1	
2	
3	PENNSYLVANIA GAMING CONTROL BOARD
4	DENNOVIVANTA CERTE MUCEUM DVITADING
5	PENNSYLVANIA STATE MUSEUM BUILDING 300 NORTH STREET
6	AUDITORIUM HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA
7	
8	WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2004, 9:15 A.M.
9	DE EODE .
10	BEFORE: THOMAS DECKER, CHAIRMAN
11	MARY DIGIACOMO COLINS
12	WILLIAM P. CONABOY JEFFREY W. COY KENNETH T. McCABE
13	JOSEPH W. MARSHALL, III SANFORD RIVERS
14	GREGORY C. FAJT, SECRETARY OF REVENUE DENNIS WOLFF, SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE
15	BARBRA HAFER, TREASURER OF COMMONWEALTH PENNSYLVANIA
16	
17	
18	
19	RAMONA L. DEVLIN REPORTER-NOTARY PUBLIC
20	NDIONIEN NOIMNI IODDIC
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		Ι	N	D	Ε	Х
2						
3	WITNESS				PF	AGE
4	Tom Sterling				4	l
5	Captain Ron Petyak				13	35
6	Lynn Stelle				22	23
7						
8						
9						
LO						
11						
12						
L3						
L 4						
L5						
L 6						
L7						
L8						
L9						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						

1	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Good morning. I'm going
2	to call the meeting to order. A quorum is present of
3	the Directors, since they are all here. Also,
4	Secretary Fajt and Secretary Wolff are here, as well.
5	Our first order of business will be the
6	pledge of allegiance.
7	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
8	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Thank you. Our first
9	presenter today will be Tom Sterling, who's President
10	of Information Systems Group, Inc.
11	He's going to talk about some of the
12	options that exist for how we, as a Board, will
13	structure areas of responsibility mandated by the
14	Gaming Law.
15	Tom has been working with gaming
16	consultants to help research best practices from other
17	jurisdictions. He will, this morning, tell us about
18	the alternatives that exist for structuring background
19	investigations and security and surveillance.
20	Particularly, I want to emphasize that Tom
21	has been very helpful in reviewing the options. Like
22	I said yesterday, these are options that are being
23	teed up for the Board to make some decisions about
24	no decisions have been made at all on these matters.
25	Tom.

1	MR. STERLING: Thank you very much,
2	Chairman Decker and Members of the Board. As Chairman
3	Decker said, my name is Tom Sterling. I'm with
4	Information Services Group.
5	And one of the interesting things about our
6	role in this project is we are not gaming experts. We
7	are business system designers, and involved in
8	business process reengineering.
9	One of the things that we have been able to
10	do is to be, kind of, outside the gaming process. To
11	stand outside the gaming industry and to take a look
12	at and assess what makes sense in terms of meeting the
13	in our case surveillance and security goals, and
14	meeting the integrity goals in looking at background
15	investigations.
16	So what I'm going to present to you here is
17	not through the eyes of an experienced regulator, but
18	from somebody who, sort of, like yourselves, is coming
19	into this new.
20	Now, we have been working on this now since
21	September, actively since September. So I've learned
22	a lot. And I think I can give you a fair and balanced
23	assessment of what are the alternatives to meet the
24	objectives of the Gaming Board.
25	Let's take a look at background

1	investigations. What we are going to do is really go
2	through and answer some basics questions about
3	background investigations.
4	And the first thing is, who is
5	investigated? Who are we actually going to be looking
6	into, whose backgrounds? What is examined?
7	We are also going to look at how it's
8	examined. And at the end, we are going to talk about,
9	well, who can do it? And that's really where we get
10	into exploration of alternatives.
11	The Act identifies several parties that
12	must be investigated. And those are, of course, the
13	slot gaming licensees. And that includes category
14	one, category two, and category three licensees.
15	In addition, it requires the background
16	investigation of slot machine manufacturers and
17	suppliers. It also requires background investigations
18	on key employees and qualifiers.
19	We are going to define those terms here in
20	a couple of minutes. In addition, at the Board's
21	discretion, other background checks may be required,
22	and other licenses and permits may be required.
23	And, specifically, those parties would be
24	non-gaming vendors. That is, companies that are not
25	in the business of providing slot machines or slot

1	machine related services; but that are in the business
2	of catering and so on, and also non-gaming employees.
3	Let's define some terms. Slot machine
4	licensees are the businesses that are set up to
5	operate slot gaming facilities in the Commonwealth of
6	Pennsylvania.
7	As I mentioned before, there are three
8	categories. The background investigation on these
9	firms is extensive. Right now, we are in the process
10	of working on the forms.
11	They are 50 pages plus for the firm itself,
12	for the business entity itself; and 60 plus pages for
13	each key employee. So you are talking about a huge
14	volume of information that they provide as input to
15	the background investigation process.
16	The background investigation process's
17	purpose is to verify and corroborate the information
18	provided by the applicants.
19	In addition to the slot machine firms
20	themselves, or the slot machine operators, the Act
21	requires a licensing and background investigation of
22	manufacturers and suppliers of slot machines.
23	And just like slot machine operators, their
24	key employees. And qualifiers must also be
25	investigated. Let's define some terms. I mentioned

1 earlier that we would define some terms.

2	Key employees are individuals who have
3	discretionary and policy decision making power over
4	the operation of the slot gaming facility. So,
5	typically and there's a list of those types of
6	positions here.
7	And those are named in the Act. But any
8	party involved in the operation of a slot gaming
9	facility in the Commonwealth that has discretionary
10	decision making power is a key employee.
11	One of the things to be a little bit
12	cautious about is, as you start to name names or label
13	things, you have to be very careful to make sure that
14	those positions, and what they do, are well-defined.
15	Or you may be impacted by somebody submitting an
16	application saying, well, my title didn't match that,
17	so it's not a key employee.
18	So the key test is policy and discretionary
19	decision making power within the casino operation
20	slot game and operation.
21	Qualifiers. Section 1311 of the Act
22	identifies the following parties as qualified for
23	licensure as key employees. And the difference is
24	these individuals are people that have influence over
25	the business, either because they own more than five

1	percent and there's a notion of controlling
2	interest in the Act or because they are corporate
3	officers and directors.
4	They are not part of line management in the
5	operation of the slot machine facility. But they do
6	have influence, and they do have the ability to make
7	decisions.
8	These are people either on the debtor side
9	or the creditor side or on the equity side that have
10	significant influence over the holding company or the
11	parent of the slot machine operator.
12	Let's talk a little bit about the gaming
13	employees. Gaming employees are individuals who,
14	typically, will be able to physically touch a slot
15	machine. They are going to handle money.
16	They are going to be involved in working
17	with the information technology systems involved in a
18	slot machine facility. They are the people they
19	don't have discretionary decision making capability.
20	But they are there; and they do have access to money,
21	slot machines, games and so on.
22	That's the definition of a gaming employee.
23	Same issue I mentioned before applies here. As we
24	look at how you define gaming employee, it's important
25	to understand not just their titles. But what are the

Τ.	tests you would apply to determine what they are
2	involved in; like I said, physically touching machines
3	and dealing with money and so on.
4	So that's important because each of these
5	are named parties in the Act that need to be
6	investigated and vetted before they are granted a
7	license or permit.
8	I'd like to talk a little bit now about the
9	background investigation process. This is a flow
10	chart and being a systems guy, I like flow charts;
11	so bear with me.
12	This is a flow chart that presents
13	background investigation in the context of the overall
14	licensing process. And here, the background
15	investigation piece is highlighted in dark blue.
16	And what this does, it gives you a sense of
17	the context because background investigation, as a
18	process, doesn't happen in a vacuum.
19	Applicants submit applications. There is
20	an application intake process. There's a review for
21	completeness process. If it's not complete, it goes
22	back to the applicant.
23	There's also, if the person is a key
24	employee or a slot machine gaming employee, there is
25	also fingerprinting activity going on.

1	And that is done by the State Police; in
2	order to make sure that there is no outstanding
3	warrants or no criminal history information.
4	Once an applicant is cleared through that
5	process, they enter the background investigation
6	process. And we are going to go into that in
7	excruciating detail.
8	Let's take a look at what happens after the
9	background process. After the background process is
10	complete, there's a legal review and recommendation.
11	There's then a decision that needs to be made.
12	That's made by the Board. As we discussed
13	yesterday, that may be made by an administrative
14	hearing process, or it may be made by the Board
15	itself.
16	And those are the things you will decide,
17	in terms of how you want to organize that process.
18	But in each case, it's the Board that has the
19	authority to grant or deny the license, based on
20	information obtained through the background
21	investigation process.
22	If the license is granted, it goes into the
23	issue license process. If the license is not granted,
24	then you get involved in administration hearing and so
25	on. And, again, the idea here is to just give you a

1	sense of the context.
2	Now, there's one issue I do want to bring
3	up; and we didn't talk about it yesterday. But the
4	Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement has a unique
5	role to play in this process because in the Act,
6	Section 120717, there's a distinction drawn between
7	the role that BIE, Bureau of Investigation and
8	Enforcement, plays, and the role that you folks play.
9	And the difference is, when you do a
10	background check and you do a legal review, BIE is
11	acting as an advocate for supporting that finding.
12	They are going to be making a recommendation.
13	They don't make the decision. That's up to
14	the Board. One of the things that it calls for in the
15	Act is the idea that the Board shall promulgate
16	regulations pertaining to the operation of the Bureau
17	which shall ensure separation of functions between the
18	Bureau and the Board.
19	The point here is that, from an
20	organization standpoint, you actually have two parts
21	to the Board in what you are doing. BIE is yes?
22	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Where do you see the
23	Pennsylvania State Police in this? They are also in
24	the Act and responsible for background investigations.
25	MR. STERLING: Absolutely. They are; and

1	they need to be working with BIE. What I'm talking
2	about, in terms of, as you look at if you think
3	about we are going to talk about the role of the
4	State Police.
5	But you have the active part of going out
6	and researching and finding information, corroborating
7	information, and so on. And the State Police are
8	involved in that.
9	There's another piece where you bring that
10	information together, you aggregate it, you organize
11	it for presentation to the Board. Now, that really is
12	where your legal review and recommendation comes in.
13	Because at the end of the day, you would
14	have an attorney reviewing that the facts found
15	through the background investigation, and making a
16	recommendation.
17	That's a BIE person. So, yes, the State
18	Police are involved; and we are going to look into
19	that. But what's happening here, and what's important
20	to keep in mind is that you, as the Board, make the
21	decision.
22	It's possible that the BIE investigators
23	are going to come up with a finding, and that's going
24	to be contested.
25	And at that point, they are going to be

1	representing the BIE attorney. Whoever that is, and
2	however that's organized, is going to be advocating
3	for the finding of BIE.
4	And the odds are, if you have a hearing of
5	some sort, you are going to have representation on the
6	other side. In New Jersey, they have a different
7	organization.
8	They actually have two separate
9	organizations. The Casino Control Commission, which
10	has the adjudication rule, and you have the division
11	of Gaming Enforcement. And they are the equivalent of
12	BIE in this case.
13	What they will do is, if there is a
13	What they will do is, if there is a conflict or disagreement over a fact background
14	conflict or disagreement over a fact background
14 15	conflict or disagreement over a fact background investigation, or a difference in opinion about what
14 15 16	conflict or disagreement over a fact background investigation, or a difference in opinion about what the outcome should be, they will actually argue.
14 15 16 17	conflict or disagreement over a fact background investigation, or a difference in opinion about what the outcome should be, they will actually argue.  The folks from DGE have their own
14 15 16 17 18	conflict or disagreement over a fact background investigation, or a difference in opinion about what the outcome should be, they will actually argue.  The folks from DGE have their own attorneys. They will argue on behalf of their
14 15 16 17 18	conflict or disagreement over a fact background investigation, or a difference in opinion about what the outcome should be, they will actually argue.  The folks from DGE have their own attorneys. They will argue on behalf of their findings. The Board or the Casino Control Commission
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	conflict or disagreement over a fact background investigation, or a difference in opinion about what the outcome should be, they will actually argue.  The folks from DGE have their own attorneys. They will argue on behalf of their findings. The Board or the Casino Control Commission then will make the decision.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	conflict or disagreement over a fact background investigation, or a difference in opinion about what the outcome should be, they will actually argue.  The folks from DGE have their own attorneys. They will argue on behalf of their findings. The Board or the Casino Control Commission then will make the decision.  But, of course, the other side, the party

So from an operational standpoint, the

1	State Police are absolutely involved. In terms of the
2	process, as you step through it, and think about this
3	as control points, the BIE attorney has to be involved
4	only from the standpoint that you need a legal opinion
5	of some sort before you get the decision.
6	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: So what I understand
7	then, what you're saying is, if the State Police are
8	involved in these background checks, you are saying
9	that we should have a process where their background
10	checks go before a BIE attorney or the Board attorney?
11	MR. STERLING: It doesn't go before, in the
12	sense of a judgment sense. It does go or it should go
13	in the sense it needs legal review of some sort.
14	Because you are going to be when you get
15	these things back, I don't know how high the paper
16	will go. You are talking about a ton of information.
17	And one of the things that we are going to
18	talk about is this. But you need to have that
19	information distilled and organized, so that you can
20	make a decision.
21	Before it's distilled and organized, you
22	need to have it reviewed by an attorney to make sure
23	that you got the right facts, it's represented in the
24	right way, and so on.
25	Because you can get into a lot of

1	litigation and things that you would rather avoid in
2	this process, because you are talking about denying
3	possibly denying somebody their right to work or the
4	right to enter into this marketplace; and that's not
5	going to be a pain free, non-contentious process.
6	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Tom, can we just go over
7	this one second?
8	MR. STERLING: Sure.
9	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Let me back up a little
10	bit. It seems to me that when we are talking about
11	the State Police and BIE, we are talking about one
12	group working together? Wouldn't you say that?
13	MR. STERLING: Absolutely.
14	CHAIRMAN DECKER: So whoever the work
15	gets divided up in any of the functions, it is going
16	to come up and somebody is going to manage the
17	process.
18	And there is going to be a lawyer who is
19	going to review this stuff. And I think that's part
20	of the organizational chart we looked at yesterday.
21	MR. STERLING: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN DECKER: That was one of the
23	suggestions. That there is someone, who a substantial
24	part of their time, would be spent working on this
25	process of licensing and reviews and so forth.

1	MR. STERLING: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Okay. Particularly, at
3	the beginning, I would think it would be a major
4	process. If someone gets approved, I don't know after
5	that; and the Board looks at it and the
6	recommendation is to approve, the Board looks at that,
7	consents to that, then someone is approved, I would
8	presume after that, the issue is over.
9	I don't know that anybody is going to
10	appeal that. It's nothing to appeal. But if they are
11	denied, and would I guess the regs will talk about
12	a right of appeal; correct?
13	MR. STERLING: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN DECKER: To some entity. And that
15	may be us or be under our Hearing Examiners; one or
16	the other, depending on the level, I would presume.
17	We will have to talk about that. After
18	that, we if there's a hearing, there's a hearing;
19	and evidence would be presented on both sides.
20	And then the Board, or the Hearing Examiner
21	first and then the Board, subsequently, will make a
22	decision; correct? Am I restating this properly?
23	MR. STERLING: Yes, absolutely.
24	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Thanks. I just wanted to
25	make sure I was clear on that

Τ.	MR. STERLING: And the idea is you want a
2	legal vetting
3	CHAIRMAN DECKER: We understand.
4	MR. STERLING: of the decisions. Again,
5	the level of effort we are going to talk about
6	is very different. Because gaming employees, you are
7	going to be dealing with thousands of those.
8	You are not going to have the same level of
9	intensity that you do for gaming operators,
10	manufacturers and key employees.
11	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Sure. Understood.
12	MR. STERLING: And also, your likelihood of
13	litigation and the intensity of any contest you enter
14	into with them is going to be a lot less with an
15	employee. Okay?
16	So I just wanted to bring that up because
17	it was one of the things I think is fundamental in the
18	Act. And it's relevant to what we are doing here
19	because when you look at background investigations;
20	you want to know where you are coming from, and where
21	you are going.
22	With that context in mind, we'll move on
23	into talking a little bit about the input. The
24	primary input into the background investigation
25	process is all those forms we are working on.

1	We are at, right now, total, about 110
2	pages or so between the one key employee form and one
3	business entity form. And for each key employee, you
4	are looking at 60 pages plus a ton of appendices and
5	attachments.
6	So you are talking about a lot of volume.
7	In addition to that, there's going to be evidence of
8	identity. So any time you are dealing with a person,
9	you want to verify their identity.
10	In addition, you have fingerprints. You
11	have other you have different sources of
12	identification. There are rules that you would
13	promulgate to determine what constitutes a good
14	positive identity for an individual.
15	In addition to that, there are going to be,
16	as inputs to the decision making process, computer
17	data based search results. We are going to talk about
18	that, and field investigation findings.
19	Not everything is available on a computer
20	database. As you get into key employees, and
21	particularly, if you deal with foreign individuals,
22	you are going to have to make some field trips.
23	Because a lot of the stuff is not available in US
24	based databases.
25	If you look at the input, this is basically

1	a schematic, shows you all the major components of a
2	business application package; and includes, in this
3	case, this example, the manufacturer supplier's
4	disclosure information form, release authorization and
5	some of the other pieces of the business piece.
6	And in addition, you are going to be
7	getting applications and packages for each individual
8	key employee; okay?
9	Now, I mentioned earlier that there are
10	different levels of background investigation. And
11	these are some of the factors that go into making that
12	determination. One is the amount of discretionary
13	decision making power an individual has.
14	Another one is exercise the extent to
15	which they exercise influence over the company, either
16	senior executives or shareholders; the potential to
17	impair the integrity of slot gaming operations, the
18	potential to remove cash from the gaming facility
19	without authorization and proper accounting.
20	And these are all tests that you use to
21	determine the level of investigation you are going to
22	do. If you get to looking at the process for key
23	employees, qualifiers and business entities, it is
24	exhaustive.

It's probably more than you would go

1	through if you went into a security check for the FBI.
2	They go into everything, personal associations, just
3	everything. We will talk about that in some detail.
4	For slot gaming employees, you are
5	typically talking about a much less exhaustive search.
6	You are going to do, typically, a criminal background
7	check, see if they have outstanding warrants.
8	You may do some minimal financial
9	background checking. But as a rule, if they come back
10	without any derogatory information, they are probably
11	okay. Your risk level is lower with them because they
12	don't have that discretionary decision making process.
13	When it comes to non-gaming vendors and
14	non-gaming employees, that's going to be up to you to
15	make a decision about how deep you want to go with
16	those individuals.
17	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Tom, are you going to
18	discuss what the other states do?
19	MR. STERLING: Yes.
20	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: You went out and
21	looked at
22	MR. STERLING: Yes.
23	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: You are going to
24	discuss that and compare?
25	MR. STERLING: And I'll be honest with you;

1	there's some you want to follow, and there's some you
2	don't. We are actually going to talk about that.
3	Because one of the things that you want to look for,
4	from a process standpoint, is other jurisdictions,
5	other regulatory agencies, that have good background
6	investigation protocols. Collect the data, and you
7	might find that you can use some of that to supplement
8	your efforts and save yourself time and money.
9	Because in the large national gaming
10	companies, for example, your qualifiers, they are
11	probably already qualified, if you are dealing with
12	the Bally's or a really large operation.
13	The CEO, the officers, the people we would
14	define as qualifiers, have all probably been
15	thoroughly vetted already by New Jersey, Nevada. Have
16	to be because they are operating there.
17	Let's roll on and take a look at background
18	investigation information. This is an information
19	model and it's a lot of technical stuff in here. But
20	the thing to really pay attention to is the key
21	subjects of the background investigation, which are
22	highlighted in blue.
23	And that would include the key employee.
24	The white boxes are relationships that you need to
25	track. And the idea is you are really working with a

Τ	network. And you are going to start with a key
2	employee.
3	You are going to look at other individuals
4	they work with or have relationships with; and you are
5	going to want to assess the nature of that
6	relationship.
7	Is there any questionable activity there?
8	If the individual that they are working with has a
9	suspicious background, you may have to shift your
10	focus on that individual and look at their
11	connections.
12	That's why background investigation is
13	expensive and time-consuming; because it is not a
14	closed end process. It requires digging. And if you
15	find something that may indicate a problem, you need
16	to dig more and pursue that path.
17	So if you think about the subject that you
18	are dealing with as a center of a background
19	investigation, you are going to be going out to each
20	business organization or each individual they have a
21	relationship with.
22	And you may have to shine a spotlight on
23	that individual or organization; because you may find
24	something there that may indicate a connection with
25	organized crime or a problem that may impair the

1	integrity of gaming in Pennsylvania.
2	That's why it takes so long. The idea of
3	this chart is to just give you a sense of the number
4	and different types of relationships that need to be
5	investigated in this process.
6	As I mentioned, if you go into New Jersey
7	and Nevada, they are they just do a very, very
8	thorough job. And they know pretty much everything
9	about you, things you want your wife to know or your
10	husband to know. So it is extensive.
11	In terms of we are going to talk a
12	little bit about the process. There's typically going
13	to be an initial database search and data collection
14	effort. And one of the things we wanted to do is
15	start to break out who does what, based on the type of
16	position.
17	Now, this is where we start to deal with
18	the State Police role. These are different kinds of
19	databases. You have media search, which is simply
20	going out to see are there any articles in the
21	newspaper, trade press, and so on, about the
22	individual or business entity.
23	You are going to be looking for liens and

judgments nationwide to see if there's any impairment

on their personal property or corporate property.

24

1	You are going to do a bankruptcy check, to
2	see if there's any bankruptcy in their background.
3	Criminal record checks, that's at that point where you
4	really want the police involved in that.
5	So that's where, now, State Police start to
6	get involved. The other things you are going to check
7	are SEC databases, to see what the corporate genetic
8	heritage looks like.
9	You are also going to be looking at
10	information on intelligence, prior audits, compliance
11	audits, prior investigations, and so on. You are
12	going to do a tax clearance check with the Department
13	of Revenue, Labor and Industry and, of course, the
14	IRS.
15	One of the main databases that's used
16	throughout law enforcement, and it's also used in the
17	commercial industry, is something called Accurant.
18	Accurant is an interesting company. And I
19	only bring it up here just to show you the kinds of
20	information they have available. What Accurant does
21	is they will take your identifying information and
22	they will enter it and track it through all of these
23	different things.
24	If you look at this, it's just about any
25	public record you can imagine. If you own a boat,

1	they will find it. If you own a house trailer, they
2	will find it. If you own a snowmobile, they will find
3	it.
4	It is a powerful tool. Any property you
5	have, UCC filings, anything, they are going to find
6	it. Now, just because they find it doesn't mean it's
7	complete and doesn't mean it's correct.
8	So as we talk about the database search, it
9	still needs to be information that is corroborated.
10	And that's, again, where you need an experienced
11	database searcher to access this information and work
12	with it.
13	And the second slide is just more stuff
14	that Accurant can provide. So database searching
15	through Accurant and things like that will provide you
16	with a very good starting point for your background
17	investigation.
18	And, typically, if the facts pulled from
19	the database corroborate and agree with the
20	information provided on the application form, you are
21	probably okay.
22	If there's any variance, red flag goes up,
23	you need to go out and investigate that further. This
24	is very much a game of hide and seek. And in certain

cases, if somebody has something to hide, they are

Τ	going to try to hide it from you.
2	One of the things that is important and the
3	reason to involve the State Police is because they
4	have the skills needed to go out and dig for that
5	stuff.
6	So as we talk about different roles and
7	responsibilities, one of the things we are going to
8	talk about are the types of skills and things involved
9	in doing background investigations.
10	Now, we are going to take a look at I'm
11	going to go through these fairly quickly because I'd
12	like to cover some other issues in a little more
13	detail. But this is the kind of information that will
14	be pulled together on a business entity.
15	And the idea of reviewing corporate papers
16	is you want an insider's look at what's going on in
17	that company, if there are any legal matters or
18	things. Maybe they are not published in the SEC
19	database. You want to know about that because those
20	are all indications that there may be a problem there.
21	Corporate history profile. You want to
22	know where that corporation came from, who founded it,
23	when was it founded, what are the circumstances under
24	which it was founded? Do they have partners and

shareholders, founders that dropped out?

1	If they did, why did they drop out? Those
2	are all things you want to know. So you really want
3	to dig into the origins of that entity.
4	Additional corporate detail. You want to
5	map the applicant's corporate family tree. What are
6	their subsidiaries, holding companies, joint ventures,
7	any relationship with another business entity? You
8	want to map that and understand it.
9	Now, if you find that that associated
10	business entity is free and clear, great. If you find
11	there's any question, you got to go dig that out.
12	Again, that's why the process is time-consuming,
13	requires some patience. Surveillance
14	department. If you are dealing with a licensed
15	facility, and we are going to talk about security and
16	surveillance here, right after we get through the
17	background investigation.
18	Surveillance department is absolutely
19	essential. And it's essential to protect the slot
20	gaming operator from external and internal threats.
21	And if there are questions about the integrity of this
22	security department, big red flag, you got to go look
23	at it very carefully.
24	Because that's your frontline defense
25	against fraud and other things that would impair slot

	gaming integrity, or would pose a threat to property
2	and, potentially, safety of people involved in the
3	gaming venue.
4	Labor relations. Another area needs to be
5	looked at. You need to look at and consider are there
6	any labor issues, labor relations issues? What are
7	the union contracts? What are the terms and
8	conditions?
9	Those are all things you need to look at.
10	And I think the sense here is what you are doing is
11	you are doing a broad sweep to see if there's any hint
12	of organized crime or any hint of impropriety on the
13	part of the operator.
14	Consulting contracts and agreement. This
15	is actually one of those things that is very important
16	because this is a great way to take money out of the
17	business, perhaps in a not so legitimate form.
18	Lobbyists. Yesterday, you discussed the
19	issue of ethics and talked about the need to be very
20	clear about drawing a building a firewall between
21	the Board and lobbyists. That's really what this is
22	looking at.
23	So when you look at a potential business
24	entity, you want to understand what are your lobbying
25	relationships, what their experience has been in other

1	jurisdictions?
2	Corporate tax filings. The IRS can provide
3	you with a wealth of information about the tax
4	experience of a business entity. And that's, also,
5	important. You need to know that, if there are any
6	tax liens, federal tax liens? If there are any
7	issues, audits, anything like that? You want to know
8	about that.
9	Let's talk a little bit about relationships
10	with qualifiers. We talked a little bit earlier about
11	the fact that qualifiers are shareholders, officers
12	and so on. One of the things you want to do is dig
13	into that relationship.
14	You want to understand if there are any
15	questionable deals between officers and the business
16	entity. Questionable deals would be unusual amounts
17	of income, things like that.
18	One of the things you can do there is to
19	pull 13D. Now, all of this information is available
20	on the Edgar Database. So that's another source of
21	information.
22	Real estate files. Where are they holding
23	property? Who do they co-own that property with?
24	Miscellaneous reviews. One of the areas
25	that you want to take a look at when you are dealing

1	with, again, established gaming operators in other
2	jurisdictions is how they handle comps.
3	Comps are basically things you get;
4	merchandise, drinks, meals and so on that you get for
5	playing there. And the more you lose the more comps
6	you get, typically. And that's been an area in the
7	past where there's been some scams running. You need
8	to be careful of that.
9	If you discover that there are issues
10	there, it may indicate that there's a problem in their
11	security and surveillance function. Again, you want
12	to know that stuff up front.
13	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Can I stop you for one
14	minute?
15	MR. STERLING: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN DECKER: When you talk about
17	qualifiers, I noticed before you mentioned
18	underwriters and banks, et cetera. What would be the
19	kind of review people would do?
20	I mean it may be an unfair question for
21	you. But have you run across something like that? In
22	other words, if it's a assuming it's a public
23	company and they contract with, you name it, Gordon
24	Sax or Morgan Stanley, what would the background
25	investigation go into in that respect? I was just

```
1
          curious.
                     MR. STERLING: In the law, if it's a
 2
 3
          corporate lending institution and they're in the
 4
          business --
 5
                     CHAIRMAN DECKER: Bank America.
                     MR. STERLING: You don't need to do a
 6
          background investigation.
 8
                     CHAIRMAN DECKER: Okay.
 9
                     MR. STERLING: Unless you find a reason to.
10
                     CHAIRMAN DECKER: For example, if nobody
          has ever heard of this group, that kind of thing. Is
11
12
          that what you mean?
                     MR. STERLING: Yes. It would be for an
13
14
          individual that, perhaps, has a lot of leverage
15
          through debt over the operation.
16
                     CHAIRMAN DECKER: Thank you.
17
                     MR. STERLING: There are some major
18
          shareholders in Las Vegas. I don't want to name
          names; but there are some people, individuals, that if
19
20
          you discover that there's a huge debt instrument
          hanging over an operator, you might want to go look at
21
22
          that.
23
                     CHAIRMAN DECKER: Chip.
24
                     BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: As you take us
25
          through this process, it seems to me that on the day
```

1	the application is issued, you've basically satisfied
2	yourself; except the next day, all this stuff can
3	change. How do you maintain and stay current with all
4	this?
5	MR. STERLING: This is going to require a
6	significant amount of process engineering; and it's
7	going to require a database, some kind of a database.
8	And the difficulty is, is it's hard to
9	predict how you are going to get the information in.
10	It's likely it will come in in paper form. It could
11	just as well come in in spreadsheets. So you don't
12	know how this stuff's going to come into you.
13	So you have, in process reengineering we
14	refer to that as dirty work, because what happens is,
15	you don't have a standard form. You have stuff that
16	comes in; you got to capture it. You can't predict
17	how it's going to come in; what it's going to look
18	like.
19	It is an enormous task. It has to be
20	organized. And this is the same thing you would have
21	in a large litigation case. It's analogous to that;
22	where you are going to have to collect lots and lots
23	of evidence.
24	You are going to have to document it, tag

25 it and keep track of it.

1	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: But at the same
2	time, it's only as good as the day you pass on it, and
3	all this could change. Do we make the grant of the
4	license contingent upon maintaining compliance or not
5	running afoul of things?
6	MR. STERLING: Yes. There's an annual
7	review process. And when they submit an annual
8	review, one of the things they have to do if there are
9	any changes, any material changes in the information
10	they provided you in their application, they have to
11	provide that to you on an annual basis. So that's one
12	way to do it.
13	Another way, from a security and
14	surveillance standpoint, is to monitor what's going on
15	in the marketplace; monitor what's going on in Edgar,
16	because and if they are a public company, Edgar
17	does a very good job of identifying major litigation
18	and other things that you might want to keep track of.
19	Another source is other jurisdictions'
20	enforcement and law enforcement organizations.
21	Because something may happen in Nevada, and if you get
22	an early alert to that, then you can be alert and
23	start to look into that.
24	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: That was going to
25	be my next question. Is there some, either formal or

informal, reciprocity agreement that Pennsylvania

2	could participate in; or a pool, that if we found out
3	something, is there some kind of joint database?
4	MR. STERLING: The only organization that I
5	am aware of is IAGA, which is the International
6	Association of Gaming Regulators. And I don't know
7	that there's a formal pool.
8	I think that we have approached a lot of
9	jurisdictions, and they have all been wonderful to
10	work with. There isn't one we talked to that
11	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: We, on behalf of
12	Pennsylvania?
13	MR. STERLING: Yes. All have been willing
14	to share their experiences and do it in an objective
15	way. Because they told us there were some things that
16	didn't work, and there were some things that did work.
17	And we will try to reflect that here. I
18	think that in any of these things, you really have to
19	go in with your eyes open, and be actively looking for
20	things. Because if you think about integrity, you
21	think about securing and surveillance, this is the
22	frontline.
23	And if you allow the wrong party to slip
24	through the background investigation process and grant
25	them a license, you are going to play hell to get them

```
back out of there.
 1
 2
                     BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Do you think our
 3
          philosophy ought to be to share, as well, once we get
 4
          up and running?
 5
                     MR. STERLING: I believe it would make
 6
          sense; yes.
                     BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Thank you.
 8
                     BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Mr. Chairman. The
 9
          statute provides that the Board may take advantage
          over -- use alternative standards, in order --
10
                     MR. STERLING: Yes.
11
                     BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: -- to make decisions
12
13
          about the suitability issues and the granting of
14
          licenses. And with that, with that provision, how do
15
          you see that working into the lengthy and extensive
          process of investigations? How do you see that
16
17
          affecting this process?
18
                     MR. STERLING: First and foremost, I would
19
          suggest they have to fill out the form that you are
20
          providing. Once they've done that, if you have a
21
          reciprocal agreement with Nevada or New Jersey -- I
22
          say those two because those two are, kind of, like the
          gold standard for doing background investigations --
23
24
          then what you would do is, with the understanding that
25
          you would share that, is that you would go there, send
```

1	a state trooper or other investigator out there, to
2	take a look at the dossier they've assembled on that
3	individual or on that business entity.
4	And you would look to corroborate the
5	information provided on your form with that
6	information. In addition, they will have collected
7	source information that you can also use. So it saves
8	you a whole lot of legwork, time and money.
9	The key there is that you, as a Board, make
10	the determination that that jurisdiction has the
11	necessary due diligence, and things in place that
12	that's good information.
13	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: So it would be
14	appropriate for the Board to accept corroborated
15	information or investigative results from another
16	jurisdiction?
17	MR. STERLING: If you've determined that
18	the information they are giving you is complete,
19	correct and all of that. And, again, that's a Board
20	decision.
21	I mention Nevada and New Jersey because
22	those are probably the most thorough standards in the
23	world certainly in the US, but probably in the
24	world. They do it right.
25	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Tom, I have a

1	question/comment. Going back to all this information
2	and data that we have to go through and look at, and
3	get into the Board and to the investigators, isn't all
4	this information and data available electronically?
5	And if so, why can't we ask that it be
6	turned over to us electronically; so we can then enter
7	it right into our databases so we can then manipulate
8	the data?
9	MR. STERLING: The answer to that is, yes,
10	a lot of it will be available electronically. That's
11	the good news.
12	The bad news is it won't in the same
13	format; and you are going to have to write software or
14	do something to take their information and reformat
15	and reorganize it into a way that is compatible with
16	the way you want your information stored.
17	And, you know, we do a lot of data
18	conversion work. And it can be difficult. Now, one
19	of the things that you can do, and what they do in New
20	Mexico, they don't do it in New Jersey; but they do do
21	this in New Mexico, is they require that organizations
22	use a standard charts of accounts for reporting
23	financial information.
24	So there are some things you can do like
25	that. The other thing is if you go to the Edgar

1	database and you pull out SEC, SEC has standards that
2	public companies must adhere to to present their
3	information.
4	So those are two ways to mitigate that.
5	All of the stuff in Edgar is available electronically.
6	Some of the things you may want to look at in the
7	paperwork, because and you may even want to look at
8	e-mail files because that's where you kind of get
9	what's really going on.
10	Because public statements public
11	reports, even to the SEC, oftentimes don't always
12	accurately reflect what's going on. I mean that's how
13	Enron came about.
14	So to the extent that you find some smoke
15	out there, you want to dig in and go after that
16	information, whether it's electronic or manual. The
17	other thing is that there are some government
18	agencies, for example, counties in Pennsylvania that
19	are not automated.
20	And you may need to send an investigator
21	out there to pull information from the prothonotary or
22	properties and things that that organization owns. So
23	there's a significant amount of fieldwork involved in
24	any of these investigations.
25	Again, this only applies to key employees,

1	qualifiers and business entities. You would never go
2	to this level of effort for a slot gaming employee.
3	There are just too many of them, okay.
4	The next thing you are going to look at
5	here is going to be the paperwork they use to develop
6	their application to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control
7	Board. And this includes working papers.
8	So things that you may not see in their
9	application, it would be kind of nice to go back and
10	look at, well, what's the process they went through to
11	prepare their application?
12	What kind of data did they use and so on?
13	That includes things like plans and blueprints,
14	project schedules, correspondence and so on. So if
15	you look at what we are going through, the net is cast
16	pretty wide.
17	The trick is, you are looking for any
18	information that contradicts. If you have two sources
19	of information, there's a contradiction there, red
20	flag goes up; you need to go out and figure out, well,
21	why is there contradiction there?
22	If you see a relationship that's
23	questionable, you got to go out and dig that up.
24	That's why these things are so complicated and
25	difficult; and they do require, again, investigation

1	skills to make it work.
2	Let's talk about background investigations
3	on key employees and qualifiers. One of the things
4	that is required in as part of the forms package,
5	is a release authorization.
6	And that, basically, is granting the Board
7	permission the Board gives its agents permission to
8	go in and get information that normally would not be
9	available under the Privacy Act.
10	So this is your getting permission from the
11	individual to go in and start gathering information,
12	the background investigation of key employees and
13	qualifiers. Very similar to what you are doing on the
14	business entity.
15	Of course, here you are looking at people;
16	so you are going to be doing fingerprints. You are
17	going to be looking at FBI records, NCIC checks and so
18	on. And this form is just as if you think the last
19	one is painful, this is just as painful, maybe even a
20	little more so.
21	Lots and lots of information, personal
22	associations, business associations and so on. It
23	just goes on and on. One of the things we talked
24	about tracing corporate relationships.
25	Well, you are going to do the same thing

1	with people. Because you want to understand that
2	individual you are looking at and their network of
3	contacts, business contacts, professional contacts,
4	personal contacts, relatives and so on.
5	Because if any one of those people is in
6	question, you shift a spotlight to them and do the
7	same kind of check on them, potentially.
8	That's why certain background
9	investigations can run into the hundreds of thousands
10	of dollars. Because a lot of times, these things
11	aren't available in a database; and you actually go
12	out and do fieldwork to track this information down.
13	The risk of not tracking it down and the
14	risk of letting somebody get through is a very, very
15	nasty process. Because once a license is granted,
16	it's going to be like I said earlier, it's going to
17	be a very tough matter to get that license revoked.
18	I know you have the authority. But
19	understand, it will be combat. And that's expensive
20	and painful. So, again, I view this, very much, as
21	your first line of defense to protect the integrity of
22	the Gaming Industry.
23	BOARD MEMBER COY: What is the status of
24	this information, once it is gathered and provided to
25	the Board, confidentiality wise or public nature, I

1	guess, of the information; once it's provided?
2	MR. STERLING: I believe, and I'm not
3	positive, but I believe the statute pretty much limits
4	that to the Board. Now, I think the law that was just
5	vetoed did grant wider access to, at least, some of
6	that information for law enforcement purposes.
7	Now, one of the things the Act does allow,
8	for example, is in doing the background investigation,
9	if the Attorney General has information they are
10	developing, that information may be shared with the
11	Board for purposes of doing a background
12	investigation.
13	You have permission. I don't know if they
14	will give you the information; but you have permission
15	to ask for that information.
16	But I think it was stated yesterday that if
17	you are dealing with personal information and so on;
18	that is information, for example, that you as a Board,
19	if you are discussing a you are discussing a
20	licensing case that's contested about a particular
21	business entity and there is sensitive information,
22	then that would be something that you could do in
23	private.
24	So there is if you look at what we are
25	dealing with here, there is stuff that could come out

1	of here that's very damaging. So it is something you
2	want to be very cautious about.
3	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Tom, do you have a
4	sense of how many people applied I know there are
5	different categories? But how many people or entities
6	applied for a license? How many are granted? How
7	many are denied? How many are later revoked?
8	MR. STERLING: I don't know about the
9	number of gaming entities. And I think that's I
10	just don't have that information. I know in New
11	Jersey they talked about a turnover rate among
12	employees, gaming employees.
13	So you are talking about having to screen
14	large numbers, 50 to 70 percent turnover. That means
15	that each one of those has to be background checked,
16	again, at a gaming employee level.
17	I don't know what the success ratio is for
18	business entities and key employees. I suspect it's
19	pretty high. Because most of the organizations that
20	do this, before they submit a background investigation
21	or an application to you folks, will already have done
22	their own.
23	So, typically, they are not cavalier about
24	who they are submitting to you. So if they find
25	something, they are not going to try to submit them to

1	you.
2	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Just so I'm clear,
3	back to the individuals, you are not saying 50 to 70
4	percent pass or fail?
5	MR. STERLING: No.
6	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: That's just the
7	turnover?
8	MR. STERLING: Turnover.
9	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Do you have any
10	sense, though, among that pool? Do 10 percent
11	routinely fail for whatever reason?
12	MR. STERLING: I don't have that number.
13	What I do have later on we are going to look at, I
14	do have some estimates on activity volume for doing
15	background investigations and licensing. And we will
16	talk about that.
17	But I don't know. We can try and get that
18	information. We can contact, if you'd like us to do
19	that?
20	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Be interested.
21	BOARD MEMBER RIVERS: Mr. Chairman,
22	question. Do you have any information regarding the
23	cost of the individual background checks and/or are
24	any of the prospective employees required to pay a
25	particular percentage or portion of that?

1	MR. STERLING: Under the Act, as I
2	understand it, all expenses related to background
3	investigations are to be reimbursed by the applicant.
4	The cost is going to be variable.
5	Only because you may go in, you may do a
6	background check on the CEO of Ballys, and they are
7	clean because they have already been background
8	checked by New Jersey, Nevada and every other major
9	gaming jurisdiction.
10	So you are going to have next to no cost on
11	that one. You may go into another situation where you
12	have a CEO that's based in France and you need to send
13	people overseas to do a background investigation to
14	check out that person.
15	Or you may find that there's somebody with
16	a questionable background and you really have to dig;
17	so you may be talking several hundred thousand
18	dollars. The cost for background investigations is
19	extremely variable.
20	I think, from that sense, it makes sense to
21	bill it on a pay as you go basis. So I don't know I
22	can come up with an answer for that. In New Jersey, I
23	believe for gaming employees, the fee is \$350. That's
24	typically paid for by the employee.
25	In most cases, key employees and qualifiers

T	are going to be paid for by the gaming business
2	entity. Remember earlier, we said that typically,
3	when you get a business entity application package,
4	you are going to have a whole bunch of applications
5	attached to that for their key employees and
6	qualifiers.
7	That's why these things come in as we
8	deal with a mountain of paperwork. So it's a variable
9	cost under the Act. It would be paid for by each
10	applicant. In the case of a business entity, they
11	would, typically, pay for the cost of their key
12	employees.
13	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Let me say
14	something; and tell me whether you think I have it
15	right? It's not enough to just sort of a major
16	company, a major gaming company who is involved in a
17	partnership where there's some kind of collaboration
18	for a license, it's not enough that the major gaming
19	company passes, all components of that affiliation,
20	partnership, merger, whatever, corporate, so that the
21	<pre>public can feel pretty good</pre>
22	MR. STERLING: Absolutely.
23	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: that we will go
24	through the whole we will all understand the
25	complete relationship and vet that complete

1	relationship?
2	MR. STERLING: Right. If you go in if
3	you think about an organizational model and you are
4	dealing with a joint venture or a kind of
5	brother/sister type of relationship between two
6	entities, you probably are going to have to check
7	those both out.
8	If you are dealing with a parent subsidiary
9	and the subsidiary is going to be operating in
10	Pennsylvania, you are probably going to check both
11	those out very, very thoroughly.
12	Because, again, what you are dealing with
13	here is a network of relationships. And, again, a lot
14	of these companies may be foreign based. They may be
15	based in Bermuda or what have you. And all that stuff
16	has to be checked out.
17	You want to go, kind of, back to ground
18	zero, where they started and understand all those
19	relationships. Because if there is a problem, like I
20	said, it's expensive to do that now; but it will be
21	much more expensive, in a lot of ways, to do it after
22	the fact.
23	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: And my take away is
24	that you wouldn't be able to hide an interest in this
25	because you look at the relationship, not what it's

1	called:
2	MR. STERLING: Correct.
3	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: You use the term
4	leverage, economic, things like that?
5	MR. STERLING: It could be a debt deal. It
6	could be an equity deal, doesn't matter. If there's
7	influence, you got to check it out. The other thing
8	that is at any point where you discover there's a
9	variance in the facts, at that point, it's grounds for
10	rejection.
11	Now, you will determine that in there so
12	you have certain tolerances and things. And I don't
13	know that there's a formula you can use. I think a
14	lot of it is going to come down to judgment.
15	I think that, from an integrity standpoint,
16	if you start to see where there's wild variances
17	between what they reported to you and what you are
18	finding, there's something wrong there. And that may
19	be the time where you just say, stop loss, not going
20	to move forward.
21	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Thank you.
22	MR. STERLING: In terms of the types of
23	skills and the types of positions involved in
24	conducting background investigations, what you have
25	here is a list of on the left-hand side, you have

1	sample background investigation that you are going to
2	be collecting.
3	And you have the information gathering
4	method. And on the right-hand side, under position,
5	you have the types of positions that would be
6	typically involved in that.
7	And on the bottom, there's a little key.
8	And the letters do mean something. Work means they
9	are doing the work. Responsible means they are
10	responsible for reviewing and ensuring the integrity
11	of the work that has been done.
12	Expertise means that they can lend a
13	technical and business assistance, legal assistance,
14	to the investigation process. And authority means
15	they have the authority to approve. As you go down
16	through this, one of the questions asked earlier was
17	what are the State Police going to be doing?
18	This gives you an idea of the types of
19	things that the State Police would be involved in,
20	where you see, under police officer. And the idea
21	here and this is a scenario.
22	This isn't cast in concrete. But the idea
23	here is you look at your background investigation
24	process, consider allocating the work based on the
25	types of skills and experience needed to best do that

Τ	work. That's really what this represents.
2	Now, the person you see here under civilian
3	analyst is not a clerk. That is a just what it
4	says. It's an analyst. And they need to have some
5	smarts because that is your that's, kind of, your
6	go-to person to maintain the integrity of all this
7	information that you are going to be gathering and
8	working with.
9	So the civilian analyst has to be
10	thoroughly trained. It is also going to be their job,
11	if you go down on the second slide here, which would
12	be slide 41, there's something down there called
13	assemble the background information into a report.
14	That's where you take all this massive pile
15	of information and distill it down to something you,
16	as a Board, can look at, make sense, and make a
17	determination. If you got a pile of paper this big
18	for every applicant, you are not going to want to
19	review all that.
20	So you need to have somebody that has the
21	smarts to be able to distill and reduce that
22	information to a base that you can make an informed
23	decision on.
24	And part of that, and part of the reason
25	why there's a legal review process is to make sure

1	that, from a legal standpoint, you are on solid ground
2	in looking at that consolidated report. That's why
3	there is a legal review in there.
4	The only party authorized to make a
5	decision is the Board. That's the only party that can
6	actually make a call about whether this is done
7	correctly and the outcome is appropriate. So you'll
8	make the decision.
9	Now, I'm going to ask you all a question.
10	Are we making sense so far? You okay with where we
11	are going?
12	CHAIRMAN DECKER: You are making sense.
13	MR. STERLING: We are almost through this
14	particular piece on business entities and key
15	employees. Some of the things that you might want to
16	consider, as you look at your options, is for how you
17	are going to do background investigations or cycle
18	times to complete background investigations.
19	I believe, under the Act, once you declare
20	applications due for business entities, okay, and you
21	set the due date, you have got a year from that point
22	in time to actually complete the investigation and
23	make a decision.
24	In that sense, there is a time boundary in
25	the Act. And as we look at the background

1	investigation process, that's probably going to be the
2	major piece of that. So you have a cycle time issue.
3	There's a cost to complete background
4	investigations issue. And, yes, all the costs are
5	borne by the slot gaming companies. However
6	CHAIRMAN DECKER: How is that done, Tom?
7	How do they bear that cost? Is that with each
8	application? Is it a front it's not their
9	obviously, it's separate from their fee, the so-called
10	fee.
11	MR. STERLING: How you do that is going to
12	be determined by the Board. One way that New Mexico
13	does it, just as an example, they require a \$5,000
14	down payment. They bill at a rate of \$50 per hour.
15	And it's whatever number of hours are
16	required. If it is 100,000 hours, that's what they
17	are going to get billed. And they bill the slot
18	gaming applicant for the difference.
19	And payment of that fee, which is separate
20	from the licensing fee, is a mandatory requirement
21	before they are issued a license.
22	CHAIRMAN DECKER: We'll need to develop
23	some regulations?
24	MR. STERLING: Absolutely, and an
25	accounting system to keep track of that. Because one

1	of the things that you want to consider is how that
2	money gets accounted for. Because most of the money
3	coming into the gaming most of the money that's
4	collected from operators goes directly into the gaming
5	fund.
6	That's not money that you all have to work
7	with directly. So that's all part of what you want to
8	look at. So there's a financial flow, as well as a
9	process flow that needs to happen.
10	Finally, just a couple of words. We talked
11	about integrity of background investigation. And I'm
12	a data guy; so I have this philosophy I use about
13	determining when is data good?
14	It's good when it's complete and verified.
15	It's good when the currency of that information is
16	verified. It's good when the correctness of that
17	information is verified. And it's good when you
18	understand that there is consistency between different
19	data sources.
20	So there are some things in there that now
21	we can start to look at integrity and say, what do we
22	mean by that? And you can start to operationalize
23	that, in terms of how you conduct background
24	investigations.
25	We will talk briefly, here, about slot

1	gaming employees. Under the Act, they are defined as
2	the individuals here. And as I mentioned earlier, a
3	slot gaming employee is anybody that can touch a slot
4	machine, touch money, that can access the information
5	system or network connected to any slot machine or the
6	central control computer.
7	And it would also include, for
8	manufacturers and suppliers, their repair technicians.
9	All those people need to be vetted and cleared. Like
10	we said before, you really are looking for criminal
11	background and any obvious financial problems they
12	might have.
13	Again, most of that, you are going to do in
14	database searches. This is the thing I mentioned
15	earlier. What this is, it's an estimate. And it is
16	an estimate of the level of effort required to
17	complete the licensing process.
18	And the biggest part of that, of course, is
19	the background investigations for the different types
20	of licensed entities that are identified in the Act.
21	What you are seeing here is an estimate of
22	the number of staff actually, the number of days
23	required to complete this.
24	Now, notice it says it excludes wait time.
25	One of the primary things that will drive cycle time

1	is where you want the information and you have to wait
2	for it. You call up the company's CFO and say, hey, I
3	need this report. He says, well, I'll get it to you
4	in a week.
5	That's not included in here. This is
6	actually work time required to complete an
7	application. These are estimates.
8	And one of the things that would be very
9	useful is, as you get into the process, to really
10	start to measure and build your own database of
11	experience for how long it takes to do these different
12	kinds of investigations.
13	The purpose of this is just to show you the
14	difference between what it's going to take to complete
15	the amount of effort it's going to take to complete
16	key employee and business entity background checks and
17	licensing versus gaming employees.
18	And as you look at your process, typically,
19	you are going to need the higher skilled individuals
20	on key employees and business background checks.
21	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Tom, I'm sorry. What is
22	the extended staff day count
23	MR. STERLING: The extended staff day
24	count
25	CHAIRMAN DECKER: as opposed to the

Τ.	stail days to complete process for one application:
2	MR. STERLING: Yes. It would be the
3	product, the estimated application count per gaming
4	operator and the estimated count of application. You
5	have seven slot machine category one licenses.
6	That would be the product of the
7	application intake times seven, which would be 14,
8	okay? So it's just the multiplication saying that you
9	have 14 venues or whatever venues.
10	CHAIRMAN DECKER: I'm sorry. I'm not that
11	quick.
12	MR. STERLING: That's okay. So this is a
13	rough estimate. And, again, it's an estimate; but it
14	will give you, at least, a sense of order of magnitude
15	for the level of effort required.
16	Additional background investigations. The
17	Act gives the Board the authority to, basically,
18	request that anybody be licensed and, as part of that,
19	would be vetted background investigation.
20	And one of the things mentioned yesterday
21	is that New Jersey, for example, does require the
22	licensing of service vendors; that is, people or
23	organizations that are not involved directly in the
24	gaming business.
25	And one of the things they do that I think

1	makes some sense is that they have a sliding scale.
2	And the level of investigation performed and the level
3	of effort required on the part of the vendor is
4	determined based on the dollar volume they are doing
5	with the casino, and on the frequency and recurrence
6	of the business they are doing with the casino.
7	They maintain what they call a vendor list
8	of prohibited vendors. So there's some screening
9	going on there. That would be something to consider
10	here, as well.
11	So if you got vendors that are a problem,
12	you put them on a list and they are not allowed to do
13	business.
14	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Is anybody not doing
15	these kinds of investigations? Are there any
16	jurisdictions which are not dropping down to some
17	level for these non-gaming suppliers?
18	MR. STERLING: I'm not aware that, for
19	example, Delaware does anything like this. I'm not
20	aware that New Mexico is doing anything like this.
21	New Jersey is. I don't know about Nevada. But I
22	think most of the lottery states, typically, are
23	looking just
24	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Well, we are not going to
25	take a vote today on this issue. I can assure you

1	that we I have spoken to each of the Commissioners;
2	and this is going to be an area where we are
3	definitely going to take a look.
4	It's not voting or asking them for a vote
5	today. I think the sense is we are going to drop
6	down. I think the heads are bobbing yes. So, I think
7	that will tell you something, where we are heading.
8	Mary?
9	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: I had a question.
10	Does any jurisdiction license non-gaming employees?
11	MR. STERLING: New Jersey, I wouldn't say
12	they license them, but they screen them. And, as far
13	as I know, they are the only jurisdiction that does
14	that. For example, if you are a
15	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Like a waitress?
16	MR. STERLING: Yes. They would be required
17	to register and go through. And it's a very minimal
18	check, but they do require them to be registered.
19	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Registered, but not
20	licensed?
21	MR. STERLING: I don't know if they get a
22	permit. I would have to go back and look at that.
23	But they are required to register. I don't know if
24	there's any other jurisdiction that requires that.
25	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: What impact would

1	the registration have on anything that the Board would
2	do or not do?
3	MR. STERLING: Typically, what they are
4	looking at, one of the things that happens is if a
5	person comes in and they are promoted to a gaming
6	employee, they, sort of, want to know that.
7	And the other thing is they want to know if
8	a non-gaming employee is doing gaming related things.
9	That's part of the reason why they are doing that.
10	That's one of the reasons why and we are going to
11	talk about it in surveillance. But one of the reasons
12	why you need a strong independent surveillance
13	function is because they are not just watching for
14	patrons and outsiders; they are also watching for
15	inside issues.
16	And one of the issues you can run into is a
17	breakdown in regulations. That would be an example of
18	one.
19	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Tom, would you and
20	your folks be able to give us a recommendation as to,
21	kind of, how far we should drop down, register
22	licenses, those kinds of things?
23	MR. STERLING: I believe we can give you
24	some insights and ideas. I think New Jersey is a good
25	model for that because they are thorough. One of the

1	interesting things is when we reviewed the Act,
2	there's a lot of New Jersey genetic heritage in the
3	licensing piece of the Act.
4	And that's a good thing. Because, as I
5	said earlier, that's your frontline of defense. To
6	the extent that you can filter out companies and
7	individuals that just aren't going to be good for the
8	industry, you want to do that early on and have the
9	data to back that up.
10	BOARD MEMBER RIVERS: I'd like to make a
11	comment, Mr. Chairman. Given our proximity to New
12	Jersey and a lot of the positive comments made about
13	New Jersey, I think it would be advantageous if, as a
14	body, we would make a trip to New Jersey to sit down
15	with their regulators to go over a lot of this
16	information.
17	I think it puts us in a much stronger and
18	more positive position.
19	CHAIRMAN DECKER: We are going to do a
20	couple field trips to New Jersey; the first of which,
21	I think, some people will go down and talk to the
22	investigative group.
23	And I think, secondly, we are going to mee
24	we plan to meet with their Board in a factfinding
25	kind of arrangement.

1	MR. STERLING: New Jersey is an interesting
2	model because they do have two distinct organizations,
3	where you have one. And that's an important thing to
4	keep in mind.
5	And there have been and in September of
6	last year, there was actually a very serious
7	disagreement between the two over the licensing of a
8	subcontractor, construction subcontractor.
9	I don't know if you saw it in the papers,
10	but it got very intense. So the interesting thing
11	about New Jersey is by design; there's, actually, a
12	kind of tension there.
13	And the idea is, in creating that tension,
14	to ensure that if the Division of Gaming Enforcement
15	determines that an individual is unsuitable, they are
16	going to advocate that.
17	The Casino Control Commission, as I
18	mentioned earlier, they are sitting there in a
19	judgment role. They will make the decision. But
20	DGE's job is to be very assertive about ensuring that
21	whoever is investigated, they are going to make a
22	pretty firm recommendation.
23	Sometimes there are disagreements over
24	that. As I mentioned earlier, your Bureau of
25	Investigation and Enforcement plays that a similar

kind of role. And one of the things, I think, why the

2	Act calls for that separation of duties.
3	Last thing I'd like to talk about, and we
4	really did talk about this, but it is opportunities to
5	piggyback on what other jurisdictions are doing. And
6	I really talked through the slide earlier.
7	If you look at Nevada and New Jersey, and
8	you are able to obtain information from them and use
9	the background investigations that they have completed
10	and the information is current enough, you may be able
11	to speed up the process.
12	You still need to go through the form. You
13	still need to do a comparison to make sure the
14	information is consistent. And there may be
15	supplemental information that you gather for
16	Pennsylvania purposes.
17	But it is something to consider as a way to
18	number one, ensure integrity and reduce your cost and
19	cycle time. That's it for background investigations.
20	So if you are all ready, we can roll on into security
21	and surveillance.
22	CHAIRMAN DECKER: We are going to get
23	started on this; but we are going to have to take a
2./	hreak at 10.20 for some other matters

MR. STERLING: However you want to do it;

```
1
          I'm flexible.
 2
                     CHAIRMAN DECKER: Does it make sense to
 3
          start it off?
 4
                     MR. STERLING: We can start it off. That's
 5
          not a problem. As you can tell, I like lots of
          slides.
                     SENATOR WOLFF: Mr. Chairman, also, I would
 8
          like to make a comment about the current Horse and
 9
          Harness Racing Commissions's review process of
          licensing employees, owners, trainers jockeys, support
10
11
          people, that are -- would be sharing the same campus
          with the slots venue.
12
                     We will be looking forward to giving the
13
14
          Board an update on that, as to how that process works,
15
          also.
                     CHAIRMAN DECKER: We would like to hear
16
          that, Senator.
17
                     MR. STERLING: Let's talk about
18
          surveillance and security. Just to, kind of, get
19
20
          grounded, surveillance is really about the eyes and
21
          ears. It's about understanding and observing what's
22
          going on in a slot gaming venue, around the slot
          gaming venue.
23
24
                     Security is about the active part of that.
          It's about having the security staff you need to go
25
```

1	out and if they discover something wrong, apprehend
2	somebody; or conducting the investigation you need to
3	organize, collect evidence and so on to, again,
4	charge, if there are people inside stealing and so on.
5	So surveillance is about eyes and ears.
6	Security is about arms and legs, and going out to get
7	the bad guys. Security and surveillance is really
8	aimed at managing risk.
9	There are two kinds of risks, two broad
10	categories of risk. There are point of failure risks.
11	There are specific, localized, identifiable points in
12	the casino's operation or facility that may result in
13	a harm to people, or the loss of money due to error or
14	misconduct.
15	An example of that includes slot machine
16	access control, cashier booths and so on. These are
17	points, very specific locations in a slot gaming
18	facility or in the business at large, where there may
19	be points of failure or failure of some kind that
20	would lead to a loss.
21	Systemic risks are factors reflected in the
22	organization procedures, controls, policies and
23	interaction between them that may result in harm to
24	people or loss of money, due to errors or misconduct.
25	So if you think about point of failure, a

1	lot of that is going to be the purpose of surveillance
2	and security.
3	If you think about systemic risks, that
4	becomes more the role of the regulatory aspects; to go
5	in and make sure that the slot gaming operators are
6	adhering to minimum internal control standards to make
7	sure that the surveillance organization is operating
8	and functioning correctly.
9	And one of the things you are going to hear
10	me talk a lot about, as we talk about surveillance, is
11	discipline, detail, standard operating procedures.
12	Any of those things break down, you have a major
13	problem because it's what you don't see that can nail
14	you in this business.
15	And it is a business of detail and
16	discipline. Point of failure risks, as I mentioned
17	earlier, the primary focus of security and
18	surveillance, is also the focus of inspections.
19	And this would be administrative matters
20	dealing with violations of regulations, typically
21	involve short timeframes with an immediate remedy.
22	You discover it has a problem with
23	surveillance; that they are not maintaining their
24	tapes, so information or potential evidence is lost.
25	You are going to go in there; you are going to smack

1	with a fine or smack with a warning.
2	But the point is, it is an immediate remedy
3	to fix the problem. Generally, these are going to
4	involve enforcement or regulatory actions.
5	Systemic risks are more a focus of auditors
6	and people doing investigatory work, to discover gaps
7	in control in the system.
8	It's going to be looking at interactions
9	between different people and organizations. It's
10	going to be looking at intermediate to longer
11	timeframes; so you don't have that sense of immediacy
12	that you do with the point of failure risk.
13	It's primary overall focus of minimum
14	internal control standards, and by review of that
15	document or collection of documents by the Gaming
16	Control Board, Pennsylvania State Police and
17	Department of Revenue.
18	It's also a key aspect in a licensing and
19	in the background investigation. Again, you want to
20	know that there's surveillance policies and
21	procedures, and the execution is very tight.
22	Let's talk about surveillance. The thing
23	you are seeing there is a pan, zoom, tilt camera.
24	That is a device that will allow you to look at any
25	slot machine, zoom in. And we visited Borgata Casino

1	down in New Jersey; and they have these things all
2	over the place. It's really cool if you like
3	technology. It's amazing.
4	Surveillance objectives. Objectives are to
5	safeguard assets, to monitor regulatory compliance.
6	And this is a case where a surveillance organization
7	is watching the inside organization.
8	We are going to talk about the need to
9	absolutely guarantee that the surveillance is
10	independent of the line management of an organization.
11	Protect the health and welfare of citizens,
12	maintain integrity of gaming operations, reports
13	suspicious activity and terrorists act. You might not
14	think in Pennsylvania we have to worry about that; but
15	the fact is, in Las Vegas, they take that deadly
16	serious.
17	Because one of the targets for terrorists
18	are casinos. They are viewed as American decadence
19	and all that. That is a target. So the issue of
20	suspicious activities and things are things that need
21	to be paid attention to.
22	Preserve the reputation of the industry in
23	the State. That is absolutely essential. Yesterday,
24	in the opening comments, you talked about integrity.
25	And that's really important. So we have to have a

1	solid gaming operation that people recognize is clean,
2	is absolutely fair, and transparent.
3	Critical success factors. First and
4	foremost is a proper segregation of duties and
5	reporting lines, the minimum internal control
6	standards. And this applies to Delaware, applies to
7	Nevada, applies to New Jersey, it applies to New
8	Mexico.
9	West Virginia is a different case. But in
10	all cases, the surveillance organizations are required
11	to report directly to the Board of Directors. Doesn't
12	report to the CEO. It doesn't report to the casino
13	manager.
14	It reports to the Board of Directors. The
15	reason for that is because the role of your security
16	operation is to look both for potential problems
17	inside and outside the organization.
18	In fact, when we were in New Jersey, the
19	security people aren't even allowed inside the
20	surveillance room. New Jersey State Police are; but
21	the surveillance people have to stay out. That is a
22	locked, secured area with very limited access.
23	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Repeat that, I'm sorry.
24	Maybe I didn't understand.

MR. STERLING: When we were visiting New

1	Jersey, we visited Borgata, which is their newest
2	casino. The security room, with all the monitors and
3	videotape recorders and stuff, is a very secured area.
4	And no line employees are allowed in there.
5	Now, New Jersey State Police Officers are
6	allowed in there. There are cases where regulatory
7	auditors and things may be allowed in there. But line
8	people, that is people that report up through the
9	chain of command of the casino operation, are not
10	allowed in there.
11	The goal is to protect the independence.
12	So it's organizational independence and operational
13	independence and surveillance. And that is
14	fundamental to making surveillance work.
15	Because if it's not independent, they are
16	not going to be able to watch for gaps and control
17	inside the organizations, or for the potential for
18	management participation in fraud and things like
19	that. And they are your first line of defense in the
20	slot gaming operations.
21	CHAIRMAN DECKER: I think we are going to
22	take a break right now. We have things to do. We
23	will come back in 15, say 10:45.
24	(Break.)
25	CHAIRMAN DECKER: If you could take your

1 seats, please, we will get started.

2	MR. STERLING: I'm going to just reiterate.
3	I talked a lot about the importance of segregation of
4	duties and reporting lines. That is a foundation
5	piece for good surveillance practice.
6	The next piece is adequate staffing. And
7	when we talk about adequate staffing, it includes
8	training sufficient number of people and, also,
9	discipline. And I underlined discipline there because
10	surveillance a lot of it has to do with detail.
11	And a lot of it has to do with a keenness
12	of observation. If somebody is not completely aware
13	of what's going on, they can miss some very important
14	things.
15	The surveillance staff assigned by a gaming
16	operator really has to be alert; and they need to
17	follow procedure, standard operating procedure.
18	Because if they fail to do that, you may
19	find you have something on tape, you may have a clear
20	evidence that there's been a crime committed or some
21	action and the tape gets erased at the wrong time; and
22	those things happen.
23	So that discipline piece of this is really
24	essential. The other thing is, it needs to be
25	independent in fact. That goes back to the idea that

1	it's not just organizationally, but operationally, and
2	how it does business.
3	It needs to be separate from the line
4	operation. It needs to have relevant experience. One
5	of the things that we observed when we were in New
6	Jersey was the people that were sitting there watching
7	the monitors really understood the games they were
8	watching.
9	They understood the internal standard
10	operating procedures for people on the gaming floor.
11	That's the only way they are able to spot when there's
12	a problem. So you need people who understand the slot
13	gaming business.
14	Continuing professional training. One of
15	the things that James talked about yesterday was this
16	whole notion of change in evolution and a change in
17	technology.
18	That applies to surveillance people.
19	Because while today they are going to be looking at
20	video cameras and physical things, in the future, it's
21	very likely that they are going to be looking at
22	network traffic and some other things because there
23	may be signs that things are going wrong.
24	And as you get into radio frequency
25	networks and things, there are other kinds of exposure

1	and other k	inds of	technologies	that	they	are	going	to
2	have to be	familiar	with.					
2		D			loconk		Von	

Pro-active management philosophy. You really want a surveillance operation that's going to be looking to go out and find and actively pursue problems, not sitting back and reacting. Because if you are reacting, typically, it's too late.

Well designed integrated video system. I mentioned earlier the importance of proper tape retention policies and procedures. You have to make sure that there are enough tapes that you are not erasing critical evidence. Very important.

The other thing is, as you look at how the cameras are designed and the surveillance system is designed, you need to make sure that you can clearly and visibly see everything that is going on with the slot machine, down to being able to recognize a type of token, the type of bill, the type of ticket that they are inserting into that machine.

The importance of that is because if you don't have that level of granularity or precision in the images that you are capturing, you may not be able to use that as evidence if you need it in prosecution of a criminal case.

25 You need a good working relationship with

1	security staff because, remember, security is separate
2	from surveillance, and the Pennsylvania State Police.
3	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Tom, I have a
4	question. Did you see when you went around to these
5	casinos, were there two separate rooms for
6	surveillance equipment?
7	MR. STERLING: Yes.
8	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: One for the casino,
9	and then one for regulatory investigators? And they
10	didn't if they were separate, they stayed separate?
11	MR. STERLING: They were physically
12	separate. In the case in New Jersey, and I believe
13	this is also true in Delaware, at least it's in their
14	minimum internal control standards, they can be
15	separately controlled.
16	So, for example, if the state police are in
17	a separate surveillance area, they spot something
18	that's going on, they can take control of the pan,
19	zoom, tilt camera and zoom in on a particular thing
20	that they are interested in, and override whatever
21	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Without the other
22	side knowing what they are doing?
23	MR. STERLING: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN DECKER: It's the same
25	surveillance equipment for both?

1	MR. STERLING: Yean.
2	CHAIRMAN DECKER: What's inside these the
3	rooms, by the way?
4	MR. STERLING: The rooms consist of
5	monitors and a very elaborate switching matrix. I
6	think Borgata had was like 900 cameras. And what
7	you are typically doing is not all of the monitors are
8	watching every camera.
9	And what they will do is there's
10	actually a timer in there, and they will switch.
11	Because if you have no activity, you don't want to sit
12	there and watch an empty floor space.
13	So what they will have, they will have
14	timers on them; and the cameras will actually trigger
15	every few seconds. So you are always getting a second
16	snapshot.
17	Now, the people that are manning the
18	surveillance console, if they see something of
19	interest, they punch that camera up. And at that
20	point, they can, in many instances, actually take a
21	look at that problem from a couple different camera
22	vantage points.
23	One of the things that will happen, and is
24	called for in the Act, is that you do a walk through,
2.5	an inspection of the surveillance system, before you

1	actually grant the license or allow a facility to
2	open.
3	And those are the kinds of things you are
4	looking for.
5	BOARD MEMBER COY: As a follow up to the
6	question, are one of the two between the casino
7	owner/operator and the enforcement folks, is one of
8	the two greater in terms of surveillance? What's your
9	experience in that regard?
10	MR. STERLING: When we talked with the New
11	Jersey State Police, their view is that they are there
12	to supplement the internal surveillance staff. And
13	the reason is, quite simply, because they can't
14	possibly provide the same level of coverage.
15	BOARD MEMBER COY: Why can't they?
16	MR. STERLING: Not enough police officers.
17	They were up to 127. Today they are down, I believe,
18	to 61. And to do that, if you did that, there would
19	be a terrific burden on the operators because they are
20	paying for that.
21	I think that and we are going to talk
22	about this later on, when you start to look at how far
23	do you go in doing surveillance, if you think about
24	the role that a regulator or the state police can
25	play, there's doing surveillance, there's overseeing

1	surveillance, and there's looking to improve
2	surveillance.
3	And as you look at the cost of those three
4	things there are three distinct activities, you
5	want to weigh those carefully. Because in most
6	instances, the interests of the casino or the slot
7	gaming operator are going to be the same interests
8	that the Commonwealth has.
9	They don't want slot cheats. They don't
10	want muggings. They don't want the kinds of things
11	that surveillance cameras are there to detect. So it
12	isn't that they are going to be sitting there saying,
13	well, I don't want to look at that stuff.
14	It may be that their execution isn't strong
15	enough; and that's where you need to have the
16	regulatory oversight. But it's not from an intention
17	standpoint.
18	So I think that, from a kind of reality
19	standpoint, are you going to have 24 by 7 somebody
20	sitting there watching a monitor? I don't know if
21	that's going to be cost effective.
22	You know, you can make that determination.
23	You need 24 by 7 surveillance, absolutely. The
24	question is, who does it? What risks are you trying
25	to mitigate? What will it cost?

1	At what point do you, kind of, draw the
2	break even point, if you will, between the cost of
3	that surveillance and the value or the risk that you
4	are managing down as a result of that.
5	Okay. All right. Safeguarding assets.
6	Again, these are some of the things that, from a
7	surveillance standpoint, you are looking for external
8	theft.
9	Of course, that's pretty self explanatory.
10	Internal theft. Again, surveillance is the frontline
11	defense against internally committed crimes,
12	collusion, things like that.
13	Tangible versus intangible assets. It's no
14	longer just cash and tokens that you need to protect;
15	but it's also things like player points. And when
16	James was talking about full electronic gaming,
17	cashless gaming, you are talking about digital
18	records, at that point.
19	Those have to be secured. Those are things
20	of value. The types of surveillance that you perform
21	to keep watch over those things will change as
22	technology changes and evolves.
23	And that goes back to the earlier point we
24	talked about where there needs to be continuous
25	learning and development of the surveillance staff to

1	keep up with technology.
2	And the cheaters are pretty smart people.
3	And it's important to keep in mind that one of the
4	things that we learned was that there's this kind of,
5	like a, click, if you will, of people that go around
6	cheating gaming operations.
7	They are international. I'm trying to
8	remember if it was Romania. But we were talking with
9	the New Jersey State Police. They said, oh, yeah,
10	this crew was in Romania because they just opened a
11	casino there. So there are people that go around to
12	new jurisdictions and they are going to test your
13	systems.
14	Now, in many cases, they are dealing with
15	table games and things. But there are people that are
16	going to come and test your slot security, as well.
17	So one of the reasons, when you talk about setting the
18	regulatory bar high, but one of the reasons why you
19	want to do that is because you don't even want to let
20	them get started.
21	Because once they get started, then it's
22	tough to get them weeded out of the system. So again,
23	the surveillance is a vital function. It needs to be

I think from a cost standpoint and a risk

24

25

taken very seriously.

1	standpoint, you need to carefully weigh who performs
2	those surveillance functions and how you do that. At
3	the end of this presentation, I'm going to suggest a
4	framework for dealing with those issues.
5	Because, one of the things I mentioned
6	before, I'm not a gaming consultant. I'm somebody
7	that does system designs, business process
8	reengineering.
9	But one of the things that I noticed is
10	that there is there are different philosophies at
11	play when you talk about security and surveillance.
12	And it becomes almost a polemic thing.
13	And it's, well, I got to do it this way, or
14	you got to do it this way. And because I don't know
15	any better, I ask; well, why?
16	I think that one of the things that you
17	want to consider is not just hearing, well, you should
18	do it this way or this way; but what is the thinking
19	framework that you would use to make that decision.
20	I want to get to that at the end of the
21	presentation because that's, hopefully, part of what
22	value I can add to what you are trying to do, because
23	you have a tough job. And there's a lot of tradeoffs.
24	It is useful to have a framework for exploring those
25	things.

1	Let's talk about the next thing in the
2	surveillance, monitor regulatory compliance. I really
3	talked about this earlier; but this really gets down
4	to some of the systemic risks that we talked about.
5	But if there are breaks in procedure, if
6	there are breaks in control in the operation of the
7	slot gaming facility, you need to know that. Because
8	those breaks in control can offer gaps that external
9	or internal bad guys can exploit.
10	And so the part of the rule for the
11	surveillance organization and the regulator is to look
12	at and monitor regulatory compliance. And to do that,
13	you need to be able to watch, to be able to listen and
14	observe what's going on, independently of the slot
15	gaming operations; okay?
16	Protect health and welfare of citizens.
17	You are going to have crimes that occur, assaults.
18	You are going to have petty thief. You are going to
19	have different crimes that occur in any place where
20	you have a gathering of people and there's lots of
21	money, which is going to be slot gaming facilities.
22	So you need to have a safe environment.
23	And this is one of the reasons why you need a law
24	enforcement present law enforcement agency present
25	at the gaming operations. One is having a police

1	officer there is a deterrent and two, it gives you the
2	ability to respond to things very quickly. That's
3	important.
4	One of the things that was made clear to
5	us, and one of the gaps in a lot of surveillance and
6	security organizations, is the ability to respond on a
7	timely basis if there's a problem. And you need to be
8	able to do that in order to nail the perpetrator.
9	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: How much of a law
10	enforcement presence should be there? And should they
11	be undercover; should they be in uniform?
12	MR. STERLING: I am not sure I can answer
13	how much. I think it's going to depend we are
14	going to talk about the factors, but I think it will
15	vary by venue.
16	Should they be undercover? I'm going to
17	leave that to the Pennsylvania State Police because I
18	think they are far better qualified to answer that one
19	than I am.
20	I think that the fact is there needs to be
21	a presence there. And, I think, that part of what you
22	have to weigh, as a Board, is there's a cost in how
23	much that presence will be; and how do you design
24	that?
25	The way to enter that discussion, I

1	believe, is to consider what assets you are
2	protecting, what kind of risks you are dealing with,
3	what kind of frequency of risk, what's the
4	probability, what's the severity of the risk?
5	And those are the things you would look at
6	to try and determine what's the most effective way to
7	protect your slot gaming assets at a reasonable cost.
8	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Did I understand you
9	to say that we can bill back the gambling casino for
10	the cost of having the police there?
11	MR. STERLING: There's a provision in the
12	law that allows there's a, I believe, it's an
13	assessment. They use the term assessment in the law.
14	And that, basically, is one way to recover the cost of
15	regulation, if you will.
16	I don't believe that's a direct bill back.
17	I think that goes through the assessment. I think
18	that's set on I don't know if it's an annual basis
19	or a periodic basis.
20	But, yeah, to answer your question, those
21	costs ultimately will be borne by the gaming industry.
22	Okay.
23	One of the other things that was an eye
24	opener when we went to New Jersey was the fact that
25	not only are the surveillance people looking to

1	protect patrons from harm, they are looking to protect
2	the slot gaming operators from litigation due to slip
3	and fall accidents and staged things.

So one of the things you are going to see is there's a significant concern on the part of the operators to make sure that there's nobody gaming the system, going through the tort process to try and take money out of them.

So they are, actually, very, very careful about monitoring their environment and areas, both in and outside the gaming areas, to make sure that there's no strange things going on with people, slip and fall accident type things. New Jersey, there's a lot of marble, you know, and there's a lot of reasons why people may slip.

Integrity of the gaming operation. Again, this gets back to knowledge of the gaming operation, understanding floor procedures, making sure that only those people who need to know have access to relevant personnel information, knowledge of slot games and regulations.

Because if your surveillance department is there to make sure that they are adhering to minimum internal control and the rules that you defined, as a Board, they need to understand what those are. So

1	they need to be familiar with gaming regulations.
2	They need to have quality surveillance
3	system repair. One of the things is, all these
4	cameras and videotape recorders and switches, they are
5	mechanisms. And they will break.
6	If you have a camera down, you have a
7	serious risk. So you need to make sure that that
8	equipment is well maintained and repaired quickly if
9	there's a problem.
10	Trained facial recognition. One of the
11	things that most casinos are aware of and there's a
12	database, I can't remember the name of it. But
13	basically they maintain a database of faces and
14	identities. And there are, actually, people that they
15	will look for coming into a casino to cheat it.
16	Remember I mentioned earlier, there's this
17	category of people that go around? Well, there's also
18	a database on all those folks. One of the things that
19	some casinos have started to experiment with in New
20	Jersey is an automated facial recognition system.
21	So this is these are all technologies
22	coming out of the homeland security. But it's the
23	ability to, using a video camera, when you go up to
24	the cashier's booth, they will actually have a camera
25	take your picture, run your picture, scan it against

the database to see if you meet one of the criteria

2	for people that they really don't want there.
3	That's one of the technologies. It's not
4	perfect; but it is a technology that's going to be
5	emerging and play a role in trying to keep out people
6	that you don't want in there.
7	I talked about this earlier; cooperation
8	with law enforcement is absolutely essential. And the
9	surveillance people need to be trained in the law
10	related to the handling of evidence in Pennsylvania.
11	Remember I mentioned earlier, if you have a
12	videotape and that videotape can't be viewed, and you
13	don't have the resolution on there to make a solid
14	case, your surveillance tape will be no good. If it's
15	erased, of course, it will do you no good.
16	There were also logs and things that they
17	need to maintain, standard operating procedures for
18	how they interact with police, how they interact with
19	internal security and so on.
20	Those are all things that have to be cast
21	within the terms of Pennsylvania Law; to make sure
22	that when they gather evidence, you got good evidence
23	that can be used.
24	Report suspicious activity and terrorist
25	acts. One of the other things increasingly important,

1	I mentioned this earlier, is this notion of terrorism
2	and its ability to infiltrate and deal in cash
3	transactions.
4	There are federal laws regulating the
5	reporting of cash transactions. There's something
6	called a suspicious action report that the Treasury
7	Department requires that they file. And there's a
8	second thing called a cash transaction report, I
9	believe.
10	Let me see if I got that right. Well,
11	there are two reports. One triggers at \$10,000. If
12	you come in and you drop \$10,000 on the table and say,
13	I want to pay slots, they are going to require you to
14	fill out this form to verify your identity.
15	And that's the cash I think it's a cash
16	transaction report, CTR. That's a form that is
17	required to be filed with the Federal Government. The
18	suspicious action report is a little less concrete.
19	It's a little subject to interpretation.
20	And that, at least in the people we talked
21	to in New Jersey, typically, they look for somebody
22	coming in to drop \$5,000 on the table, or more. If
23	that person is known, that is, they have a credit
24	account with the casino, they already know who they
25	are because they are registered.

1	They vetted their credit background and
2	they know who they are. They don't need to check. If
3	they don't, if it's a complete stranger coming in and
4	dropping lots of cash but it doesn't meet the \$10,000
5	trigger, they will prepare a suspicious action report.
6	Now, these are things that and again,
7	this is where you start to get into the difference
8	between the lottery point of view and the casino
9	traditional point of view.
10	Things like suspicious transactions, things
11	like looking for money laundering are considered part
12	of the business of regulation in New Jersey and
13	Nevada.
14	They are not looked at as important in some
15	other jurisdictions, like Delaware, West Virginia and
16	so on.
17	And this goes back to what I talked about a
18	little bit earlier, as you consider how you define
19	integrity and how you measure integrity.
20	These are things that when you decide how
21	you want to take that concept of integrity and break
22	it into operational things, things that you can do
23	something about, that starts to get at, well, what are
24	the risks you are looking at?
25	As a Board, what do you determine are most

important? Currency transactions in some casinos --

2	well, under the law, they are required to report the
3	CTR, okay, over the \$10,000 mark. Suspicious
4	transactions, it's ambiguous.
5	And, as a Board, you have the authority to
6	make some decisions about how you want slot gaming
7	operators to deal with that stuff. You also have the
8	latitude to decide, well, how important is that in
9	terms of how you define gaming integrity?
10	Those are some important things. Because
11	depending on the approach you want to take, you may
12	want to make that a key issue or not. I bring that up
13	because that's one of the things that the
14	differences we spotted in different venues.
15	One of the other things is you need to
16	maintain surveillance video and still photos of
17	suspicious activities.
18	You want to be watching if somebody is
19	coming in and they look suspicious; you want to be
20	able to watch them. Most of the video systems allow
21	you to do that.
22	We actually watched them apprehend somebody
23	in New Jersey, and it was pretty cool, because the guy
24	ripped off a deck of cards.
25	And they tracked him right from the area he

1	ripped them off from, out into the hall where he was
2	met by state police officers and a couple security
3	guards. So they are pretty good at tracking things
4	down and watching.
5	Of course, you want to report to law
6	enforcement agencies any suspected terrorist actions
7	or suspicious actions.
8	The final thing is to preserve the
9	reputation of the industry in the state. Credibility,
10	reliability of surveillance personnel is important.
11	And more important is a credibility and reliability in
12	the public perception of gaming.
13	It is really important to have a
14	surveillance function that's going to catch problems
15	before they become serious visible problems.
16	That's really what surveillance is all
17	about. If you can nail it before it becomes a major
18	issue, you are going to be far ahead of the game.
19	21st century surveillance, I think James talked about
20	it.
21	The central control system is a very
22	powerful tool because it really does lock down what
23	people can do with the slot machines. And it offers
24	you tremendous control that really wasn't possible
25	before. That's a powerful tool.

1	The same thing with all the different video
2	systems, facial recognition systems and, in the
3	future, you are going to see other kinds of
4	technologies emerge that will also help.
5	Biometric identification, for example, can
6	possibly replace the use of passwords, which is an
7	issue from a security standpoint. Those are some of
8	the things we are going to see in the future.
9	Let's talk about regulatory oversight. I
10	mentioned before that the surveillance function is
11	there to watch what's going on inside the
12	organization, as well as what happens with parties
13	outside the organization.
14	These are some of the things that need to
15	be looked at. As I mentioned, it should be an
16	independent organization that reports to the Board of
17	Directors.
18	There should be mandatory reporting to the
19	BIE and State Police of any questionable incidents,
20	suspicious incidents or criminal incidents.
21	And it should not be left to discretion.
22	It should be a mandatory part of regulation. Anything
23	that goes on that's out of the ordinary should be
24	reported.
25	And standard operating procedures would

then dictate how it is reported, what media and so on.

2	In addition, there's going to be surveillance
3	compliance audits by regulators.
4	This is an area where you may want to have
5	the State Police involved because, typically, they are
6	going to have the training, and so on, required to
7	deal with surveillance.
8	And there is an element of skill and
9	experience, as you look at all these things we are
10	talking about, and who in the State is most equipped
11	to deal with some of these things?
12	One of the other things that is very
13	important is a preopening inspection and approval of
14	the slot gaming facility surveillance measures.
15	That's required under section 120710 of the Law.
16	Again, that's another area where you really
17	want to have State Police involvement. To make sure
18	that that surveillance is adequate and appropriate and
19	make sure that whatever you are recording will follow
20	the rules of evidence in Pennsylvania; so that if you
21	do record an incident, you've got the evidence you
22	need to prosecute.
23	We are going to talk about technology.
24	Actually, we are going to talk about operations first.
25	Organization, we said it needs to be independent. We

1	talked about the staff.
2	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Tom, just for
3	clarification, you are talking about the internal
4	operator surveillance group right now, right? When
5	you say must be independent of gaming facility
6	management, who are you addressing now?
7	MR. STERLING: We are talking about the
8	surveillance function inside of a slot gaming
9	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Well, obviously, if we
10	did it, it's going to be independent, correct?
11	MR. STERLING: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN DECKER: But I thought you were
13	addressing, also, the you are talking about the
14	independent reporting of the operator surveillance?
15	MR. STERLING: Correct. By definition, you
16	are going to be independent. And one of the things is
17	one of the roles that the regulators play is to act
18	as surveillance on the surveillance people.
19	And that's one of the roles in New Jersey
20	the State Police play. And the idea is and that
21	goes back to, again, what I was talking about the
22	role; how you want to define role and surveillance for
23	the State Police and BIE. There are a couple
24	different levels of involvement. We are going to talk
25	about those in a little more detail.

1	These are the kinds of things, here, in
2	terms of training your surveillance staff, they need
3	to be familiar with; and I'm not going to read through
4	those. But they are all, basically, being familiar
5	with the operation of a slot gaming business.
6	And that deals with all the cash
7	accounting, as well as the slot machine servicing and
8	things that they do. Because if you don't understand
9	the business, you won't know what to look for.
10	The folks we saw at Borgata were pretty
11	sharp. I mean, they were picking stuff up that I
12	would not have picked up at all, actually. Eye in the
13	sky, this is the cool stuff. You were asking about
14	the control room.
15	They, basically, have cameras that are
16	going to be looking at everything that goes on inside
17	the gaming area. If you have a slot machine, you are
18	going to have, probably, at least one, maybe, two
19	cameras that can observe that machine and can be
20	punched up on demand.
21	You need to be able to watch every
22	electronic gaming device or slot machine in detail.
23	So, remember, I talked about pan, zoom, tilt. You
24	need to be able to zoom in and if I have a credit
25	card, I have a credit card here; basically, you need

to be able to read that and recognize the name and all

2	the fine print on here.
3	Because that becomes your basis, if you
4	have a problem. Particularly, as you get into
5	tickets, ticketing, as you get into cashless slot
6	gaming, because they are the only thing you are
7	going to have is a card like that as evidence.
8	You need to have that system or the gaming
9	operator should have that security system linked to
10	their central slot management system. Now, what do I
11	mean by that? Yesterday, James talked about the idea
12	that all these machines are intelligent devices.
13	If you open a machine or you attempt to
14	violate its integrity in some way, there's a
15	connection to the casino surveillance system that will
16	switch that camera on immediately, start the
17	videotape, and send an alert to the surveillance team.
18	Typically, that's something that is done in
19	the slot operator itself because, typically, they are
20	in position to respond to an attempt to break into a

Now, what's going to happen -- James talked about protocols. And the protocol is a language. But one of the things that that language provides is a way to define different types of events. There's certain

slot machine or do something that's unauthorized.

1	illegal events that slot machines are going to be
2	equipped to detect.
3	When they detect those illegal events, for
4	example, somebody attempting to shove something down
5	the coin slot or somebody attempting to break into the
6	logic area, it's going to send a signal to the DOR
7	central control system. It's going to send a signal
8	to the casino slot machine monitoring system.
9	And that will then trigger an alert and
10	tell the surveillance system, hey, there's something
11	going on here, turn that camera on, start to tape.
12	At that point, of course, you are going to
13	have an alert sent to security from surveillance. And
14	then security will take over and deal with the
15	problem.
16	One of the other things and I mentioned
17	this before if your video system is connected to a
18	videotaping system that is low quality, you are going
19	to lose evidence.
20	So you have to have a videotaping system
21	that maintains high quality fidelity of the image, and
22	maintains image long enough so that you can actually
23	use it.
24	Floor surveillance. There is a rule for
25	undercover operations on the floor because cameras

1	will not catch everything. One of the things that was
2	raised to us repeatedly is not just seeing the
3	actions; it's being able to respond to it quickly
4	enough to deal with it, to make the arrest, whatever
5	you have to do.
6	Surveillance facilities. All the different
7	areas that need to be secured should have limited
8	access. And that includes computer rooms.
9	It includes, obviously, the surveillance
10	room, and any other areas where you have any
11	information, cash or other assets.
12	This is just an example of a slot gaming
13	area. And it gives you an illustration of the kinds
14	of planning that go on.
15	You have your closed circuit TV cameras,
16	monitors, mirrors and so on. But that's the type of
17	security layout you'd be looking for as part of your
18	preopening inspection.
19	This is a diagram. The area I guess you
20	have black and white copies, don't you? Okay. The
21	area in red, the red area, are things that are
22	directly connected to the central control computer
23	system and to the slot machine operators, slot
24	monitoring system.
25	Those things are things that are things

1	that are going to be automatically monitored. And you
2	can see, there's a tie-in to the surveillance system.
3	The green things deal with the handling of money.
4	And as James mentioned, if you have tokens,
5	cash, coin, you will have to track the flow of those
6	assets through the organization. That's what the
7	green things represent.
8	The blue things represent the financial
9	reporting that comes out of that. That's the internal
10	reporting that the slot machine operators are doing to
11	account for revenue and so on.
12	And if you notice I'm going to try this.
13	Right up here, you have DOR central slot machine
14	system, central control system. So they are observing
15	and watching everything that goes on.
16	Typically, from a response standpoint, your
17	surveillance system will be interfaced to the casino
18	or the slot machine operators central control computer
19	system. And again, that's a responsiveness issue.
20	And as you can see here, everything is secure.
21	There's, actually, multiple levels of
22	security because you have a secured area outside all
23	the back office functions and there are secured areas
24	inside of that. So there's some redundancy.
25	This is just a high level overview of what

the surveillance looks like in a centrally monitored

2	slot system.
3	Again, this is a simpler diagram that
4	illustrates the relationship between the Department of
5	Revenue central control system, and the slot gaming
6	operators internal slot monitoring system.
7	The red line here at the top is the line to
8	the camera through the slot video system video
9	surveillance system. Key points. If you don't look
10	for it, you'll never see it. Again, that's one of the
11	reasons why you need a vigilant surveillance function.
12	It's what you see that will hurt you. Good
13	surveillance is aware; sees everything important to
14	protecting people, assets and gaming integrity, but
15	it's unseen.
16	Surveillance requires disciplined execution
17	of clearly documented and understood standard
18	operating procedures.
19	Surveillance requires a trained team of
20	professionals, requires continuous vigilance, and it
21	needs to be objective and stand separate from line
22	management and the slot gaming operator.
23	Let's talk about alternate strategies. In
24	terms of looking at alternatives, these are all goals
25	we talked about before. So the goals are there in

1	front of us. The question is, how do we get there?
2	How do we arrive at a decision about what we need to
3	do?
4	Here's a proposed way of approaching it.
5	One is to develop a clear understanding and the list
6	of the people in gaming assets that are at risk. The
7	next thing is to understand what are the loss events
8	that may occur.
9	The next step is to estimate the frequency
10	of loss events. The next is to estimate the impact of
11	loss events. If you have a dumb crook and the person
12	is going to be sitting there jamming the slot machine,
13	it's a risk.
14	Is it a high risk in terms of damaging the
15	overall integrity of slot gaming? Probably not. It
16	is a crime. It needs to be prosecuted.
17	But that's an example of a risk that's less
18	critical, perhaps, than the employee who breaks into
19	the computer system, steals codes and can pull out
20	several hundred thousand dollars.
21	Those are the kinds of things. The
22	frequency of the dumb crook is probably higher than
23	the other example I drew, but the risk is lower.
24	The next thing is to identify and describe
25	ontions to mitigate event losses to assess the

1	organization, operational and technical feasibility of
2	mitigation options.
3	Develop a cost benefit analysis, make a
4	decision based on that information about what approach
5	you want to adopt, implement it, track your actual
6	experience, and then go back and improve it.
7	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Can I interrupt
8	you? I mean we keep going it's getting rather
9	circular because we are going and doing the same
10	thing. I need to get is the primary purpose of
11	surveillance to deter?
12	MR. STERLING: It is to deter
13	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: The notion is, it
14	doesn't make sense because you are going to get
15	caught.
16	MR. STERLING: Right.
17	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Surveillance won't
18	let us intervene proactively; correct?
19	MR. STERLING: Well, that's the role of the
20	security. So, for example, one of the things you can
21	do to deter is put police officers in the gaming
22	venues.
23	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: I understand that.
24	But from a surveillance standpoint, all these things
25	you talk about, we are trying to protect here and

Τ	everything else, it basically says that we will be
2	able to catch the person who did it?
3	MR. STERLING: Absolutely.
4	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: It won't stop,
5	necessarily, the person doing it from doing it?
6	MR. STERLING: That's correct. The first
7	role is deterrence. The second role is if they do it,
8	you want to be able to get it and prosecute them. And
9	that's where you need to have the rules of evidence
10	followed, and make sure you got clear documentation.
11	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Maybe you could
12	take us to the third piece. I just don't want people
13	leaving with the impression if we have all those high
14	tech cameras, they won't have problems.
15	MR. STERLING: Absolutely not, no. In
16	fact, you want the stuff there. One of the
17	interesting things is it's very difficult to get good
18	numbers about what really goes on; because there are
19	publicly reported numbers, but that doesn't
20	necessarily reflect what's really going on in casinos
21	and stuff.
22	And they are required to keep logs; but
23	that information is very hard to get. One of the most
24	important things I think that you can do is to require
25	gaming operators to keep detailed measurements of the

_	types of the incluents they are encountering, and
2	based on to develop a probability distribution.
3	Because I think one of the things you are
4	going to see in Pennsylvania is, and we are a little
5	bit different than almost any of our slot gaming venue
6	because we have such diversity of sites.
7	And a site in downtown Philadelphia is
8	going to be very different from a security and
9	surveillance standpoint than a site in Lebanon County
10	for example. You are going to have I think you are
11	going to see a different risk profile emerge, based or
12	frequency and so on.
13	In terms of determining staffing
14	alternatives, one of the key questions that I think
15	that will come up is how do you want the State Police
16	to be involved? And there are really three kinds of
17	options to consider.
18	One is to perform the surveillance
19	function. They are in there watching and,
20	essentially, doing what the internal folks are doing,
21	as well. The second thing is to regulate. And that
22	means inspecting and making sure that those people are
23	doing the jobs; and that the discipline and things we
24	talked about earlier are being strictly adhered to.
25	The third thing is to improve. The idea

1	there is to provide technical support and services to
2	the slot gaming surveillance teams, to help them
3	improve their operating capability.
4	You can mix and match those different
5	roles. They are not exclusive. But that's one way
6	you can enter the discussion of cost.
7	Let's move into security. The objectives
8	are essentially the same as they are for surveillance.
9	You want to protect your assets, your people and so
10	on. The regulatory and security risks, the risks are
11	the same. The difference is in security, you are
12	acting on it.
13	As we mentioned before, there are systemic
14	risks and there are going to be point of failure
15	risks. You need to be able to deal with both of
16	those.
17	As I mentioned before, systemic risks have
18	more to do with operating procedures and
19	organizational problems.
20	Point of failure risks typically deal with
21	specific events you need to respond to. Non-criminal
22	security risks. That goes back into what I was
23	talking about before where you have accidents,
24	injuries to employees or patrons, as well as potential
25	fraud.

1	You have other things like fire,
2	unauthorized access to secured areas of the facility
3	and so on. So those are all security risks that you
4	want to mitigate.
5	I think it's important to keep in mind that
6	when we get into the law enforcement aspect of
7	security and gaming enforcement in general, as I read
8	it, the law is pretty clear that it's the Pennsylvania
9	State Police.
10	I think that it warrants having the state
11	police presence in each gaming facility for a lot of
12	reasons. I think that's an important that goes
13	back to, I think, the integrity issue we talked about
14	earlier.
15	Let's talk about some I'm going to move
16	forward here a little bit. Let's talk about the
17	process we started with before, because that's really
18	the same slide, if you go to slide 36.
19	What are we really trying to protect?
20	Well, we are really trying to protect patrons and
21	employees. So public safety is the first concern.
22	The second concern is cash, tokens, and any other type
23	of media you have that has value associated with it.
24	The third thing are the slot machines and
25	kiosks themselves. Fourth thing is local and wide

area network. Stors are interrugent devices. They
depend on network communications, so you need to
protect the network.
Central control computer. That has to be
hardened and protected. Slot gaming software, that
has to be protected. All of these things in here are
assets that we need to be looking at from a security
standpoint.
Specific loss events. You have injuries,
you have theft, counterfeiting, slot machine cheating.
Some of these are dumb crook things. Some of them are
going to be sophisticated crook things.
You need to be able to deal with all of
those. Slot gaming cheating through unauthorized
manipulation of the random number generator, pay
tables, game state, typically is going to be on your
more sophisticated side. And that's where you get
into computer related crimes.
You need to be careful of infiltration of
the local area network. And as you go to RF based
systems, RF based networks, that's going to be
extremely important. You need to look for illegal
payoff to non-gaming vendors.
So those are the kinds of loss events you

are looking at. Given that law enforcement in gaming

Τ	facilities has to be handled by the State Police, you
2	get into a question, well, how much?
3	Do you want continuous? Do you want on
4	call? And I believe those are matters for you to
5	consider with the State Police to develop that plan.
6	What is clear is that, I believe, there
7	should be a role for the State Police in each
8	facility.
9	From a regulatory security standpoint, this
10	deals with the more systemic risks. This involves, as
11	I mentioned before, inspection, auditing and so on.
12	There is a role for the State Police,
13	Bureau of Investigation and Department of Revenue; and
14	that would be looking at the areas you see there.
15	Security staff and infrastructure from a
16	regulatory standpoint. State police are the best
17	qualified people to do that. That's what they do.
18	Command and control procedures for security
19	and surveillance. What is the relationship? How
20	quickly can they respond? What are the standard
21	operating procedures if there is a problem? How does
22	surveillance and security interact?
23	Slot gaming facility layout and design from
24	a surveillance and security standpoint. BIE, their
25	focus from a security standpoint would be on financial

1	and operational control and strict adherence to
2	minimum internal control standards and organizational
3	segregation of duty.
4	Department of Revenue would be looking at
5	making sure the central control computer and the wide
6	area network that ties all that together is secured.
7	And in looking at slot facility based
8	servers used to interface the slot machine licensees
9	from and to the central control system. So those are
10	some of the kinds of regulatory security things that
11	need to be looked at.
12	SECRETARY FAJT: Tom, on that slide, you
13	have one scenario. What are other scenarios you've
14	seen?
15	MR. STERLING: There are actually a number
16	of other scenarios. And one of the reasons that's
17	possible is because, under the Act, there is actually
18	an overlapping responsibility from an audit and an
19	inspection standpoint.
20	SECRETARY FAJT: Can you talk about the
21	overlap of functions in the security and surveillance
22	standpoint? I mean, I think, really that's what we
23	were kind of looking for?
24	MR. STERLING: Yes. It really deals in the
2.5	area of minimum internal control standards. Minimum

Τ	internal control standards are basically, it's a
2	book of minimum things that you require as regulators
3	that each slot machine licensee has to submit for your
4	approval.
5	And it is possible that you could say,
6	well, the review of the surveillance practices could
7	be done by somebody in BIE. It could be done by the
8	Pennsylvania State Police.
9	And that's where you look at the things
10	that could happen under the Act. And then you look
11	and consider, okay, based on the types of skills, the
12	types of experience, where would you get the biggest
13	bang for the buck in terms of doing that review? But
14	minimum internal control standards does deal directly
15	with surveillance, security.
16	It also deals with financial controls, as
17	well. Those are all typical sections in a minimum
18	internal control manual.
19	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Are you done? One
20	of the things, and I've spoken with some
21	representatives of the State Police about this, State
22	Police, under the Act, as I read it anyway, has
23	certain jurisdiction.
24	They are responsible to fingerprint, for

example. So in that scenario, I don't feel that we

1	have any obligation to audit, to do any kind of
2	quality control. That's the State Police's
3	responsibility as charged by the Legislature. They
4	answer to the Legislature.
5	The Act, again as I read it, and I won't
6	profess to know everything, but I, kind of, have a
7	sense is that we have been authorized to, I guess,
8	contract or enter into a vendor relationship with the
9	State Police to carry out certain functions.
10	Clearly, this is not the function of the
11	State Police, but it's ours; and one of the avenues
12	that we can pursue. In that case, I do believe we
13	answer to the Legislature from a quality control audit
14	and everything else.
15	And as we begin to consider over the next 6
16	to 12 months what ultimate role the State Police will
17	have in the vendor capacity, now, can you give us some
18	thoughts about how we, as a Board, can audit, oversee,
19	do quality control. Because we can't ask once they
20	become a vendor to us, we can't ask them to audit
21	themselves.
22	We can't ask them to do those quality
23	you know, if we were to have an outside firm, we could
24	probably deploy or ask the State Police to give us

some rendering that way.

1	I wonder if you had an opportunity to think
2	about it, or you could just give the benefit of your
3	thinking?
4	MR. STERLING: That's an interesting
5	question. I hadn't really thought about that. I
6	think, if I go back to New Jersey as an example
7	because they really have a what we saw there was a
8	very tight working relationship between the casino
9	internal surveillance staff and security people and
10	the state police.
11	The approach they took was the primary line
12	of defense against crime, against anything going on in
13	the gaming area, really, was going to be owned by the
14	casino operator. The state police were there.
15	They had a very significant presence; but
16	they could not be there 24/7 because they just didn't
17	have the manpower at this point. So their role was
18	I talked about the three roles.
19	One of those would be to act as an
20	inspector, to make sure that the gaming operators are
21	adhering to standard operating procedures; that they
22	are, in fact, performing surveillance the way it
23	should be done, surveillance and security.
24	I think it depends, when you talk about
25	quality assurance. If you talk about a performed

Δ.	fore, where accuratly doing surveillance and security,
2	you might want to consider some kind of Q/A. I'm not
3	sure how I would recommend doing that.
4	I think the other aspect or the approach
5	you could take would be to say, well, really, we could
6	choose to delegate that to the slot machine operator.
7	And the state police role becomes one of
8	supplementing their staff, and being there to inspect
9	and make sure that the surveillance and security staff
10	are performing appropriately; in which the state
11	police, in effect, become contracted to monitor and
12	manage and help improve what that slot operator is
13	doing.
14	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: But the dilemma is
15	still there because the Legislature chose not to vest
16	original jurisdiction in the State Police to do this;
17	and gave it to us to make the decision.
18	MR. STERLING: I think it depends on what
19	you are talking about. If you are talking about
20	criminal matter
21	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Clearly, they
22	have
23	MR. STERLING: Right. I think that to the
24	extent that security and surveillance are going to be
25	dealing with criminal matters, and they will, there is

Δ.	clearly a need for the state formed to be involved in
2	that.
3	As far as I know, at least from a gaming
4	standpoint and what goes on inside the slot machine
5	facility, the State Police have they are it, from a
6	law enforcement
7	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: From a criminal
8	standpoint. That's really not what I'm getting at.
9	I'm getting at, we have the opportunity to delegate,
10	if you will, a lot of our function to the State
11	Police.
12	And if we do that, we are still going to
13	have to assure ourselves; because we are going to
14	ultimately have to assure the Legislature and the
15	citizens of the Commonwealth that the state police are
16	doing their job.
17	I'm not trying to make this more
18	complicated. But if we to the extent we want to do
19	a broader delegation, do we hire somebody like you to
20	come in and say, once every year, we looked at it.
21	They are doing a fine job.
22	I don't know how or is that our Bureau
23	of Investigation, and what do they do?
24	MR. STERLING: I think you have the same
25	question same issue with the Bureau of

1	Investigation.
2	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: But there we can
3	hire the State Police to give us an analysis, to do
4	the quality assurance.
5	MR. STERLING: Start watching each other.
6	That's a possibility. I don't have an answer for you
7	just off the top of my head about how you would deal
8	with that.
9	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: You understand
10	where I'm
11	MR. STERLING: No, I do understand. Where
12	I am going, though, with the role, typically, you are
13	going to apply that to people that are in the perform
14	mode.
15	That is, they are doing surveillance and
16	security as opposed to individuals, perhaps, who would
17	be coming into manage, recommend and inspect and
18	improve and make sure that the operators are
19	performing it appropriately.
20	You can easily run into, kind of, this
21	situation where you have checkers, and checkers on the
22	checkers and checkers on the checkers. And pretty
23	soon, you have the checkers outnumbering the people
24	doing the work.
25	I don't know what the answer is. But I

1	think that it starts with understanding what role you
2	want the State Police to play in surveillance and
3	security.
4	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: I don't want to
5	belabor the point, but if we were to hire the ABC
6	security firm to do surveillance, I think we would
7	have an obligation to assure ourselves on some
8	periodic basis, like every other contractor we are
9	going to have.
10	MR. STERLING: I certainly think that it's
11	a role it's a very good role for the State Police
12	to play vis-a-vis the internal surveillance and
13	security staff for a slot gaming operator, absolutely.
14	But like I said, you get to the point,
15	where do you sort of, where do you draw the line,
16	now, in terms of levels of checking?
17	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Maybe you give some
18	thought over the next coming months, you could share
19	some
20	MR. STERLING: Sure.
21	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: maybe some other
22	jurisdiction has figured it out.
23	MR. STERLING: Like I said, in New Jersey,
24	the DGE reports up through the Office of Attorney
25	General. And there are some checks and balances

1	there. But the type of thing you are talking about,
2	I'm not aware of any other jurisdiction doing it.
3	Doesn't mean they are not, I'm not aware of that.
4	SECRETARY FAJT: Tad, can I ask a question?
5	Tom, again, not to belabor this point, but I want to
6	make sure I understand. Our law says that security
7	and surveillance can be undertaken at the discretion
8	of the Board.
9	And our options, as Board members, are
10	either we give that function to the Bureau of
11	Investigation and Enforcement or the Pennsylvania
12	State Police; is that accurate?
13	MR. STERLING: I believe that is accurate
14	from a regulatory standpoint. And the issue is
15	segregating security and surveillance that's dealing
16	with criminal things from things that are regulatory.
17	In the regulatory sense, yes. In fact,
18	that's one of the areas that the law there is just
19	a lot of overlap there.
20	In a criminal sense, depending on where you
21	want the State Police to intervene from an arrest
22	standpoint, I don't believe that a security officer
23	working for a slot gaming operator has the power to
24	arrest.
25	They can detain people. And in New Jersey,

```
1 they have rooms where they put them.
```

- 2 SECRETARY FAJT: But in Pennsylvania,
  3 that's not the case. I mean, what we are talking
  4 about is a Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement
- 5 which reports directly to the Board. That is not an
- operator's security force.

15

16

17

18

- 7 MR. STERLING: That is true.
- 8 SECRETARY FAJT: Again, I just want to make 9 the point. I'm not advocating either way; but I want 10 to make sure that we understand what the options are.
- MR. STERLING: Okay. Yeah. And I think
  the -- I think that the BIE, and this is just one way
  to look at it, so please, this is not the only way to
  look at it.
  - I think a reasonable way to think about BIE is its focus is going to be on regulatory, and what I'm going to call systemic issues dealing with the organization, the operation, the internal controls and so on.
- 20 The surveillance function is there to look
  21 for -- it is there to look for systemic problems. But
  22 on a day to day basis, when you are up there with the
  23 eye in the sky and you are looking at the consoles,
  24 you are really looking at point of failure options or
  25 point of failure risks and trying to deal with those.

Τ	now, some of those may be regulatory in
2	nature. That is, you see somebody going into the
3	account room and they have pockets; because most
4	operators require that they go in with pocketless
5	coveralls and things.
6	And that's a violation of regulation.
7	That's not a criminal violation. It's a regulatory
8	matter.
9	On the other hand, you may catch somebody
10	in the coin counting room palming a hundred dollar
11	token. That's a crime.
12	So you have a situation here where you have
13	security and surveillance. They are looking in the
14	count room. Where does BIE, kind of, begin, which is
15	looking at the regulatory, the procedures; and where
16	does the State Police come in?
17	And I guess, from a from the law
18	standpoint, if you got somebody palming the token,
19	that's clearly a crime.
20	And the question is, well, to prosecute
21	that you need to have State Police involved; because
22	they are the only people that can arrest that person.
23	From a security and surveillance
24	standpoint, it could be caught by the operator. I
25	don't know that BIE if they want to get into the

1	surveillance business. That certainly is something
2	that the Board may choose to do.
3	But a lot of it, you are going to be
4	watching; you are going to be intervening when there's
5	a problem. And when you watch and intervene, if it's
6	a criminal matter, at some point, you need to have the
7	State Police involved.
8	If it's a break in procedure, it could be
9	the State Police or it could be BIE. I hope that
10	answers your
11	SECRETARY FAJT: Thanks, Tom.
12	CHAIRMAN DECKER: You got a couple more
13	slides. Let's get through it because we are way
14	behind. Thank you, although this is a very important
15	topic.
16	MR. STERLING: Let's talk about other
17	regulatory jurisdictions. This is just an example,
18	some of the key points.
19	You look at Pennsylvania, New Jersey,
20	Nevada, Delaware, New Mexico, West Virginia. Those
21	are the jurisdictions we looked at.
22	As you can see there, you can see on the
23	top line who has a central control computer and who
24	doesn't. Basically, New Jersey and Nevada do not have
25	central control computer systems for the reasons that

1	James talked about. A lot of it has to do with the
2	legacy business that they have.
3	It simply would be too cost prohibitive to
4	install at this point in time. All of the regulators
5	that have central control computers monitor slot
6	machine events and meters. They do not all control
7	game definition and game parameters. Delaware does.
8	Nevada doesn't.
9	Pennsylvania, we don't know yet. That will
10	be up to you to decide that. Surveillance room for
11	the gaming operator and law enforcement. Again, most
12	jurisdictions have that. New Mexico does not. West
13	Virginia, we are not sure.
14	Minimum videotape retention period. As I
15	mentioned before, that's kind of important from an
16	evidence standpoint. New Mexico, it's two weeks. The
17	others that we could get information on were 7 days.
18	Everybody has closed circuit television.
19	And in West Virginia, even if you are a small retailer
20	and you have a couple of video lottery terminals, you
21	are required to have a video camera on those things
22	all the time with videotape records.
23	Pan, zoom tilt cameras. Again, those are
24	required in most jurisdictions, mixed thoroughness.
25	Now, we went through the different minimum internal

Τ	control standards. And what we lound was that New
2	Jersey, Nevada, Delaware, New Mexico had were
3	well, I'm sorry. New Jersey, Nevada, Delaware had
4	pretty comprehensive minimum internal control
5	standards.
6	New Mexico was less comprehensive. West
7	Virginia was minimal. I guess what I'm suggesting
8	there is I wouldn't use West Virginia as a model for
9	what we do here in terms of minimum internal control
10	standards.
11	West Virginia has policy statements. They
12	really don't have a mixed manual. Suspicious
13	transaction reporting regulation. They all have it;
14	not sure how well they enforce it. I know New Jersey
15	and Nevada are pretty rigorous. I'm not sure about
16	the other jurisdictions.
17	Same thing with CTRs; although that is
18	required under Federal Law. Specialized police unit
19	for gaming. Pennsylvania would have it under the
20	legislation we are working under.
21	New Jersey does have it. Nevada does not.
22	The Nevada Casino Control Commission has law
23	enforcement capability and they have police powers.
24	Delaware does. New Mexico, we have yes in
25	there. It's interesting. We talked to their Gaming

1	Control Board.
2	We talked to some of the folks out there,
3	they said, yeah. The State Police said no. So I'm
4	not sure what the answer is. West Virginia, they do
5	not.
6	Game testing. As James mentioned, that's a
7	significant part of your security apparatus. And you
8	can see down at the bottom, Nevada, New Jersey are
9	doing it in-house. Everyone else has elected to
10	contract that service to an outside company.
11	Cost drivers. These are just some things
12	that will drive up the cost. You can see that
13	depending on the types of games you have, the level of
14	activity, or levels of surveillance can actually vary.
15	Obviously, higher denomination of the game,
16	the more you are going to watch it. In Nevada, they
17	actually have a machine where you can drop \$1,000
18	tokens in and you can win a million bucks.
19	That thing is actually monitored 24 by $7$ ,
20	with a camera on it the whole time. That's all I need
21	on that one.
22	Couple things unique to Pennsylvania. In
23	looking at other jurisdictions, these are just some
24	factors that make us a little bit different. One is
25	the Gaming Act we are operating under is different

1	from anything else we have seen, in the sense that
2	there's shared enforcement powers granted to the three
3	organizations you see there.
4	The size and scale of the central control
5	computer network in Pennsylvania can reach up to
6	61,000 machines, is a very large network, a lot of
7	devices to have attached. That makes us different
8	from New Mexico, Delaware or West Virginia. They
9	don't have that many machines.
10	The geographic dispersion of slot gaming
11	venues. Except for New Mexico, we are probably the
12	most geographically dispersed.
13	And I believe that in addition to
14	geographic, you are going to have a lot of different
15	venue types with different surveillances, security,
16	attributes that you manage differently.
17	We have a mix of high volume racetrack and
18	stand alone gaming venues. That's different from New
19	Mexico.
20	Actually, it's different from New Mexico,
21	West Virginia and Delaware because West Virginia and
22	Delaware only allowed gaming at racetracks.
23	New Mexico only has the scale of gaming you
24	are going to be doing here at racetracks. They do
25	have and allow some non-profits to have up to 15

1	slots. I think it's 15, some minimum number. And
2	Virginia also allows retailers.
3	But in terms of what we are doing in
4	Pennsylvania, in terms of the scale for any given
5	site, we are kind of unique.
6	Finally, and this will be the last slide,
7	this is a proposed approach to dealing with we
8	talked a lot about questions, about how do you decide
9	how much you are going to spend and what level of
10	security can you afford, things like that.
11	And this is a process we would suggest that
12	the Board considers for exploring this problem. We
13	went through this before.
14	The yellow things are your planning
15	activities, identify assets, specify loss event,
16	estimate frequency of loss events, assess impact of
17	loss events. That's really defining the problem.
18	The second thing is looking at solutions.
19	That's in the lighter blue. Define options to
20	mitigate, assess the feasibility of those options,
21	develop cost benefit analysis. Once you have done
22	that, you need to choose, as a Board, what you want to
23	do.
24	Implement the strategy, measure and assess
25	results. That's very important because that's your

_	basis, now, to improve the process and revise and
2	improve the strategy.
3	The whole idea of this approach is it, kind
4	of, takes the debate from a philosophical one to one
5	that deals in operational terms that you can start to
6	look at and make some of the hard decisions you have
7	to make.
8	That's all I have.
9	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Thank you, Tom. Any
10	questions?
11	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, I do
12	have a question. It seems to me that we are faced
13	with a delicate balance here, regulations versus
14	business.
15	MR. STERLING: Absolutely.
16	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Police presence and
17	activity versus a welcoming gaming environment for the
18	public. And you have recommended or suggested that a
19	State Police presence in the different venues is
20	important.
21	MR. STERLING: Yes.
22	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Now, with that in
23	mind, do you envision that there's a need for any
24	specialized type of training for any State Police who

would be in this type of presence? And if you do,

1	where does this training come from?
2	Do other jurisdictions provide training?
3	Because it seems like a unique environment and, as I
4	mentioned, a delicate balance.
5	MR. STERLING: You are absolutely correct.
6	It is a delicate balance. And you are like flying an
7	airplane right now, as a Board, because you have
8	every time you move the steering wheel, okay, the
9	plane is going to do something.
10	You step on the pedals, it's going to do
11	something else. And you are constantly balancing.
12	And that is a significant issue.
13	And that balancing is one of the reasons
14	why looking at a process, in terms of evaluating
15	security and surveillance alternatives like this,
16	would allow you to take this enormous problem you are
17	dealing with, which is, right now, almost
18	philosophical and breaking it into terms that you can
19	deal with operationally.
20	Because when you talk about integrity, we
21	talk about fairness and all of the things we want in
22	gaming, how do we measure that? And once we describe
23	those measurable attributes, we can now begin to look
24	at strategies.
25	Okay. I understand how we are going to

1	measure that. We can start to make operational
2	decisions about how you develop the regulations, who
3	does the work, what it's going to cost and so on?
4	So, to the extent that we can take the
5	issue we are dealing with now, and your point is
6	right, how do you balance it?
7	I think one of the steps to doing that is
8	to recast that problem operationally, so we can give
9	you numbers and things to work with so you can make
10	some decisions on a more quantitative basis.
11	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Did you consider the
12	training issue?
13	MR. STERLING: I tried to answer it in two
14	parts; I'm sorry. The second piece is, yes, there is
15	training that should take place and there is training
16	available.
17	There is a program one of the things
18	that we looked at, Atlantic City Community College in
19	Atlantic City, New Jersey, offers a program for state
20	police officers, for police officers, in general, in
21	gaming technology.
22	So there's training available. We did meet
23	with them. The Pennsylvania State Police were with us
24	when we did that. So there is that available.
25	And, yes, it is something that would be a

1	very good idea because slot gaming crimes, gaming
2	crimes, in general, require some degree of specialized
3	knowledge, especially when you are dealing with the
4	slot machines, the slot machine mechanics.
5	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Any other comments?
6	Obviously, we have a lot to deal with here in deciding
7	how this is going to break down.
8	We are not going to decide this today. We
9	are going to hear from a number of others, including
10	the State Police, on another occasion, about how they
11	perceive their role and they think the most effective
12	and efficient and cost effective way to deal with
13	this is.
14	I want to ask the Colonel and the Captain.
15	Do you have time to come back if we do this after
16	lunch?
17	I hate to throw you off the schedule. It
18	bothers me a lot. If you can come back? We are going
19	to convene at one, for those in the audience to give
20	you a heads up.
21	And we are going to break, with the
22	permission of my fellow Commissioners, for one hour.
23	Thank you.
24	(Lunch.)
25	CHAIRMAN DECKER: We are going to get

1	started again. We are going to call the meeting back
2	to order. So if you could take your seats, please.
3	We have heard from ISG about the very broad
4	range of options available for handling background
5	investigations and other matters.
6	I've asked Lieutenant-Colonel Ralph
7	Periandi and Ron Petyak to come up and speak to us
8	today about how they would propose to handle the
9	background investigations and, obviously, criminal
10	investigations and enforcement.
11	We are going to ask them to come back at
12	another time to make a presentation to us on
13	surveillance and security, a very important matter.
14	But today, we are just asking for those two
15	items. Gentlemen, would you mind coming up? Again, I
16	apologize for getting you late today on these issues.
17	We are all ears now.
18	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: Thank you.
19	Good afternoon, Chairman Decker and Gaming Control
20	Board Members, Treasurer Hafer. On behalf of the
21	Commissioner of the Pennsylvania State Police, Colonel
22	Jeffrey B. Miller, I'm honored to present the State
23	Police Office of Gaming Enforcement Proposal this
24	afternoon to the Board.
25	The specifics of our proposal will be

1	delivered by Captain Ronald Petyak. Ron is a 30 year
2	veteran of the Pennsylvania State Police.
3	And I, personally, recommended to the
4	Commissioner that he be assigned as our first Director
5	of the Office of Gaming Enforcement.
6	Ron comes to gaming from our Bureau of
7	Criminal Investigation, where he most recently has
8	commanded our Organized Crime, Special Investigations
9	and Intelligence Divisions.
10	Captain Petyak, with Lieutenants Maynard
11	Gray and Mike Ruda, has been intensively involved in
12	preparing this State Police Proposal since last July.
13	Let's get right into some of the
14	information contained in the Act; and used as a basis
15	for this afternoon's State Police presentation.
16	Numerous states such as New Jersey, Missouri and
17	Connecticut have adopted very aggressive approaches to
18	regulating gaming.
19	Strong regulatory policies and the ability
20	to execute those policies sends a clear message that
21	the state, through the agency delegated to control
22	gaming in that state, is in charge of regulation, not
23	the gaming industry. Regulation of gaming is
24	expensive.
25	But regulation creates a fair, clean

Τ.	environment that protects pations, citizens and
2	legitimate gaming business interests.
3	Let us not forget, however, the costs
4	associated with this endeavor, no matter what the
5	amount, are passed on to the gaming industry and have
6	no impact on the revenue expected to be generated to
7	benefit the citizens of the Commonwealth of
8	Pennsylvania.
9	States such as Delaware, New Mexico and
10	West Virginia are exceptions to the strict regulation
11	rule because they have adopted a less intensive
12	regulatory environment.
13	They also utilize a central control
14	computer system; and their primary concern is ensuring
15	that gaming revenues are completely and correctly
16	accounted for.
17	Their organizational capacities do not
18	provide the same level of gaming industry integrity
19	control that the other states' regulatory environment
20	provides. At the same time, their costs of regulation
21	are substantially less expensive.
22	Governor Edward G. Rendell and the State
23	Legislature, through the provisions of Act 71,
24	provided a sound direction for regulating slot gaming
25	within the Commonwealth.

1	The message contained through the Act is
2	perfectly clear; gaming must be strictly controlled.
3	And the Board was granted the power to move forward to
4	create clearly defined regulations, and the capacity
5	to enforce those regulations.
6	All opportunities to create or expand
7	criminal enterprise and activity based directly or
8	indirectly on gaming must be eliminated. All slot
9	gaming must be fair and all operations conducted with
10	absolute integrity.
11	Slot gaming must be carried out
12	transparently; so Pennsylvania citizens can have
13	absolute confidence in the gaming industry.
14	One only has to go to Section 1102 of the
15	Act, which begins with, the primary objective of this
16	part, to which all other objectives and purposes are
17	secondary, is to protect the public through the
18	regulation and policing of all activities involving
19	gaming and practices that continue to be unlawful.
20	This is an extremely powerful statement
21	that recognizes that effective regulation and strong
22	regulatory and criminal enforcement is the foundation
23	upon which successful gaming must be built. Act 71
24	mandates the State Police be tasked with the levels of
25	responsibility in several areas, three of which are

1	shown on this slide.
2	Criminal investigations focus on the
3	initial response and subsequent investigation of a
4	broad spectrum of criminal activity. The
5	investigations will range from simple theft to
6	technologically complex cheating schemes and
7	conspiracies to committee financial fraud.
8	These investigations need to be conducted
9	by one law enforcement agency to ensure uniformity and
10	gaming industry integrity.
11	This includes proactive investigations of
12	casino related financial crimes inherent to the casino
13	industry, as well as those addressing such areas as
14	organized crime, traditional or non-traditional
15	corporate corruption, and various sophisticated slot
16	machine cheating techniques.
17	Regulatory and criminal enforcement
18	includes duties such as strict adherence to internal
19	protocol submissions, proper storage and use of gaming
20	equipment, enforcing exclusion list violations,
21	resolving patron complaints, maintaining information
22	system integrity and monitoring the surveillance
23	system for regulatory and criminal violations.
24	This would include all crimes committed
25	against patrons of the facility, such as identity

Τ	theit, theit and assault. All crimes committed by
2	patrons of the licensed facility, which include,
3	theft, assault, disorderly conduct and criminal
4	trespass.
5	And all crimes committed against the
6	licensed facility itself, such as cheating scams,
7	counterfeit currency, embezzlement, credit card fraud,
8	retail theft, forgery and employee theft. Thorough
9	in-depth background investigations on licensees
10	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Let me stop you for a
11	minute and ask you a question. I thought I read
12	something recently.
13	What happens when it's right on the
14	outskirts? Let's assume something happens in a
15	parking lot. Is that still your jurisdiction, or do
16	you have to refer that to local authorities?
17	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: We are going
18	to refer to that. That's we will be covering that
19	CHAIRMAN DECKER: I wanted to make sure I
20	understood. Thanks.
21	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: We will be
22	covering that. Thorough, in-depth background
23	investigations on licensees, manufacturers and
24	suppliers and key employees are the main deterrent in
25	preventing the infiltration of corrupt influences into

1	the gaming industry.
2	It involves the ability to regulate the
3	individuals and businesses that are permitted to own,
4	invest and/or have a controlling interest at a
5	licensed facility, regulate the individuals and
6	businesses that are permitted to work at or for a
7	licensed facility, and regulate the individuals and
8	businesses that are permitted to do business with a
9	licensed facility.
10	As we show on the slide, Pennsylvania
11	presents its own unique problems relative to
12	geographical layout of the licensed facilities, which
13	will ultimately be spread across the Commonwealth, in
14	which a variety of law enforcement agencies maintain
15	primary jurisdiction.
16	One set of mandates and protocols will be
17	established by yourselves, the Pennsylvania Gaming
18	Control Board, and should be consistently and
19	uniformly enforced under one common theme by the
20	Pennsylvania State Police, the only statewide law
21	enforcement agency capable of providing these
22	services.
23	This will ultimately ensure the integrity
24	of the gaming industry in the Commonwealth of

Pennsylvania, and the safety and security of

1	individuals patronizing those facilities. The
2	following PSP plan addresses those concerns regarding
3	the 14 licensed facilities.
4	At this time, I'm going to turn the podium
5	over to Captain Petyak. He's going to go into the
6	details relative to our background investigation
7	proposal.
8	Also, I would take this opportunity to
9	invite the Board to ask any questions relative us,
10	relative state police operations; because I know there
11	were a couple questions, even this morning, relative
12	state police operations, that we would be more than
13	happy to try to answer for you.
14	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Good afternoon. At the
15	direction of Governor Rendell, the Pennsylvania State
16	Police conducted extensive research and worked hand in
17	hand with gaming consultants, Spectrum Gaming Group
18	and NFC Global.
19	This research included in-depth interaction
20	with our counterparts involved in gaming
21	investigations and enforcement across the country.
22	One common theme of all throughout this process:
23	don't begin where they started; formulate our plan
24	where they are today. Take advantage of the mistakes
25	made in other jurisdictions; capitalize on the

1	modifications they made.
2	Our mission is not to present options
3	here. We see that as the responsibility of the
4	consultants.
5	It's not for us to tell you why someone
6	else is not suited to perform any of the duties and
7	responsibilities contained in the Act.
8	Our goal is to provide the Board with the
9	clear definition of how the Pennsylvania State Police
10	would address the critical components of security,
11	surveillance, background investigations, criminal
12	investigations and enforcement, regulatory enforcement
13	and staffing.
14	Background investigations, criminal
15	investigations and enforcement and regulatory
16	enforcement will be discussed in-depth throughout this
17	presentation.
18	Pennsylvania State Police will assume the
19	role, the primary role in conducting background
20	investigations.
21	Our plan incorporates components of both
22	centralized and decentralized background investigation
23	concepts.
24	The centralized concept will initially take
25	place when background investigations are assigned,

_	prior to the ricensed racriffy becoming operationar.
2	These investigations will be conducted out
3	of the Pennsylvania State Police Office of Gaming
4	Enforcement Headquarters.
5	The decentralized concept will begin when
6	the licensed facility becomes operational. Due to the
7	geographical layout of the licensed facilities, when
8	they are operational, background investigations will
9	be assigned to Pennsylvania State Police Office of
10	Gaming Enforcement Members at the licensed facility
11	where the applicant is applying for employment.
12	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Excuse me, Captain.
13	Do you envision the PSP conducting all background
14	investigations, or background investigations just on
15	key players, suppliers? How do you envision that?
16	CAPTAIN PETYAK: We'd like to propose that
17	we conduct all the background investigations, if
18	that's what the Board would like.
19	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: All the way down
20	to
21	CAPTAIN PETYAK: All levels, yes, sir.
22	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Outside suppliers?
23	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Yes, sir. I get into that
24	a little bit later in the presentation. Yes, sir.
25	This will streamline the completion process of the

1	backgrounds and, essentially, reduce the amount of
2	time needed to conduct interviews, obtain information,
3	and greatly reduce travel and subsistence costs.
4	This process, we think, will maximize the
5	utilization of Pennsylvania State Police resources and
6	exercise sound fiscal management.
7	More involved investigations, such as slot
8	machine licenses and some supplier/manufacturer
9	licenses will be specifically assigned to criminal
10	investigation background members.
11	These extensive background investigations,
12	as you heard this morning, may mandate travel across
13	the United States into foreign countries.
14	The basis for the assignment of these
15	investigations to the criminal investigation
16	background members is really three-fold.
17	These members would not be responsible for
18	the day to day criminal enforcement functions at the
19	facilities, unless the need exists.
20	Their specialty would not impact negatively
21	on the manpower we assign to the day to day functions,
22	which could create a safety concern for our people.
23	And they would specialize in corporate
24	background investigations, which could ultimately
25	provide for quality and consistency. Act 71 mandates

1	that all license and permits applicants be
2	fingerprinted by the Pennsylvania State Police.
3	Under our plan, all applicants will be
4	fingerprinted using a live scan device, which should
5	be located in a secure area, ultimately at each one of
6	the licensed facilities.
7	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Excuse me. I'm
8	still trying to work my way through the Act. This is
9	what you have legislatively created jurisdiction for.
10	CAPTAIN PETYAK: That's correct.
11	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: We don't have a
12	function here, other than
13	CAPTAIN PETYAK: No, sir. That's correct.
14	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Since we already
15	interrupted you, Captain, let me ask. You might want
16	to take a look.
17	And I think you meant this, when we were
18	when you were talking about the decentralized
19	function, where particularly they are going to be
20	focused on employees of the assuming we go that
21	way, of the casino and suppliers, et cetera, again,
22	presuming we go that way, in terms of a decision to
23	look at non-gaming suppliers.
24	But you'd have to set that up before they
25	actually got up and running?

Τ.	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Yes, SIr.
2	CHAIRMAN DECKER: I just wanted to make
3	to clarify.
4	CAPTAIN PETYAK: I'll talk about that
5	shortly.
6	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Okay.
7	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Yes, sir.
8	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Just if we elected
9	to have certain employees register, not be
10	investigated, but register, I think there are some
11	other jurisdictions that do that for certain classes
12	of employees.
13	Would you be would that be a function
14	that the State Police could do? Or would that be
15	something that we would do
16	CAPTAIN PETYAK: No, I think that is
17	something we would do; yes, sir. And what you'll hear
18	from me is we modeled our process after the state of
19	New Jersey.
20	They register some people. The lower level
21	employees in the facilities in New Jersey are
22	considered to be registered. And they do minimum
23	checks on those people.
24	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: I was going to ask
25	that. You were here this morning?

1	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Yes, sir.
2	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: There's a database
3	that - Accurant?
4	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Yes, sir.
5	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: So if we elected or
6	decided to have people register, could they still go
7	through that database?
8	CAPTAIN PETYAK: It would be my
9	recommendation, and we will talk about that briefly,
10	we would conduct what we call a shortcut investigation
11	on those people.
12	And, essentially, that involves what
13	commonly is referred to in the business as a three way
14	check. We do database checks on those people.
15	I think New Jersey, what they do is they
16	actually have them fill out a limited registration
17	form. It's a couple page registration form.
18	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Name and social
19	security number would get you through that database?
20	CAPTAIN PETYAK: That's correct. They
21	provide that information. The three way check is
22	done.
23	And as long as there's no derogatory
24	information or offenses, for certain different
25	offenses, and they establish those offenses which

1	would cause someone to be eliminated, then those are
2	just filed away. There is no further action.
3	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Do you fingerprint them
4	as part of that, as well?
5	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Pardon me, sir?
6	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Do you fingerprint them
7	as well?
8	CAPTAIN PETYAK: This live scan gives the
9	ability to do that, fingerprint them and do a criminal
10	history.
11	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Understand. That's what
12	I thought.
13	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: You said a shortcut
14	investigation. I want to ensure that everybody
15	understands that doesn't mean you are going to do it
16	half cocked or it won't be a good investigation.
17	The integrity of the system, the integrity
18	of your background investigation is still going to be
19	good.
20	It's just not going to be as thorough as
21	some of the others. You are not going to take any
22	shortcuts where
23	CAPTAIN PETYAK: That's probably a bad
24	term.
25	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: We will wait until

1	this comes in or we won't do that because he was
2	recommended by somebody or he's a friend. You won't
3	do that?
4	CAPTAIN PETYAK: No, sir. That's probably
5	a bad term. We borrowed that term from someone else.
6	A shortcut meaning
7	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Must be the
8	consultants.
9	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Pardon me?
10	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Must be the
11	consultants.
12	CAPTAIN PETYAK: No, sir. Actually, I
13	think we got that from New Jersey. And if they say
14	it's a short cut, and it's good, I'd
15	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: So you assure us
16	that we are going to do better than New Jersey, right
17	CAPTAIN PETYAK: No, I'm saying if they
18	call it a shortcut, from their reputation, it's not a
19	shortcut.
20	I'm going to speak to those different
21	stages. But in answer to your question, the shortcut
22	is the start of the process.
23	And what New Jersey does is for all of
24	these people, and there're a significant number of
25	people at each level that will require some type of

1	clearance. And those people, for lack of a better
2	term, the broom pushers or whatever, those people
3	aren't touching money.
4	Those people aren't making decisions within
5	the facility. In New Jersey, at the lower levels, they
6	get this, what they call a shortcut.
7	Where essentially, what it is in the
8	process, it's a shortcut. And I apologize for using
9	that term, so I would not be misunderstood.
10	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: I just want to say,
11	my dealings in the FBI with the Pennsylvania State
12	Police, I never knew of them to take shortcuts. They
13	were thorough, and they did an extremely professional
14	job.
15	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Thank you, sir. You can
16	be sure we will.
17	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, one
18	quick question. Captain, do you envision that if
19	employees register that that also involves
20	fingerprinting, registration that fingerprinting is
21	part of the registration process, and not part of an
22	investigation?
23	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: You said it
24	could.

CAPTAIN PETYAK: I said it could. That's

1	something you would have to tell us. If you mandate
2	at a certain level within the system, if that
3	whatever that level would be, we would fulfill that.
4	In the case of New Jersey, I believe, and
5	I'm trying to remember in the case of New Jersey, I
6	believe they do fingerprint and do record checks on
7	those people and have them fill out that little form.
8	CHAIRMAN DECKER: In our prior discussions,
9	I think you said people do that for identification
10	purposes to some degree?
11	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Yes, sir. Yes.
12	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: If I could
13	just add one thing. If the question is what would our
14	recommendation be, our recommendation would be that
15	they should be printed, and they should have criminal
16	history record checks done in conjunction with a
17	registration process. That would be our
18	recommendation to the Board.
19	CHAIRMAN DECKER: We understood that to be
20	part of the three way. I was just trying to clarify
21	that.
22	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Briefly, I just want to
23	touch on this live scan device for those of you who
24	aren't familiar with that. It's an electronic
25	fingerprinting solution that was developed to assist

Τ	in an automated background criminal history check.
2	This is inkless fingerprinting. It's a
3	process that gets results to a law enforcement agency
4	or a licensing agency, that being yourselves, in an
5	expedient means compared to the old ink-rolled
6	fingerprint cards that are often mailed and take an
7	extensive amount of time to process.
8	What this process does is it digitizes an
9	applicant or an arrestee's fingerprint; which allows
10	us to then print high quality fingerprint cards and
11	transmit them to the FBI and State Repositories over a
12	secured data line.
13	It's an expedient means for verifying
14	identification and retrieving criminal history
15	information.
16	It's going to be an important tool for us
17	that will contribute to the successful and expedient
18	completion of these background investigations.
19	It will also be very helpful when it comes
20	to identifying subjects encountered in the facility
21	who either don't have proper ID or refuse to disclose
22	their identification.
23	This will be contingent, of course, on
24	whether the subject was ever previously entered or
25	arrested and entered into the database; because it

1	will not identify a person who is not in there.
2	Just addressing a couple of concerns. We
3	can expect and I say we, the Board, and whoever is
4	going to be doing the background investigations, we
5	can expect a significant number of background
6	investigations at the startup of each facility.
7	The substantial initial workload will exist
8	before the licensed facility comes online and large
9	numbers of backgrounds are required.
10	This work will be accomplished by deploying
11	Pennsylvania State Office of Gaming Enforcement
12	members, approximately, 4 to 6 months prior to the
13	opening of the facility.
14	We are currently in the process of
15	developing some eligibility lists in anticipation, if
16	we are going to be needed.
17	We will be able to start bringing people on
18	to start accomplishing some of those as you may move
19	forward with the manufacturers and suppliers licenses.
20	Our plan is to bring these troopers into
21	the Office of Gaming Enforcement as needed, being ever
22	cognizant of the fiscal pitfalls of overstaffing. We
23	are looking at phased deployment here; thereby,
24	exercising sound fiscal management of personnel
25	expenses.

1	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Captain, if I may?
2	Concern for the public. If you are bringing in large
3	numbers of state troopers into the OGE to do this, how
4	is that going to affect your enforcement
5	responsibilities throughout the Commonwealth?
6	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Well, the law specifically
7	states that troopers assigned to work for the Gaming
8	Control Board will not affect our complement.
9	In answer to that, those troopers will be
10	in addition to the normal complement. And the State
11	Police won't have any impact, really, on the services
12	we are providing out there right now.
13	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Can I pester you on this
14	issue? We have talked about it, before. It seems to
15	me that I may be out on a limb on this a little
16	bit; but I think, ultimately, you need to look at
17	where you are going to be on steady status, initially.
18	So if you are gearing up to hire a large
19	group of people to deal with background, what are you
20	going to do with them afterwards?
21	CAPTAIN PETYAK: That's why we are not
22	going to bring a lot of people on.
23	CHAIRMAN DECKER: That's what I meant.
24	There were some suggestions I don't know how
25	practical they are. Remember, we are just up here

1	listening and reading, right, suggestions about using
2	other kinds of civilians and temporary employees to do
3	some of the stuff?
4	And I leave that up to you to put it in
5	your thinking to go from there. Because, again, we
6	can't build cost is a factor to some degree.
7	Not in terms of getting the work done, but
8	in the long term. It is a factor because we are in a
9	competitive industry.
10	And there will be certain other pressures
11	placed upon you, and us, if people are thinking we are
12	just gilding the lily, so to speak.
13	So we got to do this in an absolute
14	professional and thorough way. But it has to, also,
15	have a tangent of cost effectiveness to it.
16	CAPTAIN PETYAK: I recognize that.
17	CHAIRMAN DECKER: I know you guys
18	recognize; but I'm just mentioning that to you.
19	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Addressing the issue with
20	respect to those people doing other civilian people
21	doing some of these functions, you have to be very,
22	very careful, in a sense.
23	I recognize what the gentleman this morning
24	said; but one of the things that jumps out at me is
25	that one of the things he talked about, for example,

1	is gathering intelligence information.
2	You can't civilianize that process because
3	that's information shared between agencies.
4	CHAIRMAN DECKER: You also talked about
5	some clerical stuff that you could pass off to
6	somebody else. I was really addressing that. But
7	you'll get into that later.
8	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Yes, sir. Research has
9	also shown on the average, depending on the size of
10	the licensed facility, the yearly turnover of gaming
11	employees may range from 35 to 45 percent.
12	Again, we are still dealing with some of
13	the concerns here. Pennsylvania statute dictates that
14	all licenses must be renewed on an annual basis.
15	As I said before, we can expect a
16	significant number of background investigations as all
17	14 facilities come online.
18	We spoke to our counterparts in
19	Connecticut. In 2003, for example, they conducted
20	3,038 background investigations for just two casinos.
21	Now, they have full gaming there.
22	When you look at the background
23	investigations, the question was asked this morning,
24	can you break these with respect to what levels we can
25	expect at each facility?

1	For example, in Connecticut, our research
2	showed of those 3,038 that they did in 2003, 2,984
3	were lower level applicants, requiring less time.
4	And 54 were higher level, more complex
5	investigations. Delaware, for example, conducted
6	2,052 background investigations for three casinos.
7	They are slots only.
8	We have heard that 1,329 were new
9	applicants, and 723 were renewals. As I indicated,
10	these statistics from Connecticut and Delaware are
11	respectively for only two and three licensed
12	facilities.
13	What they do provide for the Board and the
14	Pennsylvania State Police is a baseline. Based on our
15	research and what we got from our consultants, we
16	could expect a range of 700 to 1,400 backgrounds for
17	each of the 14 licensed facilities.
18	Again, that break is then adjusted. The
19	breakdown of those different numbers certainly will
20	depend on where that's at.
21	And I'm sure the numbers, with respect to
22	those applications, will increase depending or
23	decrease, depending on the geographical location of
24	the facility in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
25	This flow chart is a little simplified

1	version of how we think the process should go. It
2	shows what we think to be a potentially smooth
3	progression of the backgrounds; but a shortened
4	complicated version from intake to subsequent approval
5	by the Board.
6	It's patterned after the process currently
7	used in New Jersey, with some modification to address
8	our own unique needs and effectively utilize our
9	available resources.
10	I mean most people in the business consider
11	the background investigation process in New Jersey to
12	be the most thorough and stringent; and I might add
13	the most successful in the gaming industry.
14	Many of the states we contacted, our
15	counterparts, with over site from established Boards
16	such as you, also patterned their background
17	investigation process after the New Jersey model.
18	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Captain, again,
19	question. Where does the State Police Headquarters
20	fit into this? Do you see them fitting into this?
21	How does Jersey do it?
22	Do the New Jersey state troopers that are
23	assigned to the Gaming Board answer just to the Gaming
24	Board?
25	And the information and their backgrounds

1	don't get reviewed or go up their chain of command
2	within the Jersey State Police?
3	How do you envision us doing it? Are you
4	just going to answer to the Board in this context;
5	that we will get all that information? Or will it go
6	up to the Colonels, the Majors?
7	CAPTAIN PETYAK: I think, ultimately, any
8	organizational segment of the State Police, in one way
9	or another, is responsible to the Commissioner and
10	Deputy Commissioner.
11	I think when you look at operational needs
12	and mandates, I think the way we have this is, I think
13	we are going to see a conduit of information
14	between the State Police and the Board.
15	And depending on the responsibility, I can
16	answer that by saying; some things are going to go
17	through our Commissioner, through our Deputy and
18	through the Commissioner to the Board.
19	But I think what we will realize very
20	early, some of this stuff, to get this stuff
21	accomplished in the most expedient way, is we will
22	conduct some type of will design a system, I think,
23	where we are going to be able to just share that
24	information as part of a process that we will be able
25	to work together.

1	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: I think some of us
2	may be concerned if hearing I hear what you said
3	was that what may be determined - what the Board may
4	be determined to be hearing is going to be determined
5	by the Commissioner and your hierarchy, or are we
6	going to hear everything?
7	CAPTAIN PETYAK: No. I'm not sure that
8	you understand I said that? What I'm saying is I
9	think there may be issues that may be addressed with
10	the Board that will be up through our chain of
11	command. I can't foresee what they would be.
12	But I think what I'm establishing here is,
13	for example, the background process; I'm going to talk
14	about the creation of a database that we need to use,
15	that we are going to share.
16	And all of the information that we are
17	going to be entering, and the information for you to
18	make decisions on licenses, will be right out of that
19	office, directly to your licensing people.
20	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: The issues you are
21	talking to aren't issues regarding the background
22	investigation?
23	CAPTAIN PETYAK: That's correct; I'm not.
24	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: It may be issues on
25	how we are working together.

1	CAPTAIN PETYAK: That's correct. I could
2	foresee, maybe funding issues or something like that,
3	where there might be concerns that the Chairman or
4	members of the Board may have that would be addressed
5	at a higher level than, perhaps, myself. I'm only
6	trying to theorize what that could be.
7	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: And this
8	addresses a question that Mr. Marshall had this
9	morning.
10	We are a nationally accredited law
11	enforcement, largest accredited law enforcement agency
12	at the state level in the country.
13	We have our internal chain of command that
14	our Office of Gaming Enforcement would answer to.
15	Captain Petyak, obviously, answers directly to me.
16	I answer to the Commissioner. So we would
17	rely upon the Board or we would interact with the
18	Board, relative our internal inspection system, to
19	ensure that the Office of Gaming Enforcement; and
20	those people assigned to the Office of Gaming
21	Enforcement, are effectively and efficiently
22	performing their duties.
23	In the area of background investigations,
24	we would be not only putting together the background
25	investigation, the database, et cetera; but that would

1	be shared completely with the Bureau of Investigations
2	and Enforcement, as well as the Control Board, itself.
3	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Do you have a
4	contractual or vendor arrangement with any other
5	agency in Pennsylvania?
6	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Vendor arrangement to do
7	what, sir; do backgrounds?
8	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Do you provide
9	services
10	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Like an MOE.
11	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: I can answer
12	that. No, we have very specifically, when we get
13	involved with a for-profit entity that requires
14	additional police services outside the security area,
15	but police services for a reimbursement process; but
16	we don't have any type of contractual vendor
17	arrangement outside of our normal law enforcement
18	responsibilities within the State.
19	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: As we talked about
20	this morning and, obviously, from you know what I
21	was trying to get at.
22	It wasn't a challenge. But since you have
23	some of this gaming, you have, sort of, original
24	jurisdiction for and we don't have to worry about
25	that.

1	Others, we have, sort of, the original
2	jurisdiction and may deploy you or may have an
3	arrangement. And it would be helpful, over the next
4	couple of months, if we could give some thought to how
5	we do that. You don't have that relationship.
6	And I suspect we're, obviously, going to
7	use you. So we are going to need some way of assuring
8	the Legislature and the public.
9	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: I would
10	envision, and I thought about this a little bit after
11	your question this morning.
12	Obviously, there's going to be very close
13	interaction between myself, the Captain and your
14	Director of your Bureau of Enforcement and
15	Investigation, or Investigations and Enforcement, as
16	well as the fact that right down through the chain,
17	working together in each of the facilities, there's
18	going to be a constant interaction.
19	So I think there's going to be, for want of
20	a better term, a real-time check and balance system
21	already built in.
22	Where if there's an issue on one side or
23	the other, it's going to be addressed first and
24	foremost right at the level where it can be corrected
25	immediately.

1	But certainly, if it works its way up the
2	chain to the Captain, who will interact with the Board
3	regularly, or myself, then it would be addressed more
4	strictly at that level.
5	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Thank you.
6	CAPTAIN PETYAK: The Board will establish
7	procedures for licensees, key employees, manufacturers
8	and suppliers, and occupational permit applicants.
9	These procedures will mandate either an electronic
10	version and/or paper license applications.
11	The Board will prescribe application forms
12	in the manner which they will be accepted. An
13	electronic database of all applicants and/or licensees
14	should be developed and maintained.
15	And this database should be shared with the
16	Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement and the
17	Pennsylvania State Police, to be utilized during the
18	background investigation of the licensing process.
19	I see that as some type of database where
20	we can password protect this thing. I think we talked
21	about it yesterday, some, in one of the presentations,
22	that on the Commonwealth network, where we would be
23	able to access things on a shared drive and be able to
24	put information in and take information out,
25	constantly monitoring the process of these massive

1 number of applications.

2	Licensees, key employees, manufacturers and
3	suppliers, and occupational permit applicants, we
4	believe, should submit their applications directly to
5	the Board. These applications should undergo
6	completeness requirements by the Board.
7	And once a determination has been made by
8	the Board that the application is complete, should be
9	forwarded through our background unit supervisor for
10	the appropriate level of background investigation, as
11	we spoke of, which would be mandated by the Board.
12	The Pennsylvania State Police has
13	historically been involved in all levels of background
14	investigations during the course of normal business,
15	including complex, full background investigations.
16	We routinely utilize numerous databases to
17	facilitate a thorough and complete background
18	investigation process.
19	Chairman Decker, that's what I was
20	referring to before we talked about these databases.
21	Some of those include, that we work with on a regular
22	basis as a part of our investigative process,
23	Lexus/Nexus, Accurant for Law Enforcement and our
24	Memex field.
25	As I said, some of these databases may not

1	be available to anyone other than law enforcement
2	agencies; particularly, intelligence databases which
3	are protected very closely with a need and right to
4	know, as you exchange information between agencies.
5	The Board has options available to them to
6	utilize vendors and companies to conduct database
7	checks and/or complete, actually, the actual
8	background investigations.
9	However, the Pennsylvania State Police is
10	currently utilizing these same resources on a regular
11	basis.
12	It would be cost efficient, we think, for
13	the Board to utilize the Pennsylvania State Police and
14	their established databases, rather than paying an
15	outside vendor for this service.
16	In addition, the tradition of the
17	Pennsylvania State Police providing complete, thorough
18	and accurate background investigations, when
19	requested, supports the quality, consistent end
20	product that's required by Act 71.
21	The Legislature and Governor Rendell
22	mandated through this legislation that the
23	Pennsylvania State Police be tasked with conducting
24	fair, impartial, complete and accurate background
25	investigations on all the Board appointees.

1	All the Board appointees have expressed how
2	thorough and professional members of the Pennsylvania
3	State Police were when the background investigations
4	were conducted.
5	In order to maintain the integrity of the
6	Gaming Industry, the Board should consider the
7	necessity for all licensees, permitees, Board
8	employees, and others working in the gaming industry,
9	to be put through a similar background investigation
10	process.
11	The formal background investigation
12	process, as I said, will be initiated once the
13	application is received by the Board.
14	The flow chart I saw this morning, kind of,
15	saw the checks starting, I think, really before the
16	completion the application completeness process is
17	done.
18	One of the things we learned from New
19	Jersey is there is a lot of these applications coming
20	in and going back, because the information isn't
21	complete.
22	So what we believe, once it's complete,
23	once it's been reviewed by someone at your level, and
24	as it moves through the process, then we go forward.
25	As I said the Board would make the initial

Τ	determination on whether the application and forms are
2	complete.
3	If they are not complete to the
4	satisfaction of the Board, they should be returned.
5	If they are complete, then the process, as I said,
6	will go forward.
7	We recommend the applicants biographical
8	data be collated; and then the Board notifying us
9	electronically and we are trying to move towards
10	the electronic process in this thing, if we can,
11	recognizing certainly I think we talked about this
12	yesterday, that some people don't have access to
13	computers, or the ability to do this stuff online.
14	And we certainly appreciate that.
15	Board employees, the Bureau of
16	Investigation and Enforcement and the Pennsylvania
17	State Police, as I said before, should share a common
18	database where the application forms and information
19	can be retrieved from the database and subsequently
20	updated.
21	The Board will make an initial
22	determination on the level of the background
23	investigation that we should conduct.
24	Of course, this information is entered into
25	the database, concerning who was assigned, the

1 relevant dates, including a due date for the

2	background investigation.
3	One of the things we learned from our
4	counterparts was there is a need to track where these
5	investigations are, all of them, at any given time.
6	Because what ends up happening is, we will need the
7	answer or someone will need the answer to questions
8	for members of the Board, as you get inquires from
9	various people at various stages of this process as to
10	where their applicant's particularly key employees
11	in some of the bigger operations where that is in
12	the process.
13	You will be able to access that database or
14	someone will be able to access that database for you.
15	And you will be able to know, just based on the
16	information in there.
17	Ultimately, the Board will notify the
18	applicant to appear at a PSP Gaming Office within a
19	prescribed period of time and bring a Board letter,
20	two sources of identification. And briefly, I just
21	want to cover these the areas that we go here.
22	A three way database check, as I called it
23	is a preliminary background investigation that
24	provides a cursory look at the applicant's character

and history.

1	This involves a fingerprint base check,
2	gives us a criminal history record. We will check
3	NCIC and Pennsylvania Crime Database File queries.
4	And we also have the ability of using the
5	Pennsylvania Criminal Intelligence Center, where we
6	have access to public source information,
7	investigative data, credit history information, and a
8	multitude of statewide and national databases.
9	During this stage of the background
10	process, the applicant is positively identified via
11	the identification provided and by fingerprinting the
12	applicant, utilizing the live scan device.
13	Our research has shown that almost all the
14	states involved in the gaming industry identify wanted
15	persons at this stage of the process and they are
16	immediately taken into custody.
17	As part of this, hard copies of the
18	fingerprints would be generated for subsequent
19	forwarding to the Board.
20	That's an important step in this thing, as
21	we send these hard copies back to the Board for in the
22	file, as well as copies of the photo identification
23	and other demographic information.
24	Any criminal history that is found is also
25	printed and maintained with the file. We will update

1	the Board database with the information.
2	Now, based on the outcome of this three way
3	database check, the background check could take three
4	separate or several distinct directions.
5	A shortcut background investigation, as I
6	referred to, involves an occupational permit
7	investigation where no derogatory information is
8	discovered during the three way database check.
9	No further action is required, and that
10	information will be forwarded through the Pennsylvania
11	State Police Headquarters to the Board for final
12	action.
13	However, you determine what that final
14	action is in all these stages. A limited background
15	investigation so we talked to going to the right as
16	you are looking at that, involves an occupational
17	permit investigation when derogatory information is
18	discovered during the three way database check.
19	The investigation then would be followed up
20	by a background investigator to clarify the derogatory
21	information. Then we, subsequently, forward that
22	information through our headquarters to the Board,
23	again, for final actions.
24	In New Jersey, some of that derogatory
25	information could result in an immediate

1	disqualification, as they see it. And then we look at
2	a full background investigation. That's where we are
3	going straight down through.
4	That involves licensees, key employees,
5	manufacturers and suppliers, and involves a complete
6	and thorough background investigation which is
7	conducted by, what I called earlier, a criminal
8	investigation background member.
9	These full background investigations will
10	involve a comprehensive approach and could likely
11	require the expertise of forensic accountants,
12	attorneys and, possibly, other financial experts.
13	The Board, we suggest, could employee these
14	experts on staff or subcontract, as they do in some
15	locations, the private vendors to assist.
16	Forensic accountants and attorneys with an
17	accounting background will be the backbone of the
18	financial aspect of these complex background
19	investigations; and can expect the full cooperation of
20	the troopers assigned to investigate the character
21	component of this unified effort.
22	Again, upon the completion of the
23	investigation by all those involved, the findings will
24	be forwarded to the Board for final action.
25	Now, one of the things that I wanted to

1	touch on was addressed yesterday. Chairman Decker
2	brought that up; and hoped we would address this
3	today.
4	We have this in our presentation. Research
5	has shown that non-gaming vendors are one of the most
6	probable avenues for organized crime and other corrupt
7	influences to infiltrate the gaming industry.
8	Act 71 gives the Board the authority to
9	require criminal history background investigations for
10	vendors who exercise their option or their occupation
11	or employment at licensed facilities.
12	As I said, it was discussed yesterday.
13	This is an option we feel, the Pennsylvania State
14	Police, the Board needs to consider.
15	Non-gaming background investigations on
16	vendors in New Jersey are based on numerous criteria.
17	I think someone touched on them, at least one of them
18	yesterday.
19	Just for the purposes of discussion, to use
20	as examples, business in excess of \$75,000 at one
21	casino, business in excess of \$225,000 at multiple
22	casinos, business exceeding \$30,000 per year at one
23	casino for three consecutive years and/or regular or
24	an ongoing presence doing business within a 12 month
25	period with no threshold amount.

1	In New Jersey, all vendors are required to
2	be registered, even if they don't meet the monetary
3	criteria of licensing.
4	Once they are registered, they are issued a
5	vendor number. This is going to explain how we track
6	this thing, is why I am bringing this up.
7	They are issued a vendor number and placed
8	on what they called a master vendor list. All the
9	checks written by the casinos to the vendors for their
10	products or services must contain a vendor number.
11	Mandated, it must contain a vendor number.
12	These check amounts are tracked through an
13	ongoing process. When any of the criteria is met that
14	we talked about, any of those criteria I just
15	described, the vendor is automatically then sent a
16	notice there to comply with the background licensing
17	requirements. Failure to comply results in the vendor
18	being placed on the prohibited vendor list.
19	Just for the purposes of staffing, our
20	background investigation unit supervisor will be the
21	primary focal point for all background investigations,
22	to ensure that a complete and thorough investigation
23	has been conducted, the required investigation on the
24	specific type of license or permit is thorough and
25	complete, all the Board requirements for the

_	background investigation had been met, all the
2	information has been collated and updated into the
3	Board database, all background investigations are
4	completed by the applicable due dates, and all
5	investigations resources have been utilized.
6	We see this person, this supervisor, as
7	being the direct liaison with the Board background
8	investigation personnel to ensure dialogue is
9	maintained, and the investigations are conducted in a
10	manner that the Pennsylvania State Police and the
11	Board require.
12	As I said earlier, the issuance or denial
13	of licenses and permits will be the ultimate
14	responsibility of the Board once the background
15	investigations are complete.
16	Suspension of a licensee from employment
17	until the outcome of a trial, when a licensee is
18	arrested for certain offenses, is common across the
19	industry.
20	A number of states that we talked to rely
21	solely on the honesty of the licensee to report the
22	arrest; some licensees fail to report the arrest.
23	And that information isn't discovered until
24	the next license renewal, which could be for an
25	extended period of time.

1	What we propose would be to create an
2	electronic licensee fingerprint database for this
3	purpose.
4	All Pennsylvania law enforcement arrest
5	fingerprint cards could be processed through this
6	database.
7	Every time a fingerprint card from a
8	Pennsylvania law enforcement agency is processed
9	through our Bureau of Records and Identification for
10	an arrest, it would be compared to the Board licensee
11	fingerprint file database for a match. Yes, sir?
12	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Is there any way we
13	can tie that into other states or surrounding states,
14	with us being close to New Jersey, West Virginia,
15	Ohio, where some of these and New York, where some
16	of these casinos may be located?
17	These people may live in these other
18	states, travel into Pennsylvania to work at the
19	casinos, and then go home. And then they get arrested
20	back in their home state; we may never know about it.
21	CAPTAIN PETYAK: You know what, I don't
22	know the answer to that, but I'll get that for you.
23	Find out if we could what I'm thinking about is you
24	maintain the databases.
25	It, again, probably some place, I'm not

1	technical enough, but I'm talking about a ping into
2	the system, in the Pennsylvania system, when somebody
3	would be checking someone.
4	I'm not sure we will make that check
5	when the new prints are entered into the system. So
6	I'll have to check on that to give you an answer to
7	that.
8	Every time a card from a law enforcement
9	agency is processed through the records identification
10	for an arrest, it's compared to the Boards file
11	database for a match.
12	Here's the key; if there's a match, we
13	would be immediately notified of that arrest. And
14	they could ensure we could ensure the Board is
15	notified to take the appropriate action by removing
16	the licensee from the gaming environment until the
17	arrest is adjudicated.
18	This will ensure the Board has another
19	additional avenue in which they can receive expedient
20	arrest notification; and ensure they are not solely
21	relying on the honesty of the licensee. Should this
22	type of database be created, some maintenance issues

There's no legislation currently existing

to maintain this type of database; although, we feel

will need to be addressed.

23

24

1	the Board could adopt rules and regulations mandating
2	applicant waivers, possibly to include those when they
3	fill out their license applications, for their
4	authorization of the databases.
5	There is a fingerprint card that's printed
6	in this process. That fingerprint card would be
7	forwarded to the Pennsylvania State Police Bureau of
8	Records Identification from the Board licensing people
9	when the applicant is ultimately issued a license.
10	This ensures the database only contains
11	licensees; and not just all applicants. I think the
12	question was asked this morning; and research has
13	shown what we discovered in other states, that
14	approximately 40 percent of the licensee applicants
15	are denied licenses due to criminal records,
16	fictitious applications and poor credit.
17	Forty percent, and again, I don't know what
18	level those are, those are just overall numbers. But
19	that's a pretty significant number.
20	What we want to do with that card is just
21	limit that database just to the people we will
22	currently have licensed in Pennsylvania, again, not
23	applicants, but those people who are licensed.
24	It will limit the amount of information we
25	have out there and it will make a current database.

1	And of course, at some point, we will need to do $$ a
2	purging process would need to be created where you
3	would be comfortable as to how long you want us to
4	maintain those records after employment ends.
5	One of the things that I think that Member
6	Marshall asked this morning was about we do a
7	background on someone.
8	Today it's good. What about tomorrow? And
9	I think you were talking about MOU's or some type of
10	agreements with other states.
11	Candidly, we don't necessarily need those.
12	What we do in the normal course of business through
13	our intelligence center, we are connected to an
14	electronic database, J-Reys, where we exchange
15	information.
16	I know that when you get involved in this
17	business, there're some bulletin boards out there that
18	exist for agencies involved in gaming.
19	So you are always looking to have that
20	current exchange of information. What we discovered,
21	and the beauty of this, candidly, when we went out and
22	talked to counterparts across the country, recognizing
23	the position we were in in starting out, I talked
24	about it earlier, the cooperation we received from
25	those people and the offers to assist us down the road

1	were outstanding. We couldn't believe it.
2	So I'm very comfortable with that
3	information. Member McCabe just made the comment, and
4	it's a good one, what are we going to do from other
5	states with fingerprint information?
6	And there's an example. We are going to
7	have to continue to pursue those avenues. But I'm
8	comfortable that we can work through those things.
9	The level and intensity of the background
10	investigations will not be compromised. They are an
11	essential element in eliminating the infiltration of
12	organized crime, in ensuring applicants are of good
13	character and outstanding quality and integrity.
14	The Pennsylvania State Police will not
15	sacrifice accuracy for speed. We consider this to be
16	a very important process. That concludes the
17	presentation on backgrounds. Any questions? Okay.
18	Thank you.
19	SECRETARY FAJT: Mr. Chairman, could I ask
20	a couple questions? I wanted to make a couple
21	comments first, and then ask you questions.
22	First of all, the Department of Revenue
23	worked very, very closely with the State Police. And
24	I know that Maynard Gray and Mike Ruda are in the
25	audience.

1	And Captain Petyak and Lieutenant-Colonel
2	Periandi, I can tell you they were very professional,
3	very thorough in the work they did and also in the
4	background investigations.
5	And I saw you crack a smile, Ron, when you
6	talked about the background investigation we went
7	through, because I think we all were pleased with
8	those background checks.
9	On the non-gaming vendors being licensed,
10	again, I think you brought that up in our meetings;
11	and the Department of Revenue and the State Police
12	have had a number of meetings throughout this process,
13	getting all of us up to speed.
14	And that's something that they had brought
15	up time and time again; and something that I think
16	would bode us well to look at licensing these
17	non-gaming vendors.
18	Because I think that's the way, as you
19	said, Ron, organized crime has the best opportunity to
20	get involved in gaming.
21	And also your point about and I'll get
22	to my question in one second, your point about relying
23	on the operators to report the arrest of an employee.
24	We have a similar issue with the Commonwealth.
25	And I can tell you that there are

1	significant time lapses when arrests are reported to
2	the Commonwealth.
3	In our case, it's often the duty of the
4	employee. That's part of their contract, to report
5	when they are arrested. And sometimes they do. And
6	often times, they don't.
7	And so the ability to have, kind of, a ping
8	check, as you call it, with the fingerprinting, I
9	think makes a lot of sense, if we could do that.
10	Now, my question. And, again, I know we
11	had talked about this before, but I want to make sure
12	I understand it because I think it is important.
13	On the forensic accounting, when you had
14	shown your flow chart there, the forensic accounting
15	is obviously extremely important for these
16	multi-national corporations, specifically, the slot
17	licensees, the manufacturers and suppliers.
18	It is a very high level of expertise. We
19	had talked before about who has this kind of
20	expertise? What was your recommendation on the
21	forensic accounting?
22	I just wanted to make sure I understand
23	that; that we would go out and get it independently,
24	or you would get it independently? I wasn't sure I
25	heard.

1	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Well, I actually laid out
2	two options. I will fall back to the consultants that
3	we dealt with, Spectrum Gaming.
4	They recommended to us and I think we
5	realize, at this point, the Pennsylvania State Police
6	can't provide that service. I'd like to say to you
7	that we could
8	CHAIRMAN DECKER: That's okay, Ron. That's
9	okay.
10	SECRETARY FAJT: Revenue doesn't have that
11	kind of expertise.
12	CAPTAIN PETYAK: What the consultants said,
13	and I carry that message from the consultants that we
14	dealt with because we were outside the box here, was
15	that one of two things, you either hire those people
16	and I think the state of New Jersey has those
17	people on staff and they perform a number of other
18	functions than just this or you subcontract with a
19	private vendor.
20	And that was just I was just presenting
21	options there because I'm not sure I can speak with
22	authority with respect to which is the best.
23	Because I didn't really pursue that option
24	when I recognized that it was outside the ability for
25	us to do that. I just knew that there were options

1	that I was going to lay out on the table for you.
2	SECRETARY FAJT: As I said, even in the
3	Department of Revenue, we don't have that kind of
4	forensic accounting expertise.
5	It is very, very specialized and fairly
6	expensive. Thank you, Ron. We enjoyed our working
7	relationship.
8	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Thank you for the
9	compliment. I appreciate that.
10	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Mr. Chairman?
11	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Please.
12	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Ron, it's clear we
13	can get it. I think it's important that we assure the
14	people of this Commonwealth that that kind of
15	capacity, we may have to figure out the best way to
16	get it, but forensic accounting is out there.
17	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Oh, absolutely. The point
18	I was trying to make, again, as I said, was we can't
19	provide that service.
20	That was probably preaching to the choir.
21	You all know what we are talking about. But I said
22	it's the backbone of a financial investigation, on
23	some of these.
24	And there are things that we couldn't touch
25	on. The one thing I did want to say is that the

1	database that I talked about us creating for these
2	fingerprints, there's a substantial cost involved with
3	that, to initially create that database and maintain
4	it. Because you got to put it aside somewhere.
5	And that is something, if you were
6	interested, and the Board thinks that's a direction to
7	go, we will pursue that, again; recognizing,
8	ultimately the industry is going to pay for that
9	because it moves to this integrity issue within the
10	business. Okay. Thank you.
11	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: Before we
12	leave the background investigation area and move into
13	criminal investigation and enforcement, I just wanted
14	to highlight the one thing that the Captain mentioned
15	relative this idea of fictitious applications; because
16	we find this routinely to be one of the number one
17	reasons or causes for rejection, not only checking
18	with other states relative to gaming; but even within
19	Commonwealth employment, et cetera, because you can do
20	a great job of putting together an application form
21	that captures all the information that you'd like to
22	capture.
23	But, obviously, someone who is filling that
24	form out starts to read between the lines to see where
25	are the pitfalls for me, as an individual, falsify the

application, and then send it in.

2	So that's why it's so important that those
3	applications be very, very critically reviewed.
4	Because that's where you are going to get the initial
5	what I want to say, lack of veracity, on the part
6	of an individual who wants to be employed within the
7	gaming industry.
8	We are prepared now, if there are no other
9	questions on the background investigation process, to
10	move into the area of criminal investigation and
11	enforcement.
12	Our Office of Gaming Enforcement will
13	thoroughly investigate all criminal activity within
14	the licensed facility.
15	And Chairman Decker, this is where I am
16	going to get into your question that came up a little
17	bit earlier; this is our recommendation, our
18	definition of a licensed facility.
19	We would be looking at the casino floor,
20	restaurants and bars, inside and/or attached to the
21	casino, back office areas, and the executive offices.
22	We will investigate and take enforcement
23	action on a wide range of crimes such as, but not
24	limited to, assaults, disorderly conduct, loan
2.5	sharking, credit card fraud, et cetera. In the

1	interest of time, I'm not going to list these crimes.
2	We all know what they are.
3	We will be responsible, just as
4	importantly, for conducting complex criminal
5	investigations which could include, and these,
6	obviously, really strike home, identity theft, money
7	laundering, computer crimes, attempts to compromise
8	Department of Revenue's central control computer
9	system, investigation of organized crime infiltration
10	counterfeiting, criminal conspiracies, et cetera,
11	designed to steal or defraud the licensed facility
12	owners, the Commonwealth, or the patrons.
13	Our definition of a licensed facility, we
14	would propose, does not include what's commonly
15	referred to as the racetrack backside, hotels,
16	unattached restaurants, parking lots, stables or
17	outside recreational areas.
18	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Colonel, can I ask you
19	one question about that? I am not trying to beat a
20	dead horse with this, no pun intended with the
21	racetrack comment; but I presume you'll coordinate
22	I mean if you see something, you will be coordinating

-- there won't be any gaps between somebody leaving

the casino and going out in the parking lot -- with

the local law enforcement officials?

23

24

1	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: Correct.
2	CHAIRMAN DECKER: That's what I had
3	understood before; and I just was trying to make a
4	small point of that, no big deal.
5	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: Correct, and
6	I'm going to touch upon that. In fact, we are going
7	to formalize our proposal would be to formalize
8	that process.
9	Our actions in these areas when a serious
10	matter police matter occurs, we would secure the
11	scene, we provide assistance until the primary law
12	enforcement agency arrives; unless, of course, the
13	incident affects the integrity of the gaming industry
14	We would propose that a memorandum of
15	understanding be prepared, mutually agreed upon with
16	other law enforcement agencies having jurisdiction in
17	these areas.
18	These MOUs will ensure that appropriate law
19	enforcement personnel will be responsible and held
20	accountable for police services in these areas which
21	are not covered by our Office of Gaming Enforcement
22	Personnel.
23	Pennsylvania State Police Office of Gaming
24	Enforcement, however, will thoroughly investigate any
25	incident in these areas which impact on the integrity

1	of the gaming industry. That language will also be
2	included in the MOU that we would complete with local
3	police jurisdiction in that area. Does that answer
4	your question?
5	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Yes, it does. Thank you.
6	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: At this time,
7	I'm going to turn the podium back over to Captain
8	Petyak for he'll do as thorough a job going over
9	the specifics of our criminal investigation and
10	enforcement as he did with our background
11	investigation proposal. Captain?
12	CAPTAIN PETYAK: The Pennsylvania State
13	Police devoted numerous hours in researching other
14	states methodology regarding law enforcement in
15	casinos.
16	I know Member Collins has asked a couple of
17	different times what can you tell me about other
18	agencies, and what they are doing?
19	We talked to our counterparts, number of
20	states, just using for example Connecticut, Delaware,
21	Indiana, Illinois, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey and
22	New Mexico, just some of the examples. It presented
23	some problems.
24	None of these have the same duties and
25	responsibilities that could be used as an exact model.

1	Some of these agencies have a central control computer
2	system, which we are going to have.
3	Delaware system generates alerts and
4	forwards them to security personnel at the licensed
5	facility for immediate action. Yes, sir.
6	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Captain, how does
7	that central control computer system affect what you
8	are going to be doing?
9	CAPTAIN PETYAK: What I was looking at
10	actually well, we are looking at the impact it has
11	on enforcement.
12	And as I said, we have a presentation on
13	security and surveillance. And I think it has a big
14	impact on how we see, would like to see recommend
15	how security and surveillance should go forward.
16	Delaware sends those alerts to the licensed
17	facility for immediate action. New Mexico generates
18	alerts but doesn't forward them to anyone for
19	immediate action.
20	Some of these most have some degree of
21	24/7 law enforcement presence. Some have the state
22	police responsible for all criminal enforcement, and
23	not background investigations.
24	Some have the state police responsible for
25	all criminal enforcement; but they hand the arrests

1	over to an outside agency. Some are regulated by the
2	equivalent of a lottery commission, others by a Board,
3	such as you.
4	We believe a law enforcement presence is
5	the ultimate means of establishing a psychological
6	deterrent to criminals in maintaining the integrity of
7	the gambling industry.
8	As you heard the Colonel say, there are
9	numerous threats to the casino industry, such as
10	cheating or corruptions of game integrity, theft or
11	fraud, money laundering, collusion between different
12	parties, which could include casino staff and
13	security, infiltration by organized crime, non-gaming
14	crimes and emergency situations, such as fires, armed
15	robberies and bomb threats.
16	It is imperative the protection of the
17	physical safety of patrons, operators, employee staff,
18	money, network and the central control computer system
19	be maintained.
20	The Pennsylvania State Police Office of
21	Gaming Enforcement, we can assure you we will take a
22	proactive stance in criminal investigations and
23	enforcement.
24	Some examples being constant interaction
25	with the licensed facility, their daily functions.

1	This enables us to identify strengths and weaknesses
2	of the facilities and also monitor security personnel
3	and hold them accountable, monitoring patrons there
4	for compliance with the exclusion lists, and
5	conducting a very important process we call periodic
6	vulnerability assessments.
7	Vulnerability assessments are an in-depth
8	look as to how the day to day operations are performed
9	at a licensed facility.
10	Office of Gaming Enforcement troopers
11	should periodically conduct these assessments of the
12	licensed facility and security and surveillance
13	personnel to ensure that they are conforming to
14	established protocols by the Board.
15	It could be as simple as doing a random
16	under the age of 21 sweep throughout the premises.
17	Incidents and investigations will originate from a
18	number of different sources.
19	Incidents requiring criminal investigations
20	and/or arrests will ultimately occur at all the
21	licensed facilities, in spite of Pennsylvania State
22	Police criminal enforcement presence.
23	These incidents will evolve from patron
24	complaints, licensed facility employees, on-view
25	incidents, and referrals from the Bureau of

1	Investigations and Enforcement. They will be both
2	criminal and non-criminal in nature.
3	And we recommend that the Board mandate
4	that there's a designated area for patron complaints,
5	with communication access to either an Office of
6	Gaming Enforcement supervisor or a designee at each
7	licensed facility.
8	We should maintain a floor presence to
9	augment the law enforcement component. Research has
10	shown that in some states, the licensed facility
11	security staff makes the determination in criminal
12	matters as to whether the police should be involved in
13	these incidents.
14	Conflict resolution is the preferred method
15	for solving disputes by private security agencies.
16	Private industry across the board, when given the
17	choice, has a reputation for resolving external or
18	internal differences without utilizing the arrest
19	option.
20	Referral to law enforcement is typically a
21	last resort; particularly in those situations where a
22	private security officer has the discretion or mandate
23	from the institution.
24	Discretion on the part of private security,
25	which can be inaction or decision not to act, should

1	not be left as an afternative by the Board.
2	One only has to examine the incident and
3	arrest statistics from states which do not have a law
4	enforcement presence, to recognize quickly that either
5	the statistics are unavailable, or when provided,
6	unreliable.
7	Regulating agencies in those states are
8	left with a void, as they attempt to assess the volume
9	of criminal activity occurring within their licensed
10	facilities. Yes, sir?
11	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: This presence is in
12	uniform, right?
13	CAPTAIN PETYAK: No, sir.
14	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: It would be in soft
15	clothes or
16	CAPTAIN PETYAK: We said in soft clothes,
17	yes, sir. Not undercover, soft clothes.
18	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Soft clothes being
19	coat and tie, or in uniform?
20	CAPTAIN PETYAK: No, sir. Probably not in
21	uniform, that's correct.
22	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Why not uniform
23	presence?
24	CAPTAIN PETYAK: It's our understanding
25	that we found across the board, there isn't that

	uniform presence, it's the law enforcement presence.
2	That's just what we saw in our research
3	that, typically, what they do is shirt and ties, not
4	uniform or some type of we are not talking
5	undercover presence.
6	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: I would think,
7	though, that some six foot, 220, strapping
8	Pennsylvania State Patrolman in uniform there would
9	discourage me from trying to do something?
10	CAPTAIN PETYAK: You're referring to me?
11	Thank you, sir. That works. You know, the
12	omnipresence is the key word here, the expectation.
13	I think what we come to realize that I'r
14	preaching to the choir because I know you know the
15	answer to this, recognizing the capacity to have this
16	presence in the facility is a deterrent.
17	And people realize that. You don't have to
18	put the sign out, patrolled by the Pennsylvania State
19	Police.
20	I think it will become very clear, very
21	quickly, that we are there; and we are making these
22	decisions that we feel that you want made with respect
23	to arrests.
24	And I think the purpose of this thing is,
25	if we have not criminal incidents occurring at these

Τ.	locations, I think the Board has an expectation that
2	those are going to be handled with criminal
3	prosecutions; and not by private security in a
4	conflict resolution situation, and that's what we are
5	trying to present here.
6	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: I think we
7	would also add that, certainly, that these are
8	proposals and recommendations that we are making to
9	the Board.
10	Our experience nationwide is that, as a
11	routine, the state law enforcement presence is not in
12	uniform.
13	But if the Board recommendation or request
14	was that the State Police, from time to time, or with
15	a limited number of people, had a uniform presence or
16	displayed a uniform presence; certainly, and that
17	comes back to some of the discussion we had about the
18	interaction, that would be your call.
19	And we would certainly abide by whatever
20	your wishes or recommendations would be in that
21	regard.
22	CHAIRMAN DECKER: We will wait and see.
23	CAPTAIN PETYAK: We will take a proactive
24	stance in these matters, and should be the initial and
25	final authority in making determination on all

	т.	CIIMINAI INVESCIGACIONS AND CIIMINAI MACCEIS CHAC AIE
:	2	warranted.
	3	Criminal matters will be dealt with in a
	4	manner that sends a clear message that this activity
	5	will not be tolerated.
	6	Even incidents which result in eviction
,	7	should have the assistance of the Pennsylvania State
	8	Police Office of Gaming Enforcement for proper
	9	enforcement, security and intelligence sharing with
1	0	other OGE offices, so the problem just doesn't move to
1	1	the nearest licensed facility.
1:	2	Our members at these licensed facilities
1.	3	will proactively develop confidential informants and
1	4	sources of information to identify criminal activity
1.	5	that is occurring.
1	6	It's our experience when dealing with
1	7	individuals who want to report information, most want
1	8	to remain anonymous or confidential to protect their
1	9	identity.
2	0	These types of individuals provide critical
2	1	information relating to employee theft and/or other
2:	2	irregularities that are occurring.
2	3	As I said before, we should maintain an
2	4	enforcement presence on the floor to create an
2	5	atmosphere of omnipresence and identify incidents that

1	require immediate action.
2	I talked about non-intrusive random sweeps
3	They should be conducted in each facility, for
4	example, in an attempt to identify underage gambling
5	or underage alcohol consumption, which are violations
6	of the Act or the Pennsylvania Crimes Code.
7	These sweeps are a common, effective law
8	enforcement practice within gaming facilities across
9	the country.
10	We propose to have strategically placed
11	regional intelligence officers. These intelligence
12	officers will be housed off-site, the Pennsylvania
13	State Police Area Tactical Intelligence Officers.
14	They are geographically located across the
15	Commonwealth to maximize utilization of our resources
16	They will have the ability to frequent the licensed
17	facilities in undercover capacity, without being
18	detected by patrons or employees, thus ensuring their
19	identity will not be compromised.
20	These undercover intelligence officers will
21	be responsible for covert surveillance, as well as
22	proactive undercover intelligence investigations which
23	will begin, we believe, at the early stages of license
24	facility construction and continue through the
25	operational phase.

1	They will be responsible for monitoring
2	organized crime activity in relation to the gaming
3	industry, and developing information during the course
4	of their undercover duties that may lead to the
5	investigation of initiation of a criminal
6	investigation.
7	BOARD MEMBER COY: Captain?
8	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Yes, sir.
9	BOARD MEMBER COY: This morning there was a
10	question about the possibility of the need for some
11	sort of extra education for State Police troopers.
12	And I think a community college in New
13	Jersey was mentioned. I guess, without specifically
14	regard to the institution, can you just talk to us
15	about the State Police troopers that would be assigned
16	to these tasks in the casinos or around them.
17	Will this require special training, or is
18	this simply another type of undercover investigation
19	that your guys have done already? Can you comment on
20	that?
21	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Sure. I see two different
22	segments of the Office of Gaming Enforcement.
23	Undercover activities, the individuals I'm talking
24	about now are I can't say typical undercover
25	activities because no undercover activity is

Τ	classified as typical.
2	Obviously, we have troopers operating in
3	undercover capacity, serving a number of different
4	areas for that.
5	I see that as consistent with typical, if
6	you want to use that word, undercover duties. The
7	other
8	BOARD MEMBER COY: Excuse me. So there's
9	nothing particular about this assignment?
10	CAPTAIN PETYAK: It's specialized. We call
11	those specialized positions. Yes, there are. Those
12	are specialized positions within the State Police tha
13	we develop through training and on the job training,
14	the ability to conduct those investigations.
15	BOARD MEMBER COY: So it's training that
16	you would do in house?
17	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Yes, sir.
18	BOARD MEMBER COY: You feel you have the
19	capability of doing?
20	CAPTAIN PETYAK: We do that as normal
21	course, now, for our undercover drug investigators,
22	undercover organized crime investigators, undercover
23	intelligence investigators that currently work for us
24	BOARD MEMBER COY: If this need were to
25	arise in the near future, as we anticipate it will, o

1	in the future at some point, how quickly do you have
2	the personnel to be up and running to do this sort of
3	thing?
4	CAPTAIN PETYAK: I think we have the
5	ability to I already I have a lot of experience,
6	personally, in supervising units like this.
7	I know we have capable people that, as we
8	would look at bringing certain numbers of these
9	individuals on, that I think we'll have a great pool
10	to draw from of experienced undercover troopers.
11	BOARD MEMBER COY: Thank you.
12	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Addressing one other
13	aspect, I see if we look at the actual reg we will
14	talk about regulatory enforcement briefly because we
15	are limited on time, and I'll end this with that; but
16	I foresee additional training.
17	And I think the gentleman this morning, if
18	it was this morning, was talking about this other
19	training.
20	He's talking about this community college
21	in Atlantic City that provides a standard of training.
22	Our counterparts in Missouri what they have done
23	for the Missouri State Police or Highway Patrol, they
24	do their own training at their academy.
25	And I foresee down the road my vision of

_	chis, if we have whatever our involvement is, i
2	foresee us doing a train the trainer and utilizing our
3	State Police facility.
4	Expense wise, it's much cheaper. I'm more
5	comfortable with that; that we train the trainer and
6	we provide the training to our own troopers.
7	And part of that initial deployment, if we
8	would evolve to a squad concept down the road, part of
9	that initial deployment is once they get these
10	background investigations done, the next step in that
11	process is then we do mandatory in-house training for
12	those people.
13	And that takes them to the next level with
14	respect to the gaming industry because, I mean
15	short of some of our sophisticated white collar crime
16	people, our gaming and our troop vice people, this
17	type of gaming is, of course, new to us as well as
18	everyone else in the Commonwealth.
19	But I see that training; and we are going
20	to build that in. We have already looked at some of
21	that.
22	BOARD MEMBER COY: Thank you.
23	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: I want to
24	make sure we're clear because I think you are talking
25	about you may have been talking about two different

1	things.
2	The undercover investigators would be
3	consistent with all the undercover investigations that
4	we do in the State.
5	So there would not need to be any specific
6	training relative to gaming operation for our
7	undercover investigators.
8	BOARD MEMBER COY: That was part of the
9	question, Colonel. I mean it was a very serious
10	question; to know whether or not there's anything
11	particular about this type of undercover work that
12	differs from other undercover work.
13	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: It actually
14	will be somewhat easier for undercover investigators
15	to infiltrate a casino floor than some of the other
16	infiltrations that we do
17	BOARD MEMBER COY: Than drug investigations
18	or other vice type of things?
19	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: Correct.
20	Now, our other investigative and enforcement group
21	that would be inside the casino doing investigations
22	on specific gaming related matters is the second part
23	of the question.
24	I think that also comes back to Judge
25	Collin's question this morning; and the Captain is

1	talking about some specific training for those
2	individuals.
3	CHAIRMAN DECKER: If they are undercover,
4	will they be gambling? That's supposed to be a joke.
5	And if they win, where do the funds go?
6	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Only if they tell me about
7	it beforehand.
8	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: They're going
9	to simulate gambling.
10	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Captain, there are
11	really two purposes of this undercover. There's the
12	undercover intelligence investigation where the state
13	troopers are in there gathering intelligence, who's in
14	there, are people from organized crime, terrorists or
15	whoever, are they trying are they there?
16	What are they doing, that sort of thing;
17	where there's no plan, I don't think, at that point,
18	to take what they are doing and then take it court.
19	There are the other undercover operations
20	where they may actually be doing an operation against
21	a target.
22	There's a criminal aspect, then, that they
23	are doing this undercover operation, then, to take it
24	to court, to the Attorney General, then to court.
25	CAPTAIN PETYAK: And the beauty in the real

world, if it works for us, is the way we operate now.

2	Ideally our intelligence undercover troopers don't
3	ever pursue criminal investigations because we want to
4	maintain that anonymity.
5	In our plan, when we develop this
6	intelligence when these intelligence investigations
7	that they will be conducting at the facilities evolve
8	to a criminal investigation, they will pass that
9	information on to some of these criminal investigators
10	we have assigned to the facility, and then move on to
11	the next intelligence investigation.
12	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: And the intelligence
13	group that you have will be coordinating their
14	intelligence with New York, New Jersey and other
15	intelligence groups throughout the United States?
16	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Exactly. The beauty of
17	this is we are not going to assign them anywhere other
18	than our currently existing area and tactical
19	intelligence offices where we have got those contacts
20	available, as well as involvement with, for example,
21	Joint Terrorism Taskforces in both western and central
22	and eastern Pennsylvania, which would involved the FBI
23	and other agencies.
24	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: That's why we
25	use this to build upon the background investigation

1	process. Because we would actually begin that
2	interaction with surrounding states and other states
3	at the background investigation level, even prior to
4	getting involved in other types of intelligence
5	investigations.
6	BOARD MEMBER COY: The other part of the
7	question was the educational question. And it was
8	intriguing to me this morning, as I thought about it,
9	because I didn't know whether someone had developed a
10	course in Casino Undercover 101 or not?
11	And I'm not trying to be funny, I'm trying
12	is this such a technical or technically new area of
13	law enforcement that this type of outside education by
14	police groups is now necessary?
15	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Well, in answer to your
16	question, I think the application of the skills that
17	the criminals or these individuals will use will be
18	consistent, even inside those facilities.
19	I think about, for example, maybe some
20	structuring activity that we see going on or the
21	attempts of money laundering, or those organized
22	skills where you are building.
23	Intelligence is really seeing and observing
24	and putting things together and developing information
25	where you, kind of, build a case with respect to

1	what's going on.
2	And when you are looking at identifying
3	organized crime figures, they will have access to that
4	information already, based on where they just came
5	from.
6	So, actually, it's an application of
7	existing skills. But now you are incorporating
8	it's a good question you are incorporating new
9	activities that are going on somewhere else that we
10	have never been involved before. That's a very good
11	question. Okay.
12	Just want to finish up with these
13	undercover intelligence officers. As I said, they
14	will develop the information or refer that to a
15	criminal investigator so they can maintain their
16	anonymity. We are going to establish a position that
17	we feel is very important.
18	It's the intelligence supervisor who will
19	operate out of our headquarters and be responsible for
20	the timely dissemination of intelligence information
21	to all of our people.
22	And in going along with what Member McCabe
23	said, intelligence is shared with federal, state and
24	local law enforcement agencies.
25	We will be able to enter information,

1	utilizing the current Pennsylvania State Police
2	automated intelligence system, which is Memex, which
3	will integrate allow to us to integrate, deploy,
4	coordinate and share electronically on the network,
5	all the intelligence gathering activities to ensure we
6	are not duplicating resources; we are coordinating
7	investigations, and that manpower and commitments are
8	used effectively.
9	I can't emphasize enough the importance of
10	initially establishing a unit like this, beginning in
11	the early stages of construction in the industry.
12	Just briefly I want to touch on, someone
13	brought up this morning in the presentation, slide
14	sheets.
15	What we discovered, interestingly enough,
16	in our research, they are known to frequent new gaming
17	locations due to the fact that casino employees and
18	casino security are new to the industry.
19	They prey on these facilities because
20	security and surveillance are unfamiliar with their MO
21	or cheating traits.
22	And these new facilities are vulnerable
23	because the staff is not familiar with the
24	identification of potential hazards, issues and
25	concerns. There are two critical areas where criminal

T	elements and organized crime inflittrate the gaming
2	industry.
3	I touched on them briefly, money laundering
4	and structuring of payouts to avoid reporting of
5	income at gaming facilities.
6	We believe the Pennsylvania State Police
7	member, in their day to day enforcement activities,
8	will closely monitor functions such as the compliance
9	of the bank secrecy act by reviewing suspicious
10	activity reports and cash transaction reports for
11	intelligence information, and to ensure timely
12	submission of these to FINCEN.
13	They will ensure cashiers are making
14	appropriate notifications to the surveillance
15	department when a suspicious activity report or cash
16	transaction report subject is at the cash cage.
17	This will ensure a face is placed with the
18	report for future intelligence gathering on the
19	subject.
20	They will monitor licensed facility
21	cashiers to ensure they are not structuring payouts to
22	patrons to avoid the preparation of cash transaction
23	reports.
24	Just briefly, you heard this morning at
25	\$10,000 you have to fill out a CTR. What we have

Τ	rearned in this business is there are collusion
2	occurs between the people inside the cages and some
3	individuals, which will allow these people to maybe
4	just fill out a receipt for \$9,500, for an example,
5	and the rest goes over to someone else standing behind
6	them and avoids this printing out the CTRs.
7	Interestingly enough, we found out in our
8	research that one state police agency responsible for
9	gaming enforcement has recently discovered these
10	activities occurring in each of their gaming
11	facilities.
12	These targets were identified by state
13	police officers assigned to the gambling facility,
14	whose duties included an enforcement presence on the
15	gaming floor.
16	The review of cash transaction reports is a
17	common investigative tool currently in use by
18	Pennsylvania State Police troopers investigating
19	traditional or non-traditional organized crime groups
20	and sophisticated drug organizations.
21	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: May I ask a question
22	at this point? It seems to me that that overlaps
23	almost with surveillance.
24	I mean, in essence, a criminal
25	investigation, seems to me, is looking into a

1	situation pursuant to a cause or a reason for looking
2	into it.
3	And what you are describing seems to me to
4	be an audit function or a surveillance function, which
5	I think is an appropriate thing to be going into; but
6	I'm not so sure it would be I'm not so sure it
7	could be categorized as a criminal investigation. I
8	don't know. Am I wrong about that?
9	CAPTAIN PETYAK: The information was
10	developed in the state that I referred to, Judge, from
11	an informant.
12	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Okay. Sorry if I
13	missed that.
14	CAPTAIN PETYAK: No, that's okay. I didn't
15	say. I didn't say that. I'm sorry. Maybe I should
16	have said that.
17	That's where that information came from.
18	It was not it was not from surveillance
19	information; it was developed as a result
20	CHAIRMAN DECKER: That was the typical kind
21	of crime that you would be something would get
22	referred to you and you would go look at it?
23	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Yes, sir. That
24	information came from an informant. As a result of
25	those that informant coming forward an informant

1 -- I'll let it at that. Thank you.

2	Just another one I want to touch on.
3	Employee theft is one of the most prevalent crimes and
4	hardest to detect in the gaming industry.
5	It frequently requires the complex
6	investigation, involves numerous hours of
7	investigative work.
8	Employees, through their day to day
9	operation, are provided with ample opportunities to
10	steal money.
11	Research has shown that, overall, there are
12	more employee theft incidents documented then thefts
13	committed by patrons.
14	Lower to mid-level management employees
15	or lower to mid-level employees are more likely to
16	commit theft than upper management employees.
17	These thefts can involve large sums of
18	money. We use Connecticut as an example, where an
19	employee was caught placing \$97,000 in his socks.
20	Must have had like soccer socks or something on.
21	In a subsequent investigation, as a result
22	of that, revealed other involved employees at the same
23	facility and the recovery of approximately \$2 million
24	in cash and assets.
25	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: And very baggy

1	socks.
2	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Or skinny legs, Judge,
3	yes. Another area that we might touch on is
4	counterfeiting of United States currency. It's
5	prominent within the casino industry; and it's due,
6	principally, to the large amount of money transactions
7	that occur daily.
8	It provides this environment provides
9	excellent cover for counterfeiting. We fall back on
10	our counterparts in Connecticut again, where in 1995,
11	where they seized \$1.5 million in counterfeit
12	currency.
13	And as recently as December, 2003, involved
14	the seizure of \$100,000. It's imperative that the
15	Pennsylvania State Police Office of Gaming Enforcement
16	maintain liaisons and intelligence sharing with
17	federal, state and local law enforcement agencies.
18	It is also critical that while we are
19	conducting these complex and long term investigations,
20	we utilize all the resources of the Pennsylvania State
21	Police, to include our participation in the
22	multi-agency federal tasks forces, our organized crime
23	units, our computer crime units, our troop vice units
24	for drug investigations; and our contacts with the
2.5	Count Country and the EDI

Τ	The Pennsylvania State Police Office of
2	Gaming Enforcement role in criminal investigation and
3	enforcement at the 14 licensed facilities, we think,
4	is an essential element in maintaining a high level
5	standard and success as it pertains to protecting the
6	integrity of the gaming industry in the Commonwealth
7	of Pennsylvania.
8	Just briefly, I touch on these criminal
9	investigation background investigators. They are
10	going to investigate the complex and long term
11	criminal investigations, those referrals I was talking
12	about from the intelligence people, not being
13	responsible for day to day enforcement functions.
14	And their investigations will not reduce
15	that manpower. The Pennsylvania State Police will
16	encounter individuals who cannot provide
17	identification.
18	In this instance, the live scan onsite will
19	be utilized to fingerprint the individual for positive
20	identification.
21	When an arrest is made, we should have an
22	area designated within that facility for temporary
23	detainment.
24	De minimis infractions and some other
25	related offenses allow for the issuance of a summons

1	or citation to residents. The accused, in that case,
2	is issued, processed and released; thereby, having no
3	long term immediate impact on our staffing.
4	In the more serious situations, the accused
5	is ultimately formally arraigned and eventually
6	transported to a detention facility in most locations.
7	Research revealed this arrest situation creates an
8	ideal scenario for criminal elements to create a
9	diversion.
10	Our operations at the gaming offices are to
11	be self-sufficient, with minimal impact to the
12	surrounding Pennsylvania State Police stations or
13	local police departments.
14	All incidents occurring, as we said, within
15	the facility, are to be handled to the completion by
16	enforcement troopers at the gaming office.
17	Our manpower in each facility must be
18	staffed at proper levels to ensure an ongoing safe and
19	secure environment.
20	In one state, interestingly enough, the
21	Board there requires all casinos to have no less than
22	one law enforcement personnel at the licensed facility
23	at one time.
24	Interesting enough, should an arrest or an
25	incident mandate all personnel leave the licensed

1	facility, the facility has to temporarily shut down
2	until the law enforcement personnel returned, or
3	additional manpower is called in.
4	We are confident that our staffing plan,
5	which we will address at another time, will ensure
6	sufficient personnel or staff, to ensure there will be
7	no interruption in the operations of the facility.
8	On this chart, the statistics from
9	Connecticut, Indiana, Illinois, Louisiana, Missouri
10	and New Jersey show a significant number of incidents
11	and arrests, in spite of some degree of law
12	enforcement presence in and around the licensed
13	facilities on a full time basis.
14	As you can see by the table, most gaming
15	locations have a larger number of incidents compared
16	to the number of arrests they make.
17	In 2003, the state of Missouri, however,
18	recorded 688 incidents, and 1,164 arrests in their 14
19	riverboats.
20	This obviously equates to almost double the
21	amount of arrest incidents. What we discovered
22	through our interaction with Missouri is they have a
23	positive identification requirement to enter casinos.
24	All patrons must present identification to enter.
25	As a result of this requirement, they make

1	a large number of warrant arrests on patrons; because
2	they have previously been entered into a wanted
3	persons databases.
4	They also make a large number of warrant
5	arrests on new employees being processed, current
6	employees that are wanted, and patrons who win
7	jackpots of \$1,200 or greater, who must present an ID.
8	As you can see, when we talked about this
9	earlier, West Virginia and Delaware, two states with
10	no law enforcement presence in the licensed
11	facilities, can provide no reliable statistics on
12	incidents and arrests for licensed facilities.
13	SECRETARY FAJT: Ron?
14	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Yes, sir.
15	SECRETARY FAJT: One question. On the
16	chart, the criminal investigation and enforcement
17	chart, could you supplement that for us with the
18	number of state police or law enforcement personnel or
19	whatever they use in those areas for criminal
20	investigation and enforcement? I think that would be
21	helpful to us.
22	CAPTAIN PETYAK: I have that information
23	is in our presentation that we provided, that book
24	that we provided. Yes, it would be enclosure three.
25	I don't have that with me.

1	SECRETARY FAJT: I didn't assume you did.
2	CAPTAIN PETYAK: I don't have that now.
3	BOARD MEMBER COY: Do you happen to know
4	Captain or Colonel, in those jurisdictions, when
5	arrests like that are made and, Judge, I am sure
6	you can relate a little bit to this; there are often,
7	upon convictions, costs paid by the defendant.
8	Are any of these costs recovered by the
9	Casino Control Commissioners in any of those states,
10	to cover the cost of their enforcement or not?
11	CAPTAIN PETYAK: I don't know the answer to
12	that. That's a question I did not ask, in the sense
13	that when the final ultimately, the costs
14	they're convicted of the crime?
15	BOARD MEMBER COY: Often costs go to local
16	law enforcement agencies in certain crimes or in
17	certain other things.
18	And I'm just wondering if there's any
19	attempt to capture some of the costs involved with the
20	prosecution here?
21	CAPTAIN PETYAK: I could find that out. I
22	didn't ask that question.
23	BOARD MEMBER COY: I don't know; and I
24	think it would be interesting question.
25	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Good question. We will

1	get that.
2	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Wasn't it written in
3	the Bill that so much will go back to the local law
4	enforcement to cover those costs?
5	BOARD MEMBER COY: Yes. And I guess that
6	was part of the question. If the local law
7	enforcement is the one that is bringing the
8	prosecution, yes.
9	If it's the state police that is bringing
10	the prosecution that part of the Bill was intended
11	to allow local police departments to recover costs to
12	local municipalities to recover costs that go up
13	because a new facility is in their geographic borders.
14	My question related more to whether it is
15	their cost or the state police's cost, are they
16	recoverable at some point in the prosecution?
17	CAPTAIN PETYAK: I'll get you that answer.
18	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: I was just going to
19	comment that I know that there are provisions where
20	restitution can be awarded as part of a sentence. I
21	don't know that restitution would cover the area you
22	are talking about.
23	I do believe that fines and costs are set
24	by statute; and would not encompass extraordinary
25	things, such as what you might be describing. I'm not

```
sure. It's an interesting area to explore.
 1
 2
                     CHAIRMAN DECKER: In this presentation, we
          had mentioned -- I think in others too -- about the
 3
 4
          costs -- the costs of your presence, the cost of BIE,
 5
          the cost of other things that might be done are going
          to be reimbursed by the casinos.
                     I don't know that they are going to pick up
 8
          court costs for people who committed a crime within
          their own --
                     BOARD MEMBER COY: No, but the question is,
10
          would the defendant?
11
                     CHAIRMAN DECKER: Yeah, for sure.
12
13
                     BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: The only problem
14
          with that though, a lot of times, it's not
15
          recoverable.
                     CHAIRMAN DECKER: You don't get it in a lot
16
17
          of cases.
18
                     BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: The percentage of
          recoverability in those situations is not great,
19
20
          although that varies from county to county too.
21
                     BOARD MEMBER COY: And part of the question
22
          was, has any of these other states figured out a way
          to do it?
23
24
                     CAPTAIN PETYAK: I will find that out. I
25
          will get that information.
```

1	CHAIRMAN DECKER: We will find out.
2	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: To get back
3	to Secretary Fajt's question, we have all that
4	information for the eight states well, we have the
5	information for six of the eight states that are on
6	the slide.
7	One of them, we need to do some figuring,
8	because they gave us some per shift information; and
9	one of the other states that's on this slide, we have
10	to check with.
11	But I wouldn't feel comfortable giving that
12	information in a public forum. So we will get that to
13	the Board privately as an addendum to that slide.
14	SECRETARY FAJT: That would be great
15	Lieutenant-Colonel. Thank you.
16	CAPTAIN PETYAK: The Pennsylvania State
17	Police currently have an incident based crime mapping
18	system called Prophecy.
19	And this goes towards some of the things
20	that Member Marshall was talking about, how can we
21	watch and get from the state police what the
22	Commonwealth or what the citizens expect at.
23	This system is an incident and crime
24	pattern analysis system that is used to detect and
25	reduce criminal activity. Crime related incidents

1	will be automatically entered into the system, by our
2	people, when an incident is generated at a licensed
3	facility, and the location of the incident is entered.
4	It then can graphically display crime
5	related data on digital maps for view. The system has
6	the capability of showing event patterns and crime
7	trends at separate locations.
8	Prophecy can be used to identify current
9	and future patterns of incidents, broken down by
10	geographical area, incident type, time of day, day of
11	week, or by any chosen value or set of values.
12	Some highlights of this thing include point
13	mapping, where insert records can be plotted onto the
14	map in pin format.
15	This view can be changed to show event
16	types using icons to denote specific incident types.
17	A repeat point map is also available to show where
18	multiple records exist for the same location.
19	MO, date ranges, time ranges, and incident
20	types can be searched; and time profiling offers the
21	ability to display the incidents on a timeline to
22	provide a sequence analysis. This can be especially
23	useful when dealing with a series of incidents.
24	An ongoing process of follow up and
25	assessment is essential to ensure crime reduction

1	goals are met. The state police commanders will hold
2	their personnel accountable and ensure they are
3	pursuing the goal of crime reduction.
4	Prophecy will provide us the capability of
5	providing the Board with timely incident information,
6	where we can sit down and jointly evaluate the impact
7	of these statistics on gaming, in all 14 licensed
8	facilities across the Commonwealth.
9	We will then be able to develop new
10	strategies together, based on real time, accurate
11	information to make gaming a more secure and safer
12	environment for the citizens of the Commonwealth.
13	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: I'd like to
14	add, for all the Members of the Board, we update this
15	information at 0200 hours every day.
16	That's the kind of accurate information you
17	would have. Every first thing every morning, you
18	are going to have the most recent information
19	available.
20	It really assists us in trend patterning
21	also. So we would be able to see, for instance, in
22	the gaming area, when we have the 14 facilities, we
23	might see a trend developing where something has
24	occurred in the 24-48 hours in a number of our
25	facilities, trending towards other facilities, which

1	gives us a predictive analysis, and allows us to be
2	much more proactive.
3	That's where then we would adjust resource:
4	and even pool resources in, if we felt that that would
5	be the most appropriate and efficient process to
6	prevent a particular criminal activity from occurring
7	CAPTAIN PETYAK: Act 71 provides for stric-
8	regulatory function. The Board will establish rules,
9	regulations, policies, procedures and internal
10	controls for licensees to follow, the Pennsylvania
11	State Police's concurrent regulatory authority, with
12	the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, Bureau of
13	Investigation and Enforcement, and the Department of
14	Revenue.
15	As a result, we will enforce the rules and
16	regulations as promulgated by the Board, conduct
17	administrative inspections on the licensed facility,
18	review and closely monitor the systemic internal
19	control protocols that you will eventually establish;
20	and ensure that violations do not continue to occur
21	after the licensed facility is made aware of the
22	problem.
23	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Thanks, Ron. Thank you
24	Colonel and Captain. Any other questions?
25	LIEUTENANT-COLONEL PERIANDI: Chairman

1	Decker, this basically concludes our presentation in
2	the background investigation area and the criminal
3	investigation enforcement area.
4	We would just close by recognizing, as you
5	mentioned at the opening, that the Board is examining
6	options relating to security and surveillance. And
7	there are a couple of questions that came up, relative
8	to that, during today's presentation.
9	And the Pennsylvania State Police,
10	obviously, stands ready to address those two
11	components, as well as staffing and budget issues
12	whenever the Board requests.
13	You know, on May 2nd of next year, we will
14	celebrate the 100th anniversary of the Pennsylvania
15	State Police.
16	And we felt it was important to bring to
17	the attention of the Board that it is exactly this 100
18	year history of tradition, professionalism, and
19	effective law enforcement that we bring, as a
20	resource, to the Gaming Control Boards.
21	Pennsylvania State Police will take a
22	comprehensive approach in conducting background
23	investigations, handling all law enforcement
24	activities, including the arrest process and
25	overseeing the regulatory functionality in our day to

1	day operations.
2	Our greatest priority is to ensure that
3	there is a sufficient contingent of personnel at each
4	facility, at any given time, to ensure the safety and
5	security of all patrons and employees.
6	It is also our priority to ensure that the
7	integrity of all of the members of the Pennsylvania
8	Gaming Control Board; and of our agency, the
9	Pennsylvania State Police will be upheld at all times.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Thank you very much,
12	gentlemen. We have two action items to talk about
13	relating to this and the information we heard this
14	morning.
15	A continuing theme throughout the meeting
16	has been the need to ensure integrity in all aspects
17	of gaming in Pennsylvania.
18	The need for such integrity will be
19	particularly important for the Gaming Board's own
20	staff; especially for those in management positions.
21	Although the law does not require the Board
22	to conduct background investigations on its staff, I
23	have discussed individually with each of you the
24	possibility of requiring such backgrounds.
25	I would like to have a motion for to

Τ	allow for appropriate background checks on Gaming
2	Board employees, as determined by the Board, in
3	authorizing again, as determined by the Board, the
4	State Police to perform those background checks.
5	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: So moved.
6	BOARD MEMBER COY: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Any discussion? Any
8	questions about that? All those in favor, please
9	indicate by saying aye.
10	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Anyone opposed? For the
12	record, that was a unanimous vote for it. We have on
13	other one thing that I would like to cover with the
14	Board this afternoon.
15	As we heard yesterday, one of the
16	contingencies that must be in place before we can
17	begin licensing gaming facilities is the licensing of
18	manufacturers and suppliers.
19	In order to begin the process of licensing
20	manufacturers and suppliers, it would be necessary to
21	have appropriate background investigations done on
22	them.
23	Until the Board determines how to best
24	carry out these investigations, we could look to the
25	State Police to assist us.

1	I would like to entertain a motion to
2	authorize the State Police to perform initial
3	background investigations for slot machine
4	manufacturers and slot machine supplier applicants.
5	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: So moved.
6	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Is there any discussion
8	about that? Mary, did you have something? I'm sorry,
9	I thought you were any discussion? All those in
10	favor, please indicate by saying aye.
11	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Any opposed? Also for
13	the record, that motion passes unanimously. I have
14	one other motion, and that is to recess for 15
15	minutes.
16	I assume that will pass unanimously. We
17	will be back in ten minutes, a little after 3:00.
18	(Break.).
19	CHAIRMAN DECKER: We are back on. Let's
20	see. Sorry, we are going in the wrong direction here.
21	Yeah, I'm just looking for the spot, I'm sorry.
22	Here it is. Our next presenter is Lynn
23	Stelle, who is now going to go over licensing
24	considerations, including who to license, the order of
25	licensing and other procedures Lypn

1	MR. STELLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
2	have spent a few minutes during the break, and tried
3	to modify the presentation somewhat; because we have
4	spent five hours today brushing over different aspects
5	of the licensing, so I'll try to make my comments
6	comprehensive, but at the same time, maybe a little
7	briefer than they would have been.
8	As we have been discussing yesterday and
9	today, we started out by looking around at other
10	jurisdictions to find out what the common practices
11	are in the areas of licensing, who, what, where and
12	how to do the job.
13	I started this investigation by looking
14	right inside the Commonwealth at our other
15	institution, the lottery, which has successfully
16	licensed 8,500 retailers for over 30 years, collecting
17	upwards of \$2.5 billion.
18	So they certainly have significant
19	experience in this area. And that was a good place to
20	start.
21	They do an excellent job in licensing these
22	retailers and establishing some kind of a frame work
23	in which to operate. This is obviously one of our
24	major key tasks.
25	It's the gateway into the gaming

1	environment; and we have to make sure only those that
2	are appropriate get through this doorway. So it has
3	to be done. It has to be done perpetually.
4	It has to be done every day. We do need to
5	have working relationships with those doing the
6	background investigations, to make sure that every
7	day, we are checking the backgrounds of our
8	individuals to make sure no criminal activity has
9	affected has been attached to them, no financial
10	improprieties have been attached to them.
11	So this is an ongoing, every day
12	responsibility. And we have to stay diligent to it.
13	I think if we look at licensing, we have to put
14	together several different systems, that will allow us
15	to issue license and permits to qualified individuals
16	and to control our gaming activities.
17	And it is obviously going to be up to the
18	Board to establish the levels to which these licenses
19	and permits are granted.
20	So let me just quickly run into who needs
21	to be licensed, what are the licensing requirements,
22	what's the licensing time frame, in general terms, and
23	what is the proposed work flow. Well, we did a fairly
24	significant analysis.

We know we have two resorts, five

1	non-tracks, seven tracks. There will be approximately
2	100 manufacturers and suppliers. There will be about
3	1,400 senior employees in those organizations.
4	There will be 1,000 manufacturer and
5	supplier employees. If the Board decides that they
6	want to go deeper, there could be as many as 1,000
7	non-gaming entities, and 10,000 non-gaming employees
8	to look at.
9	Then the gaming facilities themselves,
10	there could be another 14,000 employees. This is more
11	than 27,500 employees that we'll be looking to
12	license.
13	This is entities and employees we are going
14	to be looking to license in the first year. And this
15	does in the first year of the facility operations,
16	excuse me.
17	But this does include, as we said, there is
18	going to be false starts. We are going to be doing
19	background investigations, and get licensing
20	applications for people that don't make it.
21	Employees will last for six months, and we
22	will be doing two employees for that one position. So
23	this does have some fat in it; but we should look to
24	try to build an organization to allow us to license
25	27,500 individuals.

1	Look at this a little bit differently. If
2	you take the facilities themselves, as you can see
3	here, you have the 14 facilities on the top of the
4	pyramid; and then under that, you are going to have
5	1,400 of those senior employee deep dive background
6	investigations.
7	The 1,400 employees will have a fairly
8	what was it called, a shortcut evaluation, unless
9	problems are found.
10	This is similar to what we do now with the
11	lottery. We actually send out every licensee to the
12	State Police to do a quick background investigation.
13	As long as there is nothing bad, it comes
14	back approved. If there is, they do a deep dive or a
15	deeper dive on that individual application. So
16	there's a model we could look at to handle the gaming
17	employees. We certainly don't have a model that goes
18	into the deep dive for the senior employees.
19	Secondly, we have the manufacturers and
20	suppliers. And in the Act, it looks like we will be
21	looking to the manufacturers and suppliers as being
22	our first level of licensing.
23	As you know, they have to be licensed 90
24	days before we can consider licensing any of the other
25	entities.

1	So probably the first step would be the
2	proposal would be to licensure your manufacturers and
3	suppliers, and their senior employees.
4	Then the last group of licensees is the
5	non-gaming licensee. I think it's up to the Board to
6	decide if they want to go after the folks that are
7	involved in trash removal, maintenance companies, food
8	suppliers, laundry services, construction suppliers,
9	et cetera, et cetera, et cetera.
10	It goes on and on. And if they do, then we
11	have to add to this licensing requirement another
12	11,000 potential licensees.
13	Again, these are all estimates; but they
14	are estimates based on the size of the organization
15	structures we are looking to license.
16	Next, the Act requires; and it's mandatory
17	that the Board shall consider and approve condition or
18	deny the approval of all initial applications for each
19	and every category of slot machine licenses,
20	collectively and together.
21	It's got to be done in a statewide manner
22	within 12 months following the time set by the Board
23	for submission of the applications.
24	So once we begin the process, there will be
25	a little bit of there will be a need for a fairly

1	orderly process to get the licensing process done. So
2	repeating
3	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Can we now, is
4	it just the categories?
5	MR. STELLE: Well, we need to get our chief
6	counsel working on that; because it is vague as to
7	whether we do this by the as you see here, the four
8	top categories, the manufacturer/suppliers, the
9	category ones, the category twos and the category
10	three; or if the interpretation of collectively and
11	together is you have to do all of them collectively
12	and together, so it's a little inconsistent.
13	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: But the problem
14	with that is if you have to do one group 90 days
15	ahead?
16	MR. STELLE: Exactly.
17	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: So I have always
18	read this to be the categories as you lay them out,
19	the chunks.
20	MR. STELLE: That's right. I believe that
21	would be my interpretation as well. I think we have
22	to clarify that before you actually begin the process.
23	But it appears that that would be a process or
24	proposal.

BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: And not to be

1	redundant; but I will be redundant, how would you
2	if the other if it came back the other way, how
3	would you get the manufacturer and suppliers 90 days
4	before the others?
5	MR. STELLE: I don't think you can.
6	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Thanks.
7	MR. STELLE: I'm going to focus strictly on
8	the manufacturers and suppliers licenses; because that
9	is my interpretation of the first thing that the Board
10	must consider.
11	So the manufacturers and supplier licenses
12	are for the slot machines and associated equipment.
13	And they must be sold by suppliers to the licensees.
14	The suppliers are going to have to
15	establish and commit to establish a business in
16	Pennsylvania within one year when they apply.
17	Slide 20, I'm sorry. Repeating, the slot
18	machine licensee must work through the suppliers.
19	There is an exception. We talked about the central
20	system.
21	The Department of Revenue is doing that;
22	but the entities also have their own system, central
23	system type technology; and that wouldn't have to go
24	through the supplier.
25	That includes the monitoring systems, the

1	casino management systems, player tracking system, all
2	part of that is what we talked about yesterday, the
3	second wire, that could come off or the information
4	coming off into a second system.
5	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Then there's no
6	limitation on the number of manufacturers or suppliers
7	that can be licensed; correct?
8	MR. STELLE: No, there's not. Again, going
9	back. I think the order of licensee is the
10	manufacturers/suppliers as soon as administratively
11	possible, as specified in the Act; but definitely
12	three months prior to the licenses of any other
13	classification.
14	Then we have the category 1, 2 and 3. We
15	have other gaming employees and non-gaming employees.
16	I think that would be the flow of your licensing
17	requirements.
18	We then wanted to discuss centralized
19	versus distributed licensing. And although I believe
20	what Captain Petyak was explaining is very accurate;
21	and I think some kind of a working relationship should
22	definitely be built with the State Police, in parts of
23	the things that they are doing, I believe that the
24	best way to handle this is to centralize the operation
25	as much as possible, in Harrisburg.

1	The lingerprincing process, obviously,
2	would have to be localized. And the process would
3	have to be established for that.
4	And as quickly as possible, I'd like to see
5	the forms that we use for licensing to be made
6	electronic, so we don't have the flow of paper
7	transferring across the state.
8	It makes it easier to actually take these
9	applications at the different entities, and have them
10	transmitted to the central office electronically.
11	Obviously, as I said about the lottery, at
12	every classification, we should be doing a financial
13	and a criminal screening of the applicant.
14	And then based on the category of the
15	applicant, they would get a deeper dive screening.
16	And we would have to establish the Board would have
17	to establish what level of employee gets what level of
18	investigation.
19	Putting this into a little bit of a flow
20	chart. The top level has been construed as the
21	organizations and key staff.
22	They would submit the application to the
23	Gaming Board in Harrisburg. Background
24	comprehensive background investigations would have to
25	be completed, including the state police

	ringerprincing.
2	The results of those would come back to the
3	Board for a final decision; and then that final
4	decision would yield either a license or a rejection.
5	It's a very simplistic overview; but that would be the
6	way to handle your key staff.
7	We spent five hours discussing more detail
8	the actual investigative process. The non-key staff
9	and non-gaming staff would be even a faster process;
10	in that, I don't believe there's any reason why the
11	Gaming Board couldn't handle the electronic background
12	and financial investigation, similar to the way the
13	lottery does it, in that they would send the data out
14	to the State Police for the background investigation
15	on criminal.
16	Assuming it comes back clean, it goes to
17	the next step, which is they do the financial
18	background check electronically.
19	At that point, the Board could issue, in
20	essence, a certificate that the employee then could
21	take to the State Police to have the final check,
22	which is the fingerprinting.
23	And if that comes back all right, their
24	conditional license from the fingerprinting would turn
25	into a nermanent license. So you have three levels of

Δ.	security on your ficensing process.
2	First, the employer would be certifying the
3	employee and transferring to you the applicant, which
4	is required under the Act. The employee has to have a
5	offer of employment before you could be offered. So
6	that's your first level of check.
7	The second level is the application process
8	by the Board in the licensing department. And the
9	third level is the final check by the State Police;
10	that, in fact, the person you just investigated is, in
11	fact, who they say they are, by going to the State
12	Police and having their fingerprinting done.
13	It's my our proposal that we have a ton
14	of work to do, and that we focus on trying to finish
15	in the month of December our manufacturer and supplier
16	forms, and present them to you slide 30 present
17	them to the Board for review.
18	In January, we would like to present to the
19	Board a proposal for forms for the actual category one
20	entities and their senior employees.
21	In February, we would present the forms for
22	the resorts and the non-tracks, as well as for the
23	non-gaming and gaming employees.
24	So it's a fairly rapid process. But the

one thing working in our favor is that many

1	jurisdictions have adopted a standard, called a
2	multi-jurisdictional application form.
3	And we have been working with that to use
4	as the foundation of our application process, with a
5	supplement.
6	We have been working with the State Police
7	and with the other consultants as well to come up with
8	a standard set of forms that will come to the Board,
9	at least screened by both the state police and by this
10	consulting group.
11	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Lynn, do either
12	Nevada or New Jersey use that form?
13	MR. STELLE: New Jersey actually does. And
14	I think Nevada; but we would have to defer. Does
15	Nevada use the multi-jurisdictional? Yeah. I think
16	there are six or eight jurisdictions now using them.
17	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: And I know you've
18	been here the two days. And I think there have been a
19	number of references that people are pretty happy with
20	Nevada, New Jersey, and it would be good for us to
21	emulate?
22	MR. STELLE: Absolutely. The one thing you
23	don't want to do is reinvent the wheel. And it seems
24	like and this isn't the only thing.
25	We are using that as the basis; because,

1	again, you'll see that that form not only we are
2	dealing with the same group of players.
3	When we talk about manufacturers, when we
4	talk about the operators of these facilities, they
5	operate in other jurisdictions.
6	To date, I don't know of one unique entity
7	that's come to the surface yet. There will be. But
8	to date, the entities that are talking about applying
9	for licenses; especially for your tracks, operate in
10	other jurisdictions.
11	So they already have on file in New Jersey
12	for example, many of them will have
13	multi-jurisdictional forms already filed.
14	So you will have the benefit of being able
15	to derive the information of their own investigation
16	on these people, as they jumpstart to your own
17	investigation.
18	The State Police will have the benefit of
19	going over on the manufacturers, for example, if you
20	take Ballys or you take IGT, they will be able to go
21	to New Jersey and pick up all that data that already
22	exists on these key employees and on their operation
23	overall.
2.4	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Your number, the

100 manufacturers and suppliers, that will be impacted

1	one way or the other by who how far in the food
2	chain we eventually decide to go? Isn't that right?
3	MR. STELLE: Well, the manufacturers and
4	suppliers are a little different. There, we are
5	talking about everyone that possibly can sell us a
6	slot machine, sell to the entity a slot machine, and
7	everyone that can be a supplier for those entities
8	that are selling slot machines.
9	CHAIRMAN DECKER: You are talking about
10	gaming?
11	MR. STELLE: Manufacturers of slot machine
12	is really what the manufacturers there are.
13	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: So, the supplier of
14	cocktail napkins would come under non-gaming entities?
15	MR. STELLE: Yeah. The suppliers and
16	manufacturers, in the Act are really the suppliers of
17	the gaming machines themselves.
18	And the reason for that is for these
19	operators to propose to you their plan, they have to
20	have some idea of what equipment they can order and
21	what it's going to cost.
22	They have got to put a whole financial
23	projection for themselves together. So they have to
24	build a relationship with these manufacturers. So we
25	need to have the manufacturers and suppliers of the

1	slot machine themselves contracted or licensed.
2	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: So I misspoke.
3	It's the non-gaming entities that you propose in
4	February to have a form. And that will be impacted by
5	how far we want to go into that?
6	MR. STELLE: Absolutely. Absolutely. What
7	New Jersey uses as their guide, is cost. If someone
8	is disbursing \$75,000 to a non-gaming entity, they
9	have to come into the licensing arm, or some kind of a
10	review process.
11	And that would be the suggestion that we do
12	the same thing. It seems to be the most vulnerable
13	gateway into this, that the operators of these
14	facilities, because of the good works of New Jersey,
15	are clean.
16	They do not have blemishes. And they had
17	30 years of abuse from New Jersey to clean it up. So
18	that seems to not be the area that we need to be as
19	concerned about.
20	We certainly have to make sure we do the
21	job well. But the area of concern is these one-offs
22	that come in out of the blue, start a business, and
23	come through the back door, that's where you can get
24	burned. So we want to make sure that those are the
25	people we go after.

Τ	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: May I just make an
2	observation? I believe that it's important that we
3	consider, as part of our responsibility, that when we
4	review applications, that the applications and the
5	forms have to be supported by a regulatory scheme or
6	process that we have to develop.
7	So that it's not just applications out
8	there, but the Commission or the Board has a system of
9	regulations governing how these applications are
10	taken, et cetera.
11	So I just want to put that out there, that
12	I think it's more than just developing an application.
13	I think it's a whole regulatory approach we have to
14	think about and develop too.
15	MR. STELLE: In response to that, we were
16	talking about that yesterday with the organization
17	chart, I agree completely.
18	I think we have to do all of this
19	concurrently. One of the reasons we need to get the
20	chief counsel, so he can hire his deputies.
21	One of them is strictly on the licensing
22	area, to make sure that those regs are written and
23	presented to you, so you can accept them.
24	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: He or she.
25	MR. STELLE: Excuse me. The deputy.

1	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Thank you.
2	MR. STELLE: So anyway, you are absolutely
3	right. So what we are trying to do is just put
4	together what the flow of work is and get the process
5	started. Certainly, we have to get those key staff or
6	board first.
7	Finally, to taking manufacturers and
8	suppliers to the ultimate conclusion, I just wanted to
9	restate the fact that under the Act, manufacturers
10	will have to submit a \$50,000 supplier license
11	manufacturers license; and suppliers will have to
12	submit a \$25,000 application fee.
13	In addition, we are going to propose, when
14	we finally do present to you the final package, that
15	we request an investigatory deposit of \$5,000, similar
16	to what New Jersey does, as a matter of fact, exactly
17	what New Jersey does.
18	And then we ask that the licensee take
19	responsibility for any additional fees that are
20	associated with the background investigation, not only
21	of themselves as an entity, but of their senior staff
22	that they submit and support.
23	CHAIRMAN DECKER: When you say additional
24	fees, could you give us an example?
25	MR. STELLE: \$50 an hour in New Mexico

1	kicks in.
2	CHAIRMAN DECKER: You mean use up it's
3	\$50 an hour to use up 5,000; and is that what you
4	mean? Or are there special categories that
5	immediately charge \$50 an hour?
6	MR. STELLE: New Jersey saved us. Some of
7	these investigations can run \$200,000 or more. So the
8	\$5,000 is strictly just a deposit, and the cost will
9	go significantly higher.
10	CHAIRMAN DECKER: We'll get some
11	suggestions on how this will all work in detail as we
12	are doing the regs; correct?
13	MR. STELLE: I'm hoping that by the end of
14	the month, we would, as a group, State Police, and the
15	other consultants, will be able to submit to you,
16	which is the last slide, a review and approval of
17	manufacturer forms. Excuse me.
18	We have to submit those. Some kind of a
19	suggested timetable or a proposal on how we could
20	structure this as a temporary staffing environment, a
21	review of a regulatory process and give you the
22	opportunity to establish when you would like to start
23	the application process.
24	All of this will come in a package very
25	shortly. It's being finalized as we speak.

1	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Mr. Chairman, when we
2	get that, I know I would be interested in seeing the
3	comparisons of how all these other states are doing
4	it. You know what I'm saying there?
5	If we could see the how does New Jersey
6	do their forms, Delaware, so forth, so we can compare
7	what you are asking us to approve against these
8	others, I'd appreciate that.
9	MR. STELLE: That's not a problem. That's
10	it.
11	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Thank's Lynn. Any other
12	questions? Thank you. We are going to postpone a
13	couple of items on the agenda; specifically, the issue
14	of as Lynn discussed, the proposed form. That will
15	be dealt with at our next meeting.
16	And also, we are going to postpone the
17	discussion on cash management, both the policy issues
18	and the audit issues. We have a couple of business
19	items we do want to talk about.
20	The first is we postponed yesterday, the
21	discussion and review of an EEO Affirmative Action
22	Plan regarding diversity.
23	As the Board members know; and to point out
24	to the public, we had a draft plan, which we amended
25	in a counter of places. But now we have a finished

1	product.
2	As time goes on, this is not a permanent
3	document. I sure some of the other Board members will
4	comment on that.
5	It's something that will be revised from
6	time to time. The plan will be made available to the
7	public through the Gaming Board website as it is
8	redrafted.
9	But I think all the Board Members have had
10	an opportunity to look at it now. I would ask for a
11	motion approving the EEO Affirmative Action Plan on
12	diversity, as we amended it.
13	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: So move.
14	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Second?
15	BOARD MEMBER COY: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Any comment?
17	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: I would just like to
18	thank you, Mr. Chairman, for giving me the opportunity
19	to make sure I had it reviewed, and for my changes.
20	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Sure.
21	BOARD MEMBER COY: Thank you. I have a
22	couple suggestions on corrective language too, that we
23	can share with the staff.
24	I want to emphasize, especially because I
25	think anybody who has read the legislation, sees that

1	the Legislature directly addressed the subject of
2	diversity; and of a continuing effort at affirmative
3	action.
4	And I think the language in the Bill was,
5	sort of, in all endeavors of the Legislation and of
6	the Act.
7	And I think while we approve this today,
8	because in compliance with the Act, it requires that
9	this be approved within six months of its enactment;
10	and so therefore, we come up around January 5th, where
11	this would have to be done, while I think it's fine to
12	approve it today, I think we need to look at it as a
13	work in progress.
14	That this is something that we will need to
15	continually turn our attention to, be mindful of, and
16	try to bring its application and practical measure to
17	every aspect of the gaming industry and our endeavors
18	in the Commonwealth.
19	With that, I'm supportive, but I will
20	continue to attempt to bring this to the Board's
21	attention.
22	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Thank you. Any other
23	discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the
24	motion, please indicate by saying aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Any opposed? Again, this
2	passes unanimously. We have a couple of other matters
3	to talk about. The first is to take a minute to talk
4	about the procedures for our future meetings.
5	And that is, given the importance of what
6	each of has been asked to do as a Gaming Board member,
7	I believe it's important that each of us participate
8	personally in our public meetings.
9	I propose that we adopt a motion
10	prohibiting proxy voting and the use of surrogates.
11	Secondly, I also think it's important, however, that
12	participation in the meetings by telephone or video
13	conference can, at times, be permissible when a
14	Commissioner is unable to travel to a specific
15	meeting.
16	Therefore, I'd like to have a motion to
17	prohibit proxy voting and use of surrogates at Gaming
18	Control Board Public Meetings; and to allow, at the
19	Chair's discretion, participation in the meeting by
20	telephone or video conferencing.
21	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: So moved.
22	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Thank you. Any
23	discussion about that? All those in favor, please
24	indicate by saying aye.
25	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Any opposed? Motion
2	passes unanimously. The last item we have is that we
3	all believe at least I believe, and I think the
4	fellow Commissioners feel the same way that we have
5	been asked to do a tremendous amount of work in a
6	relatively short period of time.
7	Based on this amount of work, it is clear
8	the Board is going to continue to need the advice of
9	experts on policy and staffing issues.
10	I have researched options available for who
11	could best provide the Board with a range of advice it
12	is going to need; particularly in light of the
13	independence that the Board needs in which to work.
14	I have discussed the need to hire a
15	separate consultant; and our options for who could
16	best provide these services with each of you
17	individually and separately.
18	As a result, I would recommend that we
19	retain the services of PriceWaterhouse Cooper, LLP, a
20	well known and highly capable expert in the gaming
21	area.
22	In particular, let me cite their background
23	as the advisor to the following agencies over the past
24	years.
25	This is last few years. They represented

1	the Ontario Casino Control Commission when they were
2	starting up, the Michigan Casino Control Board.
3	They have worked they are working now
4	with the state of Maryland. They have done work with
5	the state of Maine, particularly in the racetrack
6	area. They were the consultants of British Columbia.
7	They worked with the state of Kentucky, state of
8	Wisconsin in travel gaming.
9	They have recently done some work with the
10	New Jersey Casino Control Commission. They have also
11	represented a number of foreign gambling control
12	commissions. So with that said, I think they are a
13	very well qualified organization.
14	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Mr. Chairman, have
15	you had the opportunity to inquire whether pardon
16	the pun, whether they have a horse in the Pennsylvania
17	race?
18	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Yes, we have. Thank you
19	for reminding me. They do not have any conflicts.
20	They do not represent any potential operators or
21	manufacturers or suppliers in the current.
22	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: And presumably
23	would agree not to during their representation?
24	CHAIRMAN DECKER: That is absolutely true.
25	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Then I would make

1	that motion, Mr. Chairman.
2	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Let me phrase it, if I
3	could, in a certain way, then I will ask you for the
4	I'd like to have a motion authorizing the Chair to
5	seek an emergency procurement to hire PriceWaterhouse
6	Cooper, LLP, to provide advice and counsel to the
7	Board regarding gaming related policy issues and
8	staffing issues. May I have that motion?
9	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: You may.
10	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Can I have a second?
11	BOARD MEMBER CONABOY: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Do we have any
13	discussion?
14	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Is there anybody else
15	that's qualified as they are to do this out there?
16	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Personally, I do not
17	believe so, that haven't already been involved in some
18	of the processes or who aren't already conflicted out.
19	BOARD MEMBER COY: Mr. Chairman, in light
20	of Commissioner Marshall's comment, to which I concur,
21	can we have would you make certain that in the
22	contract or whatever there is a disclosure that they
23	do not currently represent any gaming clients; and
24	that in the course of their employment with our Gaming
25	Board, they would not?

1	CHAIRMAN DECKER: That's a very acceptable
2	amendment to my motion.
3	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Mine too.
4	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Yours too. Sorry. You
5	made the motion. You are quite right. I asked for
6	it, you made it. Sorry about that. We will work on
7	our procedures.
8	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Do you need it
9	seconded as it's amended?
10	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Thank you.
11	BOARD MEMBER COLLINS: Then I'll do that.
12	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Any other discussion?
13	Hearing none, all those in favor, please say aye.
14	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Any opposed? Motion
16	passes unanimously. We will be scheduling a meeting
17	in the next few weeks. It will be sometime in the
18	middle to the latter part of January. It doesn't mean
19	we won't be working.
20	Obviously, we have a lot to do in order to
21	get this process moving. And I think I can speak for
22	the Board, we are very happy with the progress that we
23	made today. Let me thank the Pennsylvania Museum for
24	allowing us to use their facility.
25	I'd like to thank all the staff people who

```
worked on this for two days. I know it's not easy.
 1
 2
         We appreciate all the help you did in setting this up.
                     BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: Mr. Chairman, if I
 3
 4
         might, I would like to thank you for your leadership
 5
          on this. I think that this was a very, very
 6
         successful two days.
                     I think we served notice that Pennsylvania
 8
          is now in the gaming business. We are going to do it
 9
          responsibly. We have a number of good advisors. And
          I think this meeting is a real tribute to you and your
10
11
         leadership. Thank you.
                     CHAIRMAN DECKER: Thank you very much.
12
13
          didn't announce the location of the next meeting.
14
          Since it is January, it will be in St. Croix. It's a
15
         joke.
                     BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: We have to work
16
         with the Chairman on his sense of humor.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN DECKER: I'd also like to take the
19
          opportunity, in all seriousness, to wish the Board and
20
          everyone who attended these meetings, who are here
21
         now, a most happy holiday and prosperous New Year. Is
22
          there anything else? Yes, please, go ahead.
23
                     BOARD MEMBER McCABE: Mr. Chairman, I just
```

have one thing that I want to alert the Board, that I

will, in the future meetings, plan on introducing

24

_	additions to our intellim code of conduct to cover some
2	of the areas that we discussed.
3	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Absolutely. And along
4	the lines that we talked about previously, in terms of
5	this being another living and breathing document that
6	will have to be developed over a period of time. But
7	with the ethics
8	BOARD MEMBER McCABE: And I'll try to get
9	that to everybody before the next one.
10	CHAIRMAN DECKER: That's fine.
11	BOARD MEMBER RIVERS: Mr. Chairman, I'd
12	like to thank all the presenters, the consultants. I
13	think the information that they provided us and to the
14	audience gives us a good foundation to be on and the
15	right direction to move.
16	I think the Pennsylvania State Police can
17	be proud of themselves, because of their due
18	diligence; not only in investigating me, but others or
19	this state. And it was pleasure to work with you.
20	And I appreciate all that you have done,
21	and look forward to working with you on a continuous
22	basis. That is to you, not only to you, but to the
23	rest of the consultants. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN DECKER: I'm sure you speak for
25	all of us. Any other husiness to be brought before

1	the meeting?
2	BOARD MEMBER COY: Mr. Chairman, I know
3	indicated it yesterday; but the fact is that the
4	Department of Revenue, even its Secretary, has done a
5	lot of work up to now.
6	CHAIRMAN DECKER: We have to work on his
7	sense of humor too.
8	BOARD MEMBER COY: He and I were colleagues
9	once upon a time. I'd like to acknowledge all the
10	work that the Department of Revenue, its Secretary and
11	its staff has done up to now on behalf of the Board
12	and appreciate it very much.
13	CHAIRMAN DECKER: Thank you, by all of us.
14	We said it yesterday, and we said it to them
15	individually, they have done a terrific job in teeing
16	the issues up for us.
17	This was an enormous project, that all of
18	your, including the state police and others have
19	gotten involved in. And it's really been we
20	certainly appreciate the work.
21	We wouldn't be able to get anything close
22	to this, to where we are now, without the really
23	excellent work you have done. Anything else? With
24	that, I'd love to have a motion to adjourn.
25	BOARD MEMBER MARSHALL: So move.

1		CHAIRMAN	DECKE	ER:	Sec	ond?	?		
2		MR. CONA	BOY:	Seco	ond.				
3		CHAIRMAN	DECKE	ER:	All	in	favor?	We	are
4	adjourned.	Again,	happy	holi	idays	5.			
5		(Meeting	concl	Ludeo	d at	3:4	16 p.m.)		
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

1	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
2	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
3	notes taken by me on the within proceedings and
4	that this is a correct transcript of the same.
5	
6	
7	<del></del>
8	Ramona L. Devlin Reporter - Notary Public
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	