the loss of those jobs that created a decrease
in the volume of traffic. I guess it's 1995 when, you
know, it was 30 percent greater traffic. So, the
streets and the highway are designed for traffic.

MS. KAISER:

Okay. Thank you. I don't have any further questions.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

2.4

Okay. John?

MR. MCNALLY:

Just a question for Mr. Steelman. In response to Commissioner Fajt's question about the 3,000 slots and the revenue projections, Mr. Blatstein made reference to this casino being different because it's stratified. And, in particular, on page 27 of the presentation, we talk about a stratified casino. Could you expand upon what is meant by a stratified casino?

MR. STEELMAN:

All of our new casinos that were designed throughout the world are really designed in segments. So, one size does not fit all. And these segments --- it has been a growing field of study, based on much of our work in China and Asia, and some of the work in Europe that we've been doing, about trying to get the customer, that particular strata of customer, whether it be a, quote, unquote, high roller or there's a new category called the mass VIP gambler.

We give them exactly what they want.

So, we give an entrance in the right spot, so they have a short amount of steps. We've given them the bars, lounges, restaurants, and the things that are

- within close proximity to that particular gaming
 application. And we give them the facilities that
 they want to gamble within, meaning the space
 requirements, lighting requirements, and all those
 types of things.
- 6 To give you an idea, many of our VVIP 7 gamblers want a brighter casino. They want 700 lumens on the table. And we've proven, by raising the casino's GGR by 35 percent, that when we give 700 10 lumens on a table ---. Now, that sounds like an 11 unusual thing. But, as this field has been growing, 12 one big box filled with a bunch of slot machines, one 13 little baccarat table just doesn't work any longer. 14 Some of our high-limit slot purveys have 80 to 120 15 slot machines.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And they'll feature 35 chairs within them that a person could actually rest within the particular gaming facility. When I designed the casinos in Atlantic City, we weren't allowed to put a chair anywhere. And now, we're looking at these more club/lounge activities, because the people stratify. Our casinos are stratified to --- for different income groups. And, of course, there's always a ---. You're piquing that curiosity of that guest, who wants to aspire to the next level.

So, in fact, if you have more levels to aspire to, you have more customers, then, garnering this type of application to go on to the very, very next level. To have that next set of awards. To be comped in the next restaurant. Or to be a part of, you know, getting a show ticket to see Madonna. Not that he's going to have Madonna. But, you know, something like that. So, that's how this is being done. And we are the prime architects as well in the Macau. And Macau, it's --- that --- in Macau today, that is really how the market is working.

MS. MCDOWELL:

If I can add to that, though, that works hand in hand with the way that we market the facility. So, we stratify our marketing as well. I had talked about our robust data warehousing technology. We do exactly the same thing. So, as we are building our marketing plans and building our event calendars, we build it by segment.

So, we say, what are we going to do for our VVIP customers? What are going to do for our B level, C level, D level? And we basically build our calendars accordingly. So, a facility like this, which is so amenity-driven, is tailor made for the type of marketing that we do, that we actually can put

bodies in the building based on the time that we need 1 2 to, based on the amenities that are there. 3 MR. MCNALLY: Thank you very much. 4 5 MR. STEELMAN: 6 Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Greg? 9 MR. FAJT: 10 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. One quick 11 question. Mr. Blatstein, your projected revenues from 12 table games and slot machines, how much or what 13 percentage do you anticipate being new revenue into 14 the gaming market in Pennsylvania? And what 15 percentage is going to be cannibalized from other 16 casinos? 17 MR. BLATSTEIN: The famous Scott Fisher. 18 19 MR. FAJT: 2.0 Thank you. 2.1 MR. FISHER: 22 I don't have that report on hand but I 23 believe the number was roughly 50/50, as far as new 2.4 versus cannibalized. 25 MR. FAJT:

And did you anticipate any one casino that exists right now that would be cannibalized more than others?

MR. FISHER:

2.2

Yes. SugarHouse would be the one that was most cannibalized. I did the analysis of that and I believe that --- because I looked at just the impact on Philadelphia. And I would say roughly half of that. Because 77 percent was new to this --- would be new to this city, new revenues in the city. So, roughly 23 percent of revenues would be cannibalized. Twenty-three (23) out of the 50 would be from SugarHouse.

MR. FAJT:

Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. BLATSTEIN:

And may I add, Mr. Fajt? I have to compete in the marketplace. I don't have a monopoly. So, when I build a residential development or a commercial development, I have to go to that next level. I have to create a product that's better. So, the effect of The Provence would be that there would be tremendous more --- tremendously more economic development by the other casinos, otherwise, there's a monopoly and there's no incentive to improve. And

139 that's effectively what happened to Atlantic City. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: Anybody else? 3 David? 4 MR. WOODS: 5 Just one final question from me. 6 concern to non-gaming revenues and non-gaming employment, the people not on the gaming floor, could you quantify what percentage of revenues might be coming from the non-gaming area and the number of 10 people who'll be employed in the non-gaming areas? 11 MS. MCDOWELL: 12 It's approximately 80/20. And it would 13 be about 1,500 employees. That would be between 14 gaming, and the restaurants, and the areas that we 15 would control. And about another thousand employees 16 that would work for the various leased operations 17 through the facility. MR. WOODS: 18 19 Thank you very much. 2.0 CHAIRMAN: 2.1 Cyrus, do you have any questions? 2.2 ATTORNEY PITRE:

No, I don't have any. I don't have any questions. A point of clarification, because we were talking about the parking garages as it relates to the

2.3

24

```
Callowhill Street parking garage. That requires that
1
2
   the Community College --- the college grant or approve
3
   that material change for the bridge crossing into the
   casino. So, they're waiting for the actual plans of
4
5
   that before they will give their okay. So, there is
6
   no connection at this time to the main building. So,
   I just wanted to clarify. I just wanted to clarify
   that for the record.
9
                  MR. FAJT:
10
                  Is that the --- the 700 spots or the 900
11
   spots?
12
                  ATTORNEY PITRE:
                  What was it?
1.3
14
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
15
                  It's 820.
16
                  MR. FAJT:
17
                  800? Okay. Thank you.
18
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
19
                  Roughly.
20
                  CHAIRMAN:
2.1
                  And you expect that, Mr. Blatstein?
22
                  MR. BLATSTEIN:
2.3
                  Pardon?
2.4
                  CHAIRMAN:
25
                  You expect that to go through?
```

141 1 MR. BLATSTEIN: 2 Yes. We just haven't designed it yet. 3 CHAIRMAN: Okay. Before we get to you, I think 4 5 we'll take a 10 minute recess, so everybody can 6 stretch their legs. Thank you all. We'll be back at ten of. SHORT BREAK TAKEN 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 All right. We will continue. At this 11 time I ask the Director of Licensing's Chief 12 Enforcement Counsel to address the Board regarding the 13 Bureau's review of the application. 14 ATTORNEY PITRE: 15 Would you like me to proceed with that 16 right now? We're just trying to get back on ---. 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 I'm sorry. I can't hear what you're 19 saying, Cyrus. 20 ATTORNEY PITRE: 21 We would like to proceed with the 22 statements. And we also have the testimony of Mr. 23 Hanney who --- with regard to the traffic impact, then 2.4 we also have the Interveners to proceed.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Why don't you do what you think is best.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Yes. All right. We're ready. Just so that the Board doesn't have any further questions for the applicants, we're going to ask the Interveners to come forward, then make our presentation to the Board.

CHAIRMAN:

Now, I think, Cyrus, we'll take care of the Interveners after you finish ---

ATTORNEY PITRE:

You want it after?

CHAIRMAN:

--- your presentation, okay?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

That's fine. We will ask Mr. Hanney to

17 come forward.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

Good evening, Chairman Ryan, members of

20 the Board. I'm Cassandra Fenstermaker,

 $21 \mid F-E-N-S-T-E-R-M-A-K-E-R$. The OEC will call Francis

22 Hanney to testify.

23 |-----

24 FRANCIS HANNEY, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN,

25 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

1 |-----

- 2 A. Good afternoon. My name is Francis Hanney.
- 3 | That's H-A-N-N-E-Y. I previously gave my Curriculum
- 4 Vitae at the --- this morning's testimony. I can
- 5 repeat it if there's need.

CHAIRMAN:

- 7 I think we'll --- why don't you do so,
- 8 | sir, please?

- 9 A. Okay. I am employed at the Pennsylvania
- 10 Department of Transportation in Management Six
- 11 Headquarters, which is in King of Prussia. I have a
- 12 Master's degree in civil engineering from Philadelphia
- 13 University. I have numerous certificates in both
- 14 | management and engineering.
- 15 I've been employed with PennDOT for 17
- 16 years, the last 10 years as traffic services manager,
- 17 | the previous seven as the district permits manager.
- 18 Both positions deal with transportation issues related
- 19 to land development. Primarily, I've handled the unit
- 20 with PennDOT that reviews Highway Occupancy Permits,
- 21 or HOPs. The HOPS are required for any work within
- 22 the state highway right of way, any direct access that
- 23 is proposed as part of a land development.
- Essentially, I have been in the business
- 25 of evaluating transportation impacts from land

- 1 developments, large and small, for the last 17 years.
- 2 | I was also greatly involved in the initial round of
- 3 traffic study reviews for the period from 2007 through
- 4 2009, when the first casino licenses were being
- 5 | sought. Now, I must review all of those applicants,
- 6 as well as work with certain outside aspects after
- 7 their selection.
- 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:
- 10 Q. Thank you, Mr. Hanney. Was PennDOT asked to
- 11 review traffic studies for a Category 2 slot machine
- 12 | license process?
- 13 A. PennDOT was asked to conduct a review of the
- 14 | Category 2 traffic --- slot machine license through
- 15 our impact studies. And this request was made by the
- 16 PA Gaming Board.
- 17 Q. And was Orth-Rodgers hired on behalf of PennDOT
- 18 to review the traffic study of Tower Entertainment,
- 19 LLC?
- 20 A. Orth-Rodgers was contracted by PennDOT to conduct
- 21 | an independent review of all of the applicants'
- 22 traffic impact studies.
- 23 Q. And when was the study reviewed?
- 24 A. It was reviewed during the course of the year
- 25 2013, spring, summer, and fall.

- 1 Q. And what was your involvement with the review?
- 2 A. My involvement was as traffic manager to oversee
- 3 the review and accounting process.
- 4 Q. And were the results provided to the Pennsylvania
- 5 | Gaming Control Board?
- 6 A. Yes. The results were provided to the
- 7 | Pennsylvania Gaming Board. I reviewed them and I also
- 8 have them with me today.
- 9 Q. And at any time during your review and assessment
- 10 of the information, did anyone attempt to influence
- 11 you or coerce you to provide a favorable or
- 12 unfavorable review?
- 13 A. I was never approached in such a manner by the
- 14 applicants, their engineers, or any third parties.
- 15 Q. Were you notified by anyone of attempts to
- 16 influence or coerce Orth-Rodgers during the interview?
- 17 A. I have no knowledge of such attempts to coerce
- 18 Orth-Rodgers.
- 19 Q. Can you please present the summary of your review
- 20 regarding the traffic study?
- 21 A. Yes, I can. Okay. So, the location is 400 Board
- 22 | Street, the former Hightower building and the building
- 23 housed the Philadelphia Inquirer.

24 CHAIRMAN:

Excuse me, sir. Could you talk into the

1 mic?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A. I'm sorry. Typically, a traffic engineering
consultant is traffic planning and design. The
Applicant's traffic study has undergone several
reviews, and has been updated and improved. Our
review before the Board today is City of Philadelphia
Streets Department. The study now meets the

8 quidelines provided by the City of Philadelphia and

9 Pennsylvania Transportation for such studies.

10 Following is a summary of our findings.

The Applicant used an atypical method to produce the proposed casino drafts. In the course of their review, the Applicant provided additional supporting documentation to further clarify that knowledge. believe that was discussed at length in the previous question and answer session. The Applicant documentation was going to be acceptable. Despite the many challenges to provide improvements to off-site local area roadways, specifically the distribution of evening commuter traffic that passes through Vine Street Local at 15th Street and Broad Street intersections. The site is within the city's central business district. Therefore, it has a greater chance to generate non-vehicular trips --- or traffic at the intersection. This casino is sited in the city's

- 1 central business district. The roadway is active with
- 2 | traffic on a typical Friday and Saturday evening.
- 3 | These time periods coincide with the scheduled traffic
- 4 peaks for each Friday and Saturday.
- 5 If the Applicant is granted a license, they have
- 6 agreed, in writing, to investigate and provide
- 7 | intelligent transportation systems, or ITS, and other
- 8 offset improvements at various locations to improve
- 9 overall traffic operations. Some examples are
- 10 upgraded signing, group and mass transit facilities to
- 11 provide ITS improvements along the traffic routes to
- 12 and from the Vine Street Expressway and I-95.
- 13 Physical improvements including additional
- 14 turning lanes and traffic signal upgrade to make
- 15 possible I-87 and I-676 on and off ramp modifications.
- 16 Some of the physical improvements that are included to
- 17 the site from Callowhill Street restrict parking for a
- 18 | majority of the site group traffic flow.
- 19 Modifications to traffic signals along Vine Street
- 20 Local, Callowhill Street, Broad Street, and Spring
- 21 Garden Street.
- 22 At Callowhill Street and Broad Street,
- 23 the plan is to remove a portion of the existing
- 24 | concrete beam and construct a separate left turn lane
- 25 on northbound Broad Street. They also plan to

- 1 restrict Broad Street parking on the southbound Broad
- 2 | Street approach, in order to provide a separate right
- 3 turn lane. At Vine Street eastbound and Broad Street,
- 4 they plan on restriping the northbound Broad Street
- 5 approach to provide an exclusive right turn lane and
- 6 construct a modification to the eastbound off and on
- 7 | ramp for Vine Street Expressway.
- But all of the amount of line striping
- 9 and signing plans to better manage the traffic use,
- 10 they will be made between 15th and Broad Street. They
- 11 also plan to create pedestrian relays near the sites
- 12 to meet current PA requirements. As with all of the
- 13 sites, we are also recommending them to be posted onto
- 14 | the site approximately six months after opening, to
- 15 | analyze actual casino trips and traffic operations in
- 16 order to address any unforeseen traffic operational
- 17 | concerns.
- 18 In conclusion, if the Applicant is
- 19 granted a license, they have committed in writing to
- 20 the City of Philadelphia and the Pennsylvania
- 21 Department of Transportation to provide us with a
- 22 | traffic analysis and the design details necessary for
- 23 traffic mitigation.
- 24 BY ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:
- 25 Q. Thank you. So, Mr. Hanney, were you present for

- 1 | Tower Entertainment's testimony regarding traffic?
- 2 A. Yes, I was.
- 3 Q. And did you listen to the testimony provided by
- 4 | the consultant for Tower Entertainment?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. Was that testimony consistent with the
- 7 | independent review provided to the Board?
- $8 \mid A$. Yes, it was, to the best of my knowledge.
- 9 Q. Was there anything in that testimony that was
- 10 | invalid, erroneous, or contrary to your findings?
- 11 A. Not that I'm aware.
- 12 Q. Are you aware that Congregation of Rodeph Shalom,
- 13 Mathematics, Civics and Science Charter School, the
- 14 | Friends Select School intervened in these proceedings?
- 15 A. Yes, I am aware of them.
- 16 O. Was PennDOT also asked to conduct a review of the
- 17 | statements submitted by the Interveners?
- 18 A. Yes, we were.
- 19 Q. Did Orth-Rodgers conduct a review of the
- 20 statement?
- 21 A. Orth-Rodgers did conduct that review.
- 22 | Q. And was PennDOT involved in the review?
- 23 A. Yes, PennDOT was. I served the same role as
- 24 project manager overseeing the review and the response
- 25 comments.

1 Q. Were the results provided to the Pennsylvania

- 2 | Gaming Control Board?
- 3 A. Yes, they were.
- 4 Q. Do you have a copy of those results with you
- 5 today?
- 6 A. I do have them right here.
- $7 \mid Q$. And can you please present a summary of the
- 8 | review regarding the Interveners' statement?
- 9 A. I can. I'll try to keep this brief. Many of the
- 10 statements in the Interveners' submission to the
- 11 | Control Board were not necessarily traffic-related,
- 12 many of them had to do with security and other areas
- 13 outside of what we were charged with; okay? But we
- 14 did provide a response to the --- those areas that
- 15 were, in fact, traffic related.
- Many of the questions had to do with
- 17 | trip distribution, trip generation --- the circulation
- 18 path required to gain access to the casino, the
- 19 methodology for the transit trips, a few other things.
- 20 Some analysis of the Vine Street Expressway itself,
- 21 | with regard to merging, weaving, and diverging
- 22 movements. And parking, which, once again, is
- 23 normally a zoning issue and not something that we were
- 24 tasked with commenting on.
- 25 Q. And do you have --- is there anything further

- 1 | that you have to provide today regarding your
- 2 | independent traffic review associated with Tower
- 3 | Entertainment?
- 4 A. I do not.
- 5 Q. Thank you. Based on your review of the
- 6 information that the Interveners provided, were there
- 7 any changes made to your review that was prepared for
- 8 Tower Entertainment?
- 9 A. No. There was not.
- 10 CHAIRMAN:
- Any questions from the Board? All
- 12 right.
- MR. FAJT:
- 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I had one
- 15 quick question. Mr. Hanney, I think I heard you say
- 16 that, on the whole, you accept Tower's traffic reports
- 17 as they gave them earlier. Does that mean that you
- 18 agree or feel that their 30 percent number of patrons
- 19 coming by mass transit is an accurate statement?
- 20 A. We accepted their methodology, as it was
- 21 explained to us. And it was based on the --- a factor
- 22 being added to the findings of the Casino Task Force.
- 23 | So, they did present a logic. And we did accept that
- 24 logic. Part of our thinking was that there was a
- 25 | significant enough traffic volume, such that the scope

of improvements required to address the traffic impacts of this potential, would not be significantly changed by the factor being somewhat higher than it would be elsewhere.

MR. FAJT:

5

6

9

25

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

David?

MR. WOODS:

10 And just to follow up to that question, 11 it was PennDOT that determined the methodology was 12 okay. Was it just PennDOT or how about Orth-Rodgers, 13 were they involved in that determination or was it 14 strictly PennDOT's determination? 15 A. Orth-Rodgers was involved in the discussions of 16 the methodology. They provide expertise and technical 17 support. And PennDOT ultimately decided 18 collaboratively with Orth-Rodgers that their 19 methodology was acceptable. One more thing I might 20 add, something else that gave us the additional 21 comfort level was the fact that we were requiring an 22 after study of the traffic operations of this and all 23 the other applicants, just in case their number is 2.4 wrong.

MR. WOODS:

153 1 Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 John. 4 MR. MCNALLY: 5 With regard to the rate that was shown 6 to us, it appears that there was significant landscaping and a fountain put on the property right at the Vine Street Interchange. Did you have any discussions with The Provence with regard to 10 arrangements for construction and maintenance, and the 11 like of those improvements? 12 I, personally, have not. I do have knowledge of 13 what would be required for them to be permitted to 14 provide such amenities within that right-of-way. 15 would probably need PennDOT approval with a vegetation 16 permit that Mr. Blatstein had mentioned. But because 17 it's limited access, the highway may also be involved. 18 MR. MCNALLY: 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Any other questions from the Board? 22 Thank you, sir. 2.3 Α. Thank you.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

2.4

25

I'd ask to have Susan Hensel, the

Director of Licensing, Paul Mauro, the Director of the BIE, and Rich O'Neil, the Supervisor of Financial Investigation, to come forward. Ms. Hensel, make your statement before the Board.

MS. HENSEL:

2.4

Thank you, Cyrus. Thank you, Chairman Ryan and members of the Board. Susan Hensel, H-E-N-S-E-L, the Director of Licensing. Tower Entertainment, LLC, timely filed a Category 2 Slot Operator Application and disclosure form through the Bureau of Licensing. The Bureau of Licensing reviewed the application and worked with the Applicant to ensure the provision of all required information.

The Bureau of Licensing deemed the application complete, and transmitted it to the BIE for investigation. Upon completion of the background investigation, the Bureau of Licensing prepared a background investigation and suitability report using information and documentation received from the Applicant, the Bureau of Licensing, the OEC on behalf of the BIE, the Bureau of Gaming Operations, the Office of Diversity, the Office of Compulsive and Problem Gambling, and the Pennsylvania Departments of Revenue and Labor and Industry.

The draft stipulated report was provided

to the Applicant to identify material errors and omissions, if any. And the report --- the final report was provided to the Applicant and the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. Based on the application process, the Bureau of Licensing is not, at this time, aware of any issues that would preclude the approval of a Category 2 Slot Machine License for

8 Tower Entertainment, LLC.

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Next, we'll be hearing from Richard
11 O'Neil.

MR. O'NEIL:

Rich O'Neil, O-N-E-I-L. Chairman Ryan and Commissioners, in preparation of the Financial Investigation Unit's report and the necessary supplemental reports, all information provided by the Applicant, its intermediaries, subsidiaries, and holding companies, and management companies were reviewed and analyzed. This included any organizational documents, operating debt management agreements, financial statements, and any other contracts or agreements.

In this case, Tower Entertainment, LLC, and its related entities provided all the authorizations, contracts, and agreements necessary to

- 1 conduct the investigation. It also provided clear and
- 2 | convincing evidence to enable the Financial
- 3 Investigation Unit to make a determination of the
- 4 | financial suitability, integrity, and responsibility
- 5 for this application for Category 2 licensure, and,
- 6 also, that the Applicant is likely to maintain
- 7 operational viability and maintain a steady level of
- 8 growth.
- 9 At this time, based upon the information
- 10 | contained in the application and other related
- 11 documents, as well as the financial suitability
- 12 | analysis performed, the Financial Investigation Unit
- 13 did not discover anything material which would
- 14 | preclude Tower Entertainment, LLC, from being
- 15 financial suitable to obtain a Category 2 License.
- 16 ATTORNEY PITRE:
- 17 Next, we'll hear from Paul Mauro.
- MR. MAURO:
- 19 Chairman Ryan and members of the Board,
- 20 Paul Mauro, M-A-U-R-O, Director of the BIE. The
- 21 Bureau of Licensing transmitted a Category 2
- 22 Application from Tower Entertainment, LLC, which
- 23 included, but was not limited to, any and all
- 24 associated forms, releases, and disclosures, to the
- 25 BIE for the initiation and completion of the

1 background investigation, as contemplated by the Act.

2 The BIE's background investigation into 3 Tower Entertainment consisted of, but was not limited to, an investigation into the criminal history, the 4 5 credit history, the litigation history, including civil judgments and bankruptcies, the business' 6 history, the federal tax history, and, if applicable, the Commonwealth and its political subdivision tax history. And the gaming regulatory history in the 9 10 Commonwealth and/or other gaming jurisdictions for the Applicant, including any vending fees and management 11 12 contracts.

Additionally, the Bureau's background investigation of each principal associated with Tower Entertainment included information pertaining to the family habits, character, reputation, criminal history background, credit history, business activities, and history --- litigation history, including civil judgments and bankruptcies, financial affairs, federal tax history, and business professional and associates, covering at least a ten year period preceding the filing of the application.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, if applicable, information pertaining to the Commonwealth and its political subdivision tax history, and any applicable gaming

regulatory history in the Commonwealth, or in any other legalized gaming jurisdiction, was investigated and reported. The results of the Bureau's background investigation were placed in the Bureau's reports of investigation and forwarded, along with the Bureau's financial investigations report, to the OEC for the preparation of the final background investigation report.

As a result, based upon information obtained through the background investigation process, the BIE is not aware of any reasons, at this time, that would preclude the suitability of the Category 2 Applicant, Tower Entertainment, LLC.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The OEC has been fully engaged with the applicants and Board staff throughout the process; the OEC in conjunction with the BIE and its Financial Investigation Unit throughout the background investigation process.

Once the Bureau completed its report of investigation and its financial investigations reports, those reports were transmitted to the OEC for completion of the final background investigation reports for each applicant, affiliate, principal, and management of company as contemplated by the Act. The

OEC drafted and prepared each associated final
background investigation report and forwarded the same
to the Bureau of Licensing for inclusion in impending
Category 2 Applicant, Tower Entertainment, LLC's
suitability report which has been delivered to the

6 Board for its review and consideration.

I would like to remind representatives and principals of Tower --- Tower Entertainment, LLC, that suitability is an ongoing, rolling process. And, as a result, each applicant has a duty to maintain suitability and to provide information documentation to the Board staff as it becomes known or available. And that, as long as Tower Entertainment, LLC's applications are pending before the Board, my office will provide supplemental background investigation reports to the Board any time there is an issue that they have had to call the suitability of any applicant.

That concludes the statements of staff.

And should the Board have any questions, we're

available to answer them.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions from the Board? Okay, thank you very much. Mr. Blatstein, thank you and your colleagues.

160 1 OFF RECORD DISCUSSION 2 MR. BLATSTIEN: 3 Two and a half years came to this? 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 You are excused. 6 MR. BLATSTEIN: Thank you once again. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Next, we will have the Interveners' 10 presentation. I understand the Intervener may need a 11 few minutes to set up. 12 OFF RECORD DISCUSSION 13 CHAIRMAN: All right, ladies and gentlemen. 14 15 this time, we will move to the Interveners and their petition to the Board, the Interveners being 16 17 Congregation Rodeph Shalom, the Mathematics, Civics, 18 and Sciences Charter School, and Friends Select 19 School. Counsel, I believe, everyone in your party is 20 here; is that correct? 2.1 ATTORNEY SPECTOR: 22 Yes, we are. Thank you. 2.3 CHAIRMAN: 2.4 At this time, I would ask that all those 25 who will present testimony, please rise to be sworn

161 1 in. 2 3 WITNESSES SWORN EN MASSE 4 5 CHAIRMAN: All right. You can sit down, ladies and 6 7 gentlemen. As a preliminary matter, and before Interveners begin their presentation, I note that on January 21st, 2014, Tower Entertainment filed a 10 response to the statement of the Interveners, in which 11 Tower objects first to the statement as not being verified by either a traffic engineer or other 12 transportation professional, and requests that the 13 14 statement be precluded. 15 I note that the documents filed by the 16 Interveners does contain an affidavit of a 17 professional engineer which sets forth the basis of 18 his testimony and position in sufficient detail to 19 permit Tower to respond in its presentation. We will 20 not strike the statement, and will receive it for the 21 Board's consideration and assignment of whatever 22 weight the individual Board members believe it is due. 23 The second objection is to four 24 paragraphs in the statement, which Tower contends go 25 beyond the scope of the issues for which intervention

was granted, namely traffic and parking. While 1 paragraphs 2, 5, 9, and 29 of the statement do mention 2 3 the financial performance of Tower, the paragraphs are premised on the potential financial performance which 4 5 could flow from the traffic and parking concerns, and 6 are argumentative in nature and not factual. We will not strike those paragraphs. And we'll recognize them as the argument they are, and not as factual 8 9 assertions.

With that, we are prepared to hear from the Interveners. We would remind you, Counselor, that the Interveners should limit testimony or evidence solely to the issues of traffic and parking, as a result of this particular project.

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is

Larry Spector. That's S-P-E-C-T-O-R. I am a member

of the Law Firm of Larry Spector, PC, and the only

member. I represent, as you know, the Congregation

Rodeph Shalom ---.

CHAIRMAN:

Excuse me. Is your microphone on there,

23 sir? Okay.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.4

25

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

Can I be heard?

CHAIRMAN:

2.3

Yeah. Try to get a little closer to it, perhaps.

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

As you know, I represent Congregation Rodeph Shalom, the Friends Select School, and a charter school known as the Math, Civics, and Sciences Charter School. Just so everyone understands, we did petition to intervene, because we were concerned about the impact of the Tower proposed casino on our students and worshippers.

We demonstrated that we qualified as
Interveners because we showed that we had an interest
because of traffic issues, parking issues. And we
also raised safety issues, because we're in the same
neighborhood as this --- the proposed casino and about
30 other schools and places of worship. The Board
granted our Petition to Intervene, as we acknowledge,
giving us the right to make a presentation today
limited to traffic and parking. And we're very
grateful to the Board for granting us this
opportunity.

With us today are certain members of Rodeph Shalom and students from the charter school which I'd just like to have stand so that we

- 1 understand who they are. If you would stand for a
- 2 | moment, please? Rodeph Shalom and the children from
- 3 | the school, please rise.
- Thank you. Thank you very much. I'm
- 5 | first going to call as a witness Veronica Joyner.
- 6 -----
- 7 VERONICA JOYNER, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN,
- 8 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
- 9 | -----
- 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 12 Q. Ms. Joyner, would you simply state your full name
- 13 and spell your last name for the record?
- 14 A. Veronica Joyner, J-O-Y-N-E-R.
- 15 Q. And would you please describe for the Board what
- 16 MCSCS, as I've known to --- to abbreviate it to, is,
- 17 how many students does it have, and what's your
- 18 | relationship to the school?
- 19 A. I am the founder and the chief administrative
- 20 officer of the Mathematics, Civics, and Sciences
- 21 Charter School. It's a Charter School that's located
- 22 at 447 North Broad Street. We've been there for 14
- 23 years. We have a population of 1,000 students in
- 24 grades 1 through 12.
- 25 Q. Thank you. This that we have displayed on the

- 1 | screen now is going to turn into a video, which we're
- 2 going to play. And as we play it, I'm going to ask
- 3 you, Ms. Joyner, would you please describe what you
- 4 see in terms of the parking lots that are near the
- 5 school and at the same time, you know, the site of the
- 6 casino, the proximity of your school to the casino and
- 7 so forth? This is slide three.
- 8 A. All right. This is the front of the school.
- 9 Q. At 447 North Broad Street; that's right?
- 10 A. Yes. And that's the side. There's a parking lot
- 11 | right next door.
- 12 Q. Is that a parking lot that was on the proposed
- 13 plan for off-site parking from the Tower people?
- 14 A. I believe so.
- 15 Q. Okay. What's that building there?
- 16 A. That's the School District of Philadelphia's
- 17 Administrative Office.
- 18 Q. Okay. And you see some cars parked in front
- 19 there.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 0. What are those little lots for?
- 22 A. They're used for the staff at that office, the
- 23 | Administrative Office.
- 24 Q. Okay. Now, you were sitting here a moment ago
- 25 when we showed this slide about the immediate

- 1 | neighborhood. You're familiar with this general area
- 2 | where these other schools are located. Is that fair
- 3 to say?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Okay. And I'd like to bring up slide five just
- 6 | for a second, please. This was part of the
- 7 application that Tower submitted. And it was the part
- 8 where they were supposed to list the schools and
- 9 churches and so forth that were within 1,500 feet of
- 10 the proposed site. Was your school listed on there?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Can you think of any reason why it shouldn't have
- 13 been?
- 14 A. I don't know why. We're about 140 yards from the
- 15 proposed casino, right across the street in fact.

16 ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 17 Thank you. I have no further questions
- 18 from Ms. Joyner. Given her responsibilities at the
- 19 school, I would ask, if the Board has any questions at
- 20 this time, I'd really appreciate it if they could be
- 21 asked so that she could not have to wait for the
- 22 entire presentation before she gets back to school.
- 23 Otherwise, she will stay, if necessary.
- 24 A. If needed, I will stay. It's just to get the
- 25 children back to their parents.

CHAIRMAN:

- Any questions? Okay. You're excused,
- 3 | ma'am.

- 4 A. Thank you. Thank you very much.
- 5 ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 6 Thank you. I appreciate that, Mr.
- 7 Chairman.
- eta $ar{\mathsf{A}}$. Thank you very much. Thank you for hearing us.
- 9 ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 10 Next, Colleen Puckett, who is sitting
- 11 immediate to my right, will testify very briefly.
- 12 -----
- 13 COLLEEN PUCKETT, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN,
- 14 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
- 15 -----
- 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 18 Q. Ms. Puckett, would you state your full name and
- 19 spell your last name for the record?
- 20 A. Hello, everybody. My name is Colleen Puckett,
- 21 | last name spelled P as in Paul, U-C-K-E-T-T. And I'm
- 22 the director of marketing and communications at
- 23 Friends Select School.
- 24 Q. Okay. We're going to be showing some videos and
- 25 | photographs in connection with our presentation. Ms.

- 1 | Puckett, would you please explain what you have done
- 2 | in the past few days with regard to that visual
- 3 | material?
- 4 A. Paul Snitzer and I, who is a member of Rodeph
- 5 | Shalom and one of the Interveners, we wanted to
- 6 actually experience what it would be like to drive up
- 7 to the Casino Provence site. So, I don't pretend to
- 8 be a traffic engineer at all. But we've heard a lot
- 9 about easy access on and off 676.
- 10 And even though I'm not a traffic
- 11 engineer, I'm very familiar with the intersection of
- 12 16th and Vine, because two to three times a week, I
- 13 park there. My school is located catty-cornered to
- 14 the garage, right there in the corner. So, I have
- 15 reason, two or three times a week, to exit out of that
- 16 garage onto Spring Street, painstakingly make the
- 17 | right hand turn up to 16th and Vine and then make a
- 18 | right on Vine to --- to get home.
- So, the first video I'm going to show
- 20 you, actually, is not that approach. It's the
- 21 approach if you were to take Vine Street Expressway to
- 22 Broad Street. And it illustrates how you have to
- 23 wriggle over to the three lines of traffic to make a
- 24 left onto Broad.
- 25 Q. All right. Let's back up for just a moment.

ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:

Excuse me. Mr. Chairman, we object to this testimony. We object to videos being shown that are not in the record, that were not provided to us in advance.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

I understand. Your objection is overruled.

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

10 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm just going 11 to prepare one video by referring to the slide that's 12 on the screen. And if you follow the pointer, this is 13 the Vine Street Expressway that I'm pointing to here. 14 And we're first going to show you a video of a drive 15 proceeding from the west, eastbound on the Vine Street Expressway, and getting off at the Broad Street exit. 16 17 And making the access to the casino going east to 18 Broad Street, and then ---. You've heard in the 19 testimony about proposed improvements, how one of the 20 improvements would be to build a left turn lane on 21 Broad Street to Callow Hill Street so that you could 22 access the casino by going north on Broad Street. 2.3 This would --- what we're about to show you is that 2.4 And I'm going to ask Ms. Puckett to play that route. 25 video.

A. And this was taken last Thursday, the day after the big snowstorm.

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

This is coming up on the off ramp from the eastbound Vine Street Expressway, which surfaces at 15th Street. We're going to move the cursor forward so that you will see what happens. There we go. We go through the light. And then those left turn lanes are the lanes that that car has to get into in order to make a left turn on Broad Street.

There's a one block distance between 15th Street and Broad Street to get over there to be able to get to the left turn lane. Now, there's obviously some traffic here. We're not --- the purpose of these videos, at this point, is not to show you that there's more or less traffic. That will come later, but to just show you the route. And the next thing that you'll see is, okay, when the car actually makes a left turn to go north on Broad Street, what happens.

Colleen, if you can get that forward to where that turn is being made, that'd be great, so we don't take up the Board's time. I'm sitting in the traffic. So, the car's now ready to make the left turn. We stopped at the light. Go forward a little

- 1 bit more. Okay. We're still waiting. Now, you see,
- 2 | the car's making the left turn up ahead of the car
- 3 that the camera is in. Once that left turn is made,
- 4 | ideally, one would be able to shoot straight north on
- 5 Broad Street without further delay. But you see here,
- 6 as soon as you make the left turn, there's another
- 7 traffic light.
- And there's only room for about three
- 9 cars after they make that turn, just to wait at that
- 10 traffic light in that intersection. That's why that
- 11 is so slow.

12 ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:

- Mr. Chairman, excuse me. Mr. Spector's
- 14 | not a witness. He's testifying as to what's going on
- 15 in the video. The person who took the video, if it's
- 16 a witness, should testify, not the attorney.
- 17 CHAIRMAN:
- 18 | Counsel, you have a point there. Sir,
- 19 let the witness describe what's going on at this
- 20 point.
- 21 ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 22 Very well.
- 23 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 24 | Q. Ms. Puckett, would you then ---? We're then
- 25 going to play the right-hand video. But before we do,

- 1 | we're going to show the --- we're going to show the
- 2 | route that was described earlier in the presentation
- 3 by the Applicant, which was the eastbound Expressway.
- 4 Instead of cutting --- going straight ahead and going
- 5 to Broad Street, instead making a right turn and
- 6 curling back around and heading to the casino on 16th
- 7 Street.
- 8 A. Okay. Second video. This one's much longer, but
- 9 | I'm not going to have you suffer through the whole
- 10 | video. So, again, we're coming off the same exit,
- 11 making a right on 15th Street. And the very first
- 12 | right you could make is onto Spring Street.
- 13 Q. Is Spring Street a one way or a two way street?
- 14 A. It's a two way street. There's the parking
- 15 garage on the right.
- 16 Q. And does Spring Street go through, or is it just
- 17 | a local road?
- 18 A. It just goes through between 16th and 15th.
- 19 Q. And, eventually, you would ---? Well, what did
- 20 ---? We're going to skip the rest of the video. But
- 21 | you wait at the light there, and you make a right
- 22 turn?
- 23 A. Wait. I don't want to skip the rest of the
- 24 video. Because, right here, we get caught right into
- 25 | a situation which is extremely common, which is

1 | waiting, waiting, waiting. I don't know if you can

2 | hear it, but we were --- it was so condensed that we

3 | could actually hear the radio in the car ahead of us.

4 And it was probably about ten degrees outside.

5 Okay. And you can see in the

6 | background, there's busses going by, pedestrians

7 cutting in front here to get to the garage. Let me

advance it a little. And this was taken roughly 5:20

9 p.m. on a Friday.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

2.4

25

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

I'd like the Board, even now or any time during the course of these proceedings, to turn on your Google map and see what the traffic is for the area in question. Okay. Now that we also ---. We're going to skip this for the --- for the moment. Now that we access to the site, the question is, where do we park? And we're going to take a look at slide 13.

from Tower's application. It is page 35 of its own transportation impact study that was submitted by TPD. Now, it's been acknowledged, just to recap a moment,

Members of the Board, this was a page

22 | that the Zoning Code ---.

CHAIRMAN:

Keep your voice up, sir?

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

That the Zoning Code requires four parking spaces for every five gaming positions. And I'm not going to do that arithmetic here. But I just want to spend a few moments looking at the lots that the Applicant said would be available for off-site parking. The first --- one of the lots that was referenced is the lot at 15th and Spring Garden.

ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:

Excuse me. Again, Mr. Chairman, this is all argument by Counsel. It's supposed to be a witness hearing.

CHAIRMAN:

1.3

Counselor, this will move it quicker.

He understands the schematic as well as anybody. At this point, he's discussing something that's known to the Board, known to Tower. You're not being hurt by this.

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

Now, assuming we get people who are willing to pay the \$15 or \$20 it costs for off street parking, the --- one of the lots he talked about was the 700 space lot, which does not yet exist. I think that we can stipulate that what is there now is an underground 400 space lot for the people who live in the apartment building that Mr. Blatstein referred to.

And what he's talking about is making
that from an underground 400 car lot into a garage for
700 cars, which will be both for the existing
residents and casino parkers. I think that --hopefully, that clarifies the record there. The
Applicant says, on that map, that it can get 600 and
some spaces from this lot right here by, somehow,
leasing it.

If you note, this lot is --- starts at 16th Street, and covers between 16th and 17th, between Vine and a block west. Now, we're going to go to the next slide. A few days ago, members of the Board, the owners of this lot submitted this letter to the Board, which shows that the lot is owned by the Church of the Latter Day Saints of Jesus Christ, the Mormon church, which, of course, is building the temple which exists and is now well underway in the 1700 block.

And it's obvious, if I may --- and I --- I don't know if this is testifying. But I just submit that the letter speaks for itself and shows clearly that the lot that is shown there, as to be leased for 270 cars, is not going to be made available by the Mormon church for parking. It's going to be developed with a chapel and other commercial development.

The Applicant also says that it's going to get parking from two lots on either side --- go back, please. Two lots on either side of Broad Street. There's the lot there that the cursor is on right now. I think it's for 180 cars. And that's on the left side of Broad Street, on the south side of Broad Street itself. And the lot to the right is a parking lot that he says he can park 200 cars on.

Members of the Board, I'd like to go to the next slide. And the next slide. We went to the Planning Commission just the other day. And you can see from these slides, the one before and the --- the next two or three, that that site is the subject of a development that is planned by some entities who are generally referred to as the Parkway Corporation. And they intend to develop that site commercially. They've been before the Planning Commission on it. And those lots, therefore, are not available for

ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:

Mr. Chairman, if I may, now we're hearing about what third parties allegedly intend to do or not do. I really object to all of this.

CHAIRMAN:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

offsite parking.

I understand. Your objection is noted,

1 sir.

2 ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- Now, we've also been told about the lot
- 4 that is near Community College, which Mr. Blatstein
- 5 mentions is something that he used to be on the Board
- 6 of. And, apparently, he has some sort of arrangement,
- 7 | which thinks would --- as a result of which, he thinks
- 8 that he will be able to park cars on the Community
- 9 College lot.

10 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 11 Q. Ms. Puckett, would you describe this picture,
- 12 please?

18

- 13 A. This is just a photo of the outside, the entrance
- 14 to the 17th Street parking lot.
- 15 Q. And what does the sign say?
- 16 A. If I can read it, it says Community College of
- 17 | Philadelphia Parking Only.

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 19 Okay. Now, let's go back to the parking
- 20 lot again, the parking map. And I'm going to refer,
- 21 this time, to a lot that the Applicant says can be
- 22 used on 18th Street, up here. It will be --- whoops.
- 23 Sorry. It will be available for parking.

24 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

25 Q. Now, if we go to the next slide, Ms. Puckett,

- 1 | would you describe that photograph?
- 2 A. This is a photograph that was taken off of Google
- 3 Maps. And it shows a view of the 18th Street parking
- 4 lot. And you can't read the sign, but it says, Museum
- 5 | Tower, Residents Parking Only. It's also surrounded
- 6 by other huge apartment buildings. And a sign on the
- 7 lower left says, public monthly parking available.
- 8 | Monthly parking. Not short-term parking.
- 9 Q. Thank you. Now, go please, back, again, to the
- 10 parking map. And would you place the cursor on the
- 11 lot which is adjacent to the charter school? Thank
- 12 you.

1.3

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 14 This lot, indeed, is a public parking
- 15 | lot. But if you'll note, it is the --- this was the
- 16 lot that was pictured in the first video, testified to
- 17 by Ms. Joyner. It's directly next to, on the side of
- 18 | the Charter School, where you have a thousand
- 19 children.
- Next, we were told that there would be a
- 21 | --- availability at the Hahnemann Hospital lot for 700
- 22 cars. Now, the testimony was that, at night, that lot
- 23 empties out. I submit to the Board that that's not
- 24 exactly credible. I don't know that there are many
- 25 patients that are suddenly getting out of bed at

night, nor are there medical staff that just go home and don't occupy a hospital at night, not to mention visitors, who come mostly at night.

And, finally, there --- there's ---. If we go back to the map for a moment, if you put the cursor in front of the School Administration building, which is behind and --- the site there. It's directly to the west of it --- excuse me, to the north of it from street level. You see parking for two lots, for 35 cars. Those are --- that's the lot of the Public School Administration building. I don't know that the panel wants to license a casino that has to park in the --- in the parking lot of a school.

Finally, is the question not just of what is going to be required in terms of additional off-street, off-site parking, but what the impact of the project is going to be to existing on-street parking. And if we go to the next slide, we have something which is directly taken from the Applicant's impact study, where they have to describe what the impact of the site is on existing on-street parking. And, quote, overall, the total proposed elimination of on-street parking in the vicinity of The Provence is 68 spaces.

It should be noted that TPB assumed that

each vehicle parking on-street would take up

approximately 22 feet. Obviously, if somebody wanted

to divide --- use 18 feet instead, you'd end up with

the loss of even more on-site parking spaces --- on
street parking spaces.

2.4

Now, Tower has told you that this is all going to be somehow fine. Could we bring up ---? And Mr. Blatstein referred to a community development agreement which he recently signed with certain neighborhood associations in the surrounding area.

If you go to the --- the excerpts from that very same community development agreement, there's a paragraph, Section 2A. And I know the Board has this. I'm not going to take the time to put the whole thing on the screen. But I will represent to you that this is a quote from the same community development agreement, which says that the community stakeholders, who are supposedly okay with all of this, remain concerned that there is insufficient parking, not as has been represented, that they're okay with the parking, and the Vine Street issues remain unresolved significantly, the significant open issue. Now, if we go to the next slide, it describes these Vine Street issues. Again, this is from the community development agreement. Developer ---

- 1 developer and community stakeholders acknowledge that,
- 2 even prior to the proposed development, the
- 3 | intersections at Broad and Vine, 15th and Vine, and to
- 4 | a lesser extent, 16th and Vine, have significant
- 5 difficulties, which often cause stacking and backups
- 6 over a larger area; collectively, the Vine Street
- 7 issues. The parties further agree that the City and
- 8 | Pennsylvania Department of Transportation are aware of
- 9 such issues and considering solutions, even if the
- 10 project does not happen.
- 11 Next, I'd like to call as a witness Mr.
- 12 Frank Tavani.
- 13 -----
- 14 FRANK TAVANI, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, TESTIFIED
- 15 AS FOLLOWS:
- 16 -----
- 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 18 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 19 Q. Mr. Tavani, would you state your full name for
- 20 the record and spell your last name?
- 21 A. Frank Tavani, T-A-V-A-N-I.
- 22 Q. Mr. Tavani, would you just briefly describe your
- 23 background and give the Board whatever you think
- 24 qualifies you as an expert in the field of traffic
- 25 | engineering?

```
182
       Well, certainly. I hold a Bachelor of Science in
1
2
   civil engineering. I am a registered professional
3
   engineer in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and have
   been since 1999. I also hold a Professional Traffic
4
5
   Operations Engineer Certificate. I've been employed
6
   for 20 years solely as a traffic engineer. For the
   first ten years, I was employed by Orth-Rodgers and
   Associates. Most recently, for the last ten years,
   I've been self-employed by F. Tavani and Associates,
10
   Incorporated.
11
                  ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
12
                  Thank you. I offer Mr. Tavani as an
13
   expert in the field of traffic engineering.
14
                  CHAIRMAN:
15
                  Cyrus?
16
                  ATTORNEY PITRE:
17
                  We don't have any objection.
18
                  CHAIRMAN:
19
                  All right. He will be accepted as
20
   qualified.
2.1
                  ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
2.2
                  Thank you.
2.3
                  CHAIRMAN:
2.4
                  Thank you.
25
                  ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
```

Before we get to question of Mr. Tavani,

I want to refer to certain pages in the Applicant's

materials. I'd like you to go to the slide 34,

please. And this is a slide which represents what was

---. Go back to 34, please.

If you look at the Applicant's transportation impact study on page nine, they are asked to project what projects, what developments, will take place over the next few years that will be up and running at the time the casino gets up and running, such that they have to be taken into consideration as additional traffic that will be the base upon which the extra traffic by the --- from the casino will be evaluated.

And I represent to you that there were three projects that the Applicant said had to be considered in this way. One was a 120 apartment dwelling unit known as the Granary, which any one in Philadelphia knows did just open. It's an apartment building. The other was at Broad and Spring Garden, the 204 apartment units. Those are the ones that Mr. Blatstein testified about, and are related to this 400 or 700 car garage that we've been talking about.

And, finally, the Applicant referred to the Mormon Latter Day Saints temple. May I see the

- 1 | next slide, please? We asked ourselves, what
- 2 additional project should have been included, or could
- 3 | have been included, that weren't, that would have a
- 4 | significant impact on traffic. May I have the next
- 5 | slide, please?
- There's been reference to --- by Mr.
- 7 Blatstein to the new Comcast Tower. Well, this was
- 8 the subject of quite a bit of tremendous excitement
- 9 and publicity about a week ago, when it was announced
- 10 that Comcast was going to be building a 59 story tower
- 11 at 18th and Arch. And the next slide shows an excerpt
- 12 from the Inquirer, which describes the additional jobs
- 13 and the number of people that would be employed. And
- 14 this was not included in the Applicant's material, nor
- 15 was the material updated to take it into account.
- May I have the next slide, please? We
- 17 | referred earlier to the Parkway Project. The Parkway
- 18 | Project, as described in the materials, calls for ---
- 19 I think it's 300 condominiums and some retail. That
- 20 was not included. Could we go to the next slide,
- 21 | please?
- 22 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 23 Q. Now, Mr. Tavani, a couple questions. If you're
- 24 doing a traffic study, and you want it to be up to
- 25 date, would you go to a Planning Commission to find

- 1 out whether there are any projects pending that you
- 2 | might be unaware of, that might have an impact on the
- 3 traffic study?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Now, other than --- you've been here during the
- 6 | testimony of the Applicant; correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- $8 \mid Q$. Now, other than the projects that we have
- 9 mentioned, whether or not they were included in the
- 10 Applicant's materials, that would have an impact on
- 11 the --- for the base traffic in the future, are there
- 12 any other projects or developments that would have to
- 13 be taken into consideration to arrive at that base
- 14 | figure?
- 15 | A. Any significant project that's within the
- 16 vicinity of the site should be included as another
- 17 development, including the two developments you just
- 18 mentioned, the Comcast Tower as well as the other
- 19 project.
- 20 Q. And what about the impact of the site itself, a
- 21 project which is touted as supposedly leading to great
- 22 | economic development up on North Broad Street? What
- 23 impact, if any, does that have?
- 24 A. Well, you touched on a good point. One of the
- 25 things that's been said about a casino anywhere in the

- 1 city is that it may springboard other development as
- 2 | well, in particular ---. And if it's on North Broad
- 3 Street, other developments may come forward as a
- 4 result of the synergy and excitement that the casino
- 5 | will bring. As far as I can tell, the Applicant's
- 6 traffic engineers' study did not include any estimate
- 7 for how much additional traffic that --- those
- 8 developments might generate, notwithstanding the other
- 9 two developments we've just discussed.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now, I'd like to refer you to the --- the
- 11 | slide that's on the screen. And I'm going to ask you
- 12 | to explain a term that seems to be --- that's used
- 13 there. It's called level of service. What does that
- 14 | mean, in a traffic context?
- 15 A. Level of service is, perhaps, one of the most
- 16 commonly used terms in traffic engineering. It was
- 17 designed as a way to simplify the relationship between
- 18 the quality of traffic flow and the capacity to the
- 19 layperson.
- 20 It's much like a report card grade, where you
- 21 have level of service A being the most desirable
- 22 | condition, and F representing a state where the supply
- 23 is --- is tighter than the demand, or the volume that
- 24 wishes to be processed through an intersection is
- 25 greater than the supply the intersection can afford to

1 | it.

5

- 2 Q. Okay. Now, would an F be a failing grade for an
- 3 | intersection?
- 4 A. Yes.

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 6 Okay. Now, I represent to the Board
- 7 | that this slide extracts excerpts from the
- 8 | transportation impact study that was submitted by the
- 9 Applicant.

10 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 11 Q. And could you explain the --- very quickly, what
- 12 | the chart shows?
- 13 A. Sure. This is a very small excerpt of several of
- 14 these tables. These are level of service comparison
- 15 tables. For every intersection, the 21 or 22
- 16 intersections that were studied, there are individual
- 17 approaches, northbound, eastbound, et cetera, and
- 18 | individual turning movements. Those turning movements
- 19 can have levels of service assigned to them. And
- 20 then, an overall intersection performance can also be
- 21 assessed.
- 22 So, what you see here are excerpts of those
- 23 tables. For one peak hour --- there were three peak
- 24 hours that were studied by the Applicant. This peak
- 25 | hour is the Friday p.m. peak, which is some 60 minute

1 period between 4:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m., which happens

- 2 to be right around the time that we're talking
- 3 tonight, which is very helpful, which I'll talk about
- 4 | in a minute. And there --- the table compares the
- 5 existing condition, which was started in 2012, as
- 6 | shown in the heading, the base condition, which is the
- 7 future no build.
- 8 It's projected some point in the future, taking
- 9 existing traffic, adding background traffic, or
- 10 leaving out the site. And then, the projected
- 11 condition, which takes the base and adds the site.
- 12 And then, finally, the last column, which is any
- 13 recommended improvements, if applicable, to that
- 14 | location.
- 15 Q. Now, you see that, on the Applicant's own
- 16 material on this slide, the Vine Street local
- 17 proceeding westbound at North 15th Street projected
- 18 | with --- with the build, but without improvements,
- 19 gets an F. Are you with me?
- 20 A. I think it's actually southbound, but yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. I'm looking at the upper section of the
- 22 --- of the slide.
- 23 A. Yes. It's the second row, southbound.
- 24 Q. Right. North 15th Street, going south.
- 25 | Southbound, that SB. And yet, when --- we see the

- 1 | next column, projected, with a footnote. It improves
- 2 from an F to a D. Can you explain that?
- 3 | A. That means that the improvements that were
- 4 suggested by the traffic engineer would redistribute
- 5 the level of service and improve the level of service
- 6 | for that particular movement, as well as the overall
- 7 intersection.

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 9 Okay. Now, could I see slide 41,
- 10 | please? Go to the next slide. This is taken from the
- 11 Applicant's material. This is a description of the
- 12 improvements that they say would need to take place in
- 13 order to get from an F up to a --- a passing grade.
- 14 Now, could we see the next slide, please?
- 15 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 16 | Q. This is from the Orth-Rodgers comment on the
- 17 Applicant's material regarding the improvements, the
- 18 | --- the suggested improvements. I quote, the
- 19 recommended improvements along the local streets may
- 20 | not be feasible at these locations. The
- 21 transportation impact study and responses to our last
- 22 review make recommendations for improvements to the
- 23 | intersections of North 15th and Vine Street Local, as
- 24 | well as Vine Street Local and North Broad Street.
- 25 After further review, it appears that many of

1 | those improvements already exist as operational peak

- 2 | hour conditions that have been implemented by the
- 3 | Streets Department. Others appear to be challenging
- 4 to construct or of lesser benefit. Now, have you read
- 5 | --- are you familiar with the type of roadway
- 6 improvements that have been proposed?
- 7 A. Yes.
- $\beta \mid Q$. Do you recall what they were just real quick?
- 9 A. Yes. They're actually summarized in table eight
- 10 by the Applicant's traffic engineer, page 17 of one of
- 11 the earlier traffic studies. For the most part, they
- 12 include restriping existing approaches. Not
- 13 necessarily widening, just restriping existing
- 14 approaches. Signal timing changes, and the most
- 15 | significant improvement that's offered, in my opinion,
- 16 is the creation of a northbound left turn lane on
- 17 | Broad Street and Callow Hill.
- 18 Currently, there's a median that exists there
- 19 today. For some reason, at some point in the past,
- 20 the City sought to ban that movement. And it has been
- 21 banned for some time. And the Applicant is proposing
- 22 | reinstating that movement and providing a cutout that
- 23 would accommodate about five cars in length.
- 24 Q. Okay. Now, question, sir. Do you have an
- 25 opinion, to a reasonable degree of certainty as a

- 1 traffic engineer, on the extent to which the
- 2 improvements of the type that this Applicant is
- 3 | proposing will actually mitigate any traffic problems
- 4 | caused by this casino?
- 5 A. I do.
- 6 Q. And what is your opinion?
- 7 A. Well, my opinion is that the first level of
- 8 improvements offered are --- are very marginal. And,
- 9 as pointed out by Orth-Rodgers, it appears that some
- 10 additional improvements will be needed. And the
- 11 Applicant has offered to work with the City and the
- 12 State ---- the Commonwealth, excuse me, to discover
- 13 what those improvements are and to actually put them
- 14 in place.
- Even if the Applicant spends millions of dollars
- 16 coming up with improvements that are wholly effective
- 17 | at all 21 of the intersections, the end result would
- 18 only be to move traffic a little bit further down to
- 19 the next intersection that is currently extremely
- 20 delayed and acute. And I say this both as an expert
- 21 and also as a resident of Philadelphia. And, as
- 22 | Counsel offered earlier, I invite any Board member who
- 23 has a smart phone to look, right now, on a map app and
- 24 you'll see that this is the most congested part of the
- 25 city today, at this point in time. And this is

- typical of what any Philadelphian faces going through this particular part of the city. So, unless 676 is getting widened and 76 is getting widened, any local improvements are only going to have the effect of
- getting the cars a little bit faster to the jammed
- 6 traffic conditions along the highways.
- Now, this contrasts very plainly with other parts
- 8 of the city, where you see a lot of green and a lot of
- 9 areas where traffic is flowing smoothly. Like in the
- 10 South Philadelphia area, for example. So, my opinion
- 11 is that, although well intended, any traffic
- 12 improvements that have yet to be divined aren't
- 13 necessarily going to solve the problems of the area.
- 14 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 15 Q. Thank you, sir. Would you go to slide 43,
- 16 | please? And I'd like to point what Orth-Rodgers
- 17 | eventually wrote about all this. In summarizing each
- 18 of the applications with respect to traffic, they said
- 19 | --- and I'm skipping ahead a bit --- they all
- 20 reasonably meet the required guidelines. All sites
- 21 have limited impact to traffic during the build year
- 22 and the five year after build year, except for The
- 23 Provence Casino.
- 24 Due to its location and the critical links
- 25 required to access the Vine Street Expressway, I-676,

- 1 some local streets will experience degradation in
- 2 level of service if proper --- if proper mitigation is
- 3 | not provided. However, The Provence Casino Applicant
- 4 has committed in writing, letter dated December 19th,
- 5 to address these issues.
- 6 A. In my opinion, this statement goes along very
- 7 | nicely with what I just stated to the Board. The
- 8 letter seems to point out, this applicant in
- 9 particular, among all applicants, is having unresolved
- 10 issues that may be very challenging. And although I
- 11 don't doubt the veracity of the statement by the
- 12 Applicant to work diligently to resolve these issues,
- 13 people have been working diligently for decades to
- 14 | solve cancer. And that doesn't necessarily mean the
- 15 cure is right around the corner. So, without even
- 16 knowing what the improvements are, it's really hard to
- 17 get behind the fact that these issues can be resolved.

18 ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 19 Thank you, sir. We're going to jump
- 20 ahead, because I want to make sure that we don't run
- 21 out of time. And I'm going to ---. We've heard and
- 22 the Board questioned closely the Applicant with
- 23 respect to the claim that only 30 --- that 31 percent
- 24 of the trips made to the casino would be made on foot
- 25 by pedestrians. And then, I think you recall the

1 testimony, that they assumed that, well, if you came

- 2 on foot, you came by public transit.
- 3 And all of this resulted in the
- 4 Applicant representing that only 37 percent of the
- 5 trips would be made by automobile. Now, if the Board
- 6 | will indulge me, you've got to always have a prop.
- 7 | brought my --- I brought my hat, and I brought my
- 8 rabbit. The rabbit says, only 37 percent auto,
- 9 question mark. You get back ---.
- 10 ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:
- Mr. Chairman, we object to the rabbit
- 12 testifying.
- 13 ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 14 I understand.
- 15 CHAIRMAN:
- We want to see what the rabbit's going
- 17 to say and do.
- 18 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 19 Q. Okay. Now, there's been some testimony about it,
- 20 but ---. And so, I'm going to ask you, sir, to, first
- 21 of all, focus on the slide in front of you. What is
- 22 | that slide?
- 23 A. This is table three from the Applicant's traffic
- 24 study. I believe, specifically, this was included in
- 25 Appendix D, which dealt with trip generation.

- 1 Q. And could you just give an overall explanation?
- 2 What does it represent?
- 3 A. Sure. I mean, this was discussed earlier today,
- 4 | in fact. This shows what the modal split is for
- 5 traffic at the ---.

6

CHAIRMAN:

- 7 Excuse me, sir. Can you just make sure
- 8 you keep the mic ---? Thank you very much.
- 9 A. Thank you. This shows what the modal split is
- 10 | for the proposed location, vis-à-vis Task Force
- 11 recommendations and what the Applicant discovered by
- 12 performing its own analyses at the SugarHouse Casino.
- 13 So, in broad terms, the table is really two tables.
- 14 The left side is what was identified by the Task
- 15 Force report in 2005, 2006, at some site along North
- 16 Delaware Avenue, which was entitled North Delaware
- 17 Area. And the right side is some site in Center City.
- 18 The first column under the first half shows what the
- 19 Task Force recommended as the allocation for different
- 20 types of traffic, whether it was mass transit or
- 21 public transit. The terms are interchangeable.
- 22 | Casino bus or casino shuttle, again, interchangeable,
- 23 et cetera. So, the first column --- or the second
- 24 column from the left in the table, shows what the Task
- 25 | Force recommended for Delaware Avenue.

And, of course, that was before

SugarHouse opened. So, now that SugarHouse is open,

you can perform some data collection and compare that

to what was thought to possibly occur. And the first

row shows that TPB obtained as much as 17 percent of

6 trips were based using mass transit, which was 11

percent greater than what the Task Force recommended.

apparently, is used that percentage point increase as a justification to increase what the Center City site recommendation was nine years ago. Twenty (20)

And, if I should continue, what it then did,

- 12 percent, added 11 percentage points to get 31 percent.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say, so that the higher
- 14 the percentage of public transit, the lower the
- 15 percentage of automobile travel to the site?
- 16 A. Yes. If you increase the mass transit trips,
- 17 then the number of automobile-based trips would be
- 18 reduced proportionately, typically.
- 19 Q. Could you go to slide 57, please? What is this,
- 20 Mr. Tavani?

10

- 21 A. This is a satellite view of the SugarHouse
- 22 casino, which is shown, basically, as that white,
- 23 amorphous shape in the upper right center of the
- 24 slide. It also shows the parking areas that surround
- 25 the SugarHouse, Delaware Avenue, Christopher Columbus

- 1 | Boulevard, which is right there. And what you're
- 2 pointing to right there is what's, essentially, the
- 3 | main accents --- main access or southern access, as
- 4 | it's referenced, to get into the SugarHouse Casino.
- 5 Q. Now, can we agree that the cursor is moving
- 6 around what is basically the --- the bus stop and
- 7 trolley stop site that is on the north side of
- 8 Delaware Avenue?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. And that's very close, right across the street
- 11 ---? Whoops, I'm sorry. That's right across the
- 12 street from the casino, the SugarHouse Casino;
- 13 | correct?
- 14 A. From the casino's southern driveway, yes.
- 15 Q. Right. And how does that compare to the distance
- 16 that someone has to travel from a suburban station to
- 17 | the site of the Tower project?
- 18 A. Well, this is much closer. As you heard from the
- 19 Applicant, that distance is on the order of four-
- 20 tenths of a mile or greater, depending on where you
- 21 touch down in Suburban Station. This is right on the
- 22 border of the site.
- 23 Q. Okay. Let's go back to the table three for ---
- 24 for a moment. There we go. Just take us through the
- 25 rest of the chart.

And my question to you, sir, is, was TPD 1 2 consistent in how it approached the different 3 percentages that would be used by each mode of 4 transportation? You've described how it approached 5 public transit by simply noting the difference between 6 the Task Force estimate and what it actually observed at SugarHouse, and added the difference to the Task Force estimate for a Center City site. Did it do that for the other modes of transportation? 10 No. A different rationale is assumed to be used for the different modes. So, for the casino bus or 11 12 shuttle bus, the Task Force recommended nine percent 1.3 to Delaware Avenue.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It was discovered that seven percent is what really occurred at SugarHouse, which --- which is a difference of two percentage points lower. So, using the methodology that was for public transit, one might expect that that negative two would have been applied to the eight percent for the Task Force recommendation for the Center City site. But instead of lowering it, that percentage was actually increased by over 50 percent.

Five percentage points was added to the 8 percent recommendation to yield an expectation of 13 percent patronage of the casino bus. There's actually

- 1 | a convenient footnote that's added to that five
- 2 percent number. And it's footnote three, which reads,
- 3 adjusted based on Applicant's anticipated provision of
- 4 | significant shuttle service.
- 5 Q. So, they adjusted it because the Applicant said
- 6 something to them; is that correct?
- $7 \mid A$. That seems to be what it says.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now, let's drop down. How did they arrive
- 9 at the 37 percent for auto? Take us through that.
- 10 A. Well, just going very quickly through the next
- 11 two categories, the taxi was found, much like the
- 12 casino bus, to be slightly lower at SugarHouse than
- 13 what was expected. In this case, that was not applied
- 14 as a reduction. Nor was anything added. It was just
- 15 | left as 11 percent, with no explanation given. And
- 16 pedestrian trips, the expectation was zero on Delaware
- 17 Avenue. Interestingly, it says, zero percent was
- 18 observed.
- 19 Although, there was actually something non-zero
- 20 observed, which I'll get to later. But the ---
- 21 despite that, the Center City recommendation was four
- 22 percent. That was doubled to eight percent, with the
- 23 | footnote added that there was a --- recent city
- 24 studies regarding mode share. I'm not sure, exactly,
- 25 what the study stipulated, that people were walking

- more, for whatever reason. But this was rather
 generously increased by a factor of 100 percent, or
 doubled.
- And then, footnote five, unfortunately, is cut
 off on the slide. But what it said, essentially, was,
 the determination for the automobile mode share and
 the 37 percent shown was just what was left over. So,
 if you added up the 31 percent, the 13 percent, the 11
 percent and the 8 percent, you got a total of 73 --or 63.
- And then, that's subtracted from 100 gave you 37
 percentage points, which happen to be 20 percentage
 points lower than what was recommended by the Task
 force. And the only justification given was it was
 what was left over.
- 16 Q. So, what's the ultimate purpose of the traffic study?
- A. Well, a traffic study's very simple. I mean, the
 most fundamental, you collect data. You perform
 traffic counts. And that could be counts of cars,
 buses, people. And then, you project how that grows
 in the future.
- And then, you analyze the future condition,

 determine if there's deficiencies or things that need

 to be mitigated, and then offer to mitigate them.

- 1 Q. And ---?
- 2 A. This particular study is unique or it's different
- 3 than a lot of traffic studies, in that there's this
- 4 attempt at providing these mode share splits, which
- 5 has the effect of reducing vehicle-based trips, or
- 6 automobile-based trips, taxis, by increasing,
- 7 | potentially, public transit, taxis, pedestrians,
- 8 bicycles.

11

- 9 Q. So, you mentioned that they treated the
- 10 automobile percentage just as a leftover?

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 12 Members of the Board, I --- I apologize.
- 13 There was a footnote five that's a --- that got cut
- 14 off at the bottom of this slide. And I simply want to
- 15 read it in the record, which, essentially, confirms
- 16 what the witness just said. It says, quote --- and
- 17 this is the footnote to the auto percentage. The
- 18 deduction of 20 percent, footnote five. The footnote
- 19 reads, quote, resultant change to usage based on other
- 20 modal adjustments, unquote.

21 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 22 Q. So, they started with public transit. And they
- 23 did the others. And then, you treated auto as
- 24 leftover.
- 25 My question to you, Mr. Tavani, is, is there any

reason why they couldn't have started with automobile 1 2 and seen that the locally-obtained percentage was 71 3 percent, which was 7 percentage points lower that had been projected, and simply subtracted using the same 4 5 concepts? Subtracted that seven percent from the Task Force Center City projection and then they would have 6 come up with 50 percent; correct? Is there any reason why they couldn't do that? None that I can see. So, essentially, if the 10 auto row was substituted for the first row, where public transit is, and things were shifted, as you 11 12 just stated, what was observed at SugarHouse was more 13 than seven out of every ten trips, 71 percent, were automobile based, which was somewhat lower than what 14 15 the Task Force suggested, applying that as a credit to the Center City site, which I'm not necessarily saying 16 17 I can agree with. But using that logic, then, you 18 would have had 50 percent automobile-based trips. 19 I would offer that one of the reasons, perhaps, 20 why public transit was used first and why this plus 11 21 percent was added, you heard the Applicant talk about 22 a SEPTA report that, since 1999, there's been an 23 increase in --- in SEPTA patronage. I saw the slide. 24 I couldn't read it very --- very well. And I don't 25 have the report handy. But I do know that, over the

- 1 | last 15 years, there has been ---. While there's been
- 2 | a constant population in Philadelphia as a whole,
- 3 | Center City, Philadelphia has been increasing in
- 4 population rather significantly. And part of it's due
- 5 to the ten-year tax abatement that happened to be
- 6 started right around the late '90s.
- 7 And I would submit that if SEPTA patronage has
- 8 been increasing since that time, it's probably because
- 9 traffic as a whole may be increasing, and not that
- 10 there's been a modal split or any kind of shift that
- 11 | would support this 11 percent observation.
- 12 Q. Mr. Tavani, with your explanation that the auto
- 13 percentage of 37 percent was just a big leftover, I
- 14 remove the rabbit from the hat.
- 15 CHAIRMAN:
- We're all grateful for that.
- 17 ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 20 Q. Let's go back and see how they actually got this
- 21 | locally obtained number of 17 percent.
- 22 CHAIRMAN:
- Counselor, can I ask you, how much
- 24 | longer do you think you'll be?
- 25 ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

1 I would say about 15 minutes.

CHAIRMAN:

2

5

6

9

Okay. Go ahead.

4 <u>ATTORNEY SPECTOR:</u>

That's ---.

CHAIRMAN:

Fifteen (15)?

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

Yeah. Thank you.

10 CHAIRMAN:

11 All right.

12 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 13 Q. Mr. Tavani, in the interest of time, I want to
- 14 direct your attention to the 17 percent figure in this
- 15 | --- in this slide. What is this slide?
- 16 A. This slide is the top of page 19 from a 19-page
- 17 PDF that was dated December 19th, which was TPD's
- 18 response to a letter by Orth-Rodgers regarding
- 19 additional information for trip generation.
- 20 Q. Okay. Now, would you ---? I want to circle, or
- 21 point the cursor to the board, to the numbers here for
- 22 pedestrians entering. If my eyes are serving me well,
- 23 it's 223 people entered as pedestrians and 82 left as
- 24 pedestrians. Is there anything on here that actually
- 25 | talks about public transit?

1 A. No. And I should probably explain very briefly

- 2 and quickly what this slide is once again. This is
- 3 from one peak hour. This is the peak hour on Friday
- 4 afternoon, some --- some hour between 4:00 and 6:00.
- 5 The first --- the very top table is broken up into
- 6 entering traffic on the left side, exiting traffic on
- 7 the right. And then it's broken down into five
- 8 different modes of traffic, the first column being
- 9 cars, the second being taxis. Third is shuttles.
- 10 Fourth is pedestrians. Fourth is bicycles.
- 11 This is a little bit different than the modal
- 12 spilt from table three, which did not use bicycles,
- 13 for example, and it did include public transit. This
- 14 | table doesn't include public transit as a heading
- 15 anywhere. So, that's how that's broken down.
- 16 The top half are actual trips. The middle of the
- 17 top of the slide is a --- a load factor is applied to
- 18 convert those trips to actual people or visitors. And
- 19 then the remainder of the slide are just summaries of
- 20 all the data above.
- 21 Q. Now, we --- you say that there's nothing on here,
- 22 on this --- these are the actual counts that TPD did
- 23 of people coming and going, and cars coming and going,
- 24 from the SugarHouse site. This is the locally
- 25 observed raw data; is that correct?

- 1 A. That's right.
- 2 Q. Okay. Now, if there's nothing on here about
- 3 public transportation, how did they come up with a
- 4 public transportation figure of 31 percent on their
- 5 trip allocation table?
- 6 A. Well, you may recall from table three that the
- 7 | pedestrian percentage that was shown as being locally
- 8 observed was zero. And you can see here that it's
- 9 actually 18 percent, 12 percent, 16 percent, depending
- 10 on what you're looking at.
- But it's something that's not zero. So, it
- 12 appears that any pedestrian trip was inferred to be
- 13 people who were walking into the site, coming from the
- 14 | local bus stops along Christopher Columbus Boulevard.
- 15 | And that was the basis for public transit percentages
- 16 used in table three.
- 17 Q. So, they took pedestrians and assumed that all
- 18 the pedestrians got there by public transit?
- 19 A. It appears to be that way. Table three has a
- 20 heading called Typical Distribution of Transportation
- 21 Modes, which itself is a little bit unclear, if that
- 22 was applied to all peak hours or if it was
- 23 representative of one particular peak hour. But,
- 24 essentially, the answer to your question is yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, did they --- were these the

- 1 percentages in this Friday afternoon peak hour shown
- 2 on this side, that were then plugged in to table three
- 3 | as the actual trip allocation mode percentages?
- 4 A. They seem very similar. If you look to the ---
- 5 | the middle table, that's like a half table on the left
- 6 side. You see the last row, 71 percent, 5 percent, 7
- 7 percent, 16 percent, and 1 percent. If you look back
 - at table three, you see those exact same numbers, or
- 9 something very close to it.
- 10 Q. Well, you see those exact same numbers with one
- 11 exception. The public transit, or the pedestrians, as
- 12 you've explained it, on the raw data, was 16 percent.
- 13 Now it shows up as 17 percent. Can you explain that?
- 14 A. There was one percent modal share for bicycles.
- 15 Q. So, they added bicycles to pedestrians to come up
- 16 | with public transit; is that right?
- 17 A. If you do that, all the numbers match this column
- 18 perfectly. So, that is my hypothesis.
- 19 Q. Does it make sense that someone who's riding a
- 20 bicycle to the casino gets counted as having used
- 21 public transit?
- 22 A. I can speculate that, perhaps, they were assuming
- 23 someone who rode a bicycle might ride --- ride a
- 24 bicycle to a bus stop or a train stop and then you
- 25 take the train or bus the remainder of the trip into

- 1 | the casino, I guess.
- 2 Q. Let's go, please, to slide number 54. That'd be
- 3 the one, yes. Again, in the interest of time, sir,
- 4 I'd like you to explain what this slide is and tell us
- 5 | if you think that, with the use of the circles, it
- 6 shows a clear error on the face of the document that
- 7 affects how the pedestrian percentages for these two
- 8 time slots are calculated.
- 9 A. Counsel, it might be helpful if we could go back
- 10 to the other slide, where the numbers are a little
- 11 | larger, first?
- 12 Q. Okay. There we go.
- 13 A. Thank you. So, again, looking at the cars in the
- 14 upper left corner, you see 467. If you go below that,
- 15 | you'll see 701. There appears to be a load factor
- 16 that was applied to get to that number of visitors.
- 17 And there's a footnote on this page that says,
- 18 the assumption that 1.5 people per car were in every
- 19 car present going in and out of the casino. So, 467
- 20 times 1.5 gives you 701. There's also a footnote that
- 21 says, taxis were assumed to have 2 people per vehicle.
- 22 | Seventeen (17) times 2 is 34, which, as the pointer is
- 23 showing, is right there. So, so far, the math works.
- Twelve (12) people were assumed in each shuttle.
- 25 | There were 5 shuttles in this peak hour. Five (5)

- 1 times 12 is 60. And then, finally, the footnote at
- 2 the bottom also says that a load factor of one was
- 3 applied to pedestrians and bicycles, because they
- 4 didn't assume anyone was riding on someone's
- 5 | shoulders. So, you have 223 becomes 223 in peds and 7
- 6 becomes 7 in bicycles. But I think what you were
- 7 | referring to, Counselor, ---

8 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 9 Q. Let's go back then, to the ---
- 10 A. --- is the other slide.
- 11 Q. --- the other slide. The one with the red
- 12 circles on it.
- 13 A. If you look in the other peak hours beneath, the
- 14 Friday evening and the Saturday, it appears that the
- $15 \mid 223$ and the 7 were erroneously repeated in those
- 16 middle tables. And I'm not sure exactly why. It
- 17 could have been that instead of a formula where it was
- 18 referring to the cell above, someone just hard entered
- 19 the 223 and hard entered 7.
- 20 But the numbers repeat, inexplicably, in --- in
- 21 these two tables, both on the entering column and the
- 22 exiting column. And that artificially drives up the
- 23 pedestrian percentage during each of these peak hours
- 24 to be something close to 17 percent. If you go back
- 25 and do the math properly, you get something

- 1 | significantly lower during these hours. I think it's
- 2 | 8 percent during the Friday evening and 12 percent
- 3 during the Saturday.
- 4 Q. Okay. So, just to clarify, what you --- what
- 5 you've shown here is that, somehow, the Applicant, in
- 6 presenting the raw data, was supposed to take for
- 7 | these Friday evening and Saturday evening peak hours
- 8 | the number of pedestrians and multiply them by one so
- 9 that it would show up as a total number of visitors in
- 10 the same amount as they had actually counted.
- But when they actually did it, after applying ---
- 12 multiplying it by 1, they came up with 223 in each of
- 13 those instances instead of the number that they had
- 14 actually observed. And the same thing, both entering
- 15 and leaving, and also with regard to bicycles, they
- 16 just kept plugging in what they had --- what they had
- 17 come up with in the Friday afternoon hour and applying
- 18 | it to the Friday evening and Saturday evening hour.
- 19 Could we go to the slide, please, that summarizes what
- 20 this adds up to? What is this slide, sir?
- 21 A. As it --- as it's titled, this is the corrected
- 22 data for pedestrian percentages for each of the three
- 23 peak hours studied. So, on the left side, the data
- 24 was summarized as being 16 percent, 17 percent, and 15
- 25 percent. And, as we talked about a few moments ago,

1 | those numbers were --- were essential in deriving what

- 2 | the auto percentage was, since that was just the
- 3 leftover.
- 4 So, if those numbers are something different for
- 5 each of these peak hours, then the automobile
- 6 percentage, using the logic offered, would change.
- 7 | What's shown on the right of the slide are the
- 8 corrected numbers for the two other peak hours, the 8
- 9 percent and 12 percent I had mentioned earlier. That
- 10 could have the effect, if some average was used ---
- 11 again, it's unclear --- of bumping up the auto
- 12 percentage to 43 percent.
- 13 Q. Thank you, sir. Now, let's go to the next slide.
- 14 And we only have a little bit further to go.
- The Applicant, as we established earlier, assumed
- 16 that anybody who was a pedestrian, anyone that it
- 17 | counted as a pedestrian, must have come by public
- 18 transit. Now, we can see on this slide that there ---
- 19 this slide you referred earlier to three different
- 20 directions from which you could access SugarHouse; is
- 21 | that correct, sir?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And one of those directions was from this
- 24 direction that is referred to as Penn Street. And I
- 25 think the Applicant actually did not identify the

- 1 | correct street. It's Penn Street and Ellen Street.
- 2 | They called it Penn and Luellen Street. And I'll
- 3 stand corrected if I'm wrong, but I think I'm not.
- 4 Now ---.
- 5 A. You're correct. And that intersection is the
- 6 | southernmost location, which is directly in front of
- 7 two tall residential towers.
- 8 Q. Okay. Can we go to the next slide, please, the
- 9 overhead of the SugarHouse? Now, that --- this
- 10 exhibit in the callout box here, that's pointing to
- 11 | that intersection; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Now, could you explain to me whether it makes
- 14 sense to say that anybody who came through that
- 15 intersection as a pedestrian should be counted as
- 16 having used public transit?
- 17 A. It appears very unlikely. First of all, anyone
- 18 who was on a bus along Delaware Avenue probably would
- 19 have gotten off at the main exit. That would have
- 20 resulted in a shorter walking distance to the front
- 21 door, rather than walk through that little convoluted
- 22 area near Penn and Ellen. And then walk a longer
- 23 linear distance to get to the front door. What seems
- 24 more likely is any pedestrian activity at that
- 25 entrance point was probably people who lived in that

- 1 | significant pedestrian --- or residential development
- 2 of those towers shown in the circle and, therefore,
- 3 | were not really mass transit trips. They were
- 4 actually true pedestrian trips.
- 5 Q. When you refer to these towers, what are these
- 6 towers?
- 7 A. You know, I forget the name of them.
- 8 0. The Walnut Towers?
- 9 A. Perhaps.
- 10 Q. In any event, what type of --- of towers are
- 11 | they?
- 12 A. They are very tall, very --- relatively new
- 13 residential buildings.
- 14 | Q. Residential buildings? Multi-story high-rises;
- 15 | correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Last point, sir. If we look at table four, which
- 18 | is slide --- no, 59. Try 59. Yes. Table four, sir.
- 19 This is also in the Applicant's materials.
- 20 And I just want to point out the top line. It
- 21 shows that they were projecting at the casino, from
- 22 the 3,300 slots and the 150 table games, a total of
- 23 2,505 trips of various modes. Are you with me?
- 24 A. I am.
- 25 Q. Now, do you recall ---? We can go to the ---

1 there was a slide that had footnotes in it in very

2 small print for SugarHouse. There it is. And it's

3 very hard to read.

4

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

5 But I'll point out to the Board that

6 that --- there's a footnote there that says that TPD,

7 when it was making local observations at SugarHouse,

assumed that, when it came to table games as opposed

9 to slots --- we're talking about poker tables or

10 blackjack tables. They assumed that there would be

 $11 \mid 7.5$ gaming positions per gaming table.

12 BY ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 13 Q. That's one of those footnotes; correct?
- 14 A. It is.
- 15 Q. Now, go back to table four, please. Can you tell
- 16 us ---? And you can skip the arithmetic, if need be.
- 17 But have you done any computations from which you
- 18 could determine what those calculations show for the
- 19 number of gaming positions per gaming table that TPD
- 20 was assuming had at the Tower Casino?
- 21 A. Well, certainly. Table 4 or table 4A in the
- 22 Applicant's traffic study, the very first row
- 23 summarizes the casino land use. And it shows the
- 24 variable of gaming positions, but does not really
- 25 explain exactly how many gaming positions were

1 assumed. In fact, I think it was a Board member who

- 2 asked earlier, during Applicant's presentation, how
- 3 many gaming positions were assumed. And I believe the
- 4 Applicant offered about 4,300.
- And you see that there's 3,300 slots, each slot
- 6 corresponds to one gaming position. So, that's 3,300.
- 7 You see total trips is 2,505. And then, you see 150
- 8 tables.
- 9 So, if you wanted to try and calculate how many
- 10 gaming positions per table were assumed in
- 11 | --- in this table for this summary, then you could
- 12 just do some simple math to apply the 2,505 to the
- 13 3,300 slots, the 150 tables, and solve for X, which is
- 14 the number of gaming positions. So, in doing that,
- 15 what you're left with, if you use the trip generation
- 16 rate of .623 per gaming position, which it appears is
- 17 what was suggested in Appendix D, that gives you a
- 18 ratio of 4.8 seats per table. 4.8 times 150 give you
- 19 720. 720 plus 3,300 is 4,020. 4,020 times .623 gives
- 20 you 2,504, or 2,505.
- 21 Q. Does this slide go through the calculation you
- 22 were just referring to?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Does it make sense to you, sir, that, as opposed
- 25 to observing 7 and a half gaming positions or gaming

1 tables at SugarHouse, that TPD would assume only 4.8

- 2 gaming positions per gaming table at The Provence?
- 3 A. It would only make sense if the table games were
- 4 | significantly different and much smaller.
- 5 | Q. And if you assume fewer table gaming positions
- 6 per gaming table, is it fair to say that that would
- 7 depress the number of trips and, therefore, depress
- 8 the number of cars that you're saying would hit the
- 9 streets?
- 10 A. That would be fair.

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 12 Thank you. There's no further
- 13 questions. And if the Board has any questions ---?
- 14 CHAIRMAN:
- Does the Board have any questions?
- 16 Okay. Thank you.
- 17 ATTORNEY SPECTOR:
- 18 One last thing, somebody gets the bunny.
- 19 Mr. Blatstein.
- 20 CHAIRMAN:
- 21 All right. Counselor for Tower, I think
- 22 the Board is constrained, given the amount of
- 23 information that was produced which you and Tower were
- 24 unaware of, to give you the opportunity to respond to
- 25 this in a more formal way. Understandably, both the

- 1 Intervener and Tower Entertainment have the
- 2 opportunity to file a post-hearing memorandum on or
- 3 before Monday, February 10th, 2014.
- 4 However, given the volume of the
- 5 | testimony, I think it's only fair that you and ---
- 6 you, representing Tower, have the opportunity to make
- 7 | a presentation to the Board. I'll leave that up to
- 8 you. We can squeeze it in tomorrow or Thursday.
- 9 | We'll certainly leave that up to you.

10 ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:

- 11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'd obviously
- 12 like to consult with our --- our traffic people before
- 13 | I select a time, but we appreciate the opportunity.

14 CHAIRMAN:

15 All right.

16

ATTORNEY SPECTOR:

- 17 Mr. Chairman, if I may? If it's going
- 18 to be squeezed in, I respectfully request it be
- 19 tomorrow. I have a conflict for Thursday.

20 CHAIRMAN:

- 21 I'll do what I can, but I can't promise,
- 22 | sir, okay? The reality is you produced some
- 23 testimony, slides no one was aware of and that put all
- 24 of us in a difficult position. We're now trying to
- 25 get out of that difficult position. Had all of this

```
been produced and everybody was aware of what was
1
2
   going on, we wouldn't be in this jam. That's why
3
   we're here. And the Board has an obligation to be
   fair to Tower Entertainment; okay? So, I'll do the
5
   best I can, but I can't promise you.
6
                  All right. At this point, that should
7
   end the proceedings for today. And I would ask, if
   there's a motion to adjourn?
                  MR. MOSCATO:
10
                  Motion.
11
                  MR. FAJT:
12
                  Second.
13
                  CHAIRMAN:
14
                  All in favor?
15
   ALL SAY AYE
16
                  CHAIRMAN:
17
                  All right. We're adjourned. Thank you,
18
   ladies and gentlemen.
19
20
   JANUARY 30, 2014
21
   HEARING RECONVENES
22
23
                  CHAIRMAN:
24
                  Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My
25
   name is Bill Ryan. I'm the Chairman of the
```

Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. And I will now

call to order this meeting. All seven of the Board

members are present. And also present is Jim Lincoln,

who is representing the Treasurer for the State of

Pennsylvania, Robert McCord, who is an ex-officio

member of this Board.

We are starting today, as I hope you all know by now, by hearing from Tower Entertainment in response to testimony presented by Interveners Rodeph Shalom Congregation, the --- or Congregation Rodeph Shalom, excuse me, the Mathematics, Civics, and Sciences Charter School, and Friends Select School, on Tuesday afternoon. Despite requirements of the Board, the Interveners did not provide copies of their exhibits and evidence to the Board and to Tower Entertainment in advance of their presentation.

Therefore, because Tower did not have notice of the content of the presentation, the Board is providing this opportunity for Tower to provide a response. In addition, we will ask the OEC if it has any additional comment. We anticipate this can be accomplished in about 30 to 35 minutes. At least, that's our hope. And upon completion, we will then begin the scheduled suitability hearing of Stadium Casino. So, with that, some background, Mr. Quaglia,

```
220
   I'll turn it over to you, sir.
1
2
                  ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:
3
                  Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and thank
4
   you. That's Ray Quaglia, Q-U-A-G-L-I-A, with the
5
   Ballard Spahr Law Firm. This is our plans ---.
6
                  CHAIRMAN:
7
                  Excuse me, sir. I apologize. I got
   thrown off-kilter by this, and I did not have us all
   stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.
10
   PLEGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED
11
                  CHAIRMAN:
12
                  Thank you all.
1.3
                  ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:
14
                  Thank you. It's our plan today to call
15
   a single witness in rebuttal this morning. That would
16
   be Eric Ostinchuk, O-S-T-I-N-C-H-U-K, who was
17
   qualified by this Board as an expert in traffic
18
   engineering when he testified at our hearing on
19
   Tuesday. If I could ask Mr. Ostinchuk to come to the
20
   podium? Mr. Chairman, I don't know whether we want
   him to carry over his prior his oath, or whether we
21
22
   want to re-swear him.
2.3
                  CHAIRMAN:
24
                  You're already under oath.
25
```

221 ERIC OSTINCHUK, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, 1 2 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 3 4 A. I am. 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 So, we know that. Not to worry. ATTORNEY QUAGLIA: 8 And Mr. Chairman, before I begin questioning with Mr. Ostinchuk, we did have one 10 additional exhibit to move into evidence. It's a 11 collection of five slides that we're going to be using 12 today with Mr. Ostinchuk. An electronic copy has been provided to --- to the staff. And we've shown a copy, 13 14 a hard copy, to OEC. 15 I apologize. We do not have any hard 16 copies for the Board at this time. We're going to be 17 submitting subsequent sets of hard copies for 18 everyone. But for this morning, the slides will be on 19 the screen. As we would request that they be admitted 20 as Exhibit 12. 21 (Tower Entertainment Exhibit 12 marked 22 for identification.) 2.3 CHAIRMAN: 2.4 Cyrus? 25 ATTORNEY PITRE:

1 No. We have no objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Then it will be admitted as Exhibit 12.

ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:

5 Thank you.

6 DIRECT EXAMINATION

7 BY ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:

- 8 Q. Good morning, Mr. Ostinchuk.
- 9 A. Good morning.
- 10 Q. Were you here on Tuesday when Mr. Spector
- 11 presented to the Board two handmade videos?
- 12 A. Yes.

2

4

- 13 Q. Okay. And did those videos have any bearing on
- 14 | the results of TPD's traffic impact study?
- 15 A. No, they do not.
- 16 Q. And why don't they?
- 17 A. First, they were taken on January 23rd and 24th
- 18 of this year, immediately after the record snowfall
- 19 that we had on the 21st and the 22nd. We do not
- 20 design for inclement weather. We don't ---.

21 CHAIRMAN:

- Keep your voice up, sir.
- 23 A. Certainly. We do not conduct our traffic
- 24 analysis during the rain and snow conditions. Second,
- 25 the traffic studies were not based on site

- 1 evaluations. There could have been a recent incident
- 2 causing those delays. We measure traffic over a one
- 3 hour period to determine the average impact. Third,
- 4 those videos do not account for any planned
- 5 improvements, which are designed to address those
- 6 | specific points.

7 BY ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:

- 8 Q. Thank you. With respect to parking, Mr. Spector
- 9 testified on Tuesday about the future unavailability
- 10 of the 270 space LDS Church parking lot. Were those
- 11 270 spaces included in the parking numbers to which
- 12 | you testified to the Board?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Did TPD prepare the parking overview map that Mr.
- 15 | Spector used during the Interveners' presentation?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Does that map that was shown to the Board by Mr.
- 18 | Specter reflect TPD's parking analysis?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Is there an overview map that does reflect TPD's
- 21 | analysis?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And if you would look at the map that is on the
- 24 screen right now, Mr. Ostinchuk, does this map reflect
- 25 | TPD's parking analysis?

- 1 A. Yes, it does.
- 2 Q. And does this map include the LDS Church parking
- 3 | lot?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. We also heard testimony on Tuesday that certain
- 6 other existing off-site parking lots would be
- 7 unavailable to The Provence. Do you recall that
- 8 | testimony?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is one of those lots a 700 car parking garage at
- 11 | Hahnemann Hospital?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you agree with Mr. Spector's comment that
- 14 there would not be parking at Hahnemann in the
- 15 | evenings?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. And why not?
- 18 A. TPD visited the Hahnemann lot four evenings and
- 19 weekends from January 10th to the 17th to check for
- 20 available parking. And under each of those visits, we
- 21 | noted over 200 available parking spaces.
- $22 \mid Q$. We were also told by the Interveners that two
- 23 lots on Broad Street with 380 total spaces are planned
- 24 | for development. And also, the Community College of
- 25 | Philadelphia with 560 spaces, and Museum Towers with

1 250 spaces, are allegedly restricted. Do you recall

- 2 | that testimony?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Assuming the Interveners were correct in that
- 5 testimony, would the removal of those roughly 1,200
- 6 spaces from the vicinity of The Provence change TPD's
- 7 professional opinion that there is more than
- 8 | sufficient parking for this project?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. And why not?
- 11 A. Three reasons. First, The Provence would have at
- 12 | least 2,400 dedicated parking spaces, which should be
- 13 more than sufficient to meet the anticipated need.
- 14 Second, without the 1,190 street spaces, there are
- 15 still 2,600 available off-site parking spaces within a
- 16 | five minute walk from The Provence.
- 17 If we increased our five minute walk radius by
- 18 one to two minutes, we picked up an additional 1,500
- 19 spots. So, as you can see, even though the parking
- 20 was challenged by the Interveners, there is more than
- 21 ample for the needs of the project. Further, The
- 22 Provence has been willing to increase its dedicated
- 23 parking by over 350 spaces by converting a Spring
- 24 Garden self-parking lot to valet parking.
- 25 The formula for the parking is going to be 1.5

- 1 | valet spots per one typical parking spot. It's a 50
- 2 percent difference. There are 716 self-parking spots
- 3 on the Spring Garden lot. So, the valet conversion
- 4 | will produce a net gain of 358 spaces.
- 5 | Q. Thank you. Now, did you determine that 24 ---?
- 6 How did you determine that 2,400 on-site spaces is, in
- 7 | fact, accurate for this site?
- 8 A. Aside from having the benefit of Mr. Blatstein's
- 9 vast experience with parking needs work and
- 10 development and Isle of Capri's experience with
- 11 parking needs at a gaming facility, we looked at the
- 12 applicable zoning standard and adjusted it for The
- 13 Provence's Center City location and objective needs of
- 14 traffic.
- 15 Q. Do you recall Mr. Spector's faulting TPD for not
- 16 accounting, in its 2013 traffic impact study, for the
- 17 | planned new Comcast building that was first announced
- 18 on January 15th of this year?
- 19 A. I do, yes.
- 20 Q. And this may be an obvious one. But why didn't
- 21 | TPD include the Comcast building in its traffic impact
- 22 | study?
- 23 A. Well, since the building hadn't been announced at
- 24 the time we completed our TIS, we were not aware of
- 25 | it.

- 1 Q. Now that the building has been announced, does
- 2 | that change the result of your analysis?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Okay. And why not?
- 5 A. It's an IPod building. Their employee arrival
- 6 and departures don't coincide with The Provence's peak
- 7 hours.
- 8 Q. The Board heard expert testimony on Tuesday from
- 9 a traffic engineer named Frank Tavani. To your
- 10 knowledge, did Mr. Tavani ever perform a traffic
- 11 | impact study for The Provence?
- 12 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- 13 Q. Mr. Tavani testified that TPD's planned
- 14 improvements would just push problems one block
- 15 | further away; is that correct?
- 16 A. No. I'm sorry. He --- no. The particular
- 17 improvements that Mr. Tavani referred to were early in
- 18 the process. Subsequent improvements have been
- 19 | identified with ongoing coordination with PennDOT to
- 20 open existing points, specifically Broad and East
- 21 Vine, and the Vine Street Expressway. These
- 22 improvements will remove problems, not relocate them.
- 23 Q. Mr. Spector devoted a lot of time to the trip
- 24 generation data that TPD reported for trip amounts.
- 25 | In an effort to spend somewhat less time responding,

- 1 | would you first briefly explain to the Board how, if
- 2 at all, you derived public transit projections from
- 3 the number of pedestrians that TPD counted for trip
- 4 amounts?
- 5 A. Certainly. We have stations at the entrances and
- 6 exits to SugarHouse to count the number of people
- 7 entering and exiting and the means by which they
- 8 arrive at the parking. Public transit does not enter
- 9 into the casino grounds, so we cannot count any of
- 10 them coming that are going on public transit.
- 11 We did, however, count the amount of people
- 12 | walking in and out of the site. Since everyone who
- 13 came went by public transit would be on foot when they
- 14 entered or left the site, we arrive at public transit
- 15 | figures based, in part, on the number of pedestrians
- 16 | we counted. Regardless of whether they walked all the
- 17 | way from SugarHouse or just from the nearest stop,
- 18 these people did not drive.
- 19 Q. Mr. Tavani identified what appeared --- or
- 20 indicated on the slide. Mr. Tavani indicated what
- 21 appeared to be certain numerical errors concerning
- 22 | pedestrians in a TPD spreadsheet entitled Traffic
- 23 Data, Friday and Saturday evening, Peak Hours at
- 24 | SugarHouse. Can you explain for the Board what
- 25 | happened with those numbers?

- 1 A. Yes. We have previously agreed that the second
- 2 | spreadsheet concerning the SugarHouse, which was
- 3 entitled Traffic Data on Friday in the Peak Hour. The
- 4 numbers on that spreadsheet are correct.
- 5 Unfortunately, when we created our spreadsheet for
- 6 Friday and Saturday evening peaks, we inadvertently
- 7 carried over certain pedestrian counts from the Friday
- 8 evening spreadsheet. While the numbers were correct
- 9 for Friday afternoon, they were higher than the actual
- 10 pedestrian count for Friday and Saturday evenings.
- 11 Q. Okay. Did that error affect the reported number
- 12 of arrivals by vehicle or automobile?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Have you now re-run the Friday and Saturday
- 15 evening spreadsheet and corrected those?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Is this the corrected spreadsheet that's now on
- 18 | the screen?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you recall Mr. Tavani's testimony that TPD has
- 21 estimated that 43 percent of patrons would arrive by
- 22 | automobile instead of the 37 percent that the TPD
- 23 impact said?
- 24 A. Yes, I do recall.
- 25 Q. Does increasing the run of the trip generation

1 | number from 37 percent to 43 percent, as Mr. Tavani

- 2 | suggested, change, in any material respect, your prior
- 3 testimony to this Board with respect to vehicular
- 4 traffic?
- 5 A. No. It had an impact, just a minimal one.
- 6 Although the percentage of vehicles relative to total
- 7 trips increased, the total number of vehicles
- 8 projected stays essentially the same. In other words,
- 9 reducing the pedestrian count does not necessarily
- 10 mean an increased vehicle count. It just reduces the
- 11 total number of trips to the casino.
- 12 For example, Friday night when we reran our
- 13 model, we saw the corrected numbers as indicated on
- 14 the screen. We counted a total number of vehicle
- 15 trips increased slightly from 906 to 918. This
- 16 translates to just one additional car every five
- 17 minutes.
- 18 CHAIRMAN:
- 19 Excuse me sir. One additional car ---?
- 20 A. Every five minutes.
- 21 BY ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:
- 22 Q. Do you recall Mr. Tavani's testimony that TPD's
- 23 footnote that one table game equates to 7.5 gaming
- 24 positions is inconsistent with this trip generation
- 25 | summary for The Provence? That the table will impact

- 1 | --- have an impact on the study?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Was Mr. Tavani correct that TPD in fact used a
- 4 ratio of 4.8 gaming positions for table games for The
- 5 Provence?
- 6 A. No. We used a slightly different ratio of 7
- 7 positions per table, as contrast with the 7.5 figure
- 8 | that were used for the SugarHouse. But that was based
- 9 upon differences in the number and quality of games
- 10 between the two facilities. In contrast, the
- 11 SugarHouse has 54 tables. The Provence will have 150
- 12 tables, including high limit tables that SugarHouse
- 13 does not have.
- 14 Mr. Tavani also skipped a step in his calculation
- 15 by failing to account for the load factor. As you
- 16 recall, that applies to certain modes of travel.
- 17 While each trip represents one arrival by a specific
- 18 mode, for example, one taxi entering into the
- 19 | facility, that taxi entry translates into multiple.
- 20 Q. I have a question, Mr. Ostimchuk. Having
- 21 considered all the evidence presented by the
- 22 | Interveners, do you stand by your professional opinion
- 23 as expressed to the Board here today that the road and
- 24 transit access to The Provence has improved and the
- 25 available parking to the facility are more than

- 1 sufficient from the perspective of traffic impact on
- 2 | the surrounding roadways and neighborhoods?
- 3 A. Yes.

5

9

10

18

4 Q. Thank you, sir.

ATTORNEY QUAGLIA:

I don't have anything further, Mr.

7 Chairman.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions from the Board? Go ahead.

MR. MCCALL:

This question's actually for Mr.

12 Blatstein. I'm just trying to recall a comment that

13 you made during your testimony about the control of

14 the lot that you though you could build a thousand car

15 | garage and wondered if that entered into any

16 discussions with these groups, if that alleviated any

17 of the concerns?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

19 Well, the condition of what I said the

20 other day was that on the land adjacent to the garage,

21 | 1500 Spring Garden, that we have proposed I will build

22 | another garage, a 1,000-car garage if necessary, which

23 | would be in addition to the 2,416 spaces that we were

24 | already committed to.

MR. MCCALL:

I'm just asking if that was discussing with the Interveners at any point and would that have alleviated their concerns?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

No, they didn't want to discuss that.

MR. MCCALL:

Thank you.

MR. MCNALLY

My question is for Mr. Ostimchuk. And I think I'll ask the same question of the Department of Transportation. The Department of Transportation testified about your reporting your numbers they said that your formula was an atypical formula as opposed to established parking lots to determine the numbers. And you had to rationalize that atypical formula with PennDOT to get them to approve of your numbers. So, what formula did you use?

And just as --- just for a point of reference, just so you --- you have to understand why I'm troubled with the way you formulized this. Your transit number is --- it started at 48 percent; it's down to 31 percent. And the closest transit station is almost a half mile away. Yesterday we heard testimony from Market 8, who has a transit station directly across the street, and it is the busiest

- transit station in the City of Philadelphia. Yet they estimate that the number of persons that will utilize transit at 20 percent. You're at 31 percent. And the number of cars that will access their facility at 56 percent. Both numbers skew dramatically to your formulization.
 - And, again, the reason why we tried is because the more you say --- the more persons that you say use transit reduces the number of cars that will impact the communities and the facility that people are going to be driving to. So, it's important for us to understand the formula that you used to arrive at your numbers.

- A. Certainly, and I'll put it into a particular context. Pardon me, but when we started out, they are our 2005 numbers developed nine years ago. Since that time, public transit use has increased and vehicle use essentially has decreased by ten percent.
- Providership has more than 25 percent and 15 percent respectively for regional railroad and use of the subway and buses.
 - There was a memorandum completed by the Philadelphia Department of Commerce that evaluated SugarHouse's traffic study, and at that time they indicated that SugarHouse had taken monocentric

approach to their planning, which was unsuitable for an urban context.

Knowing that going into it we knew we wanted to take a look at public transit that would be used for the site. So, we did conduct counts at SugarHouse to determine the public transit that they were actually using. In the planning stages they used five, six percent, in that realm, we counted 17 percent, almost three times as much public transit use. In the 2005 Task Force evaluation, they estimated 20 percent transit use.

So, the Task Force numbers for Center City at 20 percent are almost met by a site on North Delaware Avenue with certainly not as much access to public transit. So, that drew a flag in my mind that we need to take a look at the actual public transit use. People tend to say that considering the land to a conservative analysis, which is not necessarily the case when you have to consider people who take public transit are also pedestrians on those same roadways, utilizing those traffic signals. And we accounted for that in our traffic study.

To give you just a --- to break it down to the numbers that we did use, approximately four out of ten people are still driving there. That was in our

study. That's the majority of how people --- the
majority of people will still drive, four out of ten,
three out of ten will use public transit, and then the
remaining three percent will all drive by, just in

I can't speak to what Market 8 did by using, in my mind, old data, doesn't reflect the current operations and the usage and how people actually travel in Center City. But I can stand by our numbers insomuch as they provide a realistic evaluation and provide a design that coincides and provides for opportunities for public transit and pedestrians, which has been a directive of the City of Philadelphia.

MR. MCNALLY:

passing to shows, that type of thing.

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

So, you're telling me that you did not use an X factor? You used factual data and not an X factor or a multiplier against the transit number to get to your 31 percent? There was no multiplying effect?

A. There was a calculation that was --- we compared Task Force numbers of 2005. In 2005 the Task Force numbers for North Delaware Avenue ---.

MR. MCNALLY:

I understand that. I understand that,

237 but you took that X factor and multiplied it out to 1 2 get to your number; correct? 3 We did not multiply it, no. To multiply it would have been overaggressive with regard to public 5 transit. To multiply it was in the realm of 50 to 60 6 percent public transit usage. We simply looked at the difference to say, okay, they estimated six --- one per ten at North Delaware Avenue use public transit. We found it two out of ten. So, in Center City they 10 estimated four to ten public transit. We then said, all right, let's take three for ten. 11 12 MR. MCNALLY: 1.3 Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Mr. Blatstein, you indicated that you 16 have this property that you intend to develop as a 17 parking lot; is that correct, sir? MR. BLATSTEIN: 18 19 Yes.

CHAIRMAN:

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

And how long would it take, do you estimate from your experience, to build that extra parking lot?

MR. BLATSTEIN:

Six to eight weeks.

238 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 And can you tell the Board whether you 3 determined in your own mind or in consultation with your colleagues at what point you would say okay, 4 5 we're pulling the trigger, we need to build that lot? 6 MR. BLATSTEIN: 7 Well, when we need parking we will pull the trigger. We want to make it as easy as possible for our customers to come to our facility. I believe 10 2,400 of dedicated spaces for our facility, we have 11 the largest amount of parking spaces for any 12 commercial facility outside of state. So, then we added an additional 1,000 ---. 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Thank you, sir. Cyrus? 16 ATTORNEY PITRE: 17 We'd like to have Mr. Hanney testify. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Counsel, that's all. Thank you. 20 understand he may stand aside. 21 ATTORNEY PITRE: 22 Yes, Mr. Chairman, we have nothing 2.3 further. 2.4 CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Thank you. The witness has

239 already testified a number of times, so we have 1 2 already ruled that he is qualified. 3 4 FRANCIS HANNEY, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, 5 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 6 7 A. I can spell my name again. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Pardon? 10 I can spell my name again. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 You can spell your name. 13 A. It's not Hanney. It's actually Hanney (changes pronunciation). There's two Ns. 14 15 CHAIRMAN: 16 We all stand corrected. 17 $A \cdot H - A - N - N - E - Y \cdot$ 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Go ahead, Mr. Hanney. 20 It's not Hanney; it's spelled Hanney (changes 21 pronunciation). 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER: 24 Q. Mr. Hanney, were you present for testimony

presented by Congregation Rodeph Shalom, Mathematics,

- 1 | Civics and Science Charter School, and Friends Select
- 2 | School on January 28th, 2014?
- 3 A. Yes, I was present.
- 4 Q. And specifically were you present for the
- 5 testimony provided by Frank Tavani?
- 6 A. Yes, I was.
- 7 Q. And did you hear the testimony presented by Tower
- 8 | Entertainment this morning?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. Given the testimony provided by the Interveners
- 11 and by Tower Entertainment this morning, do you have
- 12 anything that you would like to add to the testimony
- 13 | regarding Tower Entertainment?
- 14 A. I would like to read a very short statement.
- 15 Okay. So, why did the review of said percentage modal
- 16 split go in the submission? For several reasons.
- 17 Number one, it's in the central business district
- 18 site, which we actually would assume would have a much
- 19 higher transit and alternate mode percentage of ---.
- 20 Through experience with SugarHouse, non-vehicular
- 21 trips being much higher than forecasted. SugarHouse
- 22 came in to us and, as you thought, I was present on
- 23 that entire process and still working on various
- 24 things. And it was a big eccentric development as
- 25 they proposed and much to everyone's pleasant

surprise, actually, we found that there are in fact much higher transit trips coming to that casino than anyone had forecasted. The third reason was the uniqueness of this site. So, many non-gaming uses, we anticipated a different type of user than just the traditional gamer coming to the site. This comes to engineering judgment and experience and that sort of thing, but I'll get to that in a minute.

The differential between the split of our arrivals between the Applicant's testimony compared to that noted by Interveners, 37 percent versus 43 percent. In terms of what it equals out that's statistically insignificant when trying to determine what exactly the needs are. Ultimately some of the vehicular trips projected versus the projections of the Interveners, they won't significantly change the results or the needs from a transit purpose. The location of those improvements nor mitigation costs.

Briefly, this means that the levels of service at the intersection in the area of the Tower site they experience challenges today and will continue to do so in the future with or without the site development.

The Applicant has committed to working with PennDOT and the City providing a planned set of improvements to address the very concerns highlighted at the

1 hearing on January 28th. We sat at meetings and

- 2 discussed these very same concerns. So, we are aware
- 3 of them. Commitment has been backed up by a series of
- 4 | financial commitment. Additionally, as noted during
- 5 my testimony, we have recommended to the Board that
- 6 the Applicant conduct additional operational studies
- 7 six months after opening to address unforeseen traffic
- 8 operational issues.
- 9 And finally, I would like to point out to the
- 10 Board that this applicant has committed to fronting a
- 11 range from one to two percent of the project's total
- 12 value towards infrastructure and improvements to
- 13 mitigate traffic impacts associated with this
- 14 development. Doing the math for a project valued at
- 15 \$700 million, that equates to between \$7 and \$14
- 16 | million to fund traffic infrastructure improvements.
- 17 | Considering all these factors gave us the confidence
- 18 to exercise engineering judgment towards seven
- 19 findings of the Applicant's traffic study in its
- 20 current form subject to the specific Commission ---
- 21 | conditions and commitments.
- 22 Q. Mr. Hanney, you mentioned a commitment by Tower
- 23 Entertainment. Is that commitment in writing or is
- 24 that verbal?
- 25 A. That was a verbal commitment.

- 1 Q. And who made that commitment?
- 2 A. The engineer for Tower asked me the question as
- 3 to how much, what are we expecting as far as an
- 4 arrangement invested in the infrastructure. To which
- 5 | I responded, either one or two percent of the value of
- 6 the project. He told he me would check with his
- 7 client. He checked with his client and subsequently
- 8 reported back to me that his client was comfortable
- 9 with those numbers.
- 10 Q. Thank you. And you testified that you were
- 11 present at and listened to the testimony provided by
- 12 Tower Entertainment today; is that correct?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. And with the information you presented today, the
- 15 | independent review provided to the Board by Tower
- 16 | Entertainment?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. And was there anything in the testimony today
- 19 that was erroneous, invalid or contrary to your
- 20 findings?
- 21 A. Not that I'm aware.
- 22 Q. And do you have anything further that you would
- 23 like to add to the testimony ---?
- 24 A. I do not.
- 25 Q. Thank you.

	244
1	<u>CHAIRMAN:</u>
2	Any questions from the Board?
3	MR. FAJT:
4	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Blatstein,
5	basically what we just heard from Mr. Hanney is that,
6	you know, being your traffic engineer or expert that
7	you had committed to one to two percent of this
8	project for traffic improvements, I guess the missing
9	link there, will you go on the record and say you're
10	comfortable with that?
11	MR. BLATSTEIN:
12	I have no recollection of that
13	conversation. I am, yes.
14	MR. FAJT:
15	I saw the press people jump up.
16	MR. BLATSTEIN:
17	Yes.
18	MR. FAJT:
19	You are committing to that?
20	MR. BLATSTEIN:
21	Yes.
22	MR. FAJT:
23	Thank you.
24	<u>CHAIRMAN:</u>
25	Anyone else? Anything else?

	245
1	MR. BLATSTEIN:
2	It's okay with me.
3	CHAIRMAN:
4	Pardon?
5	MR. BLATSTEIN:
6	It's okay with me.
7	CHAIRMAN:
8	One second. I do want to say that this
9	does conclude the hearing of the Applicant Tower
10	Entertainment. Tower Entertainment has the
11	opportunity to file a post-hearing memorandum on or
12	before Monday, February 10th, 2014, in which it should
13	address any questions from the Board for which
14	supplemental information was requested or is required.
15	And with that, this hearing is concluded and complete.
16	* * * * *
17	HEARING CONCLUDED
18	* * * * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, hearing held before Chairman Ryan was reported by me on 1/28/2014 and 1/30/2014 and that I Sami Zeka read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Somi Zeka