

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * *

BEFORE: MEMBERS OF THE BOARD:

DENISE J. SMYLER, CHAIRMAN

Frank Dermody

Sean Logan

Sara Manzano-Díaz

T. Mark Mustio

Nedia Ralston (absent)

Frances J. Regan

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS/DESIGNEES IN ATTENDANCE:

Fred Strathmeyer, Jr., Designee

Department of Agriculture

Christin Heidingsfelder, Designee,

Department of Revenue

Jennifer Langan, Designee

Department of Revenue

MEETING: Wednesday, March 23, 2022

Reporter: Hannah Bartowski

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited

without authorization by the certifying agency.

LOCATION: Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board
Office of Hearings and Appeals
Strawberry Square Complex
2nd Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S

PA GAMING CONTROL BOARD EXECUTIVE STAFF WHO APPEARED
BEFORE THE BOARD:

- Danette Bixler-George, Human Resources Director
- Steve Cook, Chief Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel
- Chad Zimmermann, Deputy Chief Counsel, Office of
Chief Counsel
- Sean Hannon, Director of Licensing

Office of Enforcement Counsel - Also presenting:

- Cyrus Pitre, Chief Enforcement Counsel
- John Crohe, III, Senior Enforcement Counsel
- Kelci Scirrotto, Assistant Enforcement Counsel
- Thomas Monaghan, Assistant Enforcement Counsel

ALSO PRESENT WERE:

- David Tepper, Senior Enforcement Counsel
- Sarah Kolesar, Assistant Enforcement Counsel

A P P E A R A N C E S (cont.)

1 OTHER ATTENDEES FOR MEETING AND/OR PUBLIC HEARINGS:

2 CHR CORP. d/b/a Rutter's (Store 92) - Motion to List

3 New Video Gaming Terminal Establishment Location:

4 Sarah Dotzel, Assistant Counsel/Director of Gaming,
5 Rutter's

6 Christopher Reed, General Counsel, Rutter's

7

8 Petition to Recover Funds Confiscated While on the

9 iGaming Self-Exclusion List - #9998-2021

10 G.L.

11

12 Wind Creek Bethlehem, LLC, d/b/a Wind Creek Bethlehem

13 - Consent Agreement

14 Lynne L. Kaufman, Esquire, Cooper Levenson

15 Michael Magazzu, Esquire, Executive Director of

16 Compliance and Risk Management

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I N D E X

25

1	OPENING REMARKS	
2	By Chair Smyler	6 - 9
3	PRESENTATION	
4	By Ms. Bixler-George	9 - 12
5	By Attorney Cook	12 - 24
6	By Attorney Zimmermann	24 - 34
7	TESTIMONY	
8	By Mr. G.L.	34 - 38
9	PRESENTATION	
10	By Attorney Zimmermann	39 - 56
11	By Mr. Hannon	56 - 69
12	By Attorney Pitre	69 - 71
13	By Attorney Scirrotto	71 - 89
14	By Attorney Crohe	89 - 90
15	By Attorney Monaghan	91 - 92
16	By Attorney Pitre	92 - 93
17	By Attorney Monaghan	93 - 97
18	By Attorney Crohe	97 - 98
19	By Attorney Scirrotto	98 - 99
20	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	99 - 100

21

22 P R O C E E D I N G S

23 -----

24 CHAIR: Good morning, everyone. I'm

25 Denise Smyler, Chair of the Pennsylvania Gaming

1 Control Board. Before we begin, I'd for everyone to
2 know that masks will be optional as of today and
3 until further notice. So feel free to remove your
4 mask if you so desire. Feel free to wear them if you
5 think that they are required and necessary for your
6 own wellbeing. I would also ask that everyone please
7 silence your cell phones or electronic devices at
8 this time.

9 Commissioner Ralston is unable to
10 attend today. However, with a quorum of six Board
11 members present, I'd like to call today's meeting to
12 order. For the record, I would note that in addition
13 to the six Board Members, we also have our Ex-Officio
14 Members, Fred Strathmeyer, representing Russell
15 Redding, Secretary of the Department of Agriculture.
16 Christin Heidingsfelder, representing Daniel Hassell,
17 Secretary of the Department of Revenue and Jennifer
18 Langan, representing Stacy Garrity, State Treasurer.
19 Thank you for joining us.

20 As the first order of business, I
21 would ask everyone to please stand and join me for a
22 recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

23 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

24 CHAIR: By way of announcements, the
25 Board held an Executive Session on Friday, March

1 11th, 2022, to deliberate on matters being considered
2 today. Additionally, yesterday, Tuesday, March 22nd,
3 2022, a second Executive Session was held to discuss
4 personnel matters, pending litigation and to conduct
5 further deliberations relating to matters coming
6 before the Board today.

7 I'd also like to announce that the
8 Board has scheduled Public Input Hearings related to
9 the License Renewals for Rivers Philadelphia and
10 Valley Forge Casino Resort. The Rivers Philadelphia
11 Public Input Hearing will be held on Tuesday, April
12 26 at 3:00 p.m. at the SEPTA Offices, which are
13 located at 1234 Market Street in Philadelphia, PA.
14 The Valley Forge Casino Resort Public Input Hearing
15 is scheduled for Wednesday, April 27th at 10:30 a.m.
16 That hearing will be held at the Upper Merion
17 Township Building, which is located at 175 West
18 Valley Forge Road in King of Prussia, Pennsylvania.
19 Information about how one can register to speak at
20 these hearings or submit written comment, is
21 available on the Board's website.

22 Finally, I'd like to announce that the
23 Board has reopened the application period for local
24 law enforcement grants. These grants, which are up
25 to \$250,000 in value are targeted at law enforcement

1 agencies that will use the funds to investigate and
2 enforce laws related to illegal gambling in the
3 Commonwealth. For more information on these grants,
4 you can also go to the Board's website.

5 ---

6 (PUBLIC HEARING HELD)

7 ---

8 CHAIR: So, our first order of
9 business this morning is consideration of a motion to
10 Approve Board Minute Meetings - Meeting Transcripts
11 and Minutes, specifically, may I have a motion to
12 approve the Transcript and Minutes of the Board's
13 December 15th, 2021 meeting?

14 MS. REGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
15 Regan moves that the Board approve the Minutes and
16 Transcripts of the December 15, 2021 meeting.

17 MR. DERMODY: Commissioner Dermody
18 seconds.

19 CHAIR: All in favor?

20 AYES RESPOND

21 CHAIR: All opposed?

22 Motion is adopted.

23 Now may I have a Motion to Approve the
24 Transcript and Minutes of the Board's January 19th,
25 2022 meeting?

1 MR. DERMODY: Madam Chair,
2 Commissioner Dermody moves that the Board Approve the
3 Minutes and Transcript of the January 19th, 2022
4 meeting.

5 MR. MUSTIO: Commissioner Mustio
6 seconds.

7 CHAIR: All in favor?

8 AYES RESPOND

9 CHAIR: All opposed?

10 Motion carries. Now we will hear from
11 Danette Bixler-George, our Human Resources Director.
12 Danette?

13 MS. BIXLER-GEORGE: Good morning,
14 Chair and Board Members. The Office of Human
15 Resources has two motions for your consideration
16 today, relative to the hiring of seven individuals
17 and the ratification of hiring of two individuals
18 that were offered and accepted employment with the
19 Board between public meetings. All of the following
20 individuals have completed the PGCB interview
21 process, drug screening and background investigation.

22 Joseph Wilk has been selected as a
23 Casino Compliance Representative at Hollywood Casino
24 York and is recommended for hire by Christopher
25 Schroeder, Director of Casino Compliance.

1 Robert Bunner has been selected as a
2 Casino Compliance Representative at Live! Casino
3 Philadelphia and is recommended for hire by
4 Christopher Schroeder.

5 Scott Whiteside has been selected as
6 an Investigator in the Bureau of Investigations and
7 Enforcement (BIE), and is recommended for hire by
8 Paul Mauro, Director of Investigations and
9 Enforcement.

10 Jennifer Cogan has been selected as
11 Licensing Analyst in the Enterprise Unit in the
12 Bureau of Licensing and is recommended for hire by
13 Sean Hannon, Director of Licensing.

14 Steve Gorman has been selected as an
15 Assistant Enforcement Counsel in the Office of
16 Enforcement Counsel (OEC) and is recommended for hire
17 by Cyrus Pitre, Chief Enforcement Counsel.

18 Michelle Fogle has been selected as an
19 Assistant Enforcement Counsel in the OEC and is
20 recommended for hire by Cyrus Pitre.

21 Camryn Kelly has been selected as a
22 Compliance Examiner in the Bureau of Gaming
23 Operations and is recommended for hire by Paul Resch,
24 Director of Gaming Operations.

25 Unless you have any questions, I ask

1 that the Board consider a motion to approve the
2 hiring actions as indicated for these seven
3 individuals.

4 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
5 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

6 MR. MUSTIO: Madam Chair, Commissioner
7 Mustio moves that the Board approve the Applicant's
8 for hire as proposed by the Human Resources Director.

9 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
10 Manzano-Díaz, second.

11 CHAIR: All in favor?

12 AYES RESPOND

13 CHAIR: All opposed?

14 Motion is adopted.

15 MS. BIXLER-GEORGE: The second motion
16 seeks to ratify the hiring of Miguel Rivera and
17 Michael Alcazar as Investigators in the BIE. These
18 individuals were approved for hire between Public
19 Board meetings and by the Board to allow the
20 organization to address recent, but continuing
21 challenges associated with recruitment and hiring of
22 employees as reported to the Board through the
23 Personnel Committee and the Office of Human
24 Resources. And we seek to ensure that the Board
25 continues to address its regulatory obligations in a

1 timely manner. As such, I ask that you consider a
2 motion to ratify the hiring of Miguel Rivera and
3 Michael Alcazar.

4 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
5 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board ratify
6 the hiring of the Board's staff as described by the
7 Human Resources Director.

8 CHAIR: Before I ask for a second, are
9 there any other questions or comments from the Board?
10 Hearing none, may I have a second to
11 that Motion?

12 MR. LOGAN: Commissioner Logan,
13 seconds.

14 CHAIR: All in favor?

15 AYES RESPOND

16 CHAIR: All opposed?

17 Motion is adopted.

18 MS. BIXLER-GEORGE: Thank you.

19 CHAIR: Thank you, Danette. Next is
20 Chief Counsel, Steve Cook.

21 ATTORNEY COOK: Good morning.

22 CHAIR: Good morning.

23 ATTORNEY COOK: First before the Board
24 today for consideration are recommendations to deny
25 Video Gaming Terminal Operator License Applications

1 and related Principal License Applications brought by
2 the OEC. These matters, involving three different
3 companies were last before the Board for a
4 consolidated oral argument, which was held prior to
5 the January 19th, 2022 Board meeting.

6 Before that oral argument, each of
7 these three matters was the subject of extensive
8 fact-finding before the Board's Office of Hearings
9 and Appeals (OHA), culminating in the issuance of
10 reports by the Director of the OHA. Additionally,
11 the entire evidentiary record and all pleadings in
12 each of these three matters were provided to the
13 Board prior to the January 19th oral argument.

14 The OEC has recommended denial of
15 these applications based upon the principals of each
16 company being involved in the placement at different
17 locations in the Commonwealth of unregulated skill
18 games. And in the case of one of the Applicants,
19 other devices, which have been determined by a
20 Pennsylvania Court of Common Pleas, to be a gambling
21 device - or gambling devices.

22 With that, by way of background, the
23 first recommendation for denial pertains to Lendell
24 Gaming, LLC's Video Gaming Terminal Operator License
25 Application and the Principal Application of Richard

1 Teitelbaum. This matter is now ready for the Board's
2 consideration.

3 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
4 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

5 MR. LOGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
6 Logan moves that the Board grant the OEC's request
7 and deny the Video Gaming Terminal Operator License
8 Application of Lendell Gaming, LLC, and the related
9 Principal License Application of Richard Teitelbaum
10 for reasons that will be outlined in a forthcoming
11 adjudication.

12 MR. DERMODY: Commissioner Dermody
13 seconds.

14 CHAIR: All in favor?

15 AYES RESPOND

16 CHAIR: All opposed?

17 Motion is adopted.

18 ATTORNEY COOK: The next
19 Recommendation for Denial pertains to Better Bets
20 Ventures, LLC's Video Gaming Terminal Operator
21 License Application and the Principal Applications of
22 Michael Brozzetti and Frank Brozzetti, Jr. This
23 matter is now ripe for the Board's consideration.

24 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
25 the Board?

1 Hearing none, may I have a motion?

2 MR. DERMODY: Madam Chair,

3 Commissioner Dermody moves that the Board grant the
4 OEC's request and deny the Video Gaming Terminal
5 Operator License Application of Better Bets Ventures,
6 LLC and the related Principal License Applications of
7 Michael Brozzetti and Frank Brozzetti for reasons
8 that will be outlined in a forthcoming adjudication.

9 MS. REGAN: Commissioner Regan
10 seconds.

11 CHAIR: All in favor?

12 AYES RESPOND

13 CHAIR: All opposed?

14 Motion is adopted.

15 ATTORNEY COOK: Next is the
16 Recommendation for Denial of Venture Gaming, LLC's
17 Video Gaming Terminal Operator License and the
18 Application of Brent Mayes for a Principal License.
19 I would note for the record that unlike the preceding
20 two matters, in this matter, Mr. Mayes divested his
21 interest in skill games approximately three years
22 ago. This matter is now ripe for the Board's
23 consideration.

24 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
25 the Board?

1 Hearing none, may I have a motion?

2 MS. REGAN: Commissioner Regan moves
3 that the Board reject the OEC's Recommendation for
4 Denial in this matter, and that the VGT Operator
5 License Application of Venture Gaming, LLC, and
6 Principal License Application of Brent Mayes be
7 allowed to continue in the application process. I
8 further move that these applications be remanded to
9 the BIE for completion of all outstanding
10 investigative work.

11 MR. LOGAN: Commissioner Logan
12 seconds.

13 CHAIR: All in favor?

14 AYES RESPOND

15 CHAIR: All opposed?

16 Motion is adopted.

17 ATTORNEY COOK: Next before the Board
18 for consideration is CHR Corp, doing business as,
19 Rutter's request to bring before the Board, an
20 application for a New Video Gaming Terminal
21 Establishment License prior to the Office of -.

22 CHAIR: Steve? I'm sorry, I have a
23 comment to make before you go into Rutter's.

24 ATTORNEY COOK: Oh, I'm sorry. Go
25 right ahead.

1 CHAIR: A comment that you helped
2 draft. As has been alluded to in a couple of the
3 motions in the preceding three matters, which are
4 somewhat related and factually similar, today the
5 Board will be issuing an adjudication outlining its
6 reasoning in each of these matters.

7 So thank you, Steve. You may continue
8 now.

9 ATTORNEY COOK: If I wasn't chastised
10 for the length of these meetings of late, I wouldn't
11 be in such a hurry.

12 Next before the Board is CHR Corp.,
13 doing business as Rutter's request to bring before
14 the Board, an application for a New Video Gaming
15 Terminal Establishment License prior to the OEC
16 indicating to the Board that the application is ready
17 for consideration. This application is for Store
18 Number 92, which is located in Kutztown,
19 Pennsylvania, and is currently under constructions.

20 Rutter's seeks both conditional and
21 final licensure of this property, as provided for in
22 the Board's regulations. This request was the
23 subject of a public hearing prior the Board's
24 February 23rd, 2022 meeting, and the parties were
25 heard again today during a Public Hearing before the

1 Board. In both hearings, Rutter's put forth
2 evidence, which it believes satisfies the
3 requirements for full licensure. And this matter is
4 now ready for the Board's consideration.

5 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
6 the Board?

7 Hearing none, may I have a motion,
8 please?

9 MR. LOGAN: Madam Chair, as it
10 pertains to CHR Corporation's request that the Board
11 consider its Motion to List Rutter's Store Number 92
12 for a Video Gaming Terminal Establishment Licensure,
13 I move that the Board grant the Motion and approve
14 Rutter's Store Number 92 for conditional licensure.
15 I further move that that application for licensure
16 for Rutter's Store Number 92 continue in the final
17 licensure process with the BIE, in accordance with
18 the standards contained in the order to be issued in
19 this matter.

20 MR. MUSTIO: Commissioner Mustio
21 seconds.

22 CHAIR: All in favor?

23 AYES RESPOND

24 CHAIR: All opposed?

25 Motion is adopted.

1 ATTORNEY COOK: Next before the Board
2 is a Joint Petition of Accel Entertainment Gaming
3 (PA), LLC, a Licensed VGT Terminal Operator and D.M.
4 Bowman, Inc., doing business as Bowman Logistics, a
5 Conditionally Licensed VGT Establishment, which
6 operates a truck stop in Somerset, Pennsylvania. The
7 Video Gaming Act and the Board's Regulations prohibit
8 a VGT Operator from offering an inducement to a truck
9 stop establishment to secure and/or maintain a
10 terminal placement agreement.

11 Therefore, the Petitioners in this
12 matter are seeking approval of an agreement to
13 allocate costs for renovating a Video Gaming Terminal
14 Establishment, which they believe do not violate the
15 Video Gaming Terminal Act and its prohibition on
16 inducements. The parties have provided in their
17 Petition, how all of the costs will be shared between
18 the VGT Terminal Operator and the VGT Establishment,
19 and the OEC has no objection. As a result, this
20 matter is ripe for consideration.

21 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
22 the Board?

23 Hearing none, may I have a motion,
24 please?

25 MR. MUSTIO: Madam Chair, Commissioner

1 Mustio moves that the Board grant Accel Entertainment
2 Gaming's - Gaming (PA), LLC and D.M. Bowman's
3 Petition Seeking Approval of the Allocation of
4 renovation costs as described by the OCC, and with
5 the conditions to be outlined in the Board's order.
6 I further move that the Petitioner's Request for
7 Confidentiality be granted.

8 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
9 Manzano-Díaz, second.

10 CHAIR: All in favor?

11 AYES RESPOND

12 CHAIR: All opposed?

13 Motion is carries.

14 ATTORNEY COOK: Next before the Board
15 is IBO CM VOTECO Cayman Islands and Luxembourg
16 Baccarat Bidco, S.A.R.L.'s Joint Petition Seeking
17 Approval of a Proposed Acquisition of a Controlling
18 Interest in Interblock Luxury Gaming Properties,
19 d.d., doing business as Interblock, d.d., a Licensed
20 Table Games Manufacturer and Interblock USA, LC, a
21 Licensed Manufacturer Designee.

22 By way of background, the Interblock
23 entities are 100 percent owned by their parent
24 company, the Elektroncek Group. The company is
25 seeking to acquire the ownership rights of the

1 licensees or subsidiaries of Oaktree Capital
2 Management, L.P., an investment management company.
3 The OEC has no objection to this transaction, subject
4 to conditions, which are outlined in its Answer, and
5 this matter is now ripe for the Board's
6 consideration.

7 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
8 the Board?

9 Hearing none, may I have a motion,
10 please?

11 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
12 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board grant
13 the Petition for Approval of a Transfer of Interest
14 as described by the Office of Chief Counsel (OCC),
15 and with the conditions to be outlined in the Board's
16 order. I further move that the Board grant, in part,
17 OEC's Request for Confidentiality.

18 MR. LOGAN: Commissioner Logan
19 seconds.

20 CHAIR: All in favor?

21 AYES RESPOND

22 CHAIR: All opposed?

23 Motion is adopted.

24 ATTORNEY COOK: The next Petition
25 before the Board today is Jill Bell's Request for

1 Reconsideration of the Denial of her Gaming Employee
2 Occupation Permit Renewal Application.

3 By way of background, Ms. Bell has
4 been employed as a Table Games Dealer at Presque Isle
5 Downs and Casino for over ten years. On December
6 7th, 2018, Ms. Bell submitted a renewal application
7 to consider her - to continue her employment as a
8 Table Games Dealer. After Board Staff attempted to
9 work with Ms. Bell for over three years to try to
10 cure tax-related deficiencies on her renewal
11 application, the OEC ultimately issued a notice
12 recommending denial of her application.

13 Thereafter, on February 23rd, 2022,
14 the Board issued an Order denying Ms. Bell's renewal
15 application. One week later, on March 2nd, 2022, Ms.
16 Bell submitted a Request for Reconsideration of the
17 Board's denial of her application, which included
18 documentation showing that she is now compliant with
19 her tax obligations. As she is now compliant, OEC
20 does not object to this Request for Reconsideration,
21 nor do they object to her issuance of a license.
22 This matter is now ripe for the Board's
23 consideration.

24 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
25 the Board? May I have a motion, please?

1 MR. LOGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
2 Logan moves that the Board grant Jill Bell's Request
3 for Reconsideration and approve Ms. Bell's Gaming
4 Employee Occupation Permit Renewal Application as
5 described by the OCC.

6 MR. DERMODY: Dermody seconds.

7 CHAIR: All in favor?

8 AYES RESPOND

9 CHAIR: All opposed?

10 Motion is adopted.

11 ATTORNEY COOK: The final petition
12 before the Board today is Jacob Leitner's Request for
13 Removal from the Involuntary Exclusion List.

14 By way of background, on June 25th,
15 2016, Mr. Leitner was gaming at the Mohegan Sun
16 Pocono Casino with his sister. His sister became
17 involved in a verbal and physical altercation with a
18 patron and subsequently, Mr. Leitner then became
19 engaged in a physical altercation with a patron. He
20 ultimately was charged with several summary offenses
21 and pleaded guilty to harassment. Consequently, the
22 OEC filed a Petition to place Mr. Leitner on the
23 Exclusion List. The Board granted this request and
24 on January 11th, 2017, Mr. Leitner was placed on the
25 List.

1 In July of 2019, approximately two
2 and-a-half years later, Mr. Leitner filed a Request
3 for Early Removal from the Exclusion List. A hearing
4 was held on April 1st, 2020, and the Board issued an
5 Order denying Mr. Leitner's Request for Early
6 Removal, stating in the Order that he could seek
7 removal from the List any time after January 11th,
8 2022, five years from the date he was placed on the
9 List.

10 On February 7th, 2022, Mr. Leitner
11 filed his current request to be removed from the List
12 and the OEC has no objection. As a result, this
13 matter is now ripe for the Board's consideration.

14 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
15 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion,
16 please?

17 MR. DERMODY: Madam Chair,
18 Commissioner Dermody moves that the Board grant Jacob
19 Leitner's Petition for Removal from the Involuntary
20 Exclusion List as described by the OCC.

21 MS. REGAN: Commissioner Regan
22 seconds.

23 CHAIR: All in favor?

24 AYES RESPOND

25 CHAIR: All opposed?

1 Motion is adopted.

2 ATTORNEY COOK: Next, presenting
3 Withdrawals, and Reports and Recommendations is
4 Deputy Chief Counsel Chad Zimmermann.

5 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Good morning,
6 Madam Chair and Board Members. The next matter
7 before the Board today pertains to several unopposed
8 Petitions to Withdraw Applications or Surrender their
9 Credentials of the following individuals and
10 entities.

11 Margarete C. Ainsworth; Votraint No.
12 1019 Pty. Limited; American Affiliate Co., LLC;
13 Bettering Hero Company; Michael Arouh; Richard John
14 Carter; Michael Daron Dorsey; Daniel Abraham
15 Splashkes; Matthew Reback; David A. Rome; Second State
16 Gaming, LLC; Videosmith, Inc.; and World Wrestling
17 Entertainment, Inc.

18 The OEC has no objections to any of
19 these withdrawals or surrenders. As a result, if the
20 Board chooses to grant the request in each case, it
21 would be doing so without prejudice to the requestor.
22 These matters are all now ripe for the Board's
23 consideration.

24 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
25 the Board? May I have a motion, please?

1 MS. REGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
2 Regan moves that the Board issue orders to approve
3 the withdrawals and surrenders as described by the
4 OCC.

5 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
6 Manzano-Díaz, second.

7 CHAIR: All in favor?

8 AYES RESPOND

9 CHAIR: All opposed?

10 Motion is adopted.

11 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Next before the
12 Board for consideration are 11 Reports and
13 Recommendation received from the OHA. These Reports
14 and Recommendations, along with the evidentiary
15 record for each hearing, have been provided to the
16 Board in advance of this meeting.

17 Additionally, the persons involved
18 have each been noticed that the Board is considering
19 their matter today and they have each had the ability
20 to come forward and briefly address the Board. If
21 any of the persons who are subject to one of these
22 Reports and Recommendations would like to address the
23 Board, I would ask them to come forward when their
24 matter is called.

25 The first Report and Recommendation

1 pertains to Dakota Hughes. Ms. Hughes was issued a
2 Gaming Employee Occupation Permit in January 2018 and
3 worked as a drop-team member at Presque Isle Downs
4 Casino. On June 29th, 2021, the OEC filed a
5 complaint to suspend Ms. Hughes' Employee Occupation
6 Permit after it was discovered that between March
7 3rd, 2020 and December 29th, 2020, she was charged in
8 multiple cases with numerous offenses, which
9 included, but were not limited to several DUIs, drug-
10 related charges, stalking, simple assault, careless
11 and reckless driving charges.

12 A hearing in this matter was
13 originally scheduled for August 10th, 2021. However,
14 after two continuances requested by OEC, and one by
15 Ms. Hughes, the hearing was rescheduled for December
16 2nd, 2021. Between the time of the continuances and
17 the December 2nd hearing, OEC filed an Amended
18 Complaint for Suspension after they became aware that
19 Ms. Hughes received two new sets of charges in
20 October 2021.

21 On October 2nd, 2021, she was again
22 arrested and charged with DUI-related offenses and
23 October 15th, 2021, she received felony charges along
24 with misdemeanor charges after she left an infant
25 alone in the car while drinking in a bar. OEC

1 appeared offering testimony and documentary evidence
2 at the December 2nd hearing. Ms. Hughes did not
3 attend the hearing and it was held in her absence.
4 Thereafter, a Report and Recommendation was issued,
5 recommending that Ms. Hughes' Gaming Employee
6 Occupation Permit be suspended. This matter is now
7 ready for the Board's consideration.

8 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
9 the Board?

10 Hearing none, may I have a motion?

11 MR. LOGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
12 Logan moves that the Board adopt the Report and
13 Recommendations issued by the OHA, as described by
14 the OCC and the Gaming Employee Permit of Dakota
15 Hughes is suspended.

16 MR. MUSTIO: Commissioner Mustio
17 seconds.

18 CHAIR: All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

20 CHAIR: All opposed?

21 Motion is adopted.

22 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: William Van
23 Aucken's Report and Recommendation is next before the
24 Board.

25 On January 13th, 2020, the OEC filed a

1 Petition to place - to place William Van Auken on the
2 Exclusion List, alleging that on September 15th,
3 2019, he left a minor child in a vehicle unattended
4 for approximately ten minutes while he engaged in
5 gaming activity at Sugarhouse Casino, now Rivers
6 Philadelphia.

7 After several continuances due to
8 COVID and a request from Mr. Van Aucken, a hearing
9 was held on April 15th, 2021. Mr. Van Aucken failed
10 to appear while OEC appeared offering testimony,
11 documentary evidence as well as surveillance video in
12 support of their Petition. The evidence presented at
13 the hearing established that Mr. Van Aucken entered
14 the casino, cashed a winning Sportsbook wager and
15 then placed another wager. He then left the casino
16 and went back to his car where he was away for
17 approximately ten minutes.

18 Further testimony indicated that a
19 patron reported to security that a child was alone in
20 the vehicle in the parking lot. Security asked the
21 child for his birthdate and the response from the
22 child indicated that he was eight-years old, based on
23 the birthdate given. Mr. Van Aucken was permanently
24 banned from Rivers Philadelphia, no criminal charges
25 were filed. OEC additionally testified to an

1 incident on November 23rd, 2019 where he violated
2 this eviction from the casino.

3 This matter was to come before the
4 Board, July 14th, 2021 at a public board meeting.
5 However, it was remanded to the OHA after Mr. Van
6 Aucken provided two birth certificates for his
7 children. Subsequently, a hearing was held on
8 September 30th, 2021. OEC appeared and did not
9 present any additional evidence or testimony. Mr.
10 Van Aucken also did not appear. However, the Hearing
11 Officer entered the birth certificates provided by
12 Mr. Van Aucken into the record. The Certificates
13 show that one child was 17-years old at the time and
14 the other was 12-years old at the time.

15 A Report and Recommendation was issued
16 after each hearing, recommending that Mr. Van Aucken
17 be placed on the Exclusion List, including that he
18 created a safety issue for his child left in the
19 vehicle. Mr. Van Aucken filed exceptions to both
20 Reports and Recommendations, stating that the matter
21 has been fabricated and a waste of taxpayer dollars.
22 He further stated that both of his sons were in the
23 car, and both exceeded the age at which point they
24 should no longer be considered children. His
25 youngest son lied about his age, and also citing that

1 he was not criminally charged for leaving his
2 children in the car. He further stated that the
3 effort to place him on the List is an effort to smear
4 a hardworking dad.

5 It should be noted that there was no
6 testimony for Mr. Van Aucken at either hearing to
7 suggest that more than one child was left in the
8 vehicle. The matter is now ready for the Board's
9 consideration.

10 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
11 the Board?

12 Hearing none, may I have a motion?

13 MR. MUSTIO: Madam Chair, Commissioner
14 Mustio moves that the Board adopt the Report and
15 Recommendation issued by the OHA as described by the
16 OCC, and that Mr. Van Aucken be placed on the
17 Involuntary Exclusion List.

18 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
19 Manzano-Díaz, second.

20 CHAIR: All in favor?

21 AYES RESPOND

22 CHAIR: All opposed?

23 Motion is adopted.

24 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: The next Report
25 and Recommendation before the Board pertains to the

1 Request to Recover Winnings while on the Board's
2 Self-Exclusion List. As the Board is aware, when a
3 self-excluded person is found in Pennsylvania
4 casinos, the Act authorizes that any winnings
5 possessed by the person may be confiscated from him
6 or her and used to support problem and gambling
7 programs.

8 On July 1st, 2021, an individual with
9 the initials G.L., electronically submitted his
10 request to be placed on the Casino Self-Exclusion
11 List for a period of one year. Subsequently, G.L.
12 filed a Petition five weeks later, on August 9, 2021,
13 requesting that the Board return monies confiscated
14 from him while on the Self-Exclusion List.

15 A hearing was held in this matter,
16 November 18th, 2021. Both the OEC and G.L. attended
17 the hearing, offering testimony and documentary
18 evidence. Prior to the start of the hearing, G.L.
19 stipulated to the admission of the form that he had
20 completed, which he requested to be placed on the
21 List for a period of one year. The forms show that
22 G.L. initialed and acknowledged he was excluding
23 himself from all Pennsylvania casinos and gaming-
24 related activities, which included retail sports
25 wagering. He further acknowledged he was prohibited

1 from collecting winnings while on the List.

2 Notwithstanding, G.L., having executed
3 these forms, evidence presented at the hearing show
4 that on August 6th and 7th he was placed sports
5 wagers at the South Philadelphia Race and Sportsbook
6 totaling \$2,020 wagered. Both of these wagers placed
7 by G.L. were winning wagers, entitling him to receive
8 a total payout of \$15,417.74. When G.L. attempted to
9 collect on his winning wagers, he was identified as a
10 self-excluded person and the funds were confiscated.

11 At the hearing, G.L. testified he
12 placed himself on the List, as he lives near Live!
13 Casino Philadelphia and he was gaming too often at
14 slot machines. He further stated that he continued
15 to place sports wagers at the Race and Sportsbook
16 after he placed himself on the List, as he was not
17 aware he was excluded from this form of gaming and
18 once he - and had he realized that he would not have
19 gone there to place sports wagers.

20 After hearing all the evidence
21 presented, a Report and Recommendation was issued
22 recommending that G.L.'s Petition be granted in part
23 and he be permitted to recover the value of the bets
24 placed, \$2,020, but not any winnings. The Report and
25 Recommendation was heard by the Board previously at

1 the February 23rd, 2022 Board meeting. G.L. attended
2 the meeting, spoke briefly with the Board,
3 reiterating he was unaware that he was excluded from
4 this form of gaming and the Board tabled the matter
5 at the time to allow more time to review and discuss
6 the issue.

7 The matter is now ripe for the Board's
8 consideration.

9 CHAIR: I see that G.L. is here today.
10 Would you like to come forward, sir?

11 G.L.: Sure.

12 CHAIR: Could you be sworn in by the
13 Court Reporter, please?

14 G.L.: Sure.

15 COURT REPORTER: Raise your hand,
16 please.

17 ---

18 G.L.,

19 CALLED AS A WITNESS IN THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDING, AND
20 HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AND SAID AS
21 FOLLOWS:

22 ---

23 CHAIR: Are there any -? G.L., would
24 you like to make a statement or have any comments?

25 G.L.: I really didn't have anything

1 to say. I just came forward if anybody had a
2 question, I could answer it. I basically said
3 everything last month.

4 CHAIR: Anybody have any questions?
5 Any comments from the Board?

6 I actually have a question, which I
7 don't think you testified to last time.

8 G.L.: Okay.

9 CHAIR: After you were denied your
10 winning, did you actually send your brother in to try
11 to collect the winnings for you?

12 G.L.: I did. I did.

13 CHAIR: And they - they refused to
14 give them to him as well?

15 G.L.: Right. Which again, is - is -
16 for this establishment, it's common -. There's no -.

17 In most places, you have to show a Player's Card and
18 it gets linked to your ticket, and only you can cash
19 that ticket. This place doesn't have any of that.
20 Like, it's common practice to, you know, get somebody
21 else to take it, cash their ticket. It goes on all
22 the time there. It's not like this major act, like I
23 sent him in -. There's no-thing that links the
24 player to the ticket, which it shouldn't be like that
25 because any other place, you have to show a Player's

1 Card and that links you to that ticket, but that's
2 not the case here.

3 CHAIR: All right.

4 But you sent your brother in because
5 they wouldn't give you the money, so you thought
6 they'd give him the money?

7 G.L.: See, I never attempted to
8 collect the money. When I was in line, they grabbed
9 me out of line before I even had a chance to do
10 anything.

11 CHAIR: Okay.

12 G.L.: Yeah.

13 CHAIR: I understand.

14 Did OEC have any questions for G.L.?

15 ATTORNEY KOLESAR: No.

16 CHAIR: Does anybody else?

17 MS. REGAN: Just briefly.

18 So you now understand completely
19 though that you're not permitted in that
20 establishment.

21 Correct?

22 G.L.: 1,000 percent.

23 MS. REGAN: Okay.

24 CHAIR: And you also -? Go ahead.

25 MR. LOGAN: And it's abundantly clear

1 to us, based on your history, you may have a problem;
2 a gambling problem. We have a lot of resources for
3 you. There's a lot of resources in the state. You
4 just may want to talk to somebody.

5 G.L.: Okay.

6 Thank you.

7 CHAIR: Any other questions or
8 comments?

9 MS. LANGAN: I would just make one
10 comment. Just be careful when you go to other states
11 as well.

12 G.L.: Okay.

13 MS. LANGAN: You may be on their list
14 too.

15 G.L.: Okay.

16 CHAIR: And that pertains to all forms
17 of gambling. It could pertain to all forms of
18 gambling. So just be careful if you try to gamble
19 somewhere else, or try other forms of gambling, even
20 online.

21 G.L.: Okay.

22 CHAIR: It could pertain to that as
23 well.

24 G.L.: Okay.

25 CHAIR: Okay?

1 All right.

2 Well, any other questions or comments?

3 Hearing none, may I have a motion?

4 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,

5 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board reject
6 the Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA as
7 described by the OCC. Due to a clarity issue in the
8 Self-Exclusion Form, G.L. shall be returned a total
9 of \$13,000 in funds. I further move that \$2,417.74
10 of the confiscated funds be remitted to the Board to
11 support the Board's compulsive and problem gambling
12 programs.

13 MR. LOGAN: G.L., please get some
14 help.

15 G.L.: I will.

16 MR. LOGAN: All right?

17 Commissioner Logan, seconds.

18 G.L.: Thank you.

19 CHAIR: All in favor?

20 AYES RESPOND

21 CHAIR: All opposed?

22 Motion is adopted. Thank you, G.L.

23 You may leave.

24 G.L.: Thank you so much.

25 One more question. How do I -? Do I

1 go back there and get the -?

2 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: I'll talk to
3 you.

4 G.L.: Okay.

5 Thank you so much.

6 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: The remainder of
7 the Reports and Recommendations before the Board
8 today pertain to a Request for Removal from various
9 Self-Exclusion Lists. On May -.

10 MR. LOGAN: Can I ask a question? Do
11 you think Rutter's is as happy as G.L. is?

12 CHAIR: They are. Yes.

13 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: On May 16th,
14 2013, an individual with the names J.E., enter the
15 Hollywood Casino at Penn National Racecourse and met
16 with the Casino Compliance Representative to place
17 himself on the Self-Exclusion List, selecting
18 lifetime Self-Exclusion.

19 Subsequently, J.E. filed a Petition
20 with the Board on September 8th, 2021, seeking
21 removal from the List. The OEC filed an Answer,
22 objecting to J.E.'s request. A hearing on this
23 matter was held December 1st, 2021. OEC appeared
24 presenting testimony and documentary evidence. J.E.
25 also appeared and testified on his own behalf.

1 Evidence provided by OEC showed that the Casino
2 Compliance Representative conducted the mandatory
3 interview with J.E., explained the process of being
4 placed on the List and the consequences of the
5 exclusion period options.

6 Thereafter, all paperwork and
7 procedures were completed by J.E. J.E. signed the
8 Acknowledgement Form in selecting the lifetime Self-
9 Exclusion period. J.E. testified that he did not
10 understand the Self-Exclusion process very well.
11 Stated that he has never had a problem with anyone in
12 a casino and never did anything wrong. He indicated
13 that he only wanted to take a break from gambling in
14 Pennsylvania.

15 After the evidence was presented at
16 the hearing, a Report and Recommendation was issued,
17 recommending J.E.'s Request for Removal from the
18 Self-Exclusion List be denied, primarily based upon
19 his failure to wait ten-years from the Self-
20 Exclusion, placing himself on the List to request
21 removal.

22 The matter is now ready for the
23 Board's consideration.

24 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
25 the Board?

1 May I have a motion, please?

2 MR. LOGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
3 Logan moves that the Board adopt the Report and
4 Recommendation issued by the OHA as described by the
5 OCC and that J.E. remain on the Self-Exclusion List.

6 MR. DERMODY: Commissioner Dermody
7 seconds.

8 CHAIR: All in favor?

9 AYES RESPOND

10 CHAIR: All opposed?

11 Motion is adopted.

12 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Next is a Report
13 and Recommendation pertaining to K.D.P.'s Request for
14 Removal from the Self-Exclusion List.

15 On October 16, 2016, an individual
16 with the initials K.D.P. entered Rivers Casino
17 Pittsburgh and met with the Casino Compliance
18 Representative to place herself on her Self-Exclusion
19 List, selecting the lifetime Self-Exclusion period.
20 K.D.P. filed a Petition with the Board, September 27,
21 2021, requesting removal from the List. The OEC
22 filed an Answer objecting to K.D.P.'s request.

23 A hearing was held in this matter
24 December 9th, 2021. OEC appeared and presented
25 testimony and documentary evidence. K.D.P. also

1 appeared and testified on her own behalf. Evidence
2 provided by OEC shows that the Casino Compliance
3 Representative who conducted the mandatory interview
4 with K.D.P. explained the process of being placed on
5 the List, as well as the consequences of the
6 exclusion-period options. Thereafter, all paperwork
7 and procedures were completed. K.D.P. signed the
8 acknowledgement forms selecting lifetime Self-
9 Exclusion.

10 K.D.P. testified at the hearing that
11 she had previously placed herself on the Self-
12 Exclusion List for a period of one year, which
13 actually lasted three years before she removed
14 herself from the List. She stated she placed herself
15 on the List in 2016 after a difficult breakup, and
16 she was using gaming and casinos to cope. She
17 further testified she no longer believes she has a
18 gambling problem. She is financially stable and
19 would like to go to Meadows and Rivers Pittsburgh
20 with her friends.

21 As required by the Board regulations,
22 K.D.P. also did provide with her Petition,
23 documentation signed by a certified mental health
24 treatment provider who assessed her for problem
25 gambling, stating that no treatment was necessary.

1 However, per the Board's Regulations, K.D.P. cannot
2 be removed from the lifetime Self-Exclusion List
3 until ten years have passed from the date she placed
4 herself on the List. Therefore, K.D.P. is not
5 eligible to request removal at this time.

6 After the hearing, a Report and
7 Recommendation was issued by the OHA that K.D.P.'s
8 Request for Removal be denied. This matter is now
9 ready for the Board's consideration.

10 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
11 the Board?

12 Hearing none, may I have a motion,
13 please?

14 MR. DERMODY: Madam Chair,
15 Commissioner Dermody moves that the Board adopt the
16 Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA, as
17 described by the OCC, and that K.D.P. remain on the
18 Self-Exclusion List.

19 MS. REGAN: Commissioner Regan
20 seconds.

21 CHAIR: All in favor?

22 AYES RESPOND

23 CHAIR: All opposed?

24 Motion is adopted.

25 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: The next Report

1 and Recommendation pertaining the M.E.K.'s Request
2 for Removal from the Voluntary Interactive Gaming
3 Self-Exclusion List.

4 On July 4, 2021, an individual with
5 the initials M.E.K. electronically completed the
6 Board's form requesting Voluntary Self-Exclusion from
7 all Pennsylvania Interactive Gaming-related
8 activities, which include interactive sports
9 wagering, as well as an acknowledgement that he is a
10 problem gambler and a release requesting a five-year
11 Self-Exclusion period.

12 On September 8th, 2021, M.E.K. filed a
13 Petition requesting early removal from this List.
14 The OEC filed an Answer objecting to M.E.K.'s
15 request. A hearing on this matter was held December
16 1st, 2021, where OEC appeared, presenting testimony
17 and documentary evidence. M.E.K. also appeared and
18 testified on his own behalf. M.E.K. testified he
19 understood the ramifications of placing himself on
20 the List. He further testified at the time that he
21 placed himself on the List, his wife had filed for
22 divorce, and she told him if he placed himself on the
23 List, she would not proceed with the divorce. He
24 stated he believed that he was saving his marriage by
25 placing himself on the List. M.E.K. then stated that

1 his wife still left him. He's retired. He's gambled
2 on and off for years. Visits Las Vegas twice a year
3 but can't stay at his favorite property due to being
4 on the List.

5 After the evidence was presented, a
6 Report and Recommendation was issued recommending
7 that M.E.K. for early removal from the Self-Exclusion
8 List be denied. The matter is now ripe for the
9 Board's consideration.

10 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
11 the Board?

12 May I have a motion, please?

13 MS. REGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
14 Regan moves that the Board adopt the Report and
15 Recommendation issued by the OHA as described by the
16 OCC and that M.E.K. remain on the Self-Exclusion
17 List.

18 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
19 Manzano-Díaz, second.

20 CHAIR: All in favor?

21 AYES RESPOND

22 CHAIR: All opposed?

23 Motion is carries.

24 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: And to clarify,
25 I believe I accidently said Interactive Gaming Self-

1 Exclusion List on the previous matter. It's the
2 Casino Self-Exclusion.

3 The next Report and Recommendation
4 stems from a request by M.P.S. for removal from the
5 voluntary Interactive Gaming Self-Exclusion List.

6 On February 18th, 2021, an individual
7 with the initials M.P.S. electronically submitted a
8 request to be placed on the Board's Interactive
9 Gaming Self-Exclusion List. M.P.S. selected a five-
10 year exclusion option. In October 2021, M.P.S. filed
11 a Petition Requesting Removal from the List, stating
12 he did not completely understand that placing on the
13 Interactive Gaming Self-Exclusion List, he
14 potentially may also be excluded from brick and
15 mortar casinos, at the discretion of those casinos.

16 A hearing was held in this matter on
17 December 7, 2021 where OEC appeared presenting
18 testimony and documentary evidence. M.P.S. also
19 appeared and testified on his own behalf. Evidence
20 received at the hearing shows that M.P.S. requested
21 placement on the iGaming Self-Exclusion List, signed
22 and acknowledged that he had read and understood all
23 the Self-Exclusion forms, including the information
24 stating that some licensees and operators may also
25 ban an iGaming self-excluded person from not only

1 their websites, but from casinos and other related
2 venues.

3 M.P.S. both stated in his removal from
4 the List and during his testimony that he was not
5 initially aware he could be banned from entering
6 brick and mortar casinos. M.P.S. testified that
7 several weeks after excluding, he began receiving
8 notices in the mail that he was excluded from certain
9 casino venues. He did state in his Request for
10 Removal, that he attempted to go to Live! Casino and
11 Hotel in Philadelphia, that he was turned away and
12 served with an eviction notice.

13 After hearing all the evidence in the
14 matter, a Report and Recommendation was issued,
15 recommending that M.P.S.'s Request for Removal of the
16 Interactive Gaming Self-Exclusion List be denied.
17 This matter is now ripe for the Board's
18 consideration.

19 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
20 the Board?

21 May I have a motion, please?

22 MR. DERMODY: Madam Chair,
23 Commissioner Dermody moves that the Board adopt the
24 Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA regarding
25 M.P.S.'s Petition for Removal from the Interactive

1 Gaming Self-Exclusion List and that M.P.S. remain on
2 the Interactive Gaming Self-Exclusion List.

3 MR. MUSTIO: Commissioner Mustio
4 seconds.

5 CHAIR: All in favor?

6 AYES RESPOND

7 CHAIR: All opposed?

8 Motion is adopted.

9 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: R.G.'s Request
10 for Removal from the Self-Exclusion List is the
11 subject in the next Report and Recommendation.

12 On July 21st, 2021, R.G. filed his
13 Request for Removal from the Self-Exclusion List. A
14 hearing was held in this matter on October 20th,
15 2021. OEC appeared presenting testimony and
16 documentary evidence. Despite receiving proper
17 notice, R.G. failed to appear for the hearing.

18 The evidence presented at the hearing
19 established that on July 21st, 2010, R.G. appeared at
20 the Hollywood Casino at Penn National Racecourse and
21 met with a Casino Compliance Representative to place
22 himself on the Self-Exclusion List. Evidence
23 provided by OEC showed that a Casino Compliance
24 Representative conducted the mandatory interview with
25 R.G., explained the process of being placed on the

1 List, explained the consequences of the exclusion
2 period options, and that he would remain on the List
3 indefinitely unless he requested removal from the
4 List. Thereafter, all paperwork and procedures were
5 completed by R.G., and he signed the acknowledgement
6 forms selected lifetime Self-Exclusion.

7 Subsequently, a Report and
8 Recommendation was issued recommending that although
9 R.G. has met the Board's - condition of the Board's
10 regulation that ten years elapse since he placed
11 himself on the List, R.G. failed to provide the
12 required documentation showing that he had a problem
13 gambling assessment done by a certified treatment
14 provider.

15 The OHA issued a Report and
16 Recommendation recommending denial of the request and
17 this matter is now ready for the Board's
18 consideration.

19 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
20 the Board?

21 Hearing none, may I have a motion?

22 MR. MUSTIO: Madam Chair, Commissioner
23 Mustio moves that the Board adopt the Report and
24 Recommendation issued by the OHA regarding R.G.'s
25 Petition for Removal from the Self-Exclusion List,

1 and that R.G. remain on the Self-Exclusion List.

2 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
3 Manzano-Díaz second.

4 CHAIR: All in favor?

5 AYES RESPOND

6 CHAIR: All opposed?

7 Motion is adopted.

8 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: On September
9 5th, 2021, an individual with the initials R.P.,
10 electronically completed the Board's form requesting
11 to be placed on the Self-Exclusion List for a period
12 of one year. Approximately three weeks later, on
13 September 27, 2021, R.P. filed a Request for Removal
14 from the List. The OEC filed an Answer objecting to
15 R.P.'s request.

16 A hearing on this matter was held on
17 December 8th, 2021. OEC appeared presenting
18 testimony and documentary evidence. R.P. also
19 appeared at the hearing and testified on his own
20 behalf. R.P. testified that he had signed up for the
21 Self-Exclusion List on impulse and regretted his
22 decision. He further stated he does not read well;
23 that he read through the forms quickly and he
24 believed he would be able to game in other states,
25 and possibly online.

1 At the hearing, OEC provided testimony
2 from the Director of the Board's Office of Compulsive
3 and Problem Gambling regarding the process R.P. had
4 to go through to place himself on the List. Evidence
5 presented also included the exclusion form filled out
6 and the acknowledgment form signed by R.P., choosing
7 his exclusion period, stating he had reviewed the
8 forms and understood the information provided to him,
9 which included notice that licensees could ban him in
10 other states, including gaming, non-gaming, hotels,
11 restaurants, fantasy and iGaming websites.

12 After hearing the evidence presented,
13 a Report and Recommendation was issued, recommending
14 that R.P.'s removal from the Self-Exclusion List be
15 denied. The matter is now ready for the Board's
16 consideration.

17 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
18 the Board?

19 Hearing none, may I have a motion,
20 please?

21 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
22 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board adopt
23 the Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA
24 regarding R.P.'s Petition for Removal from the Self-
25 Exclusion List and that R.P. remain on the Self-

1 Exclusion List.

2 MR. LOGAN: Commissioner Logan

3 seconds.

4 CHAIR: All in favor?

5 AYES RESPOND

6 CHAIR: All opposed?

7 Motion carries.

8 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Next is the
9 Report and Recommendation pertaining to R.S., who on
10 August 30th, 2021, submitted a Petition Requesting
11 Removal from the Self-Exclusion List.

12 On June 10th, 2013, an individual with
13 the initials R.S. entered the Rivers Casino
14 Pittsburgh and met with the Casino Compliance
15 Representative to place herself on the Self-Exclusion
16 List, selecting lifetime Self-Exclusion. In August
17 2021, eight years after her placement on the List,
18 R.S. filed a Petition with the Board, Requesting
19 Removal from the List. She did provide a Problem
20 Gambling Assessment Verification Form with her
21 request, which stated she does not have a gambling
22 problem. The OEC filed an Answer objecting to R.S.'s
23 request.

24 A hearing on this matter was held
25 December 7, 2021, where OEC appeared and presented

1 testimony and documentary evidence. R.S. also
2 appeared and testified on her own behalf. Evidence
3 provided by OEC show that the Casino Compliance
4 Representative who conducted the mandatory interview
5 with R.S., explained the process of being placed on
6 the List, the consequences of the exclusion period
7 options and that if she was found on the floor of a
8 licensed facility, she could be criminally charged
9 with trespass. Thereafter, all paperwork procedures
10 were completed and R.S. signed the acknowledgement
11 form selecting the lifetime Self-Exclusion period.

12 Additionally, OEC presented an
13 incident report showing that on May 14th, 2018, R.S.
14 went to the Rivers Casino Pittsburgh to retrieve her
15 purse when she left the casino earlier that day. She
16 did inform the casino she was self-excluded at that
17 time, however, the report also revealed that R.S. was
18 seen on surveillance gaming at the casino two days
19 earlier.

20 R.S. testified at the hearing that
21 after losing money in the casino in 2013, she made an
22 impulsive decision and placed herself on the Self-
23 Exclusion List. She does not feel she has a gambling
24 problem and she would like to attend events at the
25 casino and that she did not intend to game further,

1 and that she did not game at Rivers Pittsburgh in May
2 2018, despite the incident report saying otherwise.

3 Thereafter, a Report and
4 Recommendation was issued by the OHA, recommending
5 that R.S.'s request be denied as it's not been ten
6 years since she placed herself on the Self-Exclusion
7 List. This matter is now ripe for the Board's
8 consideration.

9 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
10 the Board?

11 May I have a motion, please?

12 MR. LOGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
13 Logan moves that the Board adopt the Report and
14 Recommendation issued by the OHA regarding R.S.'s
15 Petition for Removal from the Self-Exclusion List and
16 that R.S. remain on the Self-Exclusion List.

17 MR. DERMODY: Commissioner Dermody
18 seconds.

19 CHAIR: All in favor?

20 AYES RESPOND

21 CHAIR: All opposed?

22 Motion carries.

23 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: T.C.'s matter is
24 the final Report and Recommendation for your
25 consideration.

1 On September 24, 2012, an individual
2 with the initials T.C. entered Parx Casino and met
3 with a Casino Compliance Representative to place
4 herself on the Self-Exclusion List, selecting
5 lifetime Self-Exclusion. Subsequently, T.C. filed a
6 Petition with the Board July 27, 2021, requesting
7 removal from the List. The OEC filed an Answer
8 objecting to her request.

9 A hearing on this matter was held
10 December 8th, 2021, where OEC appeared and presented
11 testimony and documentary evidence. T.C. failed to
12 appear at the hearing and the hearing was held in her
13 absence.

14 Evidence presented by OEC showed that
15 the Casino Compliance Representative conducted the
16 mandatory interview with T.C., explained the process
17 of being placed on the List and all consequences
18 thereto. Thereafter, all paperwork and procedures
19 were completed by T.C., and she signed the
20 acknowledgement form selecting Self - lifetime Self-
21 Exclusion. In accordance with the Board's
22 regulations, T.C. provided with her Petition, the
23 required Problem Gambling Assessment Verification
24 Form signed by a certified treatment provider. This
25 verification form indicated that no treatment was

1 recommended as a result of the assessment. However,
2 ten years had not elapsed since T.C. placed herself
3 on the List and therefore, she was not eligible for
4 removal.

5 A Report and Recommendation was
6 issued, recommending denial of T.C.'s Request to be
7 Removed from the List and this matter is now ripe for
8 the Board's consideration.

9 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
10 the Board?

11 Hearing none, may I have a motion,
12 please?

13 MR. DERMODY: Madam Chair,
14 Commissioner Dermody moves that the Board adopt the
15 Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA regarding
16 T.C.'s Petition for Removal from the Self-Exclusion
17 List and that T.C. remain on the Self-Exclusion List.

18 MS. REGAN: Commissioner Regan
19 seconds.

20 CHAIR: All in favor?

21 AYES RESPOND

22 CHAIR: All opposed?

23 Motion is adopted. Thank you, Chad.

24 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Thank you.

25 CHAIR: Thank you, Steve.

1 Next, we have the Bureau of Licensing
2 matters with Director Sean Hannon. Sean?

3 MR. HANNON: Good morning, Madam Chair
4 and Members of the Board. Before the Board today
5 will be license consideration of one Table Game
6 Manufacturer Renewal, one Sports Wagering
7 Manufacturer, one Conditional VGT Establishment and
8 one Gaming-Related Gaming Service Provider. In
9 addition, there will be 900 principals, key
10 employees, gaming and non-gaming employees. Finally,
11 there will be consideration of nine Gaming Service
12 Providers.

13 First for consideration is a Table
14 Game Manufacturer Renewal License for South Jersey
15 Precision Tool and Mold Incorporated. South Jersey
16 Precision is a New Jersey-based manufacturer of table
17 game equipment for use or play at licensed facilities
18 in the Commonwealth. The BIE has completed its
19 investigation and the Bureau of Licensing has
20 provided you with the Background Investigation and
21 Suitability Report.

22 South Jersey Precision has asked that
23 the Board grant it a reduced licensing fee for its
24 Table Game Manufacturer Renewal License. The Renewal
25 Fee for a table game manufacturer is \$150,000 for a

1 five-year license. Under the Gaming Act, the Board
2 may modify the fee for a table game manufacturer if it
3 determines that the fee will unreasonably limit table
4 game devices or associated equipment. Consistent with
5 this provision of the Act, the Bureau of Licensing has
6 adopted a reduced licensing fee policy, which has
7 previously been presented to the Board.

8 Pursuant to that policy, a reduction
9 of the licensing fee to zero is warranted if there is
10 only one manufacturer of a product and anticipated
11 sales are less than \$100,000 annually. South Jersey
12 Precision is the only manufacturer in Pennsylvania
13 that produces Pai Gow tiles, and its projected annual
14 sales are less than \$100,000.

15 The Bureau of Licensing therefore
16 recommends that the Board grant a reduced licensing
17 fee of zero to South Jersey Precision. I've provided
18 you with a draft Order and ask the Board consider the
19 Order to renew the Table Game Manufacturer License for
20 South Jersey Precision Tool and Mold Incorporated.

21 ATTORNEY PITRE: The OEC has no
22 objection.

23 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
24 the Board?

25 Hearing none, may I have a motion,

1 please?

2 MS. REGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
3 Regan moves that the Board grant the Table Game
4 Manufacturer Renewal License as described by the
5 Bureau of Licensing.

6 MR. LOGAN: Commissioner Logan
7 seconds.

8 CHAIR: All in favor?

9 AYES RESPOND

10 CHAIR: All opposed?

11 Motion is adopted.

12 MR. HANNON: Next, is a Sports
13 Wagering Manufacturer License for Pala Interactive
14 Incorporated.

15 CHAIR: Sean, wait, may I just stop
16 for a second? Does that motion also include the
17 reduction of the fee? I thought we were going to
18 have a second motion for that?

19 MR. HANNON: Steven, do you know if
20 that's the same motion?

21 ATTORNEY COOK: Yeah.

22 MR. HANNON: If the reduced fee is all
23 within the same motion?

24 ATTORNEY COOK: Yeah, we can do it
25 with the same motion.

1 CHAIR: We can do it with the same -?

2 ATTORNEY COOK: Yeah.

3 CHAIR: All right.

4 Thank you.

5 All right.

6 Sorry, go ahead.

7 MR. HANNON: Next, is a Sports
8 Wagering Manufacturer License for Pala Interactive
9 Incorporated. Pala Interactive is a California-based
10 business that provides a player-account management
11 system to sports betting platforms. Pala is
12 currently licensed as an iGaming Manufacturer and has
13 applied for a Sports Wagering License using the
14 abbreviated process. Under the Gaming Act, the Board
15 may use an abbreviated process to consider the
16 application of a currently licensed manufacturer.

17 The Bureau of Licensing, OEC and the
18 BIE have reviewed the Application for a Sports
19 Wagering Manufacturer License for Pala Interactive
20 Incorporated and I've provided you the result of that
21 review. I've also provided you with a draft Order
22 and ask that the Board consider the Order to approve
23 Pala Interactive for a Sports Wagering Manufacturer
24 License.

25 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel

1 has no objection.

2 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
3 the Board?

4 May I have a motion, please?

5 MR. LOGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
6 Logan moves that the Board grant the Abbreviated
7 Sports Wagering Manufacturer License as described by
8 the Bureau of Licensing.

9 MR. MUSTIO: Commissioner Mustio
10 seconds.

11 CHAIR: All in favor?

12 AYES RESPOND

13 CHAIR: All opposed?

14 Motion is adopted.

15 MR. HANNON: Next, is a Conditional
16 VGT Establishment License for CHR Corp., Rutter's
17 Store Number 5, located at 6837 Lincoln Way East,
18 Feasterville.

19 The Board may conditionally license an
20 establishment, provided the applicant has never been
21 convicted of a felony, is current on state taxes, has
22 submitted a completed application and has not been
23 convicted of a gambling law violation.

24 A preliminary review of the Applicant
25 indicates that they meet these criteria. Please note

1 that this is strictly a conditional approval. Final
2 approval will be based on the Applicant meeting all
3 the eligibility criteria provided in the statute. A
4 final eligibility determination will follow
5 investigation and site inspection by the BIE.

6 I have provided you with a draft Order
7 and ask the Board consider a motion to approve the
8 Conditional VGT Establishment License for CHR Corp.
9 Rutter's Store Number 5.

10 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel
11 has no objection.

12 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
13 the Board?

14 Hearing none, may I have a motion?

15 MR. MUSTIO: Madam Chair, Commissioner
16 Mustio moves that the Board grant the Conditional
17 Video Gaming Terminal Establishment License as
18 described by the Bureau of Licensing.

19 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
20 Manzano-Díaz second.

21 CHAIR: All in favor?

22 AYES RESPOND

23 CHAIR: All opposed?

24 Motion is adopted.

25 MR. HANNON: Also for consideration is

1 the Approval of a Gaming Related Gaming Service
2 Provider Certification for the Talisman Group, LLC,
3 doing business as, Talisman Group.

4 The Talisman Group is a Nevada-based
5 company that markets the Easy Baccarat Game and
6 various table game side bets. The BIE has completed
7 its investigation of the company and the Bureau of
8 Licensing has provided you with a background
9 investigation and suitability report for the
10 Applicant. I have provided you with a draft Order
11 and ask that the Board consider the Order to approve
12 a Gaming-Related Gaming Service Provider
13 Certification for the Talisman Group, LLC.

14 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel
15 has no objection.

16 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
17 the Board?

18 Hearing none, may I have a motion,
19 please?

20 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
21 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board grant
22 the Gaming-Related Gaming Service Provider License as
23 described by the Bureau of Licensing.

24 MR. LOGAN: Commissioner Logan
25 seconds.

1 CHAIR: All in favor?

2 AYES RESPOND

3 CHAIR: All opposed?

4 Motion is adopted.

5 MR. HANNON: Also there are Principal
6 and Key Employee Licenses. The Bureau of Licensing
7 provided you with a proposed Order for four
8 principals and six key employees. I ask that the
9 Board consider the Order approving these licenses.

10 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel
11 has no objection.

12 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
13 the Board?

14 May I have a motion, please?

15 MR. LOGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
16 Logan moves that the Board grant the Principal and
17 Key Employee Licenses as described by the Bureau of
18 Licensing.

19 MR. DERMODY: Commissioner Dermody
20 seconds.

21 CHAIR: All in favor?

22 AYES RESPOND

23 CHAIR: All opposed?

24 Motion is adopted.

25 MR. HANNON: Next are Principal and

1 Key Temporary Licenses. The Bureau of Licensing
2 provided you with an Order regarding the issuance of
3 a temporary license for one principal and 17 key
4 employees. I ask the Board to consider the Order
5 approving these licenses.

6 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel
7 has no objection.

8 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
9 the Board?

10 Hearing none, may I have a motion,
11 please?

12 MR. DERMODY: Madam Chair,
13 Commissioner Dermody moves that the Board grant the
14 Temporary Principal and Key Employee Credentials as
15 described by the Bureau of Licensing.

16 MS. REGAN: Commissioner Regan
17 seconds.

18 CHAIR: All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

20 CHAIR: All opposed?

21 Motion is adopted.

22 MR. HANNON: Next, are Gaming Permits
23 and Non-Gaming Registrations.

24 The Bureau of Licensing has provided
25 you with a list of 572 individuals to whom the Bureau

1 has granted temporary or full occupation permits, and
2 225 individuals to whom the Bureau has granted
3 registrations under the authority delegated to the
4 Bureau of Licensing. I ask that the Board consider
5 our motion approving the Order.

6 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel
7 has no objection.

8 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
9 the Board?

10 May I have a motion, please?

11 MS. REGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
12 Regan moves that the Board approve the Applications
13 for Gaming Employee Occupation Permits and Non-Gaming
14 Employee Registrations, as described by the Bureau of
15 Licensing.

16 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
17 Manzano-Díaz, second.

18 CHAIR: All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

20 CHAIR: All opposed?

21 Motion is adopted.

22 MR. HANNON: There is also a
23 Recommendation of Denial for three Gaming Applicants
24 and one Non-Gaming Employee.

25 The Applicants were notified that they

1 were being recommended for denial and failed to
2 request a hearing within the specified timeframe.
3 The Bureau of Licensing has provided you with Orders
4 addressing the Applicants, who the OEC has recommend
5 for denial. I ask that the Board consider the Orders
6 approving the denials.

7 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel
8 continues to request denial in each matter.

9 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
10 the Board?

11 May I have a motion, please?

12 MR. DERMODY: Madam Chair,
13 Commissioner Dermody moves that the Board deny the
14 Gaming and Non-Gaming Employee Applications as
15 described by the Bureau of Licensing.

16 MR. MUSTIO: Commissioner Mustio
17 seconds.

18 CHAIR: All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

20 CHAIR: All opposed?

21 Motion carries.

22 MR. HANNON: The next matters for your
23 consideration are Withdrawal Requests. In each case,
24 the licensed permit or registration is no longer
25 required. For today's meeting I've provided the

1 Board with five key employees, 14 gaming employees
2 and 39 non-gaming employees and 4 VGT gaming
3 applicants. I ask that the Board consider the Orders
4 approving these withdrawals.

5 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel
6 has no objection.

7 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
8 the Board?

9 Hearing none, may I have a motion?

10 MR. MUSTIO: Madam Chair, Commissioner
11 Mustio moves that the Board grant the withdrawal of
12 Key, Gaming and Non-Gaming Employee Applications as
13 described by the Bureau of Licensing.

14 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
15 Manzano-Díaz second.

16 CHAIR: All in favor?

17 AYES RESPOND

18 CHAIR: All opposed?

19 Motion is adopted.

20 MR. HANNON: Next, we have an Order to
21 certify Wayman Fire Protection Incorporated as a
22 Gaming Service Provider. I have provided you with a
23 draft Order and ask the Board to consider the Order
24 to certify this Gaming Service Provider.

25 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel

1 has no objection.

2 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
3 the Board?

4 May I have a motion, please?

5 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
6 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board
7 approve the Gaming Service Provider Certification as
8 described by the Bureau of Licensing.

9 MR. LOGAN: Commissioner Logan
10 seconds.

11 CHAIR: All in favor?

12 AYES RESPOND

13 CHAIR: All opposed?

14 Motion is adopted.

15 MR. HANNON: Finally, for your
16 consideration are Gaming Service Provider
17 Registrations. The Bureau of Licensing provided you
18 with an Order and an attached list of eight
19 Registered Gaming Service Provider Applicants. I ask
20 that the Board consider the Order registering these
21 Gaming Service Providers.

22 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel
23 has no objection.

24 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
25 the Board?

1 Hearing none, may I have a motion,
2 please?

3 MR. LOGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
4 Logan moves that the Board approve the Gaming Service
5 Provider Registrations as described by the Bureau of
6 Licensing.

7 MR. DERMODY: Commissioner Dermody
8 seconds.

9 CHAIR: All in favor?

10 AYES RESPOND

11 CHAIR: All opposed?

12 Motion is adopted.

13 Thank you, Sean.

14 MR. HANNON: Thank you.

15 CHAIR: We will now hear from Chief
16 Enforcement Counsel, Cyrus Pitre.

17 ATTORNEY PITRE: Morning, Chair,
18 Members of the Board. Today the OEC will present 11
19 matters for the Board's consideration, in which we
20 will request the approval of one Consent Agreement,
21 three Revocations, two Suspensions, and five
22 Involuntary Exclusions.

23 The next matter on the Agenda for the
24 Board's consideration is a Consent Agreement between
25 the OEC and Category 2 Licensee Wind Creek Bethlehem,

1 LLC, doing business as Wind Creek Bethlehem. The
2 matter will be presented by Assistant Enforcement
3 Counsel Kelci Scirrotto, representatives for Wind
4 Creek Bethlehem are present, and at this time, I'd
5 request that those individuals please introduce
6 themselves for the record.

7 ATTORNEY KAUFMAN: Yes, Lynne Kaufman,
8 Cooper Levenson on behalf of Wind Creek Bethlehem.
9 And -?

10 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Michael Magazzu,
11 M-A-G-A-Z-Z-U, Executive Director of Compliance and
12 Risk Management for Wind Creek Bethlehem,

13 CHAIR: I would ask if there are any
14 non-attorneys that are testifying, that you would
15 stand and be sworn by the Court Reporter.

16 ATTORNEY KAUFMAN: Mr. Magazzu would
17 possibly be testifying in his role as Compliance, as
18 Executive Director of Compliance. So I'm not sure if
19 you would like to swear him in?

20 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: I am a licensed
21 attorney, but I'm here in my capacity with Wind Creek
22 as a non-attorney, but I am a licensed attorney.

23 CHAIR: Your license is in good
24 standing?

25 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Yes, it is.

1 CHAIR: Okay.

2 Then you do not need to be sworn in,
3 but I would also ask, which I have not before, that
4 anybody who is testifying, please state and spell
5 your name for the Court Reporter. And I know you
6 did, sir, but everybody else should as well.

7 OEC, do you want to proceed?

8 ATTORNEY SCIRROTTO: Thank you, Chair
9 Smyler, Members of the Board, Kelci Scirrotto,
10 S-C-I-R-R-O-T-T-O, Assistant Enforcement Counsel with
11 the OEC.

12 A Proposed Consent Agreement is
13 between the OEC and Wind Creek Bethlehem, LLC, doing
14 business as Wind Creek Bethlehem. This Consent
15 Agreement involves a Self-Exclusion List incident.

16 Between January 11th and January 15th
17 of 2021, a Self-Excluded individual was on the casino
18 floor for approximately three days, nine hours and
19 ten minutes with intermittent breaks. Of that time,
20 the individual gamed for about 73 hours and 45
21 minutes. She gamed at 21 Midi Baccarat Tables and
22 bought-in for a total of \$10,495. The individual did
23 not use or present her identification during the time
24 that she was on the casino floor.

25 At approximately 6:51 a.m., on January

1 15th, 2021, a Table Games Pit Manager notified Wind
2 Creek's Surveillance Department about this
3 individual. She was stopped by security four minutes
4 later. Security confiscated \$230 in checks and this
5 was remitted in March of 2021 to the Board. The
6 Self-Excluded individual was permanently evicted and
7 she was charged with summary defiant trespass.

8 Wind Creek has provided OEC with
9 information regarding some of the measures it
10 utilizes to identify Self-Excluded individuals. Wind
11 Creek uses a Veridocs hardware and software system,
12 which provides full scanning capabilities for
13 multiple forms of identification. The Self-Exclusion
14 List is uploaded to the Veridocs I.D. Scanning
15 Terminals which allows Wind Creek to identify Self-
16 Exclusion individuals before they enter the casino
17 floor.

18 At this time, the OEC respectfully
19 requests that the Board approve the proposed Consent
20 Agreement and Stipulation of Settlement, which
21 requires that Wind Creek pay a civil penalty in the
22 amount of \$10,000, and an administrative fee of
23 \$2,500 for costs incurred by OEC, BIE and other
24 related staff in connection with this matter. If you
25 have any questions, we would be happy to address them

1 at this time.

2 CHAIR: Wind Creek, do you have
3 anything to add at this time?

4 ATTORNEY KAUFMAN: At this time -.
5 First of all, Lynne Kaufman, K-A-U-F-M-A-N, and I'd
6 just like to say that we have reviewed and agree with
7 the facts and the terms of the Consent Agreement and
8 the Stipulation of Settlement and subject to any
9 questions from the Board, we kindly ask the Board to
10 approve the Consent Agreement and Stipulation of
11 Settlement.

12 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
13 the Board?

14 MR. MUSTIO: I do. I have a couple
15 questions. Two issues I see here. One is,
16 obviously, the Self-Exclusion person on the floor,
17 but what is your policy or procedure when identifying
18 people that perhaps have a gambling problem?

19 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Well, there are
20 many different signs that we are aware of through our
21 training and education. So I think the best answer
22 to that question is that it depends on the
23 circumstances that arise -.

24 MR. LOGAN: She was there for three
25 days.

1 MR. MUSTIO: Just a second, Sean.

2 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Right.

3 MR. MUSTIO: Sean, just a second. So
4 she was there three days.

5 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Right.

6 MR. MUSTIO: I heard somebody say
7 that. To me, that's a sign that somebody has a
8 problem and that oh, maybe she's already on the List?
9 So go through that process.

10 CHAIR: One day for 24 hours straight.

11 MR. MUSTIO: Right. Somebody's there
12 for three days, explain that. Explain what you guys
13 did during that time?

14 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: So much of it is
15 based on training and education and we train and
16 educate our team members extensively on signs of
17 problem gambling, and there are a variety of them.
18 In this case, we recognize the length of time is one
19 of those indicators, and that's something that we do
20 train on very comprehensively.

21 In this case, you know, again, we
22 recognize that she was there for over a span of five
23 days and it was 73 hours total on the casino floor.
24 Given our business, we - there is also a recognition
25 that we have patrons, based on our bus business, our

1 hotel, we do have patrons who are there consecutive
2 days. Sometimes three, sometimes five. It could be
3 even longer. In this case, you know, we recognize
4 that the length of time in that five-day span was
5 quite extensive.

6 And so that leaves us with looking at,
7 you know, the root cause of the issue. In this case,
8 there was no transaction that gave rise to her
9 providing an I.D. There was no use of a rewards
10 card. So all of the technological capabilities we
11 have were not in play here. So that leaves us to
12 that same training and education and particularly our
13 front line team members trying to recognize either
14 signs of problem gambling, or just someone's
15 appearance, which ultimately is what occurred here
16 with a table games team member recognizing the
17 person's appearance and reporting it.

18 MR. MUSTIO: But you also have
19 security rooms that have cameras, and we've all
20 toured them, and you have the list of excluded people
21 in those rooms.

22 Right? And that was 73 hours that
23 somebody is probably -. I don't know, it just is
24 really odd to me that that just didn't ring some
25 bells.

1 ATTORNEY KAUFMAN: We - I do want to
2 say that during the time that we started negotiating
3 and discussing this Consent Agreement with OEC was
4 around the time of our annual training. And during
5 that annual training this year, both the facial
6 recognition aspect of the Self-Excluded List and
7 obviously the, looking for somebody there and
8 factoring in the number of hours, maybe overall,
9 there's other things that they'd look at that were
10 not present here, was emphasized during the most
11 recent training and will continue to be emphasized.

12 CHAIR: Commissioner Logan?

13 MR. LOGAN: For OEC, that span that
14 she was there for 23 hours straight, was she moving
15 around at the tables?

16 ATTORNEY SCIRROTTO: She was moving
17 around tables, yes.

18 MR. LOGAN: She was? So I mean,
19 you're - clearly your training and education failed
20 in this instance. What are you doing to retrain or
21 re-educate or how you -?

22 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: We look at -
23 anytime we have a regulatory violation, in this case,
24 a Self-Exclusion incident, where we have an unrated
25 player who seemingly wanted to remain anonymous,

1 that's where we emphasize all of those indicators.

2 So Commissioner Logan, you
3 highlighted, you know, movement around tables.
4 That's one of the things that we have - one of the
5 indicators that we have pointed out in the past
6 through our training, which, you know, many of the
7 third-party programs that we look at recognize as an
8 indicator, that's one of the many.

9 So as Attorney Kaufman said, that's
10 one thing that we emphasized. We did our annual
11 training between October and end of year. And so
12 that is one of the several things. And given this
13 situation, that's one of the things that we highlight
14 and we will continue to emphasize is, you know, for
15 those patrons who choose to remain unrated, what are
16 the things that our front line team members can and
17 should be looking for to -?

18 We have - you know, we have systems
19 that have flags, alerts for things that ultimately
20 result in us recognizing a self-excluded patron. But
21 in this case, it's something that an individual, one
22 of our team members, a sign like movement around
23 tables, comments that are made, certain behaviors
24 that we are emphasizing that they can flag in their
25 minds and escalate to a supervisor, or to security,

1 to surveillance.

2 MR. LOGAN: Thanks.

3 CHAIR: Commissioner Dermody?

4 MR. DERMODY: The person was there for
5 24 hours and they moved tables, as I understand.
6 They were switching tables. Well, wouldn't that
7 trigger some discussion? Wouldn't that trigger
8 somebody saying, maybe something's wrong here? I
9 mean, how often do you have people that sit at the
10 tables and game for 24 hours straight?

11 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Twenty-four (24)
12 hours straight is - to my knowledge, is not all that
13 common. Movement among tables is very common. It's
14 actually uncommon for patrons to sit at the same
15 table, especially at certain types of games for
16 extended periods of time.

17 MR. DERMODY: And prior to this
18 incident, you did training for your employees with
19 regards to these types of issues?

20 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: We did. We did.

21 MR. DERMODY: Thank you.

22 CHAIR: Commissioner Regan?

23 MS. REGAN: I have a question just for
24 OEC. Is there some type of formula or some factors
25 that you considered in coming up with that fine

1 amount of \$10,000?

2 ATTORNEY PITRE: Yeah, the fine was
3 based on precedence set by the Board in 2012 when an
4 individual was on the floor gambling for - in the
5 casino for 91 hours. There was two individuals. One
6 was there for an hour; another individual was there
7 for 91 hours and that fine was \$10,000. There's a
8 subsequent case where the same individual in the 91
9 hour case, again, was at the casino for 96 hours and
10 on the gaming floor, gaming for 16 hours and that
11 fine - that second violation was ramped up to \$20,000
12 at that point.

13 So this is - this is not the most
14 egregious case we've had like this, and this is
15 keeping in line with the Board's precedent that was
16 set in the previous cases.

17 CHAIR: Commissioner Manzano-Díaz?

18 CHAIR: When was the second \$20,000
19 fine?

20 ATTORNEY PITRE: The 20? That was
21 2013.

22 CHAIR: And this is 2022.

23 ATTORNEY PITRE: Uh-huh (yes). And the
24 first one was 2012.

25 CHAIR: Okay.

1 One question - I just have one
2 question also, and then I will give it over to you,
3 Jen.

4 What about the appearance triggered
5 this supervisor to call somebody? You said it was
6 her appearance?

7 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: I believe it was
8 just her - the team member recognizing the patron's
9 face. It wasn't anything about her clothing or
10 behavior. She just recognized her face. Our team
11 members do, when they have time, look at,
12 particularly in table games and security, where
13 there's more of a likelihood of recognizing someone
14 who's on the Self-Exclusion List, or any exclusion
15 list. They do look at the faces of the individuals
16 on that list.

17 CHAIR: So it wasn't that she was
18 disheveled or dirty or anything?

19 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: No. According to
20 the reports we have, it was just her facial
21 appearance.

22 CHAIR: Okay.

23 Jennifer?

24 MS. LANGAN: Was her age a factor? I
25 mean, this was a kind of a middle-aged woman in the

1 sense that your team didn't -? What I'm trying to
2 get at is, I don't know if that was a factor, because
3 if it is, I think that maybe what you consider a
4 problem gamer, may have to change. Like, this is a
5 woman who is middle-aged, who was in the casino for
6 this period of time, is that what you normally
7 recognize? Is that -?

8 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Our team - we train
9 our team to be aware that problem gaming isn't
10 limited to only certain ages or other backgrounds.
11 So it was
12 - age was only a factor in that she did not appear to
13 be under 30 years old. Therefore, she was not carded
14 at the entrance. Had she been, we would have
15 recognized her as a Self-Exclusion at the entrance
16 and she would have been prohibited from entering the
17 casino in the first place.

18 We've actually implemented - through
19 our technologies, have implemented a system through
20 the use of the State's Self-Exclusion List and our
21 I.D. scanning system, we have found a way to
22 confidentially upload the Self-Exclusion List into
23 our I.D. scanning system so that we can then flag
24 self-excluded individuals at the entrances before
25 they even step foot on the floor.

1 That's the only way that age was a
2 factor.

3 MS. LANGAN: Okay.

4 MR. LOGAN: Just a follow up.

5 Did you say she was or was not a guest
6 at the hotel?

7 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: She was not a guest
8 at the hotel. She was not a registered guest or even
9 an accompanying guest.

10 MR. LOGAN: Okay.

11 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: And I did verify
12 weeks ago, but also again yesterday, that had been,
13 our systems would have flagged that as well, and
14 would have alerted us to it.

15 CHAIR: Cyrus, the 2012 incident, was
16 it with Wind Creek or a different -?

17 ATTORNEY PITRE: No, the 2012 and 2013
18 incident was not with Wind Creek.

19 CHAIR: Okay.

20 ATTORNEY PITRE: This was a separate
21 casino. It was the same individual going to the same
22 casino on two different occasions.

23 CHAIR: Thank you.

24 We are actually going to take a brief
25 ten-minute break right now and we'll be right back.

1 MS. REGAN: Can I ask one question
2 before we break?

3 CHAIR: Sure.

4 MS. REGAN: I'm sorry, I just thought
5 of this.

6 Is this the -? Has there been any
7 other violations of this sort with Wind Creek in the
8 recent -?

9 ATTORNEY KAUFMAN: No. And I would
10 also offer that since Wind Creek Hospitality has
11 purchased the property, this is actually the first
12 violation or Consent Order with respect to any
13 regulatory issue that we've entered into.

14 CHAIR: Thank you. We're going to
15 break for Executive Session for about ten minutes.

16 ---

17 (WHEREUPON, EXECUTIVE SESSION WAS HELD.)

18 ---

19 CHAIR: Thank you. We're back on the
20 record.

21 Any other questions or comments from
22 the Board?

23 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: I have a question.
24 How vigilant are your staff in terms of, if they see
25 someone during their shift, and it could be, I don't

1 know, an eight-hour, ten-hour shift, and they're
2 still playing and they see them in the casino, is - I
3 mean, what - how are they trained to be vigilant?

4 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: It's not just the
5 length of time. That, again, is a factor, but I - as
6 I sit here today, I can say our front line staff is
7 incredibly vigilant toward these responsible gaming
8 issues, as well as over-service of alcohol or
9 anything that might give rise to a problem on the
10 floor. It's - we basically have a see something/say
11 something attitude and extensive training that goes
12 along with that.

13 So I comb through the security and
14 surveillance reports every day, and every day I can
15 say that I'm pleased with how vigilant, how
16 responsive our front line staff is with all of those
17 types of incidents.

18 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Okay.

19 Thank you.

20 CHAIR: Any other questions or
21 comments?

22 May I have a motion, please?

23 MR. DERMODY: Madam Chair,
24 Commissioner Dermody moves that the Board reject the
25 Consent Agreement between the OEC and Wind Creek

1 Bethlehem as described by the OEC.

2 CHAIR: May I have a second?

3 MS. REGAN: Commissioner Regan
4 seconds.

5 CHAIR: All in favor?

6 AYES RESPOND

7 CHAIR: All opposed?

8 Motion is adopted.

9 ATTORNEY PITRE: The next five matters
10 on the Agenda consist of enforcement actions in which
11 the OEC filed complaints seeking the revocation or
12 suspension of Gaming Employee Occupation Permits or
13 Non-Gaming Employee Registrations issued by the
14 Board. The complaints have been filed with the
15 Board's OHA and properly served upon the individual
16 named in each complaint. The individual named in
17 each complaint failed to respond within 30 days as
18 required by Board regulation.

19 As a result, the OEC filed a Request
20 for Default Judgment in each instance and properly
21 served the same upon each Respondent. Therefore, the
22 facts in each complaint are deemed admitted; all
23 filed documents have been provided by the Board to
24 the Board and the matters are presented ripe for the
25 Board's consideration. In each matter, we will

1 provide a brief summary of the facts and make the
2 appropriate request for the Board action.

3 ATTORNEY SCIRROTTO: Once again, Kelci
4 Scirrotto, S-C-I-R-R-O-T-T-O, Assistant Enforcement
5 Counsel with the OEC. The next matter on the Agenda
6 for the Board's consideration is the Revocations of
7 the Non-Gaming Employee Registration issued to Glenn
8 Carson. Mr. Carson had previously been employed as
9 an EVS janitorial staff member for contractor, Jani-
10 King, and worked as an EVS janitorial staff member at
11 Live! Casino Pittsburgh.

12 While working at Live! Casino
13 Pittsburgh, Mr. Carson approached a slot machine and
14 played the machine using credits left on the machine
15 by a patron. Mr. Carson played down the patron's
16 credits in the amount of \$2.90. Mr. Carson quit his
17 job approximately 20 minutes later. Jani-King
18 advised that Mr. Carson would have been terminated if
19 he hadn't quit.

20 Board regulations prohibit current
21 employees from gaming at the facility where they are
22 currently employed or associated. Mr. Carson is not
23 currently employed in the Pennsylvania Gaming
24 industry. Therefore, the OEC requests that the Board
25 revoke the Non-Gaming Employee Registration issued to

1 Glenn Carson.

2 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
3 the Board?

4 Hearing none, may I have a motion,
5 please?

6 MS. REGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
7 Regan moves that the Board approve the revocation of
8 Glenn Carson's Non-Gaming Employee Registration for
9 the conduct described by the OEC.

10 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
11 Manzano-Díaz second.

12 CHAIR: All in favor?

13 AYES RESPOND

14 CHAIR: All opposed?

15 Motion is adopted.

16 ATTORNEY SCIRROTTO: The next matter
17 on the agenda for the Board's consideration is the
18 suspension of the Non-Gaming Employee Registration
19 issued to Janae Corder.

20 Ms. Corder was previously employed as
21 an EVS attendant at Lady Luck Casino. BIE was
22 notified that Ms. Corder had been criminally charged
23 with felony aggravated assault, felony riot,
24 misdemeanor obstruction of justice and summary
25 harassment. She's been placed in the Accelerated

1 Rehabilitative Disposition Program and remains so
2 relative to these charges. Ms. Corder is not
3 currently employed in the Pennsylvania Gaming
4 industry.

5 At this time, the OEC requests that
6 the Board suspend the Non-Gaming Employee
7 Registration issued to Janae Corder.

8 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
9 the Board?

10 May I have a motion please?

11 MR. LOGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
12 Logan moves that that Board approve the suspension of
13 Janae Corder's Non-Gaming Employee Registration for
14 the conduct described by the OEC.

15 MR. MUSTIO: Commissioner Mustio
16 seconds.

17 CHAIR: All in favor?

18 AYES RESPOND

19 CHAIR: All opposed?

20 Motion is adopted.

21 ATTORNEY SCIRROTTO: The next matter
22 on the Agenda for the Board's consideration is the
23 suspension of the Gaming Employee Occupation Permit
24 issued to Kiara McCall. Ms. McCall was previously
25 employed as a cage cashier at Parx Casino. BIE was

1 notified that Ms. McCall had been criminally charged
2 with felony burglary, felony strangulation, felony
3 criminal trespass and two additional misdemeanors.
4 Her charges are currently pending. Ms. McCall is not
5 currently employed in Pennsylvania's gaming industry.

6 At this time, the OEC requests that
7 the Board suspend the Gaming Employee Occupation
8 Permit issued to Kiara McCall.

9 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
10 the Board?

11 Hearing none, may I have a motion?

12 MR. MUSTIO: Madam Chair, Commissioner
13 Mustio moves that the Board approve the suspension of
14 Kiara McCall's Gaming Employee Occupation Permit for
15 the conduct described by the OEC.

16 CHAIR: May I have a second?

17 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
18 Manzano-Díaz second.

19 CHAIR: Thank you. All in favor?

20 AYES RESPOND

21 CHAIR: All opposed?

22 Motion is adopted.

23 ATTORNEY CROHE: Good morning, Chair,
24 Members of the Board, John Crohe, C-R-O-H-E, Senior
25 Enforcement Counsel for the OEC. The next matter on

1 the Agenda for the Board's consideration is the
2 revocation of the Gaming Employee Occupation Permit
3 issued to Lexus Woodson. Ms. Woodson was previously
4 employed as a security officer at the South
5 Philadelphia Race and Sportsbook. BIE was notified
6 that Ms. Woodson had been criminally charged with
7 aggravated assault, simple assault, defiant trespass,
8 resisting arrest and disorderly conduct. She
9 subsequently pled guilty to misdemeanor simple
10 assault. Ms. Woodson is not currently employed in
11 Pennsylvania's gaming industry. At this time, the
12 OEC request that the Board revoke the Gaming Employee
13 Occupation Permit issued to Lexus Woodson.

14 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
15 the Board?

16 May I have a motion, please?

17 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
18 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board
19 approve the revocation of Lexus Woodson's Gaming
20 Employee Occupation Permit for the conduct described
21 by the OEC.

22 MR. LOGAN: Commissioner Logan
23 seconds.

24 CHAIR: All in favor?

25 AYES RESPOND

1 CHAIR: All opposed?

2 Motion carries.

3 ATTORNEY MONAGHAN: Good morning,
4 Thomas Monaghan, M-O-N-A-G-H-A-N, with the OEC.

5 The next matter on the Agenda for the
6 Board's consideration is the revocation of the Gaming
7 Employee Occupation Permit issued to Joseph Curran.
8 However, OEC would like to amend the request on the
9 request for a request for a six-month suspension of
10 his permit, based on Board precedent set at the
11 February 23rd, 2022 Board meeting in a similar
12 matter.

13 Mr. Curran was previously employed as
14 a Table Games Dealer at Parx Casino. Mr. Curran was
15 discovered gaming at slot machines and in the Poker
16 Room at Parx Casino three days after separation of
17 his employment with Parx. Board regulations require
18 that former employees wait at least 30 days from the
19 date of their separation before gaming at the
20 facility at which they were formerly employed. Mr.
21 Curran is currently not employed in the Pennsylvania
22 gaming industry.

23 At this time, the OEC requests that
24 the Board suspend the Gaming Employee Occupation
25 Permit issued to Joseph Curran.

1 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
2 the Board?

3 Hearing none, do I have a motion?

4 MR. LOGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
5 Logan moves that the Board reject the revocation of
6 Joseph Curran's Gaming Employee Occupation Permit for
7 the conduct described by the OEC, but grant the OEC's
8 revised request for a six-month suspension of Joseph
9 Curran's Permit.

10 MR. DERMODY: Dermody seconds.

11 CHAIR: All in favor?

12 AYES RESPOND

13 CHAIR: All opposed?

14 Motion carries.

15 ATTORNEY PITRE: The remaining matters
16 on the Agenda consist of enforcement actions in which
17 the OEC filed Petitions Seeking the Involuntary
18 Exclusion of individuals whose presence in a license
19 facility are inimical to the interest of the
20 Commonwealth and their licensed gaming therein. In
21 each instance the Petition for Exclusion has been
22 filed with the Board's OHA and properly served upon
23 the individuals named in each Petition. The
24 individual named in each Petition failed to respond
25 within 30 days as required by Board regulation. As a

1 result, the OEC filed a Request for Default Judgement
2 in each instance and properly served the same upon
3 each individual. Therefore, all facts in each
4 Petition are deemed admitted.

5 All filed documents have been provided
6 to the Board and the matters are presently ripe for
7 the Board's consideration. In each instance if the
8 Board orders the proposed exclusion, each
9 individual's photo, personal identifiers and a
10 summary of the inimical conduct, may be placed on the
11 Board's public website. Once again, in each matter,
12 we will provide a brief summary of the facts and make
13 a request for the appropriate Board action.

14 ATTORNEY MONAGHAN: Thomas Monaghan
15 once again, with the OEC. The next matter on the
16 Agenda for the Board's consideration is a request to
17 place James Barnaba on the Board's Involuntary
18 Exclusion List.

19 Mr. Barnaba discharged a weapon and
20 shot an individual in the parking garage in Rivers
21 Pittsburgh after a physical altercation with that
22 individual. He was criminally charged and
23 permanently evicted from River's Pittsburgh for his
24 actions.

25 At this time, the OEC request that the

1 Board place James Barnaba on the Board's Involuntary
2 Exclusion List.

3 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
4 the Board?

5 May I have a motion, please?

6 MR. DERMODY: Madam Chair,
7 Commissioner Dermody moves that the Board approve the
8 addition of James Barnaba to the Pennsylvania Gaming
9 Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List for the
10 conduct described by the OEC.

11 MS. REGAN: Commissioner Regan
12 seconds.

13 CHAIR: All in favor?

14 AYES RESPOND

15 CHAIR: All opposed?

16 Motion is adopted.

17 ATTORNEY MONAGHAN: The next matter on
18 the Agenda for the Board's consideration is a request
19 to place Tovah Perry on the Board's Involuntary
20 Exclusion List.

21 Ms. Perry left her eight-year old
22 child unattended in her vehicle for approximately one
23 hour while she received a promotional item and
24 engaged in slot play at Valley Forge Casino Resort.
25 The child was discovered by security. She received a

1 verbal warning from police, but was not criminally
2 charged and was permanently evicted from Valley Forge
3 for her actions.

4 At this time, the OEC request the
5 Board place Tovah Perry on the Board's Involuntary
6 Exclusion List.

7 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
8 the Board?

9 MR. LOGAN: I do, Madam Chair. Just
10 one.

11 CHAIR: Certainly.

12 MR. LOGAN: The promotional item, was
13 she gaming and then went to get the promotional item,
14 or did she get a push notification and then showed
15 up?

16 ATTORNEY MONAGHAN: She showed up to
17 pick up the promotional items and then went to game
18 at the slot machines. The promotional - the
19 promotion was mailed to her -

20 MR. LOGAN: I gotcha.

21 ATTORNEY MONAGHAN: - to pick up a
22 gift.

23 MR. LOGAN: Okay.

24 Thank you.

25 CHAIR: Any other questions or

1 comments?

2 Hearing none, may I have a motion,
3 please?

4 MS. REGAN: Madam Chair, Commissioner
5 Regan moves that the Board approve the addition of
6 Tovah Perry to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List
7 for the conduct described by the OEC.

8 MR. LOGAN: Commissioner Logan
9 seconds.

10 CHAIR: All in favor?

11 AYES RESPOND

12 CHAIR: All opposed?

13 Motion is adopted.

14 ATTORNEY MONAGHAN: The next matter on
15 the Agenda for the Board's consideration is a request
16 to place Lejuane Sanford on the Board's Involuntary
17 Exclusion List.

18 Mr. Sanford cheated by capping his
19 wagers while playing Blackjack at Rivers
20 Philadelphia. He was issued a 24-hour ejection for
21 his actions. Further, Mr. Sanford has a history of
22 numerous cheating incidents in multiple Pennsylvania
23 casinos and had been previously permanently evicted
24 from Harrah's Philadelphia and Parx for his cheating.

25 At this time, the OEC request the

1 Board place Lejuane Sanford on the Board's
2 Involuntary Exclusion List.

3 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
4 the Board?

5 May I have a motion, please?

6 MR. DERMODY: Madam Chair,
7 Commissioner Dermody moves that the Board approve the
8 addition of Lejuane Sanford to the Pennsylvania
9 Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List for
10 the conduct described by the OEC.

11 MR. MUSTIO: Commissioner Mustio
12 seconds.

13 CHAIR: All in favor?

14 AYES RESPOND

15 CHAIR: All opposed?

16 Motion is adopted.

17 ATTORNEY CROHE: John Crohe once again
18 for the OEC. The next matter on the Agenda for the
19 Board's consideration is a Petition to place Jahid
20 Brown on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List.

21 Jahid Brown past-posted a Mini
22 Baccarat wager at Live Casino Philadelphia resulting
23 in an illegal game of \$440. Additionally, Mr. Brown
24 was involved in two incidents at Rivers Philadelphia
25 Casino, whereby he attempted to steal from another

1 patron and attempted to pass three counterfeit 100
2 dollar bills.

3 The OEC now requests that the Board
4 place Jahid Brown on the Involuntary Exclusion List.

5 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
6 the Board?

7 Hearing none, may I have a motion?

8 MR. MUSTIO: Madam Chair, Commissioner
9 Mustio moves that the Board approve the addition of
10 Jahid Brown to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List
11 for the conduct described by the OEC.

12 CHAIR: May I have a second, please?

13 MR. LOGAN: Commissioner Logan
14 seconds.

15 CHAIR: All in favor?

16 AYES RESPOND

17 CHAIR: All opposed?

18 Motion is adopted.

19 ATTORNEY SCIRROTTO: The next matter
20 on the Agenda for the Board's consideration is a
21 request to place Leandri Perez on the Board's
22 Involuntary Exclusion List.

23 While employed as a housekeeper at
24 Mount Airy's Hotel, Mr. Perez broke into a guest's
25 hotel room using his access card while she was

1 inside. He refused to leave the room and sexually
2 harassed the guest. Mr. Perez was criminally charged
3 with felony burglary and multiple misdemeanors,
4 including indecent exposure, open lewdness, defiant
5 trespass and harassment. His position at the time of
6 the incident did not require licensure.

7 At this time, OEC requests that the
8 Board place Leandri Perez on the Board's Involuntary
9 Exclusion List.

10 CHAIR: Any questions or comments from
11 the Board?

12 Hearing none, may I have a motion?

13 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
14 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board
15 approve the addition of Leandri Perez to the PGCB
16 Involuntary Exclusion List for the conduct described
17 by the OEC.

18 MR. LOGAN: Commissioner Logan
19 seconds.

20 CHAIR: All in favor?

21 AYES RESPOND

22 CHAIR: All opposed?

23 Motion is adopted.

24 ATTORNEY PITRE: Thank you. That
25 concludes our business.

1 CHAIR: Thank you, Cyrus. Thank you,
2 Counsel.

3 Okay.

4 As our last order of business, I would
5 note that this is our quarterly meeting where we open
6 up the floor to public comment. With that said, I
7 don't believe we had anyone sign up to speak today.
8 So unless there is someone in attendance that wishes
9 to address the Board, I believe we can move on.

10 ---

11 (WHEREUPON, A PAUSE IN THE RECORD WAS HELD.)

12 ---

13 CHAIR: Observing no one coming
14 forward, this concludes today's meeting. The next
15 public meeting of the Board will be held on
16 Wednesday, April 13th, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., at this
17 location.

18 Now, may I please have a motion to
19 adjourn?

20 MR. LOGAN: So moved.

21 MR. DERMODY: Second.

22 CHAIR: All in favor?

23 AYES RESPOND

24 CHAIR: The meeting is adjourned.
25 Thank you.

* * * * *

MEETING CONCLUDED

* * * * *

CERTIFICATE

1

2

3

4

5

6 I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings,

7 hearing was held before Chair Smyler, was reported by

8 me on March 23, 2022 and that I, Hannah Bartkowski,

9 read this transcript, and that I attest that this

10 transcript is a true and accurate record of the

11 proceeding.

12

13 Date the 2 day of May, 2022

14



15

Hannah Bartkowski,

16

Court Reporter

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4