COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * * *

BEFORE: WILLIAM H. RYAN, JR., CHAIRMAN

Gregory C. Fajt; Annmarie Kaiser; Keith R.

McCall; Anthony C. Moscato; David W. Woods;

Richard G. Jewell, Members, Jennifer Langan

Representing State Treasurer's Office;

Robert Coyne Representing Secretary of

Revenue Eileen McNulty; Jorge Agusto

Representing Secretary of Agriculture,

Russell Redding

MEETING: Wednesday, June 10, 2015, 10:01 a.m.

LOCATION: Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board

Strawberry Square

Second Floor

Harrisburg, PA 17101

WITNESSES: Mr. Sprague, Brian Schroeder, Matt Conner,

N. Lynn Hughes, Michael Kelon, Rich Laudon

Reporter: Derrick Ferree

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

```
3
 1
                 A P E A R A N C E S (cont'd)
 2
 3
           KIM ADAMS, ESQUIRE
             Assistant Enforcement Counsel
 4
 5
   PA Gaming Control Board
   P.O. Box 69060
   Harrisburg, PA 17106-9060
 9
      Counsel for the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board
10
11
   MARK STEWART, ESQUIRE
12
   Eckert Seamans
13
   213 Market Street
14
   Harrisburg, PA 17101
15
      Counsel for Greenwood Gaming
16
17
   WILLIAM J. DOWNEY, III, ESQUIRE
   Fox Rothschild
18
19
   Midtown Building, Suite 400
   1301 Atlantic Avenue
20
21
   Atlantic City, NJ 08401
      Counsel for Chester Downs
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                 A P E A R A N C E S (cont'd)
2
  JOHN DONNELLY, ESQUIRE
4 Levine, Staller, Sklar, Chan & Brown, PA
5 3030 Atlantic City
6 Atlantic City, NJ 08401
      Counsel for SugarHouse
9 RICHARD A. SPRAGUE, ESQUIRE
10 Sprague and Sprague
11 The Wellington Building, Suite 400
12 135 South 19th Street
13 Philadelphia, PA 19103
14
     Counsel for Peter DePaul
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

ĺ			5
1	I N D E X		5
2			
3	OPENING REMARKS		
4	By Chairperson Ryan	6 - 8	
5	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	8 - 18	
6	PRESENTATION		
7	By Mr. O'Toole	18 - 22	
8	By Attorney Yocum	22 - 25	
9	By Attorney Miller-Tshudy	25 - 28	
10	By Attorney Sherman	28 - 51	
11	By Attorney Cook	51 - 58	
12	By Ms. Hensel	59 - 66	
13	By Attorney Fenstermaker	67 - 72	
14	By Attorney Armstrong	72 - 75	
15	By Attorney Stuart	76 - 90	
16	By Attorney Roland	90 - 95	
17	By Attorney Adams	95 - 96	
18	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	96 - 97	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, ladies and gentleman. My name is Bill Ryan, Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. Before we begin, I would like to ask everyone to please turn off or at least put on silent your cell phones and other electronic devices. Thank you very much. With us today is Jorge Augusto representing Russell Redding, Secretary for the Department of Agriculture. Jennifer Langan from the State Treasurer's Office and Robert Coyne representing the Secretary for the Department of Revenue, Eileen McNulty. Thanks to the three of you for being here. All members of the Board are present and I will therefore call today's meeting to order. First I would ask everyone to please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.

PLEDGE OF ALLIEGANCE RECITED

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much. First announcements. The Board held an Executive Session yesterday June 9th for the purpose of discussing personnel matters and to conduct quasi-judicial deliberations relating to matters being considered by

the Board today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

Additionally, I would like to announce that the Board will be holding a casino license renewal hearing on Thursday, July 9th at 10:00 a.m. to gather evidence including public comment on the renewal of the casino license for HSP Gaming, LP, operator of the SugarHouse Casino. The hearing will be held at the Pennsylvania Convention Center located at 1101 Arch Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania in Room 115A. Citizens, community groups and elected officials wishing to present testimony, which will become part of the evidentiary record in this matter can now register to speak at this hearing by clicking special links on the quick links section of the homepage on the PGCB website www.gamingcontrolboard.pa.gov. The deadline for registration to speak at this hearing is noon on Tuesday, July 7th. The person seeking to provide written comments can mail them with a postmark dated no later than Tuesday, July 7th to the PA Gaming Control Board, P.O. Box 69060, Harrisburg, PA 17106. 22 Attention Board Clerk. Comments can also be faxed 23 prior to that deadline to 717-265-7416 or emailed to boardclerk@pa.gov.

And finally, although he was with us

last week in Southwest Pennsylvania for two Public
Input Hearings, it's my pleasure to formally introduce
and welcome our newest Board Member, Dick Jewell to
his first Board meeting. Welcome Dick.

MR. JEWELL:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN:

enjoy --- will enjoy, of course, working with you.

And now ladies and gentlemen, before we begin, the

Board would like to take the opportunity to recognize
the service of one of our members who is leaving.

John, could you please step forward? Good morning,

John.

MR. MCNALLY:

Good morning.

CHAIRMAN:

John McNally was appointed to the Board in February 2013 and though his tenure was relatively brief, it occurred during a very important time in this Board's history. The rest of us were able to take advantage of John's great skills as a lawyer as well as his practical and common sense approach to every issue we confronted. And as a bonus, we got to enjoy John's quick wit and great sense of humor.

John, two years and change is just too short and all of us on the Board will miss you, but you can be proud of your contribution to the cause of good government here in Pennsylvania. It was my privilege to work with you. I will now open the floor to my colleagues for any comments you would care to make. Annmarie?

MS. KAISER:

2.4

Okay. I'll start. I've had the pleasure of knowing John for many years. We have a lot of friends in common, we live in the same neighborhood. So I knew John before he became Commissioner but it's really been an honor serving with you as Commissioner. We had offices next door to each other. The time has been very memorable. You're a very bright attorney, but your greatest qualities are really how you're kind to every single person you encounter and you take the time to talk to people and take the time to listen and your character and your ethics are just above reproach. So, I will miss having an office next door to you, but I know that I will certainly see you around our neighborhood.

MR. MCNALLY:

You will.

MR. MOSCATO:

Are we going down the line, Mr.

Chairman?

CHAIRMAN:

Sure. We'll do it any way you want,

4 Tony.

MR. MOSCATO:

Boy, girl, boy, girl.

MR. FAJT:

Thanks. I've been called worse, Tony,

I'll tell you that.

MR. MOSCATO:

John, you know, I was going to write up something really grandiose but I didn't. So I'm going to wing it, which frightens everybody when I do this, but honestly I knew you from State Committee when you were sitting up on the dais you looked so staid, you know, and then I got to meet you and your persona on the dais at State Committee is nothing like your true persona. You're a wonderful, caring, warm individual whose perspective I believe on life is exactly what it should be and that's family first and I have enjoyed our brief two years together. I've enjoyed your thoughtful, deliberative process and your counsel and not everybody gets all my Godfather quotes and you always did. So now I think you're going to go learn the casino business to quote the Godfather, but

honestly I'm going to miss you and like Annmarie, I'm sure we'll see each other around. Take care.

MR. FAJT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

John, you and I didn't know each other well before you came on the Board, had some chance meetings over the last couple years, but I have to tell you, I also really enjoyed my time with you. Your integrity, your legal knowledge, that you always were able to cut to the chase in our Executive Sessions and even in our public sessions, on legal issues and as Annmarie said, your kindness to everybody that you met really it was a role model for me and our staff. I also enjoyed our time at the Pirate games and John and I have a favorite non-Pirate player. I use that term loosely Anthony Rizzo, which is a whole other story but every time I see him come up to the batters box for the Cubs, I think of you and your son, Joe and so I have great memories and as I said to you a couple of weeks ago, this will not be the end of our friendship. So congratulations and we're going to miss you.

MR. MCCALL:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. John, it's really with great regret that we have to bid you farewell and I want you to know that that is just

farewell not goodbye and I think, you know, in working with you and I know I think I echo the sentiments of the staff as well, that you were truly an asset to this Board. And again, you know, we are fairly new friends but your counsel, your demeanor, your levelheadedness, your approach to this job was really noted by me and I think the rest of the Board and appreciated by all of us for the outstanding work that you did and the counsel that you offered, you know, as we deliberated, you know, a Category 2 License in Philadelphia and you were always on point with your counsel to us. So I not only consider you a colleague, I consider you a good friend and I just hope you remember those little five o'clock meetings we had with Tony and some of the other Board members That invite will always be there on Board meeting weeks and we hope to see you then. My wishes to you are that you live well and that you have a very prosperous future. All the best to you, John. appreciate your time here.

MR. WOODS:

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. John, you always said a lot with very few words and I'm going to try to do the same. I want to congratulate you on a job well done. I know that you serve the House

Republican Caucus office well as your appointee and I know as a Board member here how you deliberated on each issue and as one who sat in this end seat and I'm now sitting in your seat, which I feel badly about, but being next to you, watching when you decided something was important how you drew a line down the middle of a yellow pad, and put things on the left side and things on the right side. I knew what was going through your mind at those times and I very much appreciated your input time and time again on issues. So congratulations. Enjoy your family. I know that, you know, your love of public service will continue having served your party, having run for office, having served on this Board and I know that you will be doing much more in the future and I'm glad you're from Harrisburg because we'll have lots of opportunities to see you. Again, congratulations.

MR. JEWELL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we have not met. However in my short time in the last week and a half and before that during the process, it was clear the affection and respect that your colleagues have for you and that they've expressed today. I look forward to serving and I look forward to serving up to your standards of service and your integrity. So congratulations to

you, sir.

MR. MCNALLY:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

John, would you like to respond in any

6 way?

MR. MCNALLY:

I'd like to respond in a lot of ways but that would be inappropriate. I had a tougher time sleeping last night than I did on the night before my first Board meeting because this is not a goodbye as Keith said. This is just a farewell for now. It has been an honor and a pleasure two years and change, two years, but I'll never change. You can count on that.

Over the past two years in getting to know each and every one of you has made me a better husband, a better father, better brother, a better citizen and I feel quite confident in stating that we didn't agree on every decision, but we had a respectful discourse and I think --- I have no doubt on every issue because there were no easy decisions. Some were easier than others, but the bottom line there are no easy decisions made by this Board and so we reached an agreement. I have no doubt that we at all times had the best interest of the Commonwealth

and the best interest of the industry and balanced that very well. So I leave this Board knowing that the folks at the Commonwealth are very well served. The industry is very well served.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I look forward to working with each of you, but I would be remiss in not thanking God who led me to all of you and quides my every day, my family, Sam Smith and I want to thank what I'll refer to as the eighth Commissioner and it's not Doug Harbach. The eighth Commissioner is the over 300 professionals that we work for. I mean, as a Commissioner, whenever I sat up there, whatever decision I made, it was not necessarily what would Jim Ginty do, which always was in the back of my mind, but how hard the 300 plus professionals worked to build this industry to get us to where we're at, knowing that we were one bad decision away from putting us back to where perhaps we came from. It's been an honor to meet the 300 professionals, many of whom are my neighbors and I can tell you seeing them in church, seeing them on the ballfields, we have a good group here.

So I am very, very confident that, Dick, you will have a wonderful experience with this group, as did I. I will be around in town so you can count on me being around. So again, thank you very much for

the opportunity to get to know all of you better.

Thank you very much to all of the staff people and let's have some fun. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

John, we can't let you go yet without the Resolution. So if I maybe give it a few minutes, I will read the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board resolution. Whereas, John J. McNally, III was appointed by Speaker of the House of Representative, Sam Smith, in February of 2013 as a member of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. And whereas, Commissioner McNally was sworn into office on February 26th, 2013, followed by a formal swearing at the Board meeting on March 13th, 2013. And whereas, Commissioner McNally as a lifetime resident of the Harrisburg area, a 1988 graduate of the Dickinson School of Law and a practitioner of the law, brought a vast and diverse background as well as his passion for sporting plays and the second amendment to enliven the Board discussions.

And whereas, Commissioner McNally brought a sound and reasoned voice to the implementation of gaming in Pennsylvania, including his participation in and oversight of the Category 2 Philadelphia licensing process. As well as a desire

to educate himself about the casino industry. whereas, Commissioner McNally worked tirelessly to fulfill the Board's vision statement to be the premier gaming regulator in the United States maintaining and enhancing public trust with honesty, integrity and credibility. And whereas, Commissioner McNally has left an imprint on the operation of this Board through his calm demeanor and his focus on doing the right thing for Pennsylvania in every decision he made. Be it resolved that the Pennsylvania Gaming Commission Control Board proudly recognize Commissioner John J. McNally, III's exemplary record of service and leadership and wishes him the very best in all of his future endeavors. Upon motion duly made and second the foregoing Resolution shall be adopted. May I have a motion?

MS. KAISER:

Yes. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt Resolution 2015-3-EXE recognizing Commissioner John McNally's exemplary service to the Board.

MR. MOSCATO:

Second.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

18 1 ALL SAY AYE 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 The ayes have it. Thank you so much, 4 John. 5 MR. MCCALL: 6 Thank you. CHAIRMAN: 8 Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, next we 9 will have our minutes and transcripts. We'll have 10 specifically consideration of a motion to approve the minutes and transcript of the April 29, 2015 meeting. 11 May I have such a motion? 12 MR. FAJT: 13 14 Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve 15 the minutes and transcripts of the April 29, 2015 16 meeting. 17 MR. JEWELL: Second. 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 All in favor? 21 ALL SAY AYE 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Opposed? Motion carries. Next, we'll 24 hear from our Executive Director Kevin O'Toole. 25 MR. O'TOOLE:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan, members of the Commission. With everyone's indulgence we have a little bit more ceremony today. It is a coincidence but what a terrific coincidence to be able to say goodbye to John and recognize some of our long term employees and as John indicated, you know, the quality of leadership among the current Board members and Chairmen and task Board members and Chairmen is second to none in the Commonwealth and we feel equally excited about the quality of our leadership and quality of our employees on a staff level.

2.2

So, by way of background, the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board has recently met the milestone of 10 years in existence. We were created to regulate a new industry in Pennsylvania, the casino industry and the first task was to build from the ground up, a staff of qualified and talented professionals and your predecessors did an outstanding job in doing that and we have five persons to recognize today who have personally reached their 10-year anniversary as employees of the Gaming Control Board.

So, I'd like to ask Susan Hensel to please stand up. Susan was the first official employee of the Gaming Control Board. She was hired

20

```
on January 25th, 2005 as a Special Assistant.
August of that year, Susan became the Board's Director
of Licensing, a position she holds today.
tenure with the Gaming Control Board, Susan has been
instrumental in the coordination of all licensing
functions, including the development of licensing
policies, procedures and regulations.
Congratulations.
```

MS. HENSEL:

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

MR. O'TOOLE:

Thank you for your service.

MS. HENSEL:

Thank you.

MR. O'TOOLE:

And our Director of Human Resources, Clare Yantis, will present a certificate of appreciation.

Amanda Graham, would you please stand? Amanda was hired on February 12th, 2005 as a Clerical Support Specialist. Within a few months of her hire, 22 Amanda became the Gaming Control Board's Procurement Specialist, a position that she fulfills today. 24 her role as Procurement Specialist, Amanda ensures that services and goods are procured in accordance

with the Procurement Code and she supports all of our Bureaus with their purchasing needs. Congratulations, Amanda, and thank you for your service.

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kym Paris, will you please stand? was also hired on February 12th, 2005 as an Administrative Assistant. Shortly thereafter, Kym was promoted to Executive Secretary, a position that she holds today. Over the years, Kym has provided administrative support to a number of Bureaus. 10 Originally assigned to the Bureau of Administration, Kym also supported the Finance Office and she served 11 as an assistant to several Commissioners. Currently, 12 Kym serves as the Executive Secretary in the Bureau of 13 I 14 Casino Compliance. Congratulations and thank you.

And April Fegley, would you please stand? April was hired on March 19th, 2005 as an Administrative Officer. In May of 2006, April was promoted to Licensing Manager, which is the position she maintains today. Overseeing the Bureau of Licensing's Special Services Unit, April and her team ensures that all licensing materials submitted to the Bureau are properly scanned, imaged and stored and that's quite a task given the applications that we have received over the years. April also ensures that all gaming credentials issued to employees of casinos

are printed accurately and distributed in a timely manner. Congratulations, April.

And finally Cheryl Posavec. Cheryl was hired on April 4th, 2005 as an Administrative Assistant, and one of her first assignments with the Gaming Control Board was to serve as an assistant to an original Commissioner on the Board. After that assignment, Cheryl brought her talents to the Board's Office of Chief Counsel where she currently provides 10 valuable clerical assistance in the areas of licensing, regulation and litigation. Thank you for 11 your service, Cheryl. So we'll give everybody one last round of applause. Thank you, Chairman. 13

CHAIRMAN:

3

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

Thank you, Kevin. And if I may, I would like to on behalf of the Board, and really the people of Pennsylvania, thank all five of you ladies for ten years of hard work, dedication and loyalty. A lot of us have come on board later on when the years have been working pretty smoothly. You were in the agency 21 back when the industry was brand new and when the 22 | bureaucracy necessary to regulate the agency was also 23 brand new. And I'm sure it was not an easy thing to do at the beginning when I see all of the requirements of our regulations and everything else we have to do

internally and what we have to require from the industry. I'm sure that it was a big, big undertaking for all of those who were in on the ground floor and I'm sure each and every one of you was a major part of getting this agency moving and functioning the way we all expect. So with that, I just say again, thank you for your hard work. Thank you for your effort and for sticking it out for 10 years. Anybody else? Thank you very much. Next we'll have Doug Sherman. Doug.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

10

11

12

13 I

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Board.

Good morning, Chairman, members of the Board. Our first agenda item relates to a Proposed Regulation and Statement of Policy, which Assistant Chief Counsel Susan Yocum is here to present.

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

Good morning, Chairman, Members of the

CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, Susan.

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

I have two agenda items for your consideration. The first is a Proposed Rulemaking, 125-192. This Rulemaking will add two additional games to the complement of games available for play in the Commonwealth. It is the High Card Flush Game and

```
the Heads-Up Folding Game, both of which are owned by
   Galaxy Gaming, which is a gaming-related gaming
   service provider certified with the Board.
                                                Included
  in this rulemaking are the rules of play, permissible
   payout odds and the dealing procedures. I will be
6 more than happy to answer any questions you may have
   regarding the Regulation.
8
                  CHAIRMAN:
9
                  Any questions from the Board?
10 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?
11
                  MR. JEWELL:
12
                  Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
   adopt Proposed Regulation #125-192 as presented by the
13
14
  Office of Chief Counsel (OCC).
15
                  MS. KAISER:
16
                  Second.
17
                  CHAIRMAN:
                  All in favor?
18
19
  ALL SAY AYE
20
                  CHAIRMAN:
2.1
                  Opposed? The motion carries.
22
                  ATTORNEY YOCUM:
23
                  The next item for your consideration is
24
   a Statement of Policy 125-193.
                                    This will add five new
25
  side wagers to already existing games. Included in
```

25

```
1 this rulemaking are the side wagers of Touchdown
2 Roulette, Lucky Shooter for the game of Craps, Lucky
3 Nines for the games of Baccarat, Lucky Aces for the
  game of Blackjack and the Top Three Side Wager, also
   for the game of Blackjack. I'd be happy to answer any
  questions you may have regarding the Regulation.
                  CHAIRMAN:
8
                  Questions or comments from the Board?
  Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?
10
                  MS. KAISER:
11
                  Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
   adopt Statement of Policy #125-193 as presented by the
12
  OCC.
13
14
                  MR. MCCALL:
15
                  Second.
16
                  CHAIRMAN:
17
                  All in favor?
18 ALL SAY AYE
19
                  CHAIRMAN:
20
                  Opposed? The motion carries.
21
                  ATTORNEY YOCUM:
22
                  Thank you.
23
                  CHAIRMAN:
2.4
                  Thank you, Susan.
25
                  ATTORNEY SHERMAN:
```

Next, we have for your consideration a Local Law Enforcement Grant Application, which Assistant Chief Counsel Denise Miller-Tshudy is here to present.

CHAIRMAN:

1

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Good morning, Denise.

ATTORNEY MILLER-TSHUDY:

Good morning. The application that is before you is with Chester County District Attorney's 10 Office. If you were to award this grant, it is their 11 first Local Law Enforcement Grant. The office is requesting a two-year grant. The first year they are ____

CHAIRMAN:

Denise, could I ask you to just try to keep your voice up. You have a very pleasant, low voice.

ATTORNEY MILLER-TSHUDY:

Nobody's ever told me that before especially the first part. Again, this is a two-year 21 Local Law Enforcement Grant. The first year, they're requesting a \$231,600 --- I'm sorry, \$231,267 grant. In year two, they're asking for a \$206,915 grant. Their objective is to fund a gambling initiative to tackle unlawful gaming that is currently occurring in Chester County. They've identified specific activities that are occurring, including unlawful gaming devices, book and pool selling, dice and poker games as well a dog and cock fighting. The funds would be used to hire one new detective. That. detective will be trained in gaming, in investigation and identification of unlawful gaming in Chester County and will act as the expert in all gaming and unlawful gaming activities.

The second item that the grant would fund is 50 percent salary of a current detective who 11 12 will also be trained in unlawful gaming and who will 13 assist the new detective. The office has also 14 requested certain equipment, capital expenditures as well as some funding for their auditing processes. 15 All meet the percentages allowed by the Board as per 16 17 their new guidelines. The application and all supporting documentation has been reviewed by 18 appropriate staff. The program presented meets all of 19 20 the Board's guidelines and the application is now 21 before you for your consideration. The recommendation 22 of the OCC is to award the grant.

CHAIRMAN:

10

23

24 Okay. Questions or comments from the 25 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

28

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to grant the Local Law Enforcement Grant for Chester County District Attorney's Office as described by the OCC.

MR. MOSCATO:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor? Thank you.

10 ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed. Motion carries. Thank you,

13 Denise.

1

2

3

6

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Today the Board has four petitions on Each of the matters will be considered on the agenda. the record established to date which the Board has 18 been provided in advance of the meeting. The first petition before the Board today is that of Peter DePaul and it's a motion to petition to alter or 21 modify a December 4th, 2006 Consent Agreement between the Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC), Philadelphia Entertainment and Development Partners and Mr. DePaul. By way of background, Mr. DePaul had

25 made political contributions from January 2006 through April 2006 while he had a pending application before the Board as the principal of Category 2 Applicant, Philadelphia Entertainment and Development Partners. At that time, the Gaming Act prohibited any officer, director or key employee of an entity applicant from making political contributions to candidates of public office in the Commonwealth.

Upon Mr. DePaul learning of the prohibition on political contributions, he rescinded 10 and retrieved all the contributions he made and the 11 | matter was reported to the OEC. Subsequently on December 4th, 2006, Mr. DePaul entered into a Consent Agreement with the OEC. Pursuant to that agreement, 14 Mr. DePaul paid a \$100,000 civil penalty to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The following year, Mr. DePaul petitioned the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania seeking a declaration that the political contribution ban in the Gaming Act was unconstitutional.

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In a 2009 Decision, the Court upheld --or I'm sorry, the Court held that Section 1513 of the Act was unconstitutional under Article 1 Section 7 of the Pennsylvania Constitution to the extent that it prohibited political contributions of any amount. determination was based on the fact that Section 1102 of the Act codifying the legislative intent behind the

1 ban referenced only large contributions. noteworthy that after the decision, the General Assembly amended the Act to make the language more uniform and again bar any contributions.

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Mr. DePaul has requested that the Board amend the 2006 Consent Agreement to refund the \$100,000 arguing that the Supreme Court's Decision constitutes a material change in circumstances warranting the relief sought. The OEC filed an Answer 10 objecting to the petition arguing that the agreement is a valid contract entered into and fulfilled voluntarily, that Mr. DePaul failed to timely pursue legal remedies and the public policy requires that the Consent Agreement stand.

As stated, the Board has been provided the documentary record in this matter. That includes the petition of Mr. DePaul, the Answer of the OEC, the legal briefs filed by both parties, the reply briefs filed by both parties, the transcript of the hearing that had been conducted and the related matters introduced through those various proceedings. That's the matter now before the Board for disposition.

CHAIRMAN:

24 I believe Mr. Sprague is here 25 representing the Petitioner; is that correct?

31 ATTORNEY SPRAGUE: 1 2 That's correct. 3 CHAIRMAN: Good morning, Mr. Sprague. 4 5 ATTORNEY SPRAGUE: 6 Good morning. May I stand? CHAIRMAN: You certainly may, sir. 8 9 ATTORNEY SPRAGUE: 10 I will keep my voice up loud. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 For the record sir, could you just spell 13 your last name? 14 ATTORNEY SPRAGUE: 15 S-P-R-A-G-U-E. Richard Sprague and 16 Joseph Podraza representing the Petitioner here Mr. 17 DePaul, Peter DePaul. 18 MR. DEPAUL: 19 Good morning. 20 ATTORNEY SPRAGUE: 21 May it please the Board? I want to make 22 | it clear that this motion is not in any way based on any legal issue. We're not raising any technicalities 24 with the law. In fact, the motion I suggest to this 25 Board is on a higher standard than the law.

1 motion really is a motion to the conscience of this Board to do what I suggest is the right thing under the circumstances of this particular case. you have to understand a little bit in terms of the The law that was enacted initially background. prohibiting political contributions, I was around at that time and before that time, was really aimed at keeping organized crime out of the casino business. That was the reason, the thrust of it. It's important 10 to recognize that because Mr. DePaul is recognized as a very honest, a fine citizen of this Commonwealth, a 11 12 person who has supported candidates for public office really, I guess, since he's been involved in business. 13 14 In this particular case, Mr. DePaul was 15 an applicant for a license as an owner of then Foxwood, which I know this Board is well aware of. 16 Mr. DePaul made political contributions in the 17 18 elections for the year 2006. 2-0-0-6. We're that far back at this point. And these contributions --- and I 19 20 think that since I'm appealing to this Board to do the 21 right thing, you should understand that the total 22 contributions were in the amount of \$31,000. one candidate, to 21 different candidates. 23 So there's no suggestion --- we just had recently where somebody 24 25 contributed \$500,000 for somebody running for the

Supreme Court of an overwhelming kind of contribution that one could raise some questions about. \$31,000 is approximately \$1,000 to a candidate. people who were appointing people to this Board also. These were just contributions being made and Mr. DePaul is somebody who had been an owner previously of a racing license, where there was no prohibition and made political contributions.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He did not know that the new law for casinos applied to applicants. He thought it applied once you were a person who had a license and by the way, this Board fined Foxwood \$100,000 for not telling him --- he's not a lawyer. They didn't tell him that the new law applied as well to an applicant. Now when Mr. DePaul learned, and it was even before the election, that it applied to applicants he contacted literally every one of those 21 candidates to whom he 18 had made the contribution, told them that he had just learned that it applied to applicants. He asked every one of them to return the contribution. Every one of them returned the contribution and this Board didn't know anything about this. Mr. DePaul self-reported it then to this Board what he had done and then on that basis there were hearings.

Obviously Foxwood, which was fined

\$100,000 for not telling him about that the law applied to applicants. He signed this Consent Decree consenting to pay the \$100,000. Obviously because they were concerned that Foxwood, if he didn't work out some agreement with this Board, that would affect the application by Foxwood for a license; an understandable concern and he paid the \$100,000, but felt that the law was unconstitutional and so he then, as you heard, brought an action. Supreme Court of this state declared the law unconstitutional and then 10 you ask well, that's years back. We're in 2015 today. 11 12 When the law was declared unconstitutional through Counsel, Mr. DePaul applied 13 14 to this Board saying hey, pay me back the \$100,000 15 This Board said it's not up to us, go to the Treasury Department. We went to the Treasury Department. The Treasury Department said it's not up

16 17 to us, go to the Finance Department. We went to them 18 then they said not up to us, you've got to go to 19 20 Court. We went to Court, the Commonwealth Court up to 21 the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court said no, you signed this Consent Decree. You've got to go back to 22 23 the Gaming Board because you worked out this Consent

24 Decree with them. And I point out that in your

25 Consent Decree signed by the parties, there's the provision that the Consent Decree may be altered or modified by agreement of the parties if change in circumstances so warrant it. And so what we are saying when you recognize who the law was really aimed at, certainly no one is suggesting a member of organized crime here, a distinguished citizen, someone who didn't know it. Someone who got it all back and then self-reported it to this Board and the law's then declared unconstitutional.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't think this case is complicated I think as I said at the beginning, I'm at all. appealing to the conscience of this Board. This Board --- I mean, look at you, all of you. You stand for what is right; what is the proper thing to do. you regulate this whole business of casino gaming. You're probably going to have internet gaming under your jurisdiction soon as well. And if anything that this Board stands for is to stand, I think as a model for the citizenry of this Commonwealth that feels that they do the right thing. And as I said at the beginning, this isn't an appeal to you under --- I'm not saying that there's some technical point that we have, some overriding legal principle. I think it's a matter --- it's a moral issue and I think this Board has, I won't say the duty because your duty is going

to be whatever you determine, but I think this Board has the right, has it under the Consent Decree to do the right thing and the right thing is to refund and agree to modify the Consent Agreement so Mr. DePaul can get back that \$100,000. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Sprague. Mr. Sherman? ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 8 9 No. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Any questions from the Board? 12 MR. MCCALL: 13 I do. I have a question. 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Keith? 16 MR. MCCALL: Just a matter of clarification for me 17 and maybe for the Board. I think this Board is 18 sympathetic. I think we just have to get by maybe how 19

Just a matter of clarification for me and maybe for the Board. I think this Board is sympathetic. I think we just have to get by maybe how that money gets refunded back and I guess the question --- the first question is, when the \$100,000 was paid did we collect the \$100,000 and keep it or was it submitted to the general fund of the Commonwealth?

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

20

21

22

23

24

25

Under the Act, as you know,

Commissioner, our funding for the Gaming Control Board, exclusive funds of the Board, are from the 1401 escrow accounts, not general fund monies, were refunded through fees on the gross table gaming, gross terminal revenue of the existing casinos. sanctions, fines, other penalties that are paid under the Act go to the general fund accounts which we have no authority or control over.

MR. MCCALL:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Right. So in essence, if we were to pay the \$100,000, it would be the other casino properties that would literally be paying that \$100,000 back?

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

If we were to refund money, yes, because we have no money of the agency in the general fund account.

MR. MCCALL:

Now, what about the language that's contained in the Resolution, or in the Consent Decree that says circumstances --- the circumstances change. It could warrant a change in that Consent Agreement and if in fact, and obviously circumstances have changed, what can we do as a Board with those change in circumstances to amend the consent Decree and then 25 how does that payment occur?

ATTORNEY SPRAGUE:

May I speak? I would like the Board to understand we have been advised that if this Board modifies the Consent Decree as I have asked, the Treasury Department has said they will pay it.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

22

2.3

Question?

MR. WOODS:

This is for Counsel. The parties to the Consent Agreement, they are Enforcement Counsel and the group here?

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Correct. The parties to this particular Consent Agreement were Mr. DePaul, the Philadelphia 15 Entertainment and Development Partners, a Foxwoods Groups which now is not in existence any longer and the Enforcement Counsel represented here today by Mr. Miller.

MR. WOODS:

Would you have a comment on where this 21 stands at this point?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

I mean, the OEC obviously we filed 24 an objection in this matter. We stand --- you know, 25 we submitted a brief in this matter. We also

submitted a reply brief to Mr. DePaul's brief and we stand by that position. We maintain our objection. We're in total agreement with the findings of the Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) in this matter and I'm not going to go point by point regarding what we've put in our papers, but we feel this is a contract matter. I know Mr. Sprague is appealing to equity, but we're appealing to the contract nature of this matter.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

This is a contract that was freely, voluntarily entered into by both parties. There's been no allegations of fraud, duress or coercion, anything of that nature and as Mr. Sprague pointed out, that paragraph three is in the Consent Agreement regarding change in circumstances warranting an amendment to the Consent Agreement. But we feel that that change in circumstances must be relevant to the Consent Agreement and we feel in this matter that the declaratory judgment matter that Mr. DePaul filed later, almost a year after this Consent Agreement was approved, was entered into, we feel that the eventual determination by the Supreme Court in that matter in 2009 is really not relevant to the Consent Agreement 24 because the Consent Agreement alleges no violation. The word violation isn't used.

There's actually a paragraph in the 1 Consent Agreement that says that Mr. DePaul admits nothing; no violation. The terms civil penalty or fine, those are not used. If you look at the Act as it was in 2006 for a violation of Section 1513, the minimum fine for that violation was \$50,000 per occurrence. And there were 21 occurrences, that totaled \$1,050,000. The amount we're talking about is \$100,000, less than one-tenth of that amount. 10 feel that that shows --- that corroborates our position that this wasn't about a violation of Section 11 12 1513. This was about a settlement of potential violations of the Act and, therefore, --- and we think 13 14 too that, and this is in the record, there's five 15 other Consent Agreements that contain this language. 16 If the Board decides to alter or modify this Consent Agreement that may have other effects on other Consent 17 18 Agreements this Board has entered into. It may set precedent that the Board may not want to set. 19 So with 20 that being said, we would encourage the Board not to 21 modify this particular Consent Agreement.

ATTORNEY SPRAGUE:

22

23

24

25

May I make one brief response? I want to bring to the Board's attention that the Commonwealth Court specifically when they had this

matter in front of them said that this Board has continuing jurisdiction over this particular agreement and has the power to modify it.

MR. FAJT:

4

5

10

11

12

24

25

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Technical question for Mr. Sprague. When the Supreme Court heard the constitutionality issue, would it have been proper in your pleadings before the Supreme Court or the Commonwealth Court to request a Court Order ordering the repayment of this \$100,000 and if so, why wasn't that done?

ATTORNEY SPRAGUE:

13 You may be a better lawyer than I am. 14 didn't think of it frankly. I guess my thinking in terms of the presentation, the argument to the Supreme 15 16 Court was I had to take one step at a time. 17 I've heard the argument over there if you do something 18 here, it's going to affect things in other matters. That's the standard thing we all get thrown at us, but 19 20 I thought my --- I think my thinking before the Supreme Court was don't get a lot of issues involved 21 22 in this one case. Let's have the Supreme Court decide 23 whether this statute is unconstitutional.

was unconstitutional and as I did, I just wrote a

Frankly, I thought once they decided it

letter to Mr. Sherman saying after that arrange to give us our money back. I was --- and I'm still surprised at what I call a runaround that we receive going from one agency to the other, from this court to that court and end up where we started. So I guess the short answer is I didn't want to take a second step until I won the first step.

MR. FAJT:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

24

25

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions? All right. I think it may be helpful if the Board takes a brief recess.

MR. MCCALL:

I just want to ask Mr. Miller a question. You state that there is no duress with signing of the Consent Decree.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

No legally recognizable duress.

MR. MCCALL:

Well, wasn't it in fact our agency that put the Consent Decree before Mr. DePaul who we wanted to issue a license by December 30th and we're telling him that he has to sign this or there's no license issued. Wouldn't that be considered duress?

43 ATTORNEY MILLER: 1 2 Not ---. 3 MR. MCCALL: In forcing him to sign that? 4 5 ATTORNEY MILLER: 6 Not what we would call legally recognizable duress. Mr. DePaul had the benefit of Counsel throughout this process. Unless we were threatening physical harm to Mr. DePaul, really we 10 don't feel that that qualifies as legal duress that 11 would invalidate a contract. 12 ATTORNEY PITRE: 13 And there was no signature under 14 protest. I mean, he still had retained the right to sign the Consent Agreement under protest with the 15 understanding that he would appeal. There would be 16 17 nothing that would stop him from doing that. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 So what you're saying is he still had a 2.0 choice? 2.1 ATTORNEY MILLER: 22 Absolutely. 23 CHAIRMAN: 2.4 He was free not to, there would have 25 been consequences but he had that freedom?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Right. And not to belabor the matter, I think I disagree with Mr. Sprague on the point with regard of organized crime. The purpose of that statute was to keep political influence from stepping into the role of this Board, from stepping into the role of this agency and influencing this agency in any manner and to leave it to the Board, the Board's discretion of the regulation --- the regulatory oversight of this industry.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. What I'm going to do is have the Board go into recess to meet in Executive Session on this matter and we will be back in 10 minutes. We are in recess. Thank you.

16 EXECUTIVE SESSION HELD

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Again, we are back in session with respect to the motion to consider a Board Order regarding the 21 Petition of Peter DePaul to alter and or modify the Consent Agreement dated December 4th, 2006. The Board 23 met in Executive Session for about 10 minutes to discuss this matter. I think as far as all the other proceedings are concerned, they are over and it's time

for the Board to make a decision and I will therefore 1 ask if anyone has a motion.

MR. FAJT:

Yes. Mr. Chairman, pursuant to the reasons to be set forth in the adjudication I move that the Board issue an Order to deny Peter DePaul's petition to alter and or modify the Consent Agreement dated December 4, 2006 as described by the OCC.

MR. WOODS:

10 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE 1.3

3

4

9

11

12

20

21

23

24

25

14 CHAIRMAN:

15 Opposed? The motion carries. 16 you, gentlemen.

17 ATTORNEY SPRAGUE:

18 Thank you.

19 ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The next matter before the Board is Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment's Petition to 22 rescind the heated gazebo requirement for its property. By way of background, in 2007, Parx was required by the Board to build a walkway from the main casino to the racetrack grandstand in an effort to

further integrate casino gaming and horse racing. The walkway was built and included heated gazebos which were to provide a rest area for patrons. current petition, they aver that the gazebos are rarely used by walking patrons but have become a place for the homeless or vagrants to congregate. This in turn has caused increased work in both security and maintenance services as well as to serve as a deterrent for patrons who might otherwise use the 10 walkway. Parx further avers that there was a shuttle service to transport people between the racetrack and 11 main casino. On April 14th, 2015, the OEC and Parx 12 entered into a Stipulation of Facts. 13

Additionally, Parx placed into the record affidavits, photos and excerpts from daily log reports detailing when such individuals were found in the gazebos. Subsequently on May 4th, 2015, the OHA issued a Report and Recommendation outlining --- or I'm sorry, a report to the Board outlining all the evidence received. That matter is now ready for the 21 Board's consideration and I note that Mark Stewart, Counsel for Parx is present.

CHAIRMAN:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

2.3

2.4

25

Anything from Counsel?

ATTORNEY STEWART:

Yes, Chairman and Commissioners. 1 May it please the Board and thank you for having us. Mark Stewart, S-T-E-W-A-R-T, for Greenwood Gaming with me is Brian Schroeder from Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment. We do have one additional item of information for the Board to consider. We have a letter which has been provided --- was provided yesterday to OEC and was received by us yesterday from the Pennsylvania Thoroughbred Horseman's Association 10 | noting that they have no objection to the removal of the shelters along Smarty Jones Way. 11 So with the Board's indulgence, I'll provide that to the clerk. 12 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 No objection. OEC have anything to add? 15 ATTORNEY MILLER: 16 We don't have any objection in this 17 matter. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Questions from the Board? Greq? 20 MR. FAJT: 21 Just a quick question. Thank you, Mr. 22 Chairman. Mr. Sherman, did I hear you say that the 23 shuttle is still in operation between the casino and 2.4 the racetrack? 25 ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

```
1
                  That was the information that was placed
2
   into the record by the Enforcement Counsel and Parx.
3
                  MR. SCHROEDER:
 4
                  Yes, it is.
5
                  MR. FAJT:
 6
                  It is. Thank you very much.
                  CHAIRMAN:
8
                  Anything else? May I have a motion?
9
                  MR. FAJT:
10
                  Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
11
   grant the Petition of Greenwood Gaming and
   Entertainment, Inc. to rescind the heated gazebo
12
   requirements as described by the OCC.
13
14
                  MR. JEWELL:
15
                  Second.
16
                  CHAIRMAN:
17
                  All in favor?
18 ALL SAY AYE
19
                  CHAIRMAN:
20
                  Opposed? The motion carries.
21
                  ATTORNEY STEWART:
22
                  Thank you.
23
                  CHAIRMAN:
24
                  Thank you, gentlemen.
25
                  ATTORNEY SHERMAN:
```

The next petition before the Board 2 pertains to the OEC's request to place Brian Jackson on the Exclusion List. The uncontested facts in the matter show that on April 21st, 2013, Mr. Jackson was indicted by the federal government on numerous criminal offenses for his involvement in the loan sharking and illegal gambling ring in the Philadelphia area. Mr. Jackson has pled quilty to a number of the charges and is scheduled for sentencing in July of 10 this year. The OEC brought this matter after becoming aware that Mr. Jackson had frequented both the Sands 11 Bethlehem Casino as well as Parx Casino. Mr. Jackson 12 13 initially requested a hearing on the matter but 14 subsequently signed a waiver of his right to a hearing thereby allowing the Board to decide the matter on the 15 documents filed of record and it is that matter that's 16 ready for the Board's consideration. 17

CHAIRMAN:

1

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. JEWELL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to grant the Petition placing Brian Jackson on the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OCC.

MS. KAISER:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

5 ALL SAY AYE

1.3

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

And the final Petition is the Petition of Noreen Zaun-Lanese. It's for her removal from the Involuntary Exclusion List. On October 17th, 2013, the OEC filed a complaint to place Ms. Zaun-Lanese on the Board's Exclusion List alleging that she had assisted her 20-year-old daughter to gain access to the gaming floor at Hollywood Casino at Penn National Race Course. The daughter and her mother remained on the floor for about 90-minutes, played slot machines and consumed alcoholic beverages.

On April 30th, 2014, the Board placed Ms. Zaun-Lanese on the Exclusion List for a period of at least one year. The one year period has passed and on May 1st, 2015, Ms. Zaun-Lanese filed a petition for removal from the Exclusion List. The OEC has not lodged any objection to the request and it's that request to remove her from the list that is now ready

four Reports and Recommendations received from the OHA. In each of these matters, the person subject to the Reports and Recommendation has received notice that the Board will be taking up their matter today and that they can come forward and briefly be heard. Additionally, the Board in advance of this meeting received the entire evidentiary record for each of these proceedings.

The first Report and Recommendation 10 today pertains to a request to be removed from the 11 | Voluntary Self-Exclusion List pursuant to the Findings 12 of Fact in the Report and Recommendation. On February 7th, 2011, an individual with the initials A.B. 13 14 entered Hollywood Casino, Penn National Race Course, 15 met with the Casino Compliance Representative, 16 requested to be --- excuse me, and requested to be 17 | placed on the Self-Exclusion List. The CCR conducted the mandatory interview with A.B. and completed all of 18 the required procedures and paperwork. 19 Thereafter, 20 A.B. signed an acknowledgement form selecting a lifetime self-exclusion. 21

On November 10th, 2014, A.B. filed a request with the Board's OHA, requested removal from the Voluntary Self-Exclusion List stating that it was an accident that they were placed on the lifetime

22

23

24

25

```
The OEC filed an Answer objecting to this
   list.
   request and a hearing was scheduled to be held on
3 March 10th, 2015. Despite receiving proper notice,
  A.B. failed to attend that hearing and as a result all
   of the evidence put into the record was presented by
  OEC and there was no evidence to support A.B.'s
   request. As a result of that, the Hearing Officer
   issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that
   A.B. remain on the list and that is the recommendation
10 before the Board today.
11
                  CHAIRMAN:
12
                  Any questions or comments from the
13
   Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?
14
                  MR. MCCALL:
15
                  Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
   adopt the Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA
16
   regarding the Petition of A.B. to be removed from the
17
18
   Self-Exclusion List as described by the OCC.
19
                  MR. MOSCATO:
20
                  Second.
21
                  CHAIRMAN:
22
                  All in favor?
2.3
   ALL SAY AYE
2.4
                  CHAIRMAN:
25
                  Opposed? The motion carries.
```

ATTORNEY COOK:

1

2 The next Report and Recommendation before the Board also pertains to a request for removal from the Voluntary Self-Exclusion List. time an individual unrelated with the initials B.B. On March 20th, 2013, B.B. appeared at the Rivers Casino and requested to be placed on the Board's Self-Exclusion List. B.B. was interviewed by the Casino Compliance Representative on duty who completed all of 10 the required paperwork and all of the procedures in place for placing someone on the list were followed. 11 12 Thereafter, B.B. signed an acknowledgement form selecting a five year period of 13 14 self-exclusion. Notwithstanding that fact, less than 15 two years later on January 12th, 2015, B.B. filed a request for early removal from the Voluntary Self-16 17 Exclusion List for both he and his mother, who has the initials D.B., who also placed herself on the 18 Exclusion List for five years a few days earlier. 19 B.B. stated that he and his mother are now traveling 20 21 monthly to West Virginia to game and as a result, they 22 would like to be removed from the Rivers Casino so 23 they can frequent that establishment, not just for gaming but also for using its other amenities. 24 25 The OEC filed an Answer objecting to

1 B.B.'s request and a hearing was held on March 3rd, Both B.B. and his mother appeared and presented testimony. Both testified essentially that they preferred gaming in Pennsylvania and travelling back and forth to West Virginia was becoming a hardship. Ultimately, the Hearing Officer issued a Report and Recommendation pertaining to B.B. recommending that he remain on the Self-Exclusion List for the five-year term and that is the recommendation before the Board 10 today. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 13 14 MR. MOSCATO: 15 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 16

adopt the Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA regarding the Petition of B.B. to be removed from the Self-Exclusion List as described by the OCC.

MR. FAJT:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

2.3 ALL SAY AYE

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY COOK:

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

And the next Report and Recommendation pertains to B.B.'s mother, D.B. The facts and evidence put into the record are the same and the recommendation again is that this woman remain on the Self-Exclusion List for the five-year term.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have the motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA regarding the Petition of D.B. to be removed from the Self-Exclusion List as described by the OCC.

MR. JEWELL:

16 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

18 All in favor?

19 ALL SAY AYE

20 CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

22 ATTORNEY COOK:

The final Report and Recommendation
before the Board today pertains to Dennis Smith,
Senior. Mr. Smith was issued a Non-Gaming Employee

1 Registration on December 21st, 2012 and worked as a Public Area Attendant for Valley Forge Casino and Resort. On November 24th, 2014, the OEC filed a complaint to revoke Mr. Smith's Non-Gaming Registration upon learning that on October 17th, 2014, Mr. Smith had been caught stealing a patron's lost money. Specifically, a patron that had informed security that he lost \$380. When surveillance was checked, Mr. Smith was seen picking up the \$380 and on 10 his way to the security podium to return that money, taking off \$100 and placing it in his pocket. 11 result of his conduct, Mr. Smith was confronted about 12 the missing money, admitted his conduct and was 13 thereafter terminated from Valley Forge. However, no 14 15 criminal charges were filed.

A hearing on OEC's request to revoke his registration was scheduled to be held on January 27th, 2015. Mr. Smith requested a continuance and this second hearing was scheduled for February 18th, 2015. The day prior to that hearing, Mr. Smith requested another continuance. OEC objected because subpoenas 22 had been issued and based on OEC's objection the Hearing Office denied the request and the hearing proceeded on the 18th. Mr. Smith did not attend. The OEC, of course, did attend the hearing and presented

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

the evidence I just recited to you essentially and thereafter a Report and Recommendation was issued recommending that Mr. Smith's Non-Gaming Registration be revoked and that is the recommendation before the Board today. 6 CHAIRMAN: Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 9 MS. KAISER: 10 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA 11 12 regarding the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of Dennis Smith, Senior as described by the OCC. 13 14 MR. MCCALL: 15 Second. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Opposed? The motion carries. 21 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 22 That concludes all matters in the OCC. 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 Thank you, gentlemen. Next, we'll have 25 Director of Licensing, Susan Hensel. Good morning,

1 Susan. 2 MS. HENSEL: 3 Thank you, Chairman Ryan and members of the Board. Before the Board today will be motions regarding 627 Principal, Key, Gaming and Non-Gaming Employees. In addition, there will be the consideration of 16 Gaming Service Provider Applicants. The first matter for your consideration is the approval of Principal and Key Employee 10 Licenses. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of 11 Licensing provided you with a Proposed Order for five 12 Principal and three Key Licenses. I ask that the 13 Board consider the Order approving these licenses. 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Any comments for Enforcement Counsel? 16 ATTORNEY PITRE: 17 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Any questions or comments from the 20 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 21 MR. MCCALL: 22 Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve

the issuance of Principal and Key Employee Licenses as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

MR. MOSCATO:

23

24

25

60 Second. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 5 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 6 MS. HENSEL: Next, there are Temporary Principal and Key Employee Licenses. Prior to this meeting, the 10 Bureau of Licensing provided you with an Order 11 regarding the issuance of temporary licenses for four Principal and eight Key Employees. I ask that the 12 13 Board consider the Order approving these licenses. 14 CHAIRMAN: Comments from Enforcement Counsel? 15 16 ATTORNEY PITRE: 17 The Enforcement Counsel has no 18 objection. 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 21 22 MR. MOSCATO: 23 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 24 approve the issuance of Temporary Principal and Key 25 | Employee credentials as described by the Bureau of

```
61
1
   Licensing.
2
                  MR. WOODS:
 3
                  Second.
 4
                  CHAIRMAN:
5
                  All in favor?
   ALL SAY AYE
                  CHAIRMAN:
                  Opposed? The motion carries.
8
9
                  MS. HENSEL:
10
                  In addition, we have Gaming Permits and
11 | Non-Gaming Registrations. Prior to this meeting, the
12 Bureau of Licensing provided you with a list of 429
13 individuals to whom the Bureau has granted Temporary
14 or Full Occupation Permits and 161 individuals to whom
15
  the Bureau has granted Registrations under the
   authority delegated to the Bureau of Licensing.
16
   that the Board consider a motion approving the Order.
17
18
                  CHAIRMAN:
19
                  Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?
20
                  ATTORNEY PITRE:
2.1
                  Enforcement Counsel has no objection.
22
                  CHAIRMAN:
23
                  Any questions or comments from the
  Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?
2.4
25
                  MR. WOODS:
```

1 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 2 approve the issuance of Gaming Employee Permits and Non-Gaming Employee Registrations as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 5 MR. FAJT: 6 Second. CHAIRMAN: 8 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Opposed? The motion carries. 12 MS. HENSEL: 13 Next, there are recommendations of 14 denial for three Gaming and one Non-Gaming Employee 15 Applicants. In each case, the Applicant failed to request a hearing within the specified time period. 16 The Bureau of Licensing has provided you with the 17 18 order as addressing the Applicants who the OEC has recommended for denial. I ask that the Board consider 19 20 a motion approving the Denial Orders. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 23 ATTORNEY PITRE: 24 Enforcement Counsel continues to request 25 denial in each instance.

63 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Any questions or comments from the 3 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 4 MR. FAJT: 5 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board deny the Gaming Employee and Non-Gaming Employee applications as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 8 MR. JEWELL: 9 Second. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 All in favor? 12 ALL SAY AYE 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Opposed? The motion carries. 15 MS. HENSEL: 16 Also for your consideration are 17 withdrawal requests for Gaming Employees and Non-Gaming Employees. In each case, the license or permit 18 is no longer required. For today's meeting, I've 19 20 provided the Board with a list of nine Gaming and four 21 | Non-Gaming Employee Withdrawals for approval. 22 that the Board consider the Orders approving the list 2.3 of withdrawals. 2.4 CHAIRMAN: 25 Any comments from the Enforcement

Counsel? 1 2 ATTORNEY PITRE: 3 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion? MS. KAISER: 8 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the application for Gaming 10 Service Provider Certification as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 11 12 MR. MCCALL: Second. 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 All in favor? 16 ALL SAY AYE 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Opposed? The motion carries. 19 MS. HENSEL: 20 Finally for your consideration are Gaming Service Provider Registrations. 21 The Bureau of 22 | Licensing provided you with an Order and an attached list of 14 registered Gaming Service Provider 23 24 Applicants. I ask that the Board consider the Order 25 | registering these Gaming Service Providers.

	6	6
1	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>	
2	Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?	
3	ATTORNEY PITRE:	
4	Enforcement Counsel has no objection.	
5	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>	
6	Any questions or comments from the	
7	Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?	
8	MR. MCCALL:	
9	Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board	
10	issue an Order to approve the applications for Gaming	
11	Service Provider Registrations as described by the	
12	Bureau of Licensing.	
13	MR. MOSCATO:	
14	Second.	
15	CHAIRMAN:	
16	All in favor?	
17	ALL SAY AYE	
18	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>	
19	Opposed? The motion carries.	
20	MS. HENSEL:	
21	That concludes the Bureau of Licensing's	S
22	matters.	
23	CHAIRMAN:	
24	Thank you, Susan. Next we have the OEC	,
25	Cyrus Pitre. Good morning, Cyrus.	

ATTORNEYT PITRE:

Good morning, Chairmen, members of the It's my understanding that the first matter on the agenda in the OEC has been tabled for further discussion.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

6

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

That is correct. That will be listed at a future Board meeting.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Okay. As a result, the OEC will present nine matters for the Board's consideration today consisting with five Consent Agreements, one Revocation and three Involuntary Exclusions. 13 The 14 first matter on the agenda is a Consent Agreement that 15 has been negotiated between the OEC and Chester Downs and Marina, LLC doing business at Harrah's Philadelphia. Assistant Enforcement Counsel, Cassandra Fenstermaker will present that matter for the Board's consideration.

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan, Members of

22 the Board. I'm Cassandra Fenstermaker. $23 \mid F-E-N-S-T-E-R-M-A-K-E-R$. As Cyrus stated, we have 24 today for your consideration a Consent Agreement 25 | between the OEC and Harrah's Philadelphia Casino and

Racetrack regarding an underage gaming incident. January 2nd, 2015 an underage patron gained access to Harrah's gaming floor. He was on the gaming floor for approximately two hours during which time he wagered at one Blackjack table. When the underage patron attempted to cash out his chips at the main cage, Harrah's personnel found the identification he had provided to be suspicions, confiscated the chips and identification and notified the patron that he would 10 need to return with another form of identification 11 before the confiscated items were returned. Neither 12 the Bureau of Casino Compliance nor the Pennsylvania State Police were contacted regarding the suspicious 13 Subsequently, the owner of this 14 identification. 15 identification contacted Harrah's and notified them that his underage brother had stolen the 16 17 identification, had used it to wager in Harrah's and that it had been confiscated. It was at this time 18 that the incident was reported to the Bureau of Casino 19 20 Compliance and State Police and that the patron was 21 actually determined to be underage.

As a result of allowing an underage patron to access the gaming floor and wager, in addition for failing to report suspicious activity to the Bureau of Casino Compliance and the Pennsylvania

22

23

24

25

State Police, OEC and Harrah's entered into a Consent Agreement wherein Harrah's agreed to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$15,000 and administrative fees in the amount of \$2,500 in connection with costs incurred by Board staff in connection with this matter. Harrah's also represents that they have conducted re-training for security and table games personnel related to underage gaming. The OEC requests that the Board approve the Consent Agreement as presented today and if you have any questions I'm 10 sure he would be happy to answer them. 11

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

12

13

16

17

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Bill Downey, 14 D-O-W-N-E-Y, with Fox Rothchild for Chester Downs and 15 Marina, LLC. With me this morning at Counsel table are Matt Connor, who is the Director of Security at Chester Downs and Marina doing business as Harrah's 18 Philadelphia, and to his left is Lynn Hughes, Director of --- Vice President and Chief Counsel of Regional Operations. We concur in the recitation by Ms. Fenstermaker and we're prepared to answer any questions you may have on the subject before you.

CHAIRMAN:

Ouestions from the Board?

MR. WOODS:

70 1 Just one question. When you had re-2 training conducted for the security, what did that consist of? 4 MR. CONNER: 5 We went through our ID training that we've used previously and as we speak ---. 6 CHAIRMAN: Sir, since you're going to be speaking, 8 I suppose we should have you sworn. Why don't you 10 stand up, state your name, spell your last name and 11 your position so we can get you sworn in. 12 MR. CONNER: Matthew Conner, C-O-N-N-E-R. Director 13 of Security at Harrah's Philadelphia. 14 15 CHAIRMAN: 16 Thank you. You may sit down. 17 18 MATTHEW CONNER, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 19 20 21 MR. CONNOR: 22 We currently have today at 12:30 is our 23 first class. Corporal Goodman with the State Police 24 is conducting verification training on all IDs, which 25 is mandatory for all security personnel and directly

25 between the OEC and Chester Downs and Marina, LLC as

```
72
   described by the OEC.
1
2
                  MR. FAJT:
 3
                  Second.
 4
                  CHAIRMAN:
5
                  All in favor?
   ALL SAY AYE
                  CHAIRMAN:
8
                  Opposed? The motion carries.
9
   you.
10
                  ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:
11
                  Thank you.
12
                  ATTORNEY DOWNEY:
13
                  Thank you.
14
                  MR. CONNER:
15
                  Thank you.
16
                  ATTORNEY PITRE:
17
                  The next matter on the agenda is a
18
  Consent Agreement that has been negotiated between the
  OEC and SugarHouse, HSP Gaming, LP doing business as
19
20
   SugarHouse Casino.
                       That matter will be presented by
21 Assistant Enforcement Counsel James Armstrong.
22
                  ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:
23
                  Good morning, Chairman, Commissioners.
24
   James Armstrong for the OEC. A-R-M-S-T-R-O-N-G.
                                                       The
25 next matter for the Board's consideration is a Consent
```

Agreement reached between the OEC and SugarHouse

Casino in regard to SugarHouse Casino operating a slot

4 Commissioners, on July 5th of last year or July 20th

5 of last year, the Bureau of Gaming Laboratory

machine with an improperly set pay table.

6 Operations conducted an inspection audit of the

7 SugarHouse Casino gaming floor and discovered a slot

machine was in service and operating with a rejected

9 pay table.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Further investigation determined that the slot machine was programmed with a pay table that had a minimum payout of 83.053 percent and a maximum payout of 85.033 percent. This paid table minimum payout amount below the minimum legal pay table amount of 85 percent is in violation of the Board's regulations. The slot machine and SugarHouse's gaming floor slot machine master list had two different pay table settings. The investigation revealed that the slot machine was set utilizing a rejected pay table setting that could have potentially caused the theoretical payout of the slot machine to fall below 85 percent minimum payout percentage.

The slot machine was programmed with the rejected pay table setting on May 10th, 2014, the last time it was serviced. The slot machine was in service

and operational on SugarHouse's gaming floor with a rejected pay table setting and theoretical payout set below the 85 percent minimum payout percentage for more than two months. Commissioners, SugarHouse recently amended its internal controls and enacted two new procedures to be followed by slot technical personnel to protect against rejected pay table settings reoccurring at SugarHouse. procedures involve the verification of all slot 10 technical services by the Slot Technical Manager and other Slot Technicians. 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

The second new procedure requires a review of all SugarHouse's slot machines on a quarterly basis to verify that all the slot machines, among other things, have approved pay table settings that correspond to SugarHouse's gaming floor slot machine master list. Commissioners, if approved, this 18 will be the second penalty assessed against SugarHouse for violating provisions of the Act and the Board's regulations in regard to pay table settings on slot 21 machines.

The OEC asks that the Board approve the Consent Agreement and Stipulation of Settlement entered into between the parties. The terms of the settlement include a provision that SugarHouse will

```
institute policies and provide training, guidance and
   reinforcement to its employees, which will minimize
  the probability for improper setting pay tables on
  slot machines. SugarHouse will also pay a civil
   penalty of $15,000 and $2,500 for costs incurred by
  Board staff. I'd be glad to answer any questions.
  Mr. Donnelly is here from SugarHouse Casino as well as
   Rose Cook.
                  ATTORNEY DONNELLY:
10
                  Good morning. John Donnelly on behalf
   of SugarHouse. Rosemarie Cook is with me who is the
11
  Vice President of Games if there are any questions.
12
13
                  CHAIRMAN:
14
                  Any questions from the Board?
15
   Ex-Officio?
                May I have a motion?
16
                  MR. FAJT:
17
                  Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue an
   Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC
18
   and SugarHouse HSP Gaming, LP as described by the OEC.
19
20
                  MR. JEWELL:
2.1
                  Second.
22
                  CHAIRMAN:
23
                  All in favor?
2.4
   ALL SAY AYE
25
                  CHAIRMAN:
```

The motion carries. 1 Opposed? Thank 2 you.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

3

4

10

11

12

1.3

14

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

The next two matters on the agenda are Consent Agreements that have been negotiated between the OEC and Washington Trotting Association doing business as The Meadows Casino. Assistant Enforcement Counsel Glenn Stuart will present these two matters for the Board's consideration.

ATTORNEY STUART:

Good morning. Glenn Stuart for the Office of Enforcement Counsel, S-T-U-A-R-T. Next for the Board's consideration in a Consent Agreement entered into between the OEC and Washington Trotting 15 Association, Inc. There are three counts so I'll just 16 read the facts of each count briefly. With respect to count one, a self-excluded individual obtained a players club card while at The Meadows and wagered and redeemed free slot play during this visit. Meadows also mailed this individual a new member letter along with free slot play for signing up for a player's club card. According to The Meadows' records, this individual wagered at The Meadows on three separate occasions. However, there is no evidence to suggest or illustrate that this individual

accrued any winnings as a result of his wagering. The Pennsylvania State Police subsequently cited this individual with criminal trespass.

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With respect to count two a selfexcluded individual had signed up for a player's club card at The Meadows. This incident was discovered by The Meadows' personnel during a routine check of player's club accounts for name misspellings. reconciliation of the self-excluded person's wagering 10 activity showed he wagered at The Meadows after obtaining his player's club card. The Meadows did not confiscate any winnings accrued by this individual during his visit as it was not discovered that this individual was on the Self-Exclusion List until nearly three months after his visit. The State Police also did not cite this individual with defiant or criminal trespass.

And with respect to count three, an excluded person obtained a player's club card at The Meadows and also wagered at slot machines and a table game during this visit. After this individual obtained a player's club card, her new information was merged with old information in The Meadows' player management system and it was discovered that this individual was on the Excluded Persons List.

result The Meadow security approached this individual, asked her for identification and confirmed that the individual was on the Excluded Person's List. This person was on the gaming floor for approximately 40 minutes. However, there is no evidence to suggest or illustrate she accrued any winnings as a result of her wagering. The State Police cited this individual for criminal trespass.

The terms of the Consent Agreement would require The Meadows to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$15,000 for allowing two self-excluded individuals and one excluded person to obtain player's club cards, for allowing these two self-excluded individuals and the excluded person to gain access to The Meadows gaming floor and for allowing these two self-excluded individuals and the excluded person to wager while on the gaming floor. The Meadows would also be required to remit \$2,500 to the Board for investigative costs associated with this Consent Agreement. As such this Consent Agreement is now ripe for the Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

Counsel?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the

1 Board. Bill Downey. D-O-W-N-E-Y with Fox Rothchild on behalf of Washington Trotting Association doing 3 business as The Meadows Racetrack and Casino. With me at the Counsel table is Michael Kelon, who is the Director of Compliance at the Meadows and Mr. Chairman, with your indulgence, I think it's probably appropriate to have Mr. Kelon sworn in at this time. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Okay. Sir? 10 11 | MICHAEL KELON, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED 12 AS FOLLOWS: 1.3 14 ATTORNEY DOWNEY: 15 Thank you. Mr. Kelon is here to answer 16 any questions the Board may have. Generally we're in 17 accord with the recitation of facts presented by 18 Counsel. 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Questions? Okay. No questions. So at 21 this point, I'll ask for a motion. 22 MR. JEWELL: 23 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 24 issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement 25 between the OEC and Washington Trotting Association as

1 described by the OEC.

MS. KAISER:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

6 ALL SAY AYE

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

<u>CHAIRMAN:</u>

Opposed? The motion carries. Thank you

gentleman.

ATTORNEY STUART:

I have one more Consent Agreement with

12 The Meadows so these gentlemen are going to stay put.

13 The next Consent Agreement pertains to promotions

14 offered by The Meadows. September 11th, 2014, The

15 Meadows submitted to the Board's Bureau of Gaming

16 Operations a promotional submission which was planned

17 to be conducted from October 1st, 2014 until October

18 31st, 2014. According to the rule submission,

19 participants were eligible to receive one free

20 complementary alcoholic drink per day, additional

21 complementary drinks could be earned for every 250

22 player points earned by a participant on a given day.

23 Gold player club members could earn three

24 complimentary drinks per day and platinum club player

25 members could earn five complimentary drinks per day.

On September 12th, 2014 the Board's Bureau of Gaming Operations notified The Meadows in writing requesting that The Meadows cancel the promotions. This cancellation notification reasons that the promotion violated The Meadows internal controls and compulsive and problem gambling plan because the promotion endorsed the consumption of alcohol and a participant must engage in gaming activity as a pre-requisite to receiving additional 10 alcoholic beverages.

1

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On October 3rd, 2014, The Meadows notified the Bureau of Casino Compliance that the 12 promotion had indeed been conducted on October 1st, 13 14 2014 and on October 2nd, 2014. According to The 15 Meadows records, 50 patrons participated in the promotion and each received one complimentary drink. 17 Of those 50 patrons, five participants earned one additional complimentary drink based on their wagering 18 activity.

The terms of the Consent Agreement would require The Meadows to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$12,500 for violating its internal controls and its compulsive and problem gambling plan by conducting a promotion that promoted the consumption of alcohol while engaging in gaming activity and for

```
conducting a promotion despite Board staff requesting
   the promotion be cancelled. The Meadows will also be
   required to remit $2,500 to the Board for
  investigative costs associated with the Consent
   Agreement. As such, this Consent Agreement is now
  ripe for the Board's consideration.
                  CHAIRMAN:
                  Counsel?
8
9
                  ATTORNEY DOWNEY:
10
                  Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Again, Bill
   Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y, for Washington Trotting
11
12 Association ---.
13
                  MR. FAJT:
14
                  Mr. Downey, could you speak up a little
15 bit?
16
                  ATTORNEY DOWNEY:
17
                  Sure.
18
                  MR. FAJT:
19
                  Thank you.
20
                  ATTORNEY DOWNEY:
21
                  Bill Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y, for Washington
22
  Trotting Association. With me again at Counsel table
  is Michael Kelon. I'll remind Michael that he remains
23
  under oath and we're prepared to answer any questions
24
25 the Board may have.
```

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Okay. Dick? Ouestions?

MR. JEWELL:

When we looked at this yesterday Yes. and considered it, there's a concern here about the failure to communicate and the implications as a result of that. I'd like you to talk to me a little bit about how that happened.

MR. KELON:

Commissioner, when I initially submitted the promotion to Harrisburg, I did so in excess of two weeks before implementation with the expectation that the Office of Compulsive and Problem Gambling may have an issue with it. When we received the denial notification to conduct the promotion, I immediately disseminated that to our Director of Marketing and our Promotions Manager to alert them not to implement the The Marketing Manager failed to notify our promotion. database people to basically kill the promotion. is where the break in communications occurred. corrective actions that we've implemented since then, 22 because this is electronically maintained in a shared system, any folders containing promotions where we receive Board denial to commence are appropriately flagged so that anyone viewing that information knows

```
84
  we're not to commence with that particular promotion.
   The notification that we did to the Board was to meet
  the 48-hour advance notification requirement.
   indicated before, I believe that the Board may have
  had issue with this promotion which is why we made the
  notification so much in advance of what we normally
   do.
        There was no intent to deceive or hide anything
   with this.
9
                  MR. JEWELL:
10
                  Thank you.
11
                  CHAIRMAN:
12
                  Any other questions? Ex-officio
             May I have a motion?
13
   members?
14
                  MS. KAISER:
15
                  Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
   issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement
16
17
  between the OEC and Washington Trotting Association as
18
   described by the OEC.
19
                  MR. MCCALL:
20
                  Second.
21
                  CHAIRMAN:
22
                  All in favor?
2.3
   ALL SAY AYE
2.4
                  CHAIRMAN:
25
                  Opposed? The motion carries.
                                                  Thank
```

you.

2

1

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

22

25

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

Thank you.

MR. KELON:

Thank you.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter on the agenda is a Consent Agreement which has negotiated between the OEC and the Woodlands Fayette, LLC and IOC-PA, LLC doing 10 business as Lady Luck Casino. Assistant Enforcement Counsel Glenn Stuart will present the matter for the Board's consideration.

ATTORNEY STUART:

Next for the Board's consideration is a consent Agreement between Isle of Capri --- IOC-PA, 16 LLC doing business as Lady Luck Nemacolin. And this 17 pertains to an underage gaming violation. On October 3rd, 2014 an individual under the age of 21 accessed Lady Luck's gaming floor. This individual was a registered overnight quest at the Nemacolin Woodland's 21 Resort. This individual used his valid identification card from Sweden which showed his date of birth to be 23 March 23rd, 1994 to obtain a casino access pass from 24 Nemacolin Experience Counter. Prior to accessing the gaming floor, Lady Luck security confirmed the

validity of the identification and permitted this individual to access the gaming floor.

This individual was on Lady Luck gaming floor for approximately one hour. While on the gaming floor he was observed to have consumed two alcoholic beverages and wagered at one Roulette table. Although this individual wagered at a Roulette table, there is no evidence to suggest or illustrate that he accrued any winnings as a result of his wagering. 10 Pennsylvania State Police did not charge this individual with any crime and Lady Luck declined to 11 evict this individual from its facility.

The terms of the Consent Agreement would 14 require Woodlands and IOC-PA, LLC to pay a civil 15 penalty in the amount of \$7,500 for allowing an individual under the age of 21 to access its gaming 16 17 floor, to consume alcohol while on the gaming floor and to wager while on the gaming floor. Woodlands and 18 IOC-PA, LLC would also be required to remit \$2,500 to the Board for investigative costs associated with this Consent Agreement. As such, this Consent Agreement is now ripe for the Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, sir. Do you wish to make any 24

25 comments?

3

12

13

19

20

21

22

2.3

87 1 MR. LAUDON: 2 I do not. I'm here for any questions 3 you may have. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 I'll tell you what. Just in case there 6 are, why don't we swear you. Stand up, please. RICHARD LAUDON, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 10 11 MR. LAUDON: My name is Rich Laudon. L-A-U-D-O-N. 12 13 I'm the General Manager of Lady Luck Nemacolin. 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Thank you, sir. Any questions from the 16 Board? Greg? 17 MR. FAJT: 18 Really, point of personal privilege. 19 Mr. Laudon, I understand that you are retiring soon. 20 Is that still correct? 21 MR. LAUDON: 22 That's still correct. Yes. I'm trying 23 to make a smooth transition with Isle of Capri. We don't have a definitive date on that. 24 25 MR. FAJT:

```
1
                  If you're not here again, I just wanted
   to thank you for your hospitality to this Board.
2
  the couple of years that Nemacolin has been in
   operation, you've been a true professional and
   appreciate everything you've done in cooperation with
   this Board over that time.
                  MR. LAUDON:
8
                  I appreciate it. That's very nice.
9
   Thank you.
10
                  CHAIRMAN:
11
                  Okay. May I have a motion?
12
                  MR. MCCALL:
                  Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
13
14
   issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement
15
   between the OEC, Woodland Fayette, LLC and IOC-PA as
16
   described by the OEC.
17
                  MR. MOSCATO:
                  Second.
18
19
                  CHAIRMAN:
20
                  All in favor?
21
   ALL SAY AYE
22
                  CHAIRMAN:
23
                  Opposed? The motion carries.
24
   you.
25
                  ATTORNEY PITRE:
```

The next matter on the agenda consists of an Enforcement Action which the OEC has filed a complaint seeking the revocation of the registration of an individual licensed by the Board. The Complaint has been filed with the Board's OHA and properly served and certified by first class mail upon the The individual individual named on the Complaint. named in the Complaint failed to respond within 30 days as required by Board regulation. As a result, the OEC filed a request for default judgment and properly served the default judgment on the individual by certified and/or regular mail. As a result of this the facts in the Complaint are deemed admitted. filed documents have been provided to the Board and the matter is presently ripe for Board consideration. Assistant Enforcement Counsel Glenn Stuart will present a brief summary of the facts and request appropriate Board action.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY STUART:

Next for the Board's consideration is a petition seeking to revoke a Non-Gaming Registration Kristina Antonio. Ms. Antonio had been employed as a Casual Dining Server at the Valley Forge Casino and Resort. While working at a nightclub at Valley Forge on New Year's Eve 2014, surveillance footage shows Ms.

Antonio consuming 10 shots of alcohol during a twohour period. Ms. Antonio was only 19-years-old at the
time. Valley Forge terminated Ms. Antonio from her
employment as a result of this incident and based on
Ms. Antonio's failure to abide by the provisions in
her Statement of Conditions and her failure to
maintain suitability the OEC requests that the Board
revoke the Non-Gaming Registration of Kristina
Antonio.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Ms. Kristina Antonio in the Hearing Room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

Mr. Chairman, I move for the Board to issue an Order to approve the revocation of Kristina Antonio's Non-Gaming Employee Registration as requested by the OEC.

MR. WOODS:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

23 ALL SAY AYE

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion is carried.

ATTORNEY STUART:

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The last three matters on the agenda consist of Enforcement Actions in which the OEC has filed petitions seeking the involuntary exclusion of individuals whose presence in a licensed facility are inimical to the interests of the Commonwealth and/or the licensed gaming therein. In each instance the 10 petition for exclusion has been filed with the Board's OHA and properly served by certified and first class mail upon the individual named in the petition.

In each instance, the individual named in the petition failed to respond within 30-days as required by the Board regulation. As a result, the OEC filed a request for default judgment in each instance and properly served the default judgment upon each individual. As a result, the facts in each petition are deemed admitted. All filed documents have been provided to the Board and the matters are presently ripe for the Board's consideration. In each instance, once again we will provide a brief summary of the facts and request the appropriate Board action. The first two matters will be presented by Assistant Enforcement Officer, Michael Roland.

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

1

25

2 Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of 3 the Board. Michael Roland, R-O-L-A-N-D, with the OEC. The first matter that I have is a request to place Alfredo DeJesus on the Involuntary Exclusion List. Ιn February of this year, the Bureau of Casino Compliance at Sands Casino was notified of a mini royal jackpot during the game of Three Card Poker. The winning patron was identified as Alfredo DeJesus who was 10 placing in spots both four and five at the table. review of surveillance of the winning hand was 11 initiated and it was discovered that after receiving 12 his cards, Mr. DeJesus switched a card between his 13 14 hands dealt on spots four and five. Had his illegal 15 activity not been discovered prior to the actual 16 payout, Mr. DeJesus would have received \$13,246. the cards not been switched, Mr. DeJesus would have 17 legitimately won \$60. Mr. DeJesus was charged under 18 our Act, specifically Section 1518 knowingly by trick 19 20 of fraud to win or reduce a loss and under the Crimes 21 Code, theft by deception. Those charges are pending 22 and the matter is now before the Board to consider the placement of Alfredo DeJesus on the Board's 23 24 Involuntary Exclusion List.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Alfredo DeJesus present in the 1 2 Hearing Room? Any questions or comments from the 3 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have the motion? 4 MR. WOODS: 5 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order for the addition of Alfredo DeJesus on the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC. 9 MR. FAJT: 10 Second. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Opposed? The motion carries. 16 ATTORNEY ROLAND: 17 The next matter I have is a request to place Alquan Mack on the Involuntary Exclusion List. 18 In December of 2014, the Bureau of Casino Compliance 19 20 became aware of a cell phone theft that occurred at 21 the bus lounge area of Sands Casino. A patron 22 reported that she had her phone plugged into an 23 electric outlet and placed it on a windowsill. the patron returned for her phone, it wasn't there. 24 25 Video surveillance confirmed that after plugging in

1 her cell phone, a security guard identified as Alquan 2 Mack entered the bus waiting area, placed the phone in 3 his pocket and then left. Mr. Mack was approached by security and he returned the phone. Mr. Mack was permanently evicted by the security department. inspection of her property, however, the patron noticed that the phone's SIM card was missing and she requested to file a police report. Mr. Mack was charged by the Bethlehem Police Department with theft 10 by unlawful taking and receiving stolen property. charges were later dismissed at the request of the 11 victim when Mr. Mack returned the SIM card. 12 13 matter is now before the Board to consider the 14 placement of Alquan Mack on the Board's Involuntary 15 Exclusion List. 16

CHAIRMAN:

17

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

Is Alquan Mack present in the Hearing Any questions or comments from the Board? 18 Room? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Alquan Mack on the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC.

MR. JEWELL:

Second.

2

1

CHAIRMAN:

3

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

5

CHAIRMAN:

6

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY ADAMS:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan. Kim Adams

on behalf of the OEC. The next matter for your

10 consideration is the OEC's request to place Corey

11 | Talley on the Board's Exclusion List. While at Rivers

12

Casino, Mr. Talley entered the high limits slot

13

attendant room which is not open to the public and he

14 then forcibly opened the employee tote box and removed

15

approximately \$7,146 from this box. Mr. Talley turned himself in to the City of Pittsburgh Police Department

16 17

and returned all of the money. Criminal charges were

18

filed. The OEC is requesting Corey Talley be placed

19

on the Board's Exclusion List.

20

CHAIRMAN:

21

Is Corey Talley present in the Hearing

22

Room? Questions or comments from the Board?

23

Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

2.4

MR. JEWELL:

25

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board

```
issue an Order to approve the addition of Corey Talley
   to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by
3
   the OEC.
 4
                  MS. KAISER:
5
                  Second.
 6
                  CHAIRMAN:
                  All in favor?
   ALL SAY AYE
9
                  CHAIRMAN:
10
                  Opposed? The motion carries.
11
                  ATTORNEY PITRE:
12
                  Thank you that concludes our business.
13
                  CHAIRMAN:
                  Next we have our public comment period.
14
15 As I understand it we do not have anyone who
  registered to speak today. Is there anyone in the
17 audience wishing to make public comment? Seeing and
18 hearing no reply I will move on.
                                      That concludes
  today's meeting. Our next scheduled public meeting
19
20
   will be Wednesday, July 8th at 10:00 a.m. in this
21 room. Any final comments from the Board or
22 Ex-officios?
                 May I have a motion to adjourn?
2.3
                  MS. KAISER:
2.4
                  Mr. Chairman, I move for adjournment of
25
  meeting.
```

i		
		97
1	MR. MCCALL:	
2	Second.	
3	<u>CHAIRMAN</u> :	
4	We are adjourned. Thank you very much	
5	ladies and gentlemen.	
6	* * * * *	
7	MEETING CONCLUDED AT 11:54 A.M.	
8	* * * * *	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		ļ

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, meeting held before Chairman Ryan was reported by me on 6/10/2015 and that, I Derrick Ferree, read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Court Reporter

Derrick Ferree