

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

\* \* \* \* \*

PUBLIC MEETING

\* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE: MEMBERS OF THE BOARD:

DAVID M. BARASCH, CHAIRMAN

Obra S. Kernodle, IV

Sean Logan (Via Telephone)

Kathy M. Manderino

T. Mark Mustio

Merritt C. Reitzel

Dante Santoni, Jr.

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS/DESIGNEES IN ATTENDANCE:

Christin Heidingsfelder, Designee,

Department of Revenue

Jennifer Langan, Designee, Department of

Treasury

Fred Strathmeyer, Jr., Designee,

Department of Agriculture

HEARING: Wednesday, April 17, 2019, 10:00 a.m.

Reporter: Lindsey Deann Richardson

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited  
without authorization by the certifying agency.

1 LOCATION: Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board  
2 Strawberry Square  
3 2nd Floor  
4 Harrisburg, PA 17101  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## A P P E A R A N C E S

PA GAMING CONTROL BOARD EXECUTIVE STAFF WHO APPEARED  
BEFORE THE BOARD:

KEVIN O'TOOLE, Executive Director

DANETTE BIXLER-GEORGE, Director of Human Resources

SUSAN HENSEL, Director, Bureau of Licensing

OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL - ALSO PRESENTING:

R. DOUGLAS SHERMAN, ESQUIRE, Chief Counsel

STEVE COOK, ESQUIRE, Deputy Chief Counsel

CHAD ZIMMERMAN, ESQUIRE, Assistant Chief Counsel

PA Gaming Control board

P.O. Box 699060

Harrisburg, PA 17106-99060

Counsel For the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board

OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT COUNSEL - ALSO PRESENTING:

CYRUS PITRE, ESQUIRE

Chief Enforcement Counsel

MICHAEL ROLAND, ESQUIRE

Senior Enforcement Counsel

DUSTIN MILLER, ESQUIRE

Senior Enforcement Counsel

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

A P P E A R A N C E S (cont.)

OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT COUNSEL - ALSO PRESENTING:

- THOMAS MONAGHAN, ESQUIRE  
Assistant Enforcement Counsel
- JOHN CROHE, ESQUIRE  
Assistant Enforcement Counsel
- DAVID TEPPER, ESQUIRE  
Assistant Enforcement Counsel
- BENJAMIN FERRELL, ESQUIRE  
Assistant Enforcement Counsel

ALSO PRESENT WERE:

- TARA BOEHME, Table Games Dealer, The Meadows Casino
- MICHAEL MAGAZZU, ESQUIRE, Vice President and General Counsel, Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC
- MATTHEW FORBES, Director of Security, Sands Bethworks
- NICOLE COX, Director of Relationship Marketing
- MICHAEL KEELON, Director of Compliance, Washington Trotting Association, LLC
- TONY FRABBIELIE, Vice President and General Manager, Washington Trotting Association, LLC

## I N D E X

|    |                          |      |    |
|----|--------------------------|------|----|
| 1  |                          |      |    |
| 2  |                          |      |    |
| 3  | OPENING REMARKS          |      |    |
| 4  | By Chairperson Barasch   | 7 -  | 8  |
| 5  | PRESENTATION             |      |    |
| 6  | By Attorney O'Toole      | 8 -  | 10 |
| 7  | By Ms. Bixler-George     | 11 - | 12 |
| 8  | By Attorney Sherman      | 13 - | 18 |
| 9  | By Attorney Cook         | 18 - | 39 |
| 10 | STATEMENT                |      |    |
| 11 | By Ms. Boehme            | 39 - | 40 |
| 12 | PRESENTATION             |      |    |
| 13 | By Attorney Cook         | 40 - | 44 |
| 14 | By Attorney Zimmerman    | 44 - | 48 |
| 15 | By Ms. Hensel            | 49 - | 70 |
| 16 | By Attorney Pitre        | 70 - | 71 |
| 17 | By Attorney Roland       | 71 - | 75 |
| 18 | STATEMENT                |      |    |
| 19 | By Attorney Magazzu      |      | 75 |
| 20 | DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES | 75 - | 85 |
| 21 | PRESENTATION             |      |    |
| 22 | By Attorney Roland       | 85 - | 88 |
| 23 | DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES | 88 - | 90 |
| 24 | PRESENTATION             |      |    |
| 25 | By Attorney Monaghan     | 90 - | 91 |

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I N D E X (cont.)

STATEMENT

By Mr. Keelon 91 - 92

PRESENTATION

By Attorney Pitre 93 - 94

By Attorney Monaghan 94 - 97

By Attorney Crohe 97 - 98

By Attorney Pitre 98 - 99

By Attorney Miller 99 - 100

By Attorney Tepper 100 - 101

By Attorney Ferrell 101 - 103

DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 103 - 104

## P R O C E E D I N G S

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

-----  
CHAIRMAN: Good morning, everyone.

I'm David Barasch, Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Commission - Board, rather. For those of you who haven't heard this before, please turn off your electronic devices or put them on silent.

With us today - I'm sure joining us will be Fred Strathmeyer, representing Russell Redding. He's not here at the moment. Jennifer Langan, representing Joe Torsella, State Treasurer. And Christin Heidingsfelder, representing the Secretary of Revenue, Daniel Hassell. Thank you all for being here.

Quorum of the - oh, oh, and Sean Logan is on the phone, I believe.

MR. LOGAN: Yes, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN: Great.

Good morning, Sean.

MR. LOGAN: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN: Okay.

A quorum of the Board being present, I'll call today's proceedings to order.

The first order of business, the Pledge of Allegiance.



1 like to present during my Executive Director's Report.

2                   First, as reflected in our press  
3 release issued by our Office of Communications earlier  
4 this week, the month of March 2019 proved to be an  
5 incredible month for the casino industry in  
6 Pennsylvania.

7                   It is not very often that I can state  
8 at a public meeting that the industry accomplished the  
9 highest revenue month for table games, 82-and-a-half  
10 million dollars in the almost nine-year history that  
11 the table games have been operational.

12                   Also, I am pleased to report that the  
13 combined revenue of slot machines and table games for  
14 the month of March 2019 ranks as the highest revenue  
15 total for a single month for the casino industry in  
16 Pennsylvania, which began in November of 2006. Over  
17 \$309 million was the record total for gaming revenue  
18 in March, with slot machine revenue accounting for 73  
19 percent of that total and table game revenue  
20 accounting for 27 percent of that total.

21                   Although the March - although the  
22 month of March is traditionally a good month for  
23 gaming revenue, the advent of retail sports wagering  
24 appears to have contributed to the increases in slot  
25 machine and table game revenue.

1           The second topic that I would like to  
2 address this morning is Interactive Gaming.  
3 Interactive Gaming featuring poker, slots and table  
4 game play is a key component in the Legislature's  
5 expansion of gambling in Pennsylvania. As part of our  
6 regulatory framework regarding Interactive Gaming, the  
7 Board enacted the regulatory requirement to establish  
8 a commencement date for the rollout of  
9 iGaming.

10           Staff has reviewed the estimated time  
11 that it would take for us and the industry to complete  
12 all necessary steps. And it is our view that 90 days  
13 would be adequate. Accordingly, I have advised the  
14 ten iGaming Certificate Holders and three iGaming  
15 Operators that a coordinated go-live period for  
16 Interactive Gaming will commence on July 15, 2019

17           Most importantly, Board Staff will  
18 assist all iGaming Certificate Holders and iGaming  
19 Operator Licensees who are not able to meet the  
20 initial launch period to commence their iGaming  
21 activity as those entities complete their preparations  
22 for a successful implementation.

23           Thank you, Chairman.

24           CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

25           I'd just like to recognize that Fred

1 Strathmeyer has joined us. Good morning, Fred.

2 MR. STRATHMEYER: Good morning.

3 CHAIRMAN: HR, Danette. Good morning.

4 MS. BIXLER-GEORGE: Good morning.

5 Good morning, Chairman, Board Members.

6 The Office of Human Resources has one  
7 motion for your consideration today relative to the  
8 hiring of five individuals.

9 Daniel Straszynski has been selected  
10 as an Assistant Chief Enforcement Counsel II under the  
11 Office of Chief Counsel (OCC). He has completed the  
12 interview process, background investigation and drug  
13 screening and is recommended for hire by Chief Counsel  
14 R. Douglas Sherman.

15 Amy Iannello has been selected as a  
16 Casino Compliance Representative under the Bureau of  
17 Casino Compliance for Presque Isle Downs. She has  
18 completed the interview process, background  
19 investigation and drug screening, and is recommended  
20 for hire by Acting Director of Casino Compliance,  
21 Trevor Hassenbein.

22 Joe Coxon has been selected as an  
23 Investigator under the Bureau of Investigations and  
24 Enforcement (BIE) for the Western Region. He has  
25 completed the interview process, background

1 investigation and drug screening and is recommended  
2 for hire by Director of Investigations, Paul Morrow.

3 Elizabeth Boehm has been selected as  
4 Assistant Chief Enforcement Counsel I, under the  
5 Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC). She, too, has  
6 completed the interview process, background  
7 investigation and drug screening and is recommended  
8 for hire by Chief Enforcement Counsel, Cyrus Pitre.

9 And finally, Michael Keefer, has been  
10 selected as an Auditor under the Bureau of Gaming  
11 Operations. He has completed, also the interview  
12 process, background investigation and drug screening  
13 and is recommended for hire by Director of Gaming  
14 Operations, Paul Resch.

15 Unless you have questions, I ask the  
16 Board consider a motion to approve to approve the  
17 hiring actions as indicated.

18 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

19 Are there any questions from the Board  
20 about these three hires? Hearing none, may I have a  
21 motion?

22 MS. MANDERINO: Mr. Chairman, I move  
23 the Board approve the Applicants for hire, as proposed  
24 by the Human Resources Director.

25 MR. MUSTIO: Second.

1                    CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

2 AYES RESPOND

3                    CHAIRMAN: All opposed? Motion's  
4 adopted.

5                    MS. BIXLER-GEORGE: Thank you.

6                    CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Danette.

7 Douglas Sherman, OCC. Good morning,  
8 Doug.

9                    ATTORNEY SHERMAN: Good morning,  
10 Chairman, Members of the Board. First, before the  
11 Board are two Petitions for your consideration. Both  
12 of the Petitions will be decided based upon the  
13 documentary record by agreement of the parties.

14                    The Board has, in advance of this  
15 meeting, been provided with all pleadings and other  
16 documents filed of record.

17                    The first petition before the Board is  
18 that of Valley Forge Convention Center Partners. And  
19 it's their request seeking approval of a Shared  
20 Service Agreement and Corporate Overhead Support  
21 Service Agreement.

22                    On September 17th of last year, the  
23 Board approved Boyd Gaming Corporation's acquisition  
24 of Valley Forge, making Valley Forge a wholly-owned  
25 subsidiary of Boyd.

1                   In order for Boyd to provide Valley  
2 Forge with services and operations consistent with the  
3 Boyd operation model, Valley Forge is requesting to  
4 enter into a Shared Service Agreement and Corporate  
5 Overhead Support Service Agreement.

6                   That Agreement will allow Valley Forge  
7 to have access to Boyd's Human Resource and Corporate  
8 Infrastructure Resources.

9                   The services provided by Boyd will  
10 include but are not limited to finance, marketing  
11 human resources, operation support, information  
12 technology, business services, legal, audit,  
13 communication and governmental affairs.

14                   The OEC does not oppose the granting  
15 of the Petition, subject to several conditions  
16 outlined in their Answer.

17                   Additionally, Valley Forge has filed a  
18 Motion to Protect Confidential Information contained  
19 in the exhibits attached to the Petition. OEC has no  
20 objection to that request. And the OCC would agree  
21 that confidentiality is appropriate.

22                   The matter relating to the shared  
23 service and the confidentiality request is now ripe  
24 for the Board's consideration.

25                   CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

1           Are there any questions, comments from  
2 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

3           MR. MUSTIO: Mr. Chairman, I move that  
4 the Board approve Valley Forge Convention Center  
5 Partners, LLC Petition for approval of Shared Services  
6 and Corporate Overhead Support Services Agreement, as  
7 described by the OCC, and with the conditions to be  
8 outlined in the Board's order.

9           I further move that Valley Forge's  
10 motion for confidentiality be granted.

11           MS. REITZEL: Second.

12           CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

13 AYES RESPOND

14           CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
15 adopted.

16           ATTORNEY SHERMAN: Stadium Casino's  
17 Petition to Readmit Principal Reed Cordish is the next  
18 matter before the Board.

19           The request is for Board approval of a  
20 transaction in which Mr. Cordish will obtain a 29  
21 percent ownership interest in Stadium Casino Baltimore  
22 Investors, which is the 100 percent owner of Stadium  
23 Casino, LLC, the parent company to Category 2  
24 Licensee, Stadium Casino and Category 4 Licensee,  
25 Stadium Casino Westmoreland RE.

1           By way of background, the Stadium  
2 Casino Philadelphia project was approved by the Board  
3 in November of 2014. And at that time Stadium Casino  
4 was the 50 - was 50 percent owned by Stadium Casino  
5 Baltimore Investors and 50 percent by Parx Casino.

6           Mr. Cordish held a 29 percent interest  
7 in Stadium Casino Baltimore Investors, and therefore  
8 derivatively a 14.5 percent interest in Stadium  
9 Casino, LLC. As a result of that ownership, he was  
10 licensed as a Principal by this Board.

11           In January 2017, Mr. Cordish joined  
12 the Trump Administration as the Assistant to the  
13 President of the United States for Intergovernmental  
14 and Technology Initiatives. To avoid the appearance  
15 of a conflict of interest, he resigned from his  
16 position with Stadium Casino Baltimore Investors,  
17 transferred his interest in equal shares to his  
18 brothers, who were also licensed by the Board, and he  
19 surrendered his Principal License without prejudice.

20           Mr. Cordish has completed his service  
21 with the Trump Administration and seeks to resume his  
22 prior position with the Stadium Casino Baltimore  
23 Investors and the other Cordish companies.

24           Since Mr. Cordish had left the Stadium  
25 Casino Baltimore Investors, Parx ownership in Stadium

1 Casino was bought out by that entity, and the Cordish  
2 company and Stadium Casino Baltimore Investors is now  
3 the hundred percent ownership of Stadium Casino.

4           Therefore, what was a 14.5 percent  
5 interest in our Licensee has now gone to a 29 percent  
6 interest. Board Regulations mandate that the Board  
7 impose a fee upon a change of control of more than 20  
8 percent of the ownership of a Slot Machine Licensee.

9           Stadium's Petition argues that Mr.  
10 Cordish would be given - should be given credit for  
11 his initial 14.5 percent ownership interest that he  
12 relinquished to the brothers and, therefore, his new  
13 interest is less than the 20 percent change in  
14 control.

15           The OEC has no objection to a  
16 Petition, subject to conditions outlined in the  
17 Answer, with the exception of Stadium's position that  
18 the change in control fee does not apply in this  
19 matter.

20           OEC argues that Mr. Cordish should not  
21 get credit for the prior ownership that he had  
22 relinquished. Additionally, Stadium has requested  
23 that some of the information contained within the  
24 Petition should remain as confidential. And OEC has  
25 no objection to that request.

1                   And this is the matter now before the  
2 Board for decision.

3                   CHAIRMAN: Any questions or comments  
4 from the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

5                   MS. REITZEL: Mr. Chairman, I move the  
6 Board approve Stadium Casino, LLC's Petition to  
7 Readmit Principal Reed Cordish, as described by the  
8 OCC, and with the conditions to be outlined in the  
9 Board's Order.

10                   I further move that a \$5,000 change in  
11 control fee be assessed against Petitioner and that  
12 the Petitioner's request for confidentiality be  
13 granted.

14                   MR. SANTONI: Second.

15                   CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

16 AYES RESPOND

17                   CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
18 adopted.

19                   ATTORNEY SHERMAN: Next, presenting  
20 Withdrawals and Reports and Recommendations is Deputy  
21 Chief Counsel Steve Cook.

22                   ATTORNEY COOK: Good morning.

23                   The Board has received several  
24 unopposed Petitions to withdraw the credentials or  
25 surrender the licenses of the following individuals

1 and entities.

2                   Thomas P. Burke, Sr.; Gettysburg  
3 Travel Plaza, LLC; Francis X. McCarthy; Jeremy Levine;  
4 Jordan Fliegel; Jordan Michael Coulson; Thomas William  
5 Kenenitz; Gary L. Gerasimek doing business as  
6 Petroplus; LeoMart, LLC; Andrin Bachmann and Chris  
7 Sheffield.

8                   The OEC has no objection to any of  
9 these Petitions. And as a result, if the Board grants  
10 the Petition, it would be doing so without prejudice  
11 to each of these parties.

12                   CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions or  
13 comments from the Board? Hearing none, may I have a  
14 motion?

15                   MR. SANTONI: Mr. Chairman, I move  
16 that the Board issue the Orders to approve the  
17 Withdrawals and Surrenders, as described by the OCC.

18                   MR. KERNODLE: Second.

19                   CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

20 AYES RESPOND

21                   CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
22 adopted.

23                   ATTORNEY COOK: Next before the Board  
24 for consideration are nine Reports and Recommendations  
25 received from the Office of Hearings and Appeals

1 (OHA). These Reports and Recommendations, along with  
2 the complete evidentiary record have been provided to  
3 the Board in advance of this meeting.

4 And additionally, in each case the  
5 person that is the subject of the Report and  
6 Recommendation has been notified that the Board would  
7 be taking the matter up today. And if they wished,  
8 they could come forward and briefly address the Board.

9 If any of these persons are present  
10 and do wish to come forward, I'd ask them to do so  
11 when their matter is called.

12 The first Report and Recommendation  
13 pertains to Saray Windom's Petition for Early  
14 Reapplication for a Gaming Employee Occupation Permit.

15 In June of 2015, Ms. Windom submitted  
16 an Application for a permit seeking work as a Table  
17 Games Dealer at Sands. On June 30th, 2015, the OEC  
18 issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial based upon  
19 Ms. Windom's criminal record.

20 Specifically, Ms. Windom was convicted  
21 twice in 2006 of shoplifting. And in 2013 she was  
22 convicted of theft-related offenses relative to her  
23 taking a patron's handbag while she was a patron -  
24 while Ms. Windom was a patron at Mohegan Sun Pocono  
25 Downs.

1                   On September 20th - ultimately, the  
2 Board granted OEC's request that that permit be  
3 denied. On September 20th, 2018, three years after  
4 the denial of her application, Ms. Windom filed a  
5 request to have her early reapplication seeking,  
6 again, her Gaming Employee Occupation Permit.

7                   The hearing on this matter was held on  
8 December 18th, 2018 before the Board's OHA. Ms.  
9 Windom failed to appear, despite receiving notice of  
10 that hearing. Therefore, the only evidence put into  
11 the record was put in by the OEC, who, again, objected  
12 to her being licensed as a gaming employee. And based  
13 upon that evidence the Hearing Officer recommends  
14 that, in fact, her Petition be denied.

15                   And that's the recommendation for the  
16 Board.

17                   CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

18                   Any questions or comments from the  
19 Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

20                   MR. KERNODLE: Mr. Chairman, I move  
21 that the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation  
22 issued by the OHA regarding Saray Windom's request for  
23 early reapplication for her Gaming Employee Occupation  
24 Permit described by the OCC.

25                   MS. MANDERINO: Second.

1                    CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

2 AYES RESPOND

3                    CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
4 adopted.

5                    ATTORNEY COOK: The next Report and  
6 Recommendation before the Board today pertains to a  
7 request to recover winnings while on the  
8 Self-Exclusion List.

9                    Specifically, an individual with the  
10 initials C.S. filed a Petition on September 6, 2018,  
11 requesting the Board return monies confiscated from  
12 him while he gamed at a Pennsylvania casino while on  
13 the Self-Exclusion List.

14                    In April of 2016, C.S. placed himself  
15 on that list for a five-year period. Two years later,  
16 in September of 2018, he entered Downs Racing Casino  
17 and gamed for over three hours. Upon casino personnel  
18 determining that C.S. was, in fact, self-excluded, he  
19 was confronted, charged with criminal trespass. And  
20 Security confiscated \$655 in chips from him, as  
21 allowed under the Gaming Act.

22                    C.S. filed this Petition requesting a  
23 return of \$400 of that \$655, because that was the  
24 amount of his last buy-in at the table game he was  
25 playing.

1           A hearing in this matter was held on  
2 November 14th, 2018, the OEC attended, putting  
3 evidence into the record. OEC's evidence showed that  
4 C.S. made several buy-ins over a three-hour period,  
5 that over that three-hour period he had won and lost  
6 wagers, but his winnings ultimately were greater than  
7 his last \$400 buy-in.

8           Subsequently, the Report and  
9 Recommendation issued by the Hearing Officer  
10 recommended that his - C.S.'s Petition, in fact, be  
11 denied, because the entire \$655 confiscated were,  
12 indeed, winnings.

13           And that's the recommendation before  
14 the Board.

15           CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
16 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

17           MS. MANDERINO: Mr. Chairman, I move  
18 the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation issued  
19 by the OHA regarding C.S.'s Petition to recover monies  
20 while on the PGCB Voluntary Self-Exclusion List, as  
21 described by the OCC.

22           MR. MUSTIO: Second.

23           CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

24 AYES RESPOND

25           CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion is

1 adopted.

2 ATTORNEY COOK: Next before the Board  
3 is a Report and Recommendation pertaining to an  
4 individual with the initials T.N.

5 In February of 2015 T.N. placed  
6 himself on the Board's Self-Exclusion List, choosing a  
7 lifetime self-exclusion - the lifetime self-exclusion  
8 option.

9 T.N. subsequently requested removal  
10 from the list in May of 2018, but on November 28th,  
11 2018, this Board denied that request.

12 On May 2nd, 2018 T.N. and his wife,  
13 while he was on the Exclusion List, entered the Valley  
14 Forge Casino and sat down at a Baccarat table. T.N.'s  
15 wife bought into the table for \$10,000, and provided  
16 the Dealer with her Player's Club Card.

17 Upon receiving the chips in exchange  
18 for the \$10,000, T.N.'s wife relinquished control of  
19 those chips and gave them to T.N., who began wagering  
20 and continued to do so for several hours.

21 During the time period T.N. lost and  
22 won numerous wagers. When he stopped gaming at  
23 approximately 1:00 a.m., several hours later, he was  
24 in possession of \$14,000 in chips, which he asked the  
25 casino to take into their possession overnight.

1                   Thereafter, Valley Forge staff  
2 discovered T.N. was on the Self-Exclusion List and  
3 informed him that the entire \$14,000 in chips would be  
4 confiscated.

5                   In July of 2018, T.N. filed a request  
6 that his \$10,000 buy-in be returned to him, as it was  
7 not winnings, but his own money. A hearing in this  
8 matter was held in January of 2019, both the OEC and  
9 T.N. participated at that hearing.

10                   OEC's evidence at the hearing used the  
11 Valley Forge Casino's data tracking system, in  
12 particular the wagering that had done - that was done  
13 by T.N. under his - was registered under his wife's  
14 Player's Club Card.

15                   And that data showed that over the  
16 period of time T.N. was playing, his \$10,000 buy-in  
17 had been reduced to \$1,100 before his luck had  
18 changed, and he turned around and ended up winning  
19 \$4,000 overall, getting him up to \$4,000 (sic).

20                   Based upon that evidence, the Hearing  
21 Officer determined that T.N. had wagered \$8,900,  
22 basically everything but \$1,100 of his \$10,000 buy-in.  
23 And as a result, everything but that \$1,100 was  
24 winnings and should be confiscated. As a result, the  
25 \$1,100 should be returned to T.N. rather than the full

1 \$10,000.

2 That's the recommendation to the  
3 Board.

4 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
5 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

6 MR. MUSTIO: Mr. Chairman, I move that  
7 the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation issued  
8 by the OHA regarding T.N.'s Petition to recover monies  
9 while on the PGCB Voluntary Self-Exclusion List, as  
10 described by the OCC.

11 MS. REITZEL: Second.

12 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

13 AYES RESPOND

14 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
15 adopted.

16 ATTORNEY COOK: The next matter  
17 pertains to a third request for recovery of funds from  
18 a person while the person was on the Board's  
19 Self-Exclusion List.

20 Specifically, in - June 20th of 2011  
21 an individual with the initials W.M. placed himself on  
22 the Board's Voluntary Self-Exclusion List for a period  
23 of one year.

24 At that time W.M. completed and signed  
25 all the necessary paperwork, which included a release

1 and acknowledgement stating that a  
2 self-excluded person who had gambled while on the list  
3 could not collect winnings. And that, in fact, the  
4 winnings would be confiscated from that person.

5 W.M. signed the paperwork, and - which  
6 also stated that a person that chose a one or five-  
7 year period of self-exclusion would remain on the list  
8 until they took steps to remove themselves on the list  
9 after the completion of the selected time chosen. As  
10 I indicated, W.M. chose a one-year period.

11 On July 31st, 2018 W.M. was found  
12 gaming at SugarHouse after he won a jackpot at a video  
13 poker game of over \$2,000. Once SugarHouse staff  
14 became aware that T.N. was on the Self-Exclusion List,  
15 as he never removed himself, his winning were  
16 confiscated and turned over to the Board.

17 Since this event has occurred, W.M.  
18 has, in fact, removed himself from the list and filed  
19 this request that the jackpot be returned to him.

20 A hearing in this matter was held on  
21 December 11th, 2018. Both the OEC and W.M.  
22 participated in that hearing. During the hearing W.M.  
23 did not dispute that he had been informed, both  
24 verbally and in writing, of the removal process from  
25 the Self-Exclusion List when he placed himself on the

1 list. However, W.M. did state that he had forgotten  
2 what had transpired, approximately seven years  
3 earlier. And took the position that the Board should  
4 actually inform people at the end of their period -  
5 their selected period. And that was not done in this  
6 case, nor is it done in any case.

7 After hearing all of the evidence  
8 presented, the Hearing Officer's Report and  
9 Recommendation recommends that the Board deny W.M.'s  
10 Petition. In fact, he did not remove himself from the  
11 list, as he was directed to do so. And as a result,  
12 the jackpot should be retained by the Board and used  
13 for Compulsive and Problem Gaming Programs.

14 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
15 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

16 MS. REITZEL: Mr. Chairman, I move  
17 that the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation  
18 issued by the OHA regarding W.M.'s Petition to Recover  
19 Monies while on the PGCB Voluntary Self-Exclusion  
20 List, as described by the OCC.

21 MR. SANTONI: Second.

22 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

23 AYES RESPOND

24 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
25 adopted.

1                    ATTORNEY COOK: Next before the Board  
2 is a Report and Recommendation pertaining to a request  
3 for removal from the Self-Exclusion List.

4                    Pursuant to the Findings of Fact and  
5 the Report and Recommendation before the Board, which  
6 was issued on January 31st, 2019, an individual with  
7 the initials L.D. entered the Board's office in  
8 Conshohocken and requested to be placed on the  
9 Self-Exclusion List.

10                   The Administrative Assistant who  
11 placed L.D. on the list testified that she conducted  
12 the mandatory interview with L.D., explained the  
13 process of placement and removal from the list, the  
14 consequences of the various exclusion options.  
15 Including the fact that on a lifetime self-exclusion,  
16 under the Board's Regulations right now, removal was  
17 not an option. And at that time, L.D. completed all  
18 of the required paperwork and followed all of the  
19 required procedures.

20                   On August 23rd, 2018, L.D. filed a  
21 request for removal from the list. The OEC filed an  
22 Answer objecting to that request. A hearing was held,  
23 both OEC and L.D. appeared. L.D., at that hearing,  
24 basically took the position that she did, in fact,  
25 knowingly enter - joined the list, or get onto the

1 Self-Exclusion List and do so for a lifetime. But now  
2 with the period of reflection of about one month, she  
3 desires to come off, so that she can continue to go to  
4 casinos with her friend group.

5 The Hearing Officer, after hearing all  
6 of the evidence, recommended that L.D., in fact,  
7 remain on the list, as the stated reason was not a  
8 justifiable reason for removal from a lifetime  
9 self-exclusion.

10 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
11 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

12 MR. SANTONI: Mr. Chairman, I move  
13 that the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation  
14 issued by the OHA regarding L.D.'s Petition for  
15 removal from the PGCB Voluntary  
16 Self-Exclusion List, as described by the OCC.

17 MR. KERNODLE: Second.

18 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

20 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
21 adopted.

22 ATTORNEY COOK: Michael Tran's Report  
23 and Recommendation is next before the Board. Mr. Tran  
24 was issued an Gaming Employee Occupation Permit in  
25 August of 2017 to work as a Table Games Dealer at

1 Harrah's Philadelphia.

2                   On August 2nd, 2018, approximately a  
3 year later, the OEC filed a Petition requesting Mr.  
4 Tran be placed on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion  
5 List after receiving notice that he was charged with  
6 numerous criminal offenses based upon his  
7 participation in a cheating scheme at the SugarHouse  
8 Casino.

9                   Specifically, Mr. Tran, who was a  
10 patron at the SugarHouse Casino, conspired with  
11 Brittany Holmes, a Table Games Dealer at SugarHouse,  
12 to cheat while playing Mini-Baccarat.

13                   Mr. Tran specifically would lose a  
14 wager, however, Ms. Holmes would not collect the  
15 losing wagers. And over the course of three days that  
16 conduct cost the SugarHouse Casino approximately  
17 \$48,000.

18                   As a result of Mr. Tran's conduct, the  
19 Board has already suspended his Gaming Employee  
20 Occupation Permit. That occurred back in February of  
21 2018. Now, as indicated, OEC seeks to place him on  
22 the Involuntary Exclusion List.

23                   Upon receipt of that Petition, Mr.  
24 Tran asked for a hearing. He attended the hearing, as  
25 well as the OEC, and both offered evidence. Mr. Tran

1 did not dispute the conduct in question, but broadly  
2 stated or asserted that he was under duress to do  
3 that. And an unnamed individual was threatening his  
4 family if he did not participate in this scheme.

5 The Hearing Officer ultimately found  
6 that there was not enough evidence to support that  
7 allegation. And as a result recommends that Mr. Tran,  
8 in fact, be placed on the Involuntary Exclusion List.

9 CHAIRMAN: Quick question. What  
10 happened to the Dealer that was in cahoots with him?

11 ATTORNEY COOK: I believe an  
12 Enforcement action for revocation or suspension  
13 occurred with her as well.

14 CHAIRMAN: Okay.

15 Any other questions or comments from  
16 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

17 MR. KERNODLE: Mr. Chairman, I move  
18 that the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation  
19 issued by the OHA regarding the placement of Michael  
20 Tran on the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List, described  
21 by the OCC.

22 MS. MANDERINO: Second.

23 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

24 AYES RESPOND

25 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion is

1 adopted.

2 ATTORNEY COOK: The next matter to be  
3 heard by the Board today is the Report and  
4 Recommendation regarding Shanice Flournoy.

5 Ms. Flournoy was issued a Gaming  
6 Employee Occupation Permit and worked as a Table Games  
7 Dealer at Harrah's Philadelphia and the Parx Casinos.

8 On December 28th, 2018, the OEC filed  
9 a Request for an Emergency Suspension of Ms.  
10 Flournoy's Gaming Employee Occupation Permit after  
11 receiving notices that she had been charged with  
12 numerous criminal offenses, including multiple  
13 felonies.

14 Specifically, it is alleged that Ms.  
15 Flournoy, along with three other women, attacked and  
16 assaulted a female victim and stole \$900 from that  
17 victim. These criminal charges are presently pending.

18 As a result of the charges brought,  
19 the Executive Director issued an Emergency Order  
20 suspending Ms. Flournoy's permit, as requested by the  
21 OEC. That ultimately led to a hearing before the OHA,  
22 which was held on January 24th of 2019.

23 Ms. Flournoy did not attend that  
24 hearing. The evidence put into the record by  
25 Enforcement Counsel was the criminal charges and the

1 alleged conduct upon which they were based.

2 And hearing that evidence, the Hearing  
3 Officer's recommendation is that the Emergency  
4 Suspension, or in this case, now that she's had a  
5 hearing, the suspension continued. And that's the  
6 recommendation to the Board.

7 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
8 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

9 MS. MANDERINO: Mr. Chairman, I move  
10 the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation issued  
11 by the OHA regarding the suspension of Shanice  
12 Flournoy's Gaming Employee Occupation Permit, as  
13 described by the OCC.

14 MR. MUSTIO: Second.

15 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

16 AYES RESPOND

17 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
18 adopted.

19 ATTORNEY COOK: Next before the Board  
20 is the Report and Recommendation pertaining to Richard  
21 Pham. Mr. Pham was issued a Gaming Employee  
22 Occupation Permit in June of 2018 and worked as a  
23 Table Games Dealer at the SugarHouse Casino.

24 On December 21st, 2018, the OEC filed  
25 a Request for an Emergency Suspension of Mr. Pham's

1 Gaming Employee Permit after receiving notice that he  
2 had been charged with numerous sex offenses relating  
3 to a 12-year-old minor.

4 As a result of these charges, the  
5 Board's Executive Director issued an Emergency Order  
6 of suspension, suspending Mr. Pham's Gaming Permit on  
7 December 21st.

8 As required by the Board's  
9 Regulations, a hearing was subsequently held on  
10 January 17th, 2019. Both Enforcement Counsel and Mr.  
11 Pham attended that hearing, although Mr. Pham did not  
12 actively participate in the hearing or object to any  
13 of the evidence put into the record by OEC, which  
14 included the criminal charges and the underlying  
15 basis.

16 Based on that evidence, the Hearing  
17 Officer recommends that the suspension remain in  
18 place.

19 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
20 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

21 MR. MUSTIO: Mr. Chairman, I move that  
22 the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation issued  
23 by the OHA regarding a suspension of Richard Pham's  
24 Gaming Employee Occupation Permit, as described by the  
25 OCC.

1                   MS. REITZEL: Second.

2                   CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

3 AYES RESPOND

4                   CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
5 adopted.

6                   ATTORNEY COOK: The final Report and  
7 Recommendation before the Board today pertains to Tara  
8 Boehme. I've been informed that Ms. Boehme is in the  
9 room. I don't know if she wishes to address the  
10 Board, but if she does, I'd ask her to come forward.

11                   Briefly, by way -.

12                   CHAIRMAN: Are you interested? Please  
13 come forward, if you wish to -.

14                   ---

15                   TARA BOEHME,

16 CALLED AS A WITNESS IN THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDING, AND  
17 HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AND SAID AS  
18 FOLLOWS:

19                   ---

20                   CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Please be  
21 seated.

22                   ATTORNEY COOK: I'll give a brief  
23 summary, -

24                   CHAIRMAN: No, go ahead.

25                   ATTORNEY COOK: - Mr. Chairman.

1 Ms. Boehme holds a Gaming Employee  
2 Occu Permit - Occupation Permit and works as a Table  
3 Games Dealer at the Washington Trotting Association,  
4 Inc., otherwise known as The Meadows Casino.

5 In May of 2018 the OEC filed a  
6 complaint to suspend her Gaming Employee Occupation  
7 Permit after receiving notice that she was charged  
8 with insurance fraud and theft.

9 Specifically, Ms. Boehme's car  
10 insurance policy lapsed on September 6, 2017. She was  
11 thereafter involved in an accident on September 30th,  
12 2017. Had her policy reinstated on October 13th,  
13 2017. And then attempted to file a claim regarding  
14 the accident I mentioned, as if it had occurred on  
15 October 17th, 2017, after her insurance was  
16 reinstated.

17 It was later discovered by her  
18 insurance carrier that she had attempted this, the  
19 coverage was denied and she was referred for criminal  
20 prosecution.

21 A hearing in this matter was held on  
22 January 8th, 2019. Both OEC and Ms. Boehme appeared  
23 at that hearing and put into that - put evidence into  
24 the record. The testimony and evidence presented at  
25 the hearing showed that Ms. Boehme admitted to the

1 conduct, expressed remorse for her actions. And also  
2 stated at the hearing that she was a single mother.  
3 At the time of the insurance lapse, she was unable to  
4 work at the casino, due to the fact that she was on  
5 medical leave.

6 Also testified that at that time,  
7 although she wasn't working at The Meadows, she was  
8 aware that The Meadows did want to hire her back. And  
9 as I understand it, I confirmed yesterday that, in  
10 fact, she is presently working at The Meadows. So,  
11 they did hire her back.

12 Ultimately, Ms. Boehme indicated that  
13 this was a mistake, based upon financial stress she  
14 was under at the time. And as a result, asks that the  
15 Board not suspend her Permit.

16 Relative to the criminal charges  
17 associated with this incident, in December Ms. Boehme  
18 was convicted of a misdemeanor and is presently  
19 serving a 12-month period of probation.

20 CHAIRMAN: And when does that period  
21 expire?

22 ATTORNEY COOK: If she has to serve  
23 the full term, it will end in December of '19,  
24 December of 2019.

25 CHAIRMAN: I see. Before we hear from

1 you, are there other questions from Counsel at this  
2 point?

3 ATTORNEY COOK: I would recommend -

4 CHAIRMAN: Yeah.

5 ATTORNEY COOK: - or just briefly  
6 mention that the Hearing Officer's recommendation -

7 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

8 ATTORNEY COOK: - in this matter was  
9 that she, in fact, be suspended - in the Report and  
10 Recommendation, he did cite that he did feel some  
11 compassion for Ms. Boehme. And indicated that - to  
12 her, that while he was recommending suspension, that  
13 he felt that when her probation period ended, she  
14 should immediately petition the Board.

15 CHAIRMAN: That's what I was wondering  
16 about. Thank you.

17 Before we go further, do you have  
18 something you'd like to say to the Board, please?

19 MS. BOEHME: My name is Tara Boehme.  
20 As you all know, I work at The Meadows Casino and have  
21 for the last four years. I understand that I made a  
22 mistake with my insurance. And the only thing I was  
23 thinking was in the best interest of my daughter's  
24 safety at the time, not thinking of the horrible  
25 outcome.

1                   When I spoke to the investigators, I  
2 did not know that I was technically arrested on paper,  
3 and I was waiting to speak to my attorney about what I  
4 should do, before I spoke to Gaming. Then before I  
5 knew it Gaming knew, and I was not trying to be  
6 deceitful in any way.

7                   I have since paid my fine and finished  
8 my 50 hours of community service. And I was just  
9 unaware of the proper steps.

10                  I love my job. It is my livelihood,  
11 and the only career that I have while being a single  
12 mom and raising my two-year-old.

13                  Please reconsider taking away my  
14 Gaming License. And three days ago I was diagnosed  
15 with the flu, so I would not be here if I didn't care  
16 so much about my job. And I also have a letter of  
17 recommendation from one of my Pit Bosses.

18                  And that's all. Thank you for your  
19 time.

20                  CHAIRMAN: Excuse me. Has that been  
21 provided to us?

22                  ATTORNEY COOK: I have not seen it.

23                  MS. BOEHME: There's a few copies in  
24 there.

25                  ATTORNEY COOK: It does appear to be

1 what she stated, it's not on letterhead or anything,  
2 but it does -.

3 CHAIRMAN: Okay.

4 Is there any desire up here to see  
5 that letter?

6 MR. MUSTIO: I really don't want to  
7 see it, since she might have the flu, but I would  
8 certainly - if they're comfortable with it, I'm  
9 comfortable with it.

10 CHAIRMAN: Okay.

11 I'm sorry, I cut you off, please  
12 proceed.

13 MR. LOGAN: Mr. Chairman, can -

14 CHAIRMAN: Sean?

15 MR. LOGAN: - somebody just summarize  
16 it?

17 CHAIRMAN: Go ahead, Doug will  
18 summarize.

19 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: Yes, it's from her  
20 - it's a typed document from John Jacobs, the Pit  
21 Manager and Supervisor of Ms. Boehme.

22 It states that he's been at Meadows  
23 for about nine years. He was a Blackjack instructor  
24 at The Meadows. That Ms. Boehme had enrolled in his  
25 class and completed the class, passed her audition,

1 was hired by The Meadows. Has been there for about  
2 four years, and has been - worked hard and been a good  
3 team member.

4 A supervisor indicates that Tara has,  
5 to the best of his knowledge, been able to balance  
6 difficult aspects of the business with raising her  
7 child. And he hopes that the Board finds compassion  
8 to allow her to keep her Gaming License and continue  
9 her career at The Meadows.

10 CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. You were still  
11 sharing something with us or had you completed your  
12 statement?

13 MS. BOEHME: I'm completed.

14 CHAIRMAN: Okay.

15 Are there any questions or comments  
16 from the Board at this time?

17 MS. MANDERINO: Thank you. And thank  
18 you, Ms. Boehme, for coming before us today. I know  
19 that was some travel for you. And it does show us  
20 that you are remorseful and serious - understand the  
21 seriousness of your nature - the nature of what  
22 happened.

23 This was - is very important to the  
24 Board, that we keep with the standards of the kind of  
25 decisions that we have made. On the other hand we

1 also recognize the compassion of your employer, if  
2 they were willing to give you a second chance.

3 And I think that the motion and the  
4 decision that the Board has made has really been  
5 trying to balance those needs.

6 CHAIRMAN: Any other comments at this  
7 time.

8 MS. BOEHME: Thank you.

9 CHAIRMAN: Okay.

10 Is there - is there a motion?

11 MR. KERNODLE: Yes. Mr. Chairman, I  
12 move that the Board, adopt in part, the Report and  
13 Recommendation issued by the OHA regarding the  
14 suspension of Tara Boehme's Gaming Employee Occupation  
15 Permit and that Ms. Boehme's Permit be suspended for  
16 two days.

17 MS. MANDERINO: Second.

18 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

20 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
21 adopted.

22 MS. BOEHME: Thank you.

23 MR. KERNODLE: You're welcome.

24 CHAIRMAN: Good luck to you. And we  
25 never want to see you again.

1                   MS. BOEHME: Thank you so much. I  
2 promise you won't ever have to.

3                   ATTORNEY SHERMAN: The next two items  
4 before the Board relate to a Proposed Regulation and a  
5 Statement of Policy, which Assistant Chief Counsel  
6 Chad Zimmerman will present.

7                   ATTORNEY ZIMMERMAN: Good morning,  
8 Chairman and Members of the Board. As Chief Counsel  
9 Sherman indicated, our next two Agenda items are two  
10 regulatory material packets.

11                   The first is proposed Rulemaking  
12 Packet #125-223, regarding three new table games and  
13 their rules of play. And the second is a Statement of  
14 Policy, Packet #125-224, regarding side wagers and  
15 variations of existing table games.

16                   The proposed rulemaking packet  
17 provides the rules and procedures for three new table  
18 games that may be offered in Pennsylvania casinos, if  
19 approved. Over/Under DJ Wild Stud Poker and Face Up  
20 Pai Gow Poker.

21                   Over/Under is a game with a simple  
22 premise. After placing an initial wager and being  
23 dealt one card, a player then makes a wager as to  
24 whether the point total of his or her hand will be  
25 under 18 or over 23 after being dealt two more cards.

1                   The player also has the option to  
2 forfeit the initial wager placed at that time. The  
3 game also provides for an additional bonus wager prior  
4 to the initial card being dealt that pays out on a  
5 paid table based upon a very high or low point total  
6 on your cards.

7                   DJ Wild Stud Poker is a game where the  
8 goal for the player is to make the best five card  
9 Poker hand to compete against the Dealer's best five  
10 card Poker hand. A player wins if his best hand beats  
11 the Dealer's best hand. The game of Poker - this game  
12 of Poker varies from others in that a Joker is added  
13 to the traditional 52-card deck tacked as a wild card  
14 and all twos in the deck are also considered wild.

15                   This game provides for additional side  
16 wagers, including a progressive wager.

17                   Face up Pai Gow Poker is similar to  
18 that of traditional Pai Gow Poker. But as the name  
19 indicates, the Dealer's Pai Gow hand is dealt face up  
20 instead of face down.

21                   A player is competing against the  
22 Dealer to form a higher ranking, high hand and low  
23 hand compared to that of the Dealer.

24                   Other changes from traditional Pai Gow  
25 poker include no player banking and different or

1 additional bonus and side wagers that can be placed by  
2 a player.

3 I'd be happy to answer any question  
4 you have on this regulatory packet material. If not,  
5 I'd request a motion to approve Proposed Rulemaking  
6 Packet Number 125-223.

7 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
8 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

9 MR. MUSTIO: Mr. Chairman, I move that  
10 the Board adopted Proposed Regulation #125-223 as  
11 described by the OCC.

12 MS. REITZEL: Second.

13 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

14 AYES RESPOND

15 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
16 adopted.

17 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMAN: And the second  
18 matter I'm presenting to you today is Statement of  
19 Policy Packet #125-224.

20 These Statements of Policy pertain to  
21 side wagers and variations to existing games that are  
22 currently offered in the Commonwealth. And those four  
23 games are Roulette, Craps, Baccarat and Blackjack.

24 The first is a side wager entitled the  
25 B2B Roulette Wager, where a player is placing a wager

1 that the Roulette ball will land in the same numbered  
2 space that it landed in on a prior spin.

3 This wager pays out based upon a  
4 posted pay table that will be posted by the licensed  
5 facility.

6 The second is a side wager entitled  
7 the Blazing Sevens Roulette Wager, where a player  
8 places a wager that the Roulette ball will land in the  
9 number of 7, 17 or 27 space. If that occurs, a bonus  
10 spin of the wheel is performed by the Dealer and the  
11 payers - and the player's payout is based upon the  
12 result of that bonus spin.

13 The third variation or side wager is a  
14 variation to the table game Craps, but more  
15 specifically Bonus Craps, as outlined in the current  
16 Regulations adopted by the Board.

17 This new variation merely includes a  
18 new pay table and changes the verbiage of one of the  
19 wagers placed on bonus Craps from an all or nothing at  
20 all wager to the Make'em All wager.

21 The fourth matter in the packet is a  
22 side wager variation called Five Treasures Baccarat,  
23 which offers five additional side wagers that a player  
24 can make during the game of Baccarat, where the  
25 player's wagering that a certain outcome will occur in

1 either the player's hand, the Dealer's hand or both.

2 The wager pays out based upon a  
3 specific pay table for each of the five different  
4 wagers included in Five Treasures Baccarat.

5 The fifth and final matter is the  
6 Buster Blackjack side wager, where a player is placing  
7 a wager on whether or not the Dealer will bust during  
8 a hand of traditional Blackjack.

9 The payout is based on how many cards  
10 the player - the Dealer has in his hand when the bust  
11 occurs.

12 I'd be happy to answer any questions  
13 on the Statement of Policy package. If not, I'd  
14 request a motion to approve Statement of Policy Packet  
15 Number 125-224.

16 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

17 Questions or comments from the Board?  
18 Hearing none, may I have a motion?

19 MS. MANDERINO: Mr. Chairman, I move  
20 the Board adopt the Statement of Policy #125-224 as  
21 described by the OCC.

22 MS. REITZEL: Second.

23 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

24 AYES RESPOND

25 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's

1 adopted.

2 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMAN: Thank you.

3 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: That concludes the  
4 matters of the OCC.

5 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, gentlemen.

6 Bureau of Licensing, Sue Hensel. Good  
7 morning.

8 MS. HENSEL: Thank you, Chairman  
9 Barasch and Members of the Board.

10 Before the Board today will be three  
11 Fantasy Contest Operators, a Conditional Sports  
12 Wagering Operator, a Conditional Interactive Gaming  
13 Operator, a Gaming Junket Enterprise, a VGT Terminal  
14 Operator, a VGT Manufacturer, a Conditional VGT  
15 Supplier, a Conditional VGT Procurement Agent and 13  
16 VGT Establishments.

17 In addition, there will be 1,569  
18 Principal, Key, Gaming and Non-Gaming Employees and  
19 Gaming-Related Gaming Service Provider qualifications.  
20 Finally, there will be the consideration of ten Gaming  
21 Service Provider Applicants.

22 The first matters for your  
23 consideration are Fantasy Contest Operator Licenses  
24 for Fantasy Draft, LLC, Sports Hub PA, LLC and YFS  
25 Sub, LLC.

1 Fantasy Draft, LLC does business as  
2 FantasyDraft and is a Huntersville, North  
3 Carolina-based company. Sports Hub PA, LLC is a  
4 Minneapolis, Minnesota-based company. And YFS Sub,  
5 LLC is a Sunnydale, California-based company. Each of  
6 the companies offers fantasy gaming in Pennsylvania.

7 The BIE has completed its  
8 investigation of all three companies, and the Bureau  
9 of Licensing has provided you with background  
10 investigation and Suitability Reports.

11 I have provided you with Draft Orders  
12 and ask that the Board consider the Orders to approve  
13 the Fantasy Contest Licenses beginning with Fantasy  
14 Draft, LLC.

15 ATTORNEY PITRE: OEC has no objection.

16 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
17 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

18 MS. REITZEL: Mr. Chairman, I move  
19 that the Board grant a Fantasy Contest Operator  
20 License to Fantasy Draft, LLC, as described by the  
21 Bureau of Licensing.

22 MR. SANTONI: Second.

23 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

24 AYES RESPOND

25 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's

1 adopted.

2 MS. HENSEL: Next is Sports Hub PA,  
3 LLC.

4 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
5 has no objection.

6 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
7 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

8 MR. SANTONI: Mr. Chairman, I move  
9 that the Board grant a Fantasy Contest Operator  
10 License to Sports Hub PA, LLC, as described by the  
11 Bureau of Licensing.

12 MR. KERNODLE: Second.

13 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

14 AYES RESPOND

15 CHAIRMAN: All opposed?

16 NO RESPONSE

17 CHAIRMAN: The motion's adopted.

18 MS. HENSEL: And lastly, YFS Sub, LLC.

19 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
20 has no objection.

21 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
22 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

23 MR. KERNODLE: Mr. Chairman, I move  
24 that the Board grant a Fantasy Contest Operator  
25 License to YFS Sub, LLC described by the Bureau of

1 Licensing.

2 MS. MANDERINO: Second.

3 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

4 AYES RESPOND

5 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
6 adopted.

7 MS. HENSEL: Next is a Conditional  
8 Sports Wagering Operator License for SBTech Malta  
9 Limited. SBTech Malta Limited is a Malta-based  
10 company that proposes to operate sports wagering on  
11 behalf of at least one Sports Wagering Certificate  
12 Holder.

13 The Board may issue a Conditional  
14 Sports Wagering Operator License, provided the  
15 Applicant has submitted a completed application,  
16 agrees to pay the \$50,000 licensing fee prior to  
17 license issuance, and the BIE does not object to the  
18 license. The Applicant satisfies these criteria.

19 I ask that the Board consider the  
20 Order to conditionally license SBTech Malta Limited.

21 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
22 has no objection.

23 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
24 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

25 MS. MANDERINO: Mr. Chairman, I move

1 the Board grant SBTech Malta, LTD's Conditional Sports  
2 Wagering Operator License, as described by the Bureau  
3 of Licensing.

4 MR. MUSTIO: Second.

5 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

6 AYES RESPOND

7 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
8 adopted.

9 MS. HENSEL: Also for your  
10 consideration is a Conditional Interactive Gaming  
11 Operator License for Betfair Interactive US, LLC.

12 Betfair Interactive US, LLC is a Los  
13 Angeles, California based company that seeks to  
14 provide an Interactive Gaming platform and other  
15 interactive services on behalf of at least one  
16 Interactive Certificate Holder.

17 Under the Board's Regulations, the  
18 Board may issue a Conditional Interactive Gaming  
19 Operator License, provided the Applicant has submitted  
20 a completed application, agrees to pay the \$1 million  
21 licensing fee prior to license issuance, and the BIE  
22 does not object to the license. The Applicant  
23 satisfies these criteria.

24 Prior to the meeting, I provided you  
25 with an Order to conditionally license Betfair

1 Interactive US, LLC. I ask you that you consider the  
2 Order.

3 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
4 has no objection.

5 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
6 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

7 MR. MUSTIO: Mr. Chairman, I move that  
8 the Board grant Betfair Interactive US, LLC's  
9 Conditional Interactive Gaming Operator License, as  
10 described by the Bureau of Licensing.

11 MS. REITZEL: Second.

12 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

13 AYES RESPOND

14 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
15 adopted.

16 MS. HENSEL: Next for your  
17 consideration is the approval of a Gaming Junket  
18 Enterprise License for Casino Sales International,  
19 Inc.

20 Casino Sales International, Inc. is a  
21 New Jersey based business that offers junket services  
22 in the Commonwealth. The BIE has completed its  
23 investigation of the company. And the Bureau of  
24 Licensing has provided you with the background  
25 investigation and Suitability Report.

1 I've provided you with a Draft Order  
2 and ask that the Board consider the approval of a  
3 Junket Enterprise License for Casino Sales  
4 International, Inc.

5 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
6 has no objection.

7 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
8 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

9 MS. REITZEL: Mr. Chairman, I move the  
10 Board grant Casino Sales International, Inc.'s Gaming  
11 Junket Enterprise License, as described by the Bureau  
12 of Licensing.

13 MR. SANTONI: Second.

14 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

15 AYES RESPOND

16 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
17 adopted.

18 MS. HENSEL: Additionally, there is a  
19 VGT Operator License for Marquee by Penn, LLC, doing  
20 business as Marquee by Penn National Gaming, Inc.

21 A VGT Operator owns, services and  
22 maintains VGTs replacement and operation at truck stop  
23 establishments. Marquee by Penn, LLC was previously  
24 issued a Conditional VGT Terminal Operator License.

25 The BIE has now completed its

1 investigation of the company. And the Bureau of  
2 Licensing has provided you with the background  
3 investigation and Suitability Report.

4 I have provided the Board with a Draft  
5 Order and ask that you consider the Order to fully  
6 license Marquee by Penn, LLC.

7 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
8 has no objection.

9 CHAIRMAN: Any questions or comments  
10 from the Board?

11 I have a question. In approving this,  
12 do we have information as to which truck stops or  
13 whatever Marquee may end up doing business with?

14 MS. HENSEL: We do have visibility to  
15 some of those establishments. There could be  
16 additional, but yes, we do know that we do have  
17 visibility to the establishments that at this point  
18 Marquee has an agreement with.

19 ATTORNEY PITRE: They have contracted  
20 with Rutters.

21 CHAIRMAN: Okay.

22 Are we aware of the number? And if  
23 so, are you allowed to share that publicly?

24 MS. HENSEL: It's at least 20.

25 CHAIRMAN: Okay. Okay. Thank you.

1                   If there are no other questions, may I  
2 have a motion?

3                   MR. SANTONI: Mr. Chairman, I move  
4 that the Board grant Marquee by Penn, LLC's Video  
5 Gaming Terminal Operator License as described by the  
6 Bureau of Licensing.

7                   MR. KERNODLE: Second.

8                   CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

9 AYES RESPOND

10                  CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
11 adopted.

12                  MS. HENSEL: In addition is a VGT  
13 Manufacturer License for NRT Technologies, Inc. NRT  
14 Technologies, Inc. is currently licensed in good  
15 standing with the Board. Under the Gaming Act, the  
16 Board may use an abbreviated process to consider the  
17 application of an already licensed manufacturer.

18                  The Bureau of Licensing, OEC and BIE  
19 have reviewed the application and the status of NRT  
20 Technologies, Inc. as a Licensee, and I have provided  
21 you with the results of that review. I have also  
22 provided you with a Draft Order and ask that you  
23 consider NRT Technologies, Inc. for licensure.

24                  ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
25 has no objection.

1                    CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
2 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

3                    MR. KERNODLE: Mr. Chairman, I move  
4 that the Board grant NRT Technology, Inc. Video Gaming  
5 Terminal Manufacturing License, as described by the  
6 Bureau of Licensing.

7                    MS. MANDERINO: Second.

8                    CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

9 AYES RESPOND

10                   CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
11 adopted.

12                   MS. HENSEL: Next is a Conditional VGT  
13 Supplier License for H. Betti Industries, Inc. H.  
14 Betti Industries, Inc. is a New Jersey based company  
15 that proposes to sell or service VGTs and associated  
16 equipment.

17                   Under the Gaming Act, the Board may  
18 issue a Conditional VGT Supplier License, provided the  
19 Applicant meets certain criteria, including filing a  
20 completed application, having never had a similar  
21 license denied or revoked, having never been convicted  
22 of a felony or gaming law violation and being current  
23 on state taxes.

24                   A preliminary review of the Applicant  
25 indicates it satisfies these criteria. I provided you

1 with a Draft Order and ask that you consider the Order  
2 to conditionally license H. Betti Industries, Inc.

3 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
4 has no objection.

5 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
6 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

7 MS. MANDERINO: Mr. Chairman, I move  
8 the Board grant H. Betti Industry, Inc.'s Conditional  
9 Video Gaming Terminal Supplier License as described by  
10 the Bureau of Licensing.

11 MR. MUSTIO: Second.

12 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

13 AYES RESPOND

14 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
15 adopted.

16 MS. HENSEL: Additionally, there was a  
17 Conditional License for the VGT Procurement Agent  
18 Applicant Philip Rolsma. Philip Rolsma is a Cranberry  
19 Township Pennsylvania based company. A Procurement  
20 Agent negotiates Terminal Placement Agreements on  
21 behalf of the VGT Terminal Operator for placement in  
22 VGTs in truck stop establishments.

23 In order to be eligible for a  
24 Conditional License, the Procurement Agent Applicant  
25 must meet the same criteria, just detailed for the

1 Conditional Supplier License. A preliminary review of  
2 the Applicant indicates the Applicant satisfies these  
3 criteria.

4 I have provided you with a Draft Order  
5 and ask that you consider the Order to approve a  
6 Conditional Procurement Agent License for Philip  
7 Rolsma.

8 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
9 has no objection.

10 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments for  
11 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

12 MR. MUSTIO: Mr. Chairman, I move that  
13 the Board grant Philip Rolsma's Conditional Video  
14 Gaming Terminal Procurement Agent License as described  
15 by the Bureau of Licensing.

16 MS. REITZEL: Second.

17 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

18 AYES RESPOND

19 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
20 adopted.

21 MS. HENSEL: Next we have  
22 consideration of our first full Establishment Licenses  
23 for five VGT establishments. VGT establishments are  
24 truck stops seeking to place VGTs in their businesses.  
25 Each of the companies was previously conditionally

1 licensed.

2                   The BIE has now completed its  
3 investigation, including an evaluation that the  
4 companies meet the VGT established eligibility  
5 criteria in the Act. And the Bureau of Licensing has  
6 provided you with a background investigation and  
7 Suitability Report for each applicant.

8                   Once fully licensed, the  
9 establishments can continue forward toward completing  
10 the necessary steps to commence VGT gaming. I have  
11 provided you with Draft Orders and ask that you  
12 consider the Orders to license the following VGT  
13 establishments.

14                   6406 Truck Plaza, LLC, doing business  
15 as Emlenton Truck Plaza. Bald Eagle Truck Stop, Inc.  
16 doing business as Bald Eagle Truck Stop. Keystone  
17 Restaurant and Truck Stop, Inc. Pit Stop Travel  
18 Plaza, Inc. and Snow Shoe Travel Plaza, Inc.

19                   ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
20 has no objection.

21                   CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
22 the Board? I have a couple.

23                   Just for public education, you  
24 mentioned these places. You have townships or towns  
25 in which these places are likely to be - or not

1 likely, expected to be located?

2 MS. HENSEL: Yes. I don't know if I  
3 have that information with me, but we -.

4 CHAIRMAN: That's okay. That's okay.  
5 Administratively, what happens next  
6 here is once we issue this - these licenses, is that  
7 the end of any further need for this Board to vote on  
8 anything on these matters?

9 ATTORNEY PITRE: Yes.

10 MS. HENSEL: It is the end of the need  
11 for the Board to vote on matters. However, there is  
12 work remaining for the establishment. It has to  
13 submit its internal controls, it has to get its  
14 employees licensed, it has to have its machines  
15 tested. There are multiple steps that will have to  
16 take place before these establishments can actually  
17 begin operation, including a final inspection from the  
18 Board.

19 CHAIRMAN: Okay.

20 But have we delegated some final  
21 administrative power to you or to Kevin or someone to  
22 actually bless this? Excuse me?

23 MR. O'TOOLE: Yes, it is a normal  
24 course of business that's delegated to me to - with  
25 notification to the Board to allow implementation.

1                   CHAIRMAN:   Okay.

2                   And what is the likely timeline from  
3 today, if we approve this, before one or more of these  
4 would actually be operating? Your best estimate, if  
5 there is someone who can give me that.

6                   MR. O'TOOLE:   Well, since this is a  
7 brand new activity, it's hard to estimate, but I would  
8 say possibly three months.

9                   CHAIRMAN:   I see. Thank you.

10                  Any other questions or comments? If  
11 there are none, may I have a motion?

12                  MS. REITZEL:   Mr. Chairman, I move  
13 that the Board grant the Video Gaming Terminal  
14 Establishment Licenses as described by the Bureau of  
15 Licensing.

16                  MR. SANTONI:   Second.

17                  CHAIRMAN:   All in favor?

18 AYES RESPOND

19                  CHAIRMAN:   All opposed? The motion's  
20 adopted.

21                  MS. HENSEL:   Also for your  
22 consideration are Conditional VGT Establishment  
23 Licenses. The Applicants are PFJ Southeast, LLC doing  
24 business as Pilot Travel Center Number 708 and TA  
25 Operating, LLC, Sites Numbers 003, 67, 68, 212, 213,

1 214 and 336, all doing business as TravelCenters of  
2 America.

3           The Board may conditionally license  
4 establishments, provided the applicant has never been  
5 convicted of a felony, is current on state taxes, has  
6 submitted a completed application and has not been  
7 convicted of a gambling law violation. A preliminary  
8 review of these applicants indicates that they meet  
9 these criteria.

10           Note that unlike the establishments  
11 that were today fully licensed, these are strictly  
12 conditional approvals. Final approval will be based  
13 on the applicants meeting all of the eligibility  
14 criteria provided in the statute. A final eligibility  
15 determination will follow investigation and site  
16 inspections by the BIE.

17           I'd ask that the Board consider a  
18 motion to approve the Conditional VGT Establishment  
19 Licenses.

20           ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
21 has no objection.

22           CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
23 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

24           MR. SANTONI: Mr. Chairman, I move  
25 that the Board grant the Conditional Video Gaming

1 Terminal Establishment Licenses as described by the  
2 Bureau of Licensing.

3 MR. KERNODLE: Second.

4 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

5 AYES RESPOND

6 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
7 adopted.

8 MS. HENSEL: Also, for your  
9 consideration are Principal and Key Employee Licenses  
10 and Gaming-Related Gaming Service Provider  
11 Qualifications.

12 Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of  
13 Licensing provided you with a Proposed Order for five  
14 Principals, five Key employees and two Gaming-Related  
15 Gaming Service Provider Qualifiers. I ask that the  
16 Board consider the Order approving these licenses.

17 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
18 has no objection.

19 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
20 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

21 MR. KERNODLE: Mr. Chairman, I move  
22 that the Board grant the Principal and Key Employee  
23 Licensees and Gaming-Related Gaming Service Provider  
24 Qualifiers as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

25 MS. MANDERINO: Second.

1                    CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

2 AYES RESPOND

3                    CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
4 adopted.

5                    MS. HENSEL: There are also temporary  
6 licenses for your consideration. Prior to this  
7 meeting the Bureau of Licensing provided you with an  
8 Order regarding the issuance of temporary licenses for  
9 two Principals and 15 Key employees. I ask the Board  
10 consider the Order approving these licenses.

11                    ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
12 has no objection.

13                    CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
14 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

15                    MS. MANDERINO: Mr. Chairman, I move  
16 that the Board grant the Temporary Principal and Key  
17 Employee credentials, as described by the Bureau of  
18 Licensing?

19                    MR. MUSTIO: Second.

20                    CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

21 AYES RESPOND

22                    CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
23 adopted.

24                    MS. HENSEL: Additionally there are  
25 Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming Registrations. Prior to

1 this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you  
2 with a list of 1,153 individuals to whom the Bureau  
3 has granted temporary or full Occupation Permits and  
4 312 individuals to whom the Bureau has granted  
5 registrations under the authority delegated to the  
6 Bureau of Licensing.

7 I ask that the Board consider a motion  
8 approving the Order.

9 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
10 has no objection.

11 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
12 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

13 MR. MUSTIO: Mr. Chairman, I move that  
14 the Board grant the Gaming Employee Occupation Permits  
15 and Non-Gaming Employee Registrations as described by  
16 the Bureau of Licensing.

17 MS. REITZEL: Second.

18 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

20 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
21 adopted.

22 MS. HENSEL: There is also the  
23 recommendation of denial for three Gaming and one  
24 Non-Gaming Employee Applicants. The Applicants were  
25 notified that they were being recommended for denial

1 and failed to request hearings within the specified  
2 time frame.

3                   The Bureau of Licensing has provided  
4 you with Orders addressing each of the Applicants, who  
5 the OEC has recommended for denial.

6                   I ask that the Board consider the  
7 motions approving the denials.

8                   ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
9 continues to request denial in each instance.

10                   CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
11 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

12                   MS. REITZEL: Mr. Chairman, I move  
13 that the Board deny the applications for Gaming  
14 Employee Occupation Permits and Non-Gaming Employee  
15 Registrations as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

16                   MR. SANTONI: Second.

17                   CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

18 AYES RESPOND

19                   CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
20 adopted.

21                   MS. HENSEL: Next for your  
22 consideration are Withdrawal Requests. In each case  
23 the license, permit or registration is no longer  
24 required. For today's meeting I have provided the  
25 Board with a list of 1 Key Employee, 58 Gaming

1 Employees and 13 Non-Gaming Employees.

2 I ask that the Board consider the  
3 Order approving the list of Withdrawals.

4 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
5 has no objection.

6 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
7 the Board. Hearing none, may I have a motion?

8 MR. SANTONI: Mr. Chairman, I move  
9 that the Board grant the withdrawal of Key, Gaming and  
10 Non-Gaming Employee Applications described by the  
11 Bureau of Licensing.

12 MR. KERNODLE: Second.

13 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

14 AYES RESPOND

15 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
16 adopted.

17 MS. HENSEL: Finally, for your  
18 consideration are Gaming Service Provider  
19 Registrations. The Bureau of Licensing provided you  
20 with an Order and an attached list of ten registered  
21 Gaming Service Provider Applicants.

22 I ask that the Board consider the  
23 Order registering these Gaming Service Providers.

24 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel  
25 has no objection.

1                    CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
2 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

3                    MR. KERNODLE: Mr. Chairman, I move  
4 that the Board approve the Applicants for Gaming  
5 Service Provider Registration described by the Bureau  
6 of Licensing.

7                    MS. MANDERINO: Second.

8                    CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

9 AYES RESPOND

10                   CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
11 adopted.

12                   MS. HENSEL: The concludes the matters  
13 of the Bureau of Licensing.

14                   CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Susan.  
15 Cyrus, you're up.

16                   ATTORNEY PITRE: All right.

17                   The OEC will present 12 matters today  
18 for the Board's consideration, in which we'll request  
19 the Board's approval of three Consent Agreements, two  
20 revocations, three suspensions and four involuntary  
21 exclusions.

22                   The next two matters on the Agenda for  
23 the Board's consideration are Consent Agreements  
24 between the OEC and Category 2 Licensee Sands  
25 Bethworks Gaming, LLC, doing business as Sands

1 Bethlehem. The Consent Agreements will be presented  
2 by Senior Enforcement Counsel Michael Roland.

3 Representatives from Sands are  
4 present, and at this time I would request that those  
5 individuals please stand and introduce themselves for  
6 the record and those that are not here as an attorney,  
7 please stand and be sworn.

8 ---

9 WITNESSES SWORN EN MASSE

10 ---

11 CHAIRMAN: If Counsel would introduce  
12 themselves, please?

13 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Michael,  
14 M-I-C-H-A-E-L Magazzu, M-A-G-A-Z-Z-U, Vice President  
15 and General Counsel, Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC.

16 MR. FORBES: Matthew Forbes,  
17 M-A-T-T-H-E-W, F-O-R-B-E-S, Director of Security at  
18 Sands Bethworks.

19 MS. COX: Nicole Cox, N-I-C-O-L-E  
20 C-O-X, Director of Relationship Marketing.

21 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

22 You may begin.

23 ATTORNEY ROLAND: Good morning, Mr.  
24 Chairman and Members of the Board. Michael Roland,  
25 R-O-L-A-N-D, with the OEC.

1                   Next is a proposed Consent Agreement  
2 between the OEC and Sands Casino, which consists of 12  
3 separate incidents of underage access to the Sands  
4 gaming floor by 11 different individuals. One  
5 individual actually gained access on two occasions,  
6 that's why there's separation between the two.

7                   The underage patrons range from 18 to  
8 20 years of age, and the 12 incidents occurred over a  
9 10-month period, and underage gaming happened during  
10 all 12 incidents.

11                   In 8 of the 12 incidents, individuals  
12 played only slot machines. A total of 47 slot  
13 machines were utilized by 8 separate individuals, and  
14 four of the 12 incidents, the individuals played only  
15 table games. A total of 5 different table games were  
16 utilized by three separate individuals.

17                   Four of the 12 incidents involved the  
18 service of alcohol to the underage patron and a total  
19 of 17 alcoholic beverages were served during these 4  
20 incidents.

21                   The total combined time for the 12  
22 incidents, in which an underaged patron was unlawfully  
23 on the gaming floor was approximately 24 hours. In  
24 each case the underaged patron was permanently evicted  
25 by Sands. And the underaged patron was criminally

1 charged by either the Pennsylvania State Police or the  
2 Bethlehem City Police Department.

3 Sands self-reported all 12 incidents.

4 At this time the OEC requests that the  
5 Board approve this Consent Agreement between the  
6 parties. The terms of the settlement include that  
7 within five days of the Board's Order approving this  
8 Consent Agreement, Sands shall pay a civil penalty of  
9 \$120,000 for the alleged violations as described.

10 Also, within five days of the Board's  
11 Order approving this Consent Agreement, Sands shall  
12 pay the Board \$2,500 for the costs incurred by OEC,  
13 BIE and other related staff in connection with this  
14 matter.

15 Further, Sands shall immediately  
16 review its policies and controls and provide training  
17 and guidance to its employees which will minimize the  
18 opportunity for the occurrence of similar incidents in  
19 the future.

20 If you have any questions, we'll be  
21 happy to take them at this time.

22 CHAIRMAN: Well, I'm going to ask  
23 Counsel to make a comment or whatever, but I do have a  
24 question.

25 You've given us a very detailed

1 description of the course of conduct here. Was there  
2 any pattern or repetition in terms of individual  
3 employees of Sands being involved in multiple - in  
4 multiples of these events, or is this just sporadic  
5 and spread all over the place?

6 ATTORNEY ROLAND: For the most part,  
7 it's pretty sporadic. We -.

8 CHAIRMAN: So, we don't have a couple  
9 Security Guards who messed up six, seven times or  
10 something like that? It's spread around?

11 ATTORNEY ROLAND: Unfortunately, it is  
12 spread around. Six of the incidents of the 12  
13 involved where the underage patron came in, they were  
14 completely unchallenged. Some of those situations,  
15 Security Officers were involved with other patrons,  
16 some they weren't really paying attention.

17 Six of the incidents - or five of the  
18 incidents, I'm sorry, that are involved, the underage  
19 patron utilized the legitimate identification, but it  
20 was the identification of another person. So, in most  
21 of those cases, the ID was actually scanned, as  
22 required at the door, and they were permitted access.

23 There was only one of the 12 incidents  
24 where a fake ID was used. It was a New Jersey  
25 driver's license, and it was caught the second time

1 around. We have incidents where these underage  
2 patrons not only got through the door, but then spoke  
3 sometimes with Security Officers on the floor. So,  
4 they had multiple face-to-face contacts.

5 We have some incidents where they're  
6 actually sitting at a table game and they're carded at  
7 the table game and they're still permitted to play.  
8 So, it's difficult to place blame on maybe one or two  
9 individuals because of the -.

10 CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure which answer I  
11 was looking for. I was just trying to understand how  
12 it shapes up.

13 ATTORNEY ROLAND: I tried to give you  
14 the most thorough picture I could. I apologize.

15 CHAIRMAN: No, I appreciate that.

16 If Counsel would like to just make  
17 whatever comments you wish, and you want to try to  
18 respond to my inquiry, that would be fine.

19 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Thank you, Chairman  
20 Barasch and Members of the Board.

21 First, to address your specific  
22 inquiry, I would agree with Mr. Roland, that there  
23 aren't any individual team members who have six or  
24 seven incidents where they didn't follow procedure.

25 And I'm confident in saying that

1 because we have a strict two-strike policy, and so  
2 within this Consent Agreement there is at least one, I  
3 believe a few individual team members, who experienced  
4 their second such infraction. And unfortunately for  
5 them, their termination - their employment was  
6 terminated as a result of some of these incidents that  
7 are the subject of this Consent Agreement.

8                   So, I hope that answers your question,  
9 that we don't typically see team members who have a  
10 string of incidents like this, because if they  
11 experience two of those in a particular period of  
12 time, our policies -.

13                   CHAIRMAN: What is that period of  
14 time, by the way? Is it two strikes over ten years or  
15 two strikes over six months?

16                   ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Within one year.

17                   CHAIRMAN: Excuse me?

18                   ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Within one year.

19                   CHAIRMAN: I see. Okay.

20                   Do you have other comments, please?

21                   ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Very generally, we  
22 have had ample time to review the terms of the Consent  
23 Agreement and discuss those terms with the OEC. We're  
24 agreeable to those terms and prepared to pay the civil  
25 penalty and the administrative fees here today. And

1 we ask the Board that you approve the Consent  
2 Agreement in its current form.

3 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
4 others?

5 MS. MANDERINO: First, for the OEC,  
6 and I just am not sure exactly what I'm comparing this  
7 to, so I need some help from you. Often - it's not  
8 unusual for us to address these Consent Agreements or  
9 fines due to underage folks on the floor.

10 And when we do that, we look at when  
11 was the last violation and how many have they had and  
12 what the fines were. And to me this seemed like - and  
13 I just can't tell if it's because of how it was all  
14 put together, but still more than one a month over the  
15 course of the year seemed like a lot compared to other  
16 establishments that we have seen before us.

17 Is my conclusion correct, that it is  
18 more than what we normally see from other  
19 establishments that many, over a course of one year,  
20 or is it just the way it was presented?

21 ATTORNEY PITRE: No. Sands has had an  
22 ongoing problem with underage gaming, so they've had -  
23 because of the size of the facility and the mall and  
24 everything, they attract different - patrons of  
25 different ages to those other size of the complex.

1 So, at some point in time, some of them try to make  
2 their way to the casino floor.

3 So, Sands does experience that problem  
4 more than other casinos.

5 MS. MANDERINO: Okay.

6 So, then, I guess, my follow-up  
7 question to Sands is, because of - even if they are  
8 legitimate extra challenges because of how you're  
9 physically situated, and because of the seriousness of  
10 the Board's concern with regard to underage folks  
11 getting access to the floor -. I just wanted to point  
12 out that your track record is kind of worse than  
13 others, for lack of a better way to say it.

14 And if there's anything that you want  
15 to say about that with regard to security measures or  
16 concerns, I would like to hear it on the record.

17 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: I can take that,  
18 Commissioner. Thank you.

19 One bit of what I would consider  
20 mitigation in that regard is that - and I can't speak  
21 for 11 other casinos in the state and their practices  
22 in terms of combatting underage individuals on the  
23 floor. But I can speak for Sands when I say that we  
24 are incredibly vigilant, in that we don't rely only on  
25 Security at the entrances to try identify underage

1 individuals.

2                   We rely heavily on our Table Games  
3 Dealers, our - what we call the Public Area  
4 Department, which is internal cleaning maintenance,  
5 Beverage Servers. Every employee, especially those  
6 frontline employees on the casino floor, but every  
7 single employee is tasked, by policy, with asking for  
8 identification of any person who appears to be under  
9 30.

10                   Which I would argue is part of the  
11 reason we're able to identify folks where other  
12 establishments might not be able to, because we are so  
13 vigilant.

14                   MS. MANDERINO: So, part of your  
15 answer is, our vigilance is what might have us  
16 identifying more underage folks than others?

17                   ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: I would say it  
18 contributes to it. I wouldn't say that's the sole  
19 reason, but I would say that contributes to it. And  
20 we can go back over at least the last three years that  
21 I've been with the Sands.

22                   And you know, as Mr. Roland pointed  
23 out, we self-reported all 12 of these, because we were  
24 able to recognize them while the folks were still on  
25 property.

1                   MS. MANDERINO: Okay.

2                   And I asked the question also, because  
3 we have - this isn't necessarily you, but we - you  
4 have a mall attached. And we now have several new  
5 facilities that will be attached to malls. And maybe  
6 it's more of an issue for our Enforcement Counsel,  
7 that we kind of need to put folks on extra diligence  
8 in those regards, if that's one of the access points  
9 that makes it more tempting for young folks.

10                   ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Yeah. And we  
11 recognize, as Mr. Pitre pointed out, that, you know,  
12 having a facility like a mall could contribute to  
13 having more minors on the general property, not  
14 necessarily the casino floor. And that's why we spend  
15 as much money and time and effort on the ID scanning  
16 system that we, you know, enhanced over the past  
17 couple of years. And why we issued discipline as  
18 strictly as we do to our team members when we know  
19 that there's human error involved.

20                   MS. MANDERINO: Okay. Thank you very  
21 much for your response.

22                   CHAIRMAN: Do you have a question?

23                   MR. MUSTIO: Yes, I do. Just a  
24 follow-up. Commissioner Manderino asked a lot of  
25 those questions, but if I remember correctly, in

1 reading the Consent Agreements from prior years, the -  
2 you were under a Consent Agreement for, I think,  
3 \$150,000 for some violations of a similar number.

4                   Were any of the individuals that were  
5 a problem this time a part of that last Consent  
6 Agreement? In other words, outside of that one year  
7 period of time? Do we know -?

8                   ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: You're asking about  
9 security - or Sands personnel or the underage  
10 individuals?

11                   MR. MUSTIO: The Sands Security  
12 Personnel.

13                   ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Personnel?

14                   MR. MUSTIO: Yes.

15                   ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: I'm sorry, I don't  
16 have answer that off the top of my head to compare  
17 this Consent Agreement with the past Consent  
18 Agreements.

19                   MR. MUSTIO: That's fine.

20                   ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: We would have  
21 followed our policy. So, that if it were two  
22 infractions within a one-year period, and there was  
23 some human error involved, they would have -.

24                   MR. MUSTIO: I was assuming it was  
25 outside of that year period, but that's fine.

1           ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: It could have been,  
2 because after a year, you know, that last infraction  
3 would - for all intents and purposes be waived, as far  
4 as that policy is concerned.

5           MR. MUSTIO: But there's continual  
6 training or diligence to follow up -

7           ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Absolutely.

8           MR. MUSTIO: - if there's more than  
9 one - even if it's outside that period of time.

10          ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Absolutely.

11          MR. MUSTIO: And just to follow up on  
12 what Commissioner Manderino said. We do have other  
13 types of category licensees and truck stops coming  
14 online, where there is certainly going to be an issue  
15 to - and concern for local residents, so I just wanted  
16 to ask those questions, to be on the record.

17                           Thank you.

18          CHAIRMAN: Any other questions or  
19 concerns?

20          MS. REITZEL: Just - you mentioned  
21 that there was one New Jersey ID that was used.

22                           Was that scanned through your ID  
23 system?

24          ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: I believe in that  
25 incident, it was scanned and it was an instance where

1 the Security Officer failed to recognize on the screen  
2 that it was a fake ID.

3 MS. REITZEL: So, the system  
4 identified it, but the employee did not?

5 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Yeah. And if I  
6 recall that correctly, that's what happened.

7 MR. SANTONI: Just real quick, just to  
8 follow up on - your policy for allowing - for carding  
9 is 30 and someone looks 30 or younger, you card them.  
10 But I think six of the people just walked in without -  
11 without any kind of cards, so you're - that's the  
12 human error.

13 Were they not there? They weren't  
14 paying attention?

15 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: It's not that they  
16 weren't there. We have our guest entrances staff at  
17 all times. So, yeah, unfortunately, those were  
18 instances where those underage individuals were  
19 unchallenged for ID.

20 MR. SANTONI: And then they were found  
21 when they were on the floor either gaming or just  
22 walking around?

23 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: That's correct.

24 MR. SANTONI: Okay. All right.

25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.



1 turned away -?

2 MR. SANTONI: 4,000.

3 CHAIRMAN: 4,000 rather.

4 ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: 4,510 people were  
5 turned away.

6 CHAIRMAN: Okay.

7 That's useful information.

8 MS. MANDERINO: Thank you.

9 CHAIRMAN: If there's no other  
10 questions, may I have a motion?

11 MS. MANDERINO: Mr. Chairman, I move  
12 that the Board approve the Consent Agreement between  
13 the OEC and Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC, as described  
14 by the OEC.

15 MR. MUSTIO: Second.

16 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

17 AYES RESPOND

18 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
19 adopted.

20 ATTORNEY ROLAND: The next proposed  
21 Consent Agreement between the OEC and Sands involves  
22 free slot play transactions awarded in violation of  
23 the Sands approved comp matrix.

24 The BIE opened an investigation into  
25 the awarding of free slot play at Sands. The

1 investigation focused on the authorization and  
2 issuance of discretionary free slot play as it  
3 pertains to the authorized limits and job titles set  
4 forth in the approved comp matrix, internal controls  
5 and standard operating procedures at Sands during the  
6 years of 2015 and 2016.

7                   BIE's investigation revealed that  
8 discretionary free slot play was issued by employees  
9 who were not authorized to issue free slot play and/or  
10 issued amounts in excess of authorized amounts, as set  
11 forth in the approved comp matrix.

12                   It was determined that a total of  
13 6,428 free slot play transactions violated the  
14 approved comp matrix, with employees issuing an  
15 approximate total of \$1,056,304 in excess of the  
16 amounts that were given - that the given employee  
17 could authorize or by an employee who could not  
18 authorize free slot play.

19                   There were 63 incidents where the  
20 employee issuing the complimentary play exceeded his  
21 or her authored limit under the approved comp matrix,  
22 and 6,365 instances where the employee issuing the  
23 complimentary play was not authorized to issue free  
24 slot play.

25                   Additionally, BIE's investigation

1 found that Sands did not develop, maintain and apply  
2 adequate internal controls, as required by Section  
3 465(a)(7)(a) over the authorization and issuance of  
4 complimentary services or items, specifically free  
5 slot play, for the years of 2015 and '16.

6 Sands has since updated their internal  
7 controls related to this area. At this time the OEC  
8 requests that the Board approve this Consent Agreement  
9 between the parties.

10 The terms of the settlement include  
11 that within five days of the Board's Order approving  
12 this consent, Sands shall pay a civil penalty of  
13 \$110,000 for the alleged violations described. Also,  
14 within five days of the Board's Order approving this  
15 Consent Agreement, Sands shall pay the Board \$2,500  
16 for the costs incurred by OEC, BIE and other related  
17 staff in connection with this matter.

18 Further, Sands shall immediately  
19 review its policies and controls and provide training  
20 and guidance to its employees, which will minimize the  
21 opportunity for the occurrence of similar incidents in  
22 the future.

23 Again, if you have any questions, we'd  
24 be happy to take them.

25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

1                   Are there any questions from the  
2 Board? I have a couple, maybe just one.

3                   To me this seems like a fairly unique  
4 issue that's come before the Board, at least over the  
5 last three years. It's my understanding this inquiry  
6 that led to this is part of an ongoing process, that  
7 we're looking at other casinos as well?

8                   ATTORNEY PITRE: Yes. We've looked at  
9 all the casinos.

10                  CHAIRMAN: And -.

11                  ATTORNEY PITRE: It was following the  
12 Mohegan Sun incident.

13                  CHAIRMAN: Right. And is this just  
14 the case, that Sands is the first people in the  
15 hopper, if you will, or -?

16                  ATTORNEY PITRE: No, we've had some  
17 come before the Board in the past.

18                  CHAIRMAN: Are we expecting more?

19                  ATTORNEY PITRE: Yes, we are.

20                  CHAIRMAN: Okay.

21                         So, I just thought it's important to  
22 point out that you're not alone.

23                         Okay. All right.

24                         If there are no other questions, may I  
25 have a motion?

1                   MR. MUSTIO: Mr. Chairman, I move that  
2 the Board approve the Consent Agreement between the  
3 OEC and Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC, as described by  
4 the OEC.

5                   MS. REITZEL: Second.

6                   CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

7 AYES RESPOND

8                   CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
9 adopted. Thank you for coming.

10                   ATTORNEY MAGAZZU: Thank you, Chairman  
11 and Commissioners.

12                   ATTORNEY PITRE: The next matter on  
13 the Agenda for the Board's consideration is a Consent  
14 Agreement between the OEC and Washington Trotting  
15 Association, LLC, doing business as The Meadows  
16 Casino.

17                   The Consent Agreement will be  
18 presented by Assistant Enforcement Counsel Thomas  
19 Monaghan. Representatives from The Meadows are  
20 present. And at this time I would request that those  
21 individuals introduce themselves for the record, and  
22 anyone not here as an attorney please stand and be  
23 sworn.

24                   MR. KEELON: Michael Keelon,  
25 K-E-E-L-O-N, Director of Compliance.

1                   MR. FRABBIELE: Tony Frabbiele,  
2 F-R-A-B-B-I-E-L-E, Vice President and General Manager.

3                   ---

4                   WITNESSES SWORN EN MASSE

5                   ---

6                   CHAIRMAN: Good morning.

7                   ATTORNEY MONAGHAN: Good morning,  
8 Thomas Monaghan, M-O-N-A-G-H-A-N, with the OEC.

9                   The next matter for the Board's  
10 consideration is a Consent Agreement between The  
11 Meadows Racetrack and Casino and the OEC regarding an  
12 incident involving an underage patron.

13                   On October 18th, 2018 an 18-year-old  
14 individual gained access to The Meadows gaming floor  
15 through the main entrance without being asked for  
16 identification by Security.

17                   The individual was then able to wager  
18 at a Roulette table, was served an alcoholic beverage  
19 by a Server without being asked for identification  
20 either time.

21                   The individual then moved to a  
22 Blackjack table, where he was asked by the Pit Manager  
23 for identification. The 18-year-old presented a  
24 California ID that belonged to another individual that  
25 was 43 years of age.

1           The underage individual did not game  
2 at that Blackjack table. After leaving the gaming  
3 floor, the underage individual attempted to reenter  
4 the gaming floor and was asked for identification by  
5 Security.

6           The individual, again, presented the  
7 California ID. And it was determined by Pennsylvania  
8 State Police that the ID did not belong to him and he  
9 was cited. The underage individual was on the gaming  
10 floor for approximately 2 hours and 14 minutes.

11           At this time, the OEC requests that  
12 the Board approve this Consent Agreement between the  
13 parties. The terms of the settlement include that  
14 within five days of the Board's Order approving this  
15 Consent Agreement, The Meadows shall pay a civil  
16 penalty of \$12,500 and an administrative fee in the  
17 amount of \$2,500.

18           If you have any questions available at  
19 this time.

20           CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
21 the Board? Oh, excuse me, do either of you wish to  
22 make any comment at this time?

23           MR. KEELON: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

24           CHAIRMAN: Please do.

25           MR. KEELON: First, good morning.

1                   CHAIRMAN: Good morning.

2                   MR. KEELON: We feel it noteworthy to  
3 have it placed on the record that this particular  
4 instance, the two Security Officers who were involved  
5 and admitted this underage person onto the gaming  
6 floor had completed Are You 21 Training. So, they  
7 were trained in identification and proper procedures.

8                   They chose not to follow those  
9 procedures, and as a result, they were both  
10 terminated. We take this seriously. We do not  
11 condone this behavior. And -.

12                   CHAIRMAN: So, is that a one-strike  
13 you're out policy at your track, or -?

14                   MR. KEELON: It's a case by case. We  
15 view it case by case. In this particular instance, we  
16 reviewed surveillance footage. Their actions of  
17 disengagement were viewed as egregious.

18                   CHAIRMAN: I see.

19                   MR. KEELON: We felt that was the  
20 proper stance to take.

21                   CHAIRMAN: I see.

22                   Are there other questions or comments  
23 from the Board at this time? Thank you for that.

24                   If not, may I have a motion?

25                   MS. REITZEL: Mr. Chairman, I move

1 that the Board approve the Consent Agreement between  
2 the OEC and Washington Trotting Association, LLC as  
3 described by the OEC.

4 MR. SANTONI: Second.

5 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

6 AYES RESPOND

7 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
8 adopted. Thanks for coming.

9 MR. KEELON: Thank you.

10 ATTORNEY PITRE: The next five matters  
11 on the Agenda consist of Enforcement actions in which  
12 the OEC filed complaints seeking the revocation of one  
13 Gaming Employee Occupation Permit, one Non-Gaming  
14 Registration as well as the suspension of two Gaming  
15 Employee Occupation Permits and one Non-Gaming  
16 Employee Registration, which were issued by the Board.

17 Each complaint has been filed with  
18 the Board's OHA and properly served upon the person  
19 named in each complaint. The person named in the  
20 complaint failed to respond within 30 days, as  
21 required by Board Regulation. As a result, the OEC  
22 filed a request for default judgment and properly  
23 served the same upon each named individual.

24 Therefore, the facts in each complaint  
25 are deemed admitted. All filed documents have been

1 provided to the Board and the matters are presently  
2 ripe for the Board's consideration. In each instance,  
3 we will provide a brief summary of the facts and  
4 request the appropriate Board action.

5 ATTORNEY MONAGHAN: Good morning, once  
6 again. Thomas Monaghan, with the OEC. The next  
7 matter on the agenda for the Board's consideration is  
8 the suspension of the Non-Gaming Employee Registration  
9 issued to Benjamin Gray.

10 In April of 2018, Mr. Gray was charged  
11 with theft for stealing cash and jewelry from the  
12 residence of the assisted-living facility in which he  
13 was employed. The charge is currently pending in Erie  
14 County.

15 At this time OEC requests that the  
16 Board suspend the Non-Gaming Employee Registration  
17 issued to Benjamin Gray.

18 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
19 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

20 MR. SANTONI: Mr. Chairman, I move  
21 that the Board approve the suspension of Benjamin  
22 Gray's Non-Gaming Employee Registration, as requested  
23 by the OEC.

24 MR. KERNODLE: Second.

25 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

1 AYES RESPOND

2 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
3 adopted.

4 ATTORNEY MONAGHAN: Next matter on the  
5 Agenda for the Board's consideration is the revocation  
6 of the Non-Gaming Employee Registration issued to  
7 Christian Harley.

8 In January of 2018, Mr. Harley pled  
9 guilty to felony forgery for stealing personal checks,  
10 forging the victims' signatures and cashing them in  
11 for a total amount of \$2,380.

12 At this time OEC requests that the  
13 Board revoke the Non-Gaming Employee Registration  
14 issued to Christian Harley.

15 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
16 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

17 MR. KERNODLE: Mr. Chairman, I move  
18 that the Board approve the revocation of Christian  
19 Harley's Non-Gaming Employee Registration, as  
20 requested by the OEC.

21 MS. MANDERINO: Second.

22 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

23 AYES RESPOND

24 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
25 adopted.

1                    ATTORNEY MONAGHAN: The next matter on  
2 the Agenda for the Board's consideration is the  
3 suspension of the Gaming Employee Occupation Permit  
4 issued to Eddie Padilla.

5                    In September of 2018, Mr. Padilla was  
6 arrested and charged in the City of Philadelphia after  
7 he was found to be in possession of a deliverable  
8 amount of a controlled substance and a concealed  
9 loaded weapon without a license.

10                    These charges are currently pending.  
11 At this time OEC requests that the Board suspend the  
12 Gaming Employee Occupation Permit issued to Eddie  
13 Padilla.

14                    CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
15 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

16                    MS. MANDERINO: Mr. Chairman, I move  
17 the Board approve the suspension of Eddie Padilla's  
18 Gaming Employee Occupation Permit as requested by the  
19 OEC.

20                    MR. MUSTIO: Second.

21                    CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

22 AYES RESPOND

23                    CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
24 adopted.

25                    ATTORNEY MONAGHAN: The next matter on

1 the Agenda for the Board's consideration is the  
2 suspension of the Gaming Employee Occupation Permit  
3 issued to Colleen Kasdaglis.

4 In November of 2018, Ms. Kasdaglis was  
5 charged for calling in and assisting her fiancé call  
6 in bomb threats to the Monroe County Courthouse on  
7 seven - seven different occasions between July and  
8 November of 2018. Her charges are currently pending  
9 in Monroe County.

10 At this time OEC requests that the  
11 Board suspend the Gaming Employee Occupation Permit  
12 issued to Colleen Kasdaglis.

13 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
14 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

15 MR. MUSTIO: Mr. Chairman, I move that  
16 the Board approve the suspension of Colleen  
17 Kasdaglis's Gaming Employee Occupation Permit, as  
18 requested by the OEC.

19 MS. REITZEL: Second.

20 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

21 AYES RESPOND

22 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
23 adopted.

24 ATTORNEY CROHE: Good morning,  
25 Chairman and Members of the Board. John Crohe,

1 C-R-O-H-E, for the OEC.

2 The next matter for the Board's  
3 consideration is the revocation of the Gaming Employee  
4 Permit held by Colin Boecker. Mr. Boecker was  
5 terminated from Mohegan Sun Pocono for his involvement  
6 in rigging a promotional event at Mohegan Sun and  
7 ultimately pleading guilty to theft by deception.

8 The OEC now requests that the Board  
9 revoke the Gaming Employee Permit held by Colin  
10 Boecker.

11 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
12 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

13 MS. REITZEL: Mr. Chairman, I move  
14 that the Board approve the revocation of Colin  
15 Boecker's Gaming Employee Occupation Permit, as  
16 requested by the OEC.

17 MR. SANTONI: Second.

18 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

20 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
21 adopted.

22 ATTORNEY PITRE: The remaining matters  
23 on the Agenda consist of enforcement actions in which  
24 the OEC filed petitions seeking the involuntary  
25 exclusion of individuals whose presence in a licensed

1 facility are inimical to the interest of the  
2 Commonwealth and/or licensed gaming therein.

3 In each instance, the petition for  
4 exclusion has been filed with the Board's OHA and  
5 properly served upon the individual named in the  
6 Petition.

7 The individual named in the Petition  
8 failed to respond within 30 days, as required by Board  
9 regulation. As a result, the OEC filed a request for  
10 default judgment, and in each instance properly served  
11 the same upon each individual. Therefore, all facts  
12 in each petition are deemed admitted. All filed  
13 documents have been provided to the Board and the  
14 matters are presently ripe for the Board's  
15 consideration.

16 In each instance, if the Board orders  
17 a proposed exclusion, each individual's photo,  
18 personal identifiers and a summary of the inimical  
19 conduct will be placed on the Board's public website.

20 ATTORNEY MILLER: Good morning,  
21 Chairman Barasch, Members of the Board. Dustin  
22 Miller, on behalf of the OEC. Next on the Agenda for  
23 the Board's consideration is the request to place  
24 Eugene Joyner on the Exclusion List because of his  
25 involvement in cheating at an ultimate Texas Hold'em

1 game at SugarHouse Casino.

2 Mr. Joyner was charged with two  
3 violations of the Gaming Act, sleight of hand or  
4 scheme and unlawful to take, claim or collect with  
5 intent to defraud or cheat and two theft offenses,  
6 theft by unlawful taking and theft by deception, all  
7 misdemeanor offenses.

8 Accordingly, the OEC requests the  
9 Board enter judgment upon default and place Mr. Joyner  
10 on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List.

11 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
12 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

13 MR. SANTONI: Mr. Chairman, I move  
14 that the Board approve the addition of Eugene Joyner  
15 to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List, as described  
16 by the OEC.

17 MR. KERNODLE: Second.

18 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

20 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
21 adopted.

22 ATTORNEY TEPPER: Good morning,  
23 Chairman Barasch, Members of the Board. David Tepper,  
24 T-E-P-P-E-R, with the OEC. Next on the Agenda is a  
25 request to place Alvin Siler, Jr. on the Involuntary

1 Exclusion List.

2                   While a patron at Parx, Mr. Siler  
3 cheated while playing various table games. As a  
4 result of his conduct, Mr. Siler was criminally  
5 charged by the Pennsylvania State Police. And at this  
6 time the OEC requests that the Board add Alvin Siler,  
7 Jr. to the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List?

8                   CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
9 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

10                   MR. KERNODLE: Mr. Chairman, I move  
11 that the Board approve the addition of Alvin Siler,  
12 Jr. to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List, as  
13 described by the OEC.

14                   MS. MANDERINO: Second.

15                   CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

16 AYES RESPOND

17                   CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
18 adopted.

19                   ATTORNEY FERRELL: Good morning,  
20 Chairman and Commissioners of the Board. Benjamin  
21 Ferrell, F-E-R-R-E-L-L, Assistant Enforcement Counsel  
22 for the OEC.

23                   The next matter for your consideration  
24 is a Petition to place Kenyatta Easley on the  
25 Exclusion List. Ms. Easley cheated while playing

1 Baccarat at Harrah's Philadelphia Casino and  
2 Racetrack. Specifically, Ms. Easley placed a \$25  
3 bonus wager after the close of the betting period,  
4 which resulted in an unlawful win of \$625.

5 The Pennsylvania State Police was  
6 notified and charged Ms. Easley with one count of  
7 unlawfully win or reduce to loss and one count of  
8 theft by deception.

9 At this time OEC requests the Board  
10 issue an Order placing Kenyatta Easley on the  
11 Involuntary Exclusion List.

12 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
13 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

14 MS. MANDERINO: Mr. Chairman, I move  
15 that the Board approve the addition of Kenyatta Easley  
16 to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List, as described  
17 by the OEC.

18 MR. MUSTIO: Second.

19 CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

20 AYES RESPOND

21 CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
22 adopted.

23 ATTORNEY FERRELL: The next matter for  
24 your consideration is a Petition to place Terrell Troy  
25 on the Exclusion List.

1                   Mr. Troy altered the outcome of  
2 Roulette spins while performing his job duties as a  
3 Dealer at Harrah's Philadelphia, which resulted in a  
4 loss by Harrah's Philadelphia of \$6,803.

5                   Mr. Troy was criminally charged,  
6 subsequently pled guilty and was sentenced to two  
7 years probation, have no contact with Harrah's  
8 Philadelphia, and paid \$3,543 in restitution to  
9 Harrah's Philadelphia.

10                   At this time, OEC requests the Board  
11 issue an Order placing Terrell Troy on the Involuntary  
12 Exclusion List.

13                   CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from  
14 the Board? Hearing none, may I have a motion?

15                   MR. MUSTIO: Mr. Chairman, I move that  
16 the Board approve the addition of Terrell Troy to the  
17 PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the  
18 OEC.

19                   MS. REITZEL: Second.

20                   CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

21 AYES RESPOND

22                   CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion's  
23 adopted.

24                   ATTORNEY PITRE: Thank you.

25                   That concludes our business.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

That is the end of what we have before us today. Our next public meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 15th at 10:00 a.m. in this room.

May I have a motion to adjourn the meeting?

MS. REITZEL: So moved.

MR. SANTONI: Second.

CHAIRMAN: All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN: All opposed? The motion (sic) is adjourned. Thank you very much.

\* \* \* \* \*

MEETING CONCLUDED AT 11:38 A.M.

\* \* \* \* \*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings was reported by me on 04-17-19 and that I, Lindsey Deann Richardson, read this transcript, and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Dated the 13th day of May, 2019



Lindsey Deann Richardson,  
Court Reporter