

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * *

BEFORE: MEMBERS OF THE BOARD:

DENISE SMYLER, CHAIR

Shawn Dillon

George Dunbar

Edward Gainey

Christopher W. Huffman

Sara Manzano-Díaz

Maria Quiñones-Sánchez

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS/DESIGNEES IN ATTENDANCE:

Jorge M. Augusto, Esquire, Designee,
Department of Agriculture

Jennifer Langan, Esquire, Designee,
Department of Treasury

Adria Zimmerman, Designee, Department of
Revenue

HEARING: Wednesday, April 29, 2026

10:02 a.m.

Reporter: Derek Richmond

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited
without authorization by the certifying agency.

LOCATION: Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board
Strawberry Square
2nd Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101

A P P E A R A N C E S

PA GAMING CONTROL BOARD EXECUTIVE STAFF APPEARING
BEFORE THE BOARD:

KEVIN O'TOOLE, Executive Director

SEAN HANNON, Director, Bureau of Licensing

HEIDI ZULA, Director, Human Resources

DAVID RHEN, Director, Financial Management

STEVE COOK, Chief Counsel

CHAD ZIMMERMANN, Deputy Chief Counsel

OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT COUNSEL:

CYRUS PITRE, Chief Enforcement Counsel

MICHAEL ROLAND, Senior Enforcement Counsel

DUSTIN MILLER, Deputy Chief Enforcement Counsel

M. ELIZABETH BOEHM, Assistant Enforcement Counsel

SARAH GROODY, Assistant Enforcement Counsel

JUAN SANCHEZ, Assistant Enforcement Counsel

SARAH KOLESAR, Assistant Enforcement Counsel

ANDREA ROBERTS, Assistant Enforcement Counsel

A P P E A R A N C E S (cont.)

OTHER ATTENDEES FOR MEETING AND/OR PUBLIC HEARINGS:Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment:

ERIC HAUSLER, Chief Executive Officer

KARIN ASHFORD, Esquire, Chief Legal Officer

GRACE HENRY, Esquire, Chief Compliance Officer, Vice
President Regulatory AffairsRON DAVIS, Director of Diversity and Community
DevelopmentValley Forge Casino Resort:

MICHAEL D. FABIOUS, ESQUIRE

MARTHA MORALES, Vice President and General Manager

SCOTT SCHROEDER, Vice President of Finance

JANET MAUGANS, Director of Regulatory Compliance

Play'n Go New Jersey, LLC:

KEVIN HAYES, ESQUIRE

SHAWN FLUHARTY, Head of Government Affairs

I N D E X

1		
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Chair Smyler	7 - 8
5	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	8 - 9
6	PRESENTATION	
7	By Mr. O'Toole	9 - 11
8	By Mr. Rhen	11 - 12
9	By Ms. Zula	12 - 13
10	By Attorney Cook	14 - 22
11	By Attorney Zimmermann	22 - 50
12	By Mr. Hannon	51 - 60
13	By Attorney Pitre	60 - 61
14	By Attorney Sanchez	61 - 63
15	STATEMENT	
16	By Attorney Fabius	63 - 66
17	By Ms. Morales	66 - 67
18	QUESTIONS	
19	By Board	67 - 79
20	PRESENTATION	
21	By Attorney Roland	80 - 83
22	By Attorney Hayes	83 - 85
23	By Mr. Fluharty	85 - 86
24	By Attorney Miller	86 - 87
25	By Attorney Kolesar	87 - 88

I N D E X

PRESENTATION (cont.)

By Attorney Roberts 89

By Attorney Miller 90 - 91

By Attorney Roberts 91 - 95

By Attorney Groody 96 - 97

DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 97 - 99

P R O C E E D I N G S

CHAIR: Good morning. I'm Denise Smyler, Chair of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. I would like to ask everyone to please silence your cell phones and all electronic devices at this time.

With seven Board members present this morning, I'd like to call today's meeting to order.

In addition to the seven Board members, we also have our ex-officio members. Jennifer Langan, representing Stacy Garrity, State Treasurer; Jorge Augusto, representing Russell Redding, Secretary for the Department of Agriculture, and Adria Zimmerman, representing Pat Browne, Secretary for the Department of Revenue.

Thank you ex-officios for joining us. As the first order of business, I'd like to now ask everyone to please stand and join me in a recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

CHAIR: By way of announcements, the Board held an executive session yesterday, Tuesday, April 28th, to discuss personnel matters and to conduct quasi-judicial deliberations relating to

1 matters coming before the Board.

2 GREENWOOD GAMING HEARING HELD

3 CHAIR: So, moving on, I would like to
4 now ask for approval of the Board meeting transcript
5 and minutes from the February 4th, 2026 meeting.

6 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Thank you,
7 Madam Chair. Commissioner Quiñones-Sánchez moves
8 that the Board approve the minutes and transcript of
9 the February 4, 2026 meeting.

10 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
11 Manzano-Díaz, second.

12 CHAIR: All in favor?

13 AYES RESPOND

14 CHAIR: All opposed?

15 Motion passes. I am now asking for
16 approval of the Board meeting transcript and minutes
17 from the February 25th, 2026 meeting.

18 May I have a motion, please?

19 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
20 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board
21 approve the minutes and the transcript of the
22 February 25th, 2026 meeting.

23 MR. HUFFMAN: Commissioner Huffman
24 seconds.

25 CHAIR: All in favor?

1 AYES RESPOND

2 CHAIR: All opposed?

3 Motion's adopted.

4 Now we will hear from our Executive
5 Director, Kevin O'Toole. Kevin.

6 MR. O'TOOLE: Good morning Chair
7 Smyler and members of the Board.

8 For this morning's Executive
9 Director's Report, I would like to give an update on
10 the opening of the Happy Valley Casino located in
11 State College, Pennsylvania.

12 Happy Valley Casino is Pennsylvania's
13 18th land-based casino. It is the fifth and final
14 mini casino that was authorized by the Legislature in
15 the 2017 Gambling Expansion Bill. The Legislature
16 authorized five new smaller casinos to be selected
17 through an auction process. As I mentioned, Happy
18 Valley Casino completes that category of casinos to
19 open.

20 The Board's Bureau of Casino
21 Compliance conducted two test dates, Friday, April
22 the 24th and Saturday, April the 25th. For both test
23 dates, Happy Valley Casino was open from 2:00 p.m. to
24 10:00 p.m. On both test dates, lines began to grow
25 outside the Happy Valley Casino's front doors waiting

1 for the casino to open. So there certainly was some
2 local excitement about the opening of this new
3 enterprise.

4 There were 30 table games and
5 approximately 600 slot machines in operation at Happy
6 Valley Casino for the test nights. A total of about
7 2,500 persons attended each of the two nights. The
8 attendees played slot machines and table games and
9 that contributed to successful nights. And a portion
10 of the proceeds were donated to several charities by
11 Happy Valley Casino.

12 So, that has always been highly
13 encouraged with opening casinos. So, all 17 of the
14 previous casinos made contributions to charities on
15 their test nights.

16 With a successful test period last
17 Friday and Saturday, on behalf of the Board, I
18 authorized Happy Valley Casino to begin operations
19 this past Monday, April 27th, at 10:00 a.m.

20 Before I close, I would like to
21 recognize one of our key employees, Gregg Hazzouri,
22 Director of the Bureau of Casino Compliance, and his
23 staff for their outstanding work in assisting the
24 team of Happy Valley Casino to successfully reach
25 their casino opening. It is clearly a seven day,

1 24-hour-a-day project for the last three or four
2 weeks before a casino actually opens up. So, they
3 really did a wonderful job.

4 That's my report. Thank you.

5 CHAIR: Thank you, Kevin. Next we're
6 going to hear from the Director of Financial
7 Management, David Rhen. Dave.

8 MR. RHEN: Good morning, Chair Smyler,
9 members of the Board. Dave Rhen, R-H-E-N. Today I'm
10 going to provide an update of expenditures through
11 the conclusion of the third quarter, which was March
12 31st.

13 Total expenses year to date are in
14 line with expectations. Board expenditures totaled
15 \$42.8 million against an appropriation of \$60.4
16 million through the third quarter. Expenditures
17 overall are up \$2.4 million from the same date last
18 fiscal year, due to rising personnel costs.
19 Approximately 89 percent of our costs, or \$37.9
20 million to date, have been for payroll. This
21 includes \$22.8 million for salaries and \$15.1 million
22 for benefits.

23 Benefits as a proportion of salaries
24 are 66.7 percent and for the year, payroll expenses
25 are up \$2.2 million.

1 The remaining ten percent or so of
2 expenses, or \$4.9 million, come in our operating
3 expense category, which are up \$236,000 from last
4 year.

5 Approximately 90 percent of our
6 operating expenses have occurred over three
7 categories, the largest being \$2.4 million for the
8 other operating expense category which, or I'm sorry,
9 for the services, which includes predominantly IT
10 modernization and interagency billings for services
11 provided by other state agencies to the Board, \$1.2
12 million for rentals and leases and finally \$872,000
13 for the other operating expense category, which
14 includes fingerprints and database fees related to
15 background investigations.

16 That concludes my remarks.

17 CHAIR: Thank you. Any questions or
18 comments for Dave? Thank you, Dave.

19 MR. RHEN: Thank you.

20 CHAIR: Now we will hear from our
21 Human Resources Director, Heidi Zula. Heidi?

22 MS. ZULA: Good morning, Madam Chair
23 and members of the Board. The Office of Human
24 Resources has one motion for your consideration today
25 relative to the hiring of one individual who has

1 completed the background investigation and drug
2 screening and is ready for presentation to the Board.

3 Melissa Taylor has been selected as a
4 Casino Compliance Representative 1 at Happy Valley
5 Casino and is recommended for hire by Gregg Hazzouri,
6 Director of Casino Compliance. Unless you have any
7 questions, I ask that the Board consider a motion to
8 approve the hiring as indicated.

9 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
10 Hearing none, may I have a motion, please?

11 MR. HUFFMAN: Madam Chair,
12 Commissioner Huffman moves that the Board approve the
13 applicants for hire and proposed by the Human
14 Resource Director.

15
16 MR. GAINNEY: Madam Chair, Commissioner
17 Gainney seconds.

18 CHAIR: All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

20 CHAIR: All opposed?

21 Motion's adopted.

22 MS. ZULA: Thank you.

23 CHAIR: Thank you, Heidi. Next is our
24 Office of Chief Counsel (OCC).

25 ATTORNEY COOK: Good morning. Making

1 sure.

2 CHAIR: Good morning.

3 ATTORNEY COOK: Good morning, Chair.

4 CHAIR: Good morning.

5 ATTORNEY COOK: This morning we have
6 four Petitions for consideration. Each of these
7 Petitions are being brought before the Board on the
8 documentary record. As there has been no objection
9 filed or the requested relief has been agreed to in
10 each of these matters, the Board has in advance of
11 this meeting been provided with all documents filed
12 of record prior to today.

13 The first Petition before the Board is
14 a request from Sports Information Services Limited
15 for reclassification of its Sports Waging - Sports
16 Wagering Operator License to a Sports Wagering
17 Manufacturer License.

18 By way of background, Sports
19 Information Services Limited applied for licensure in
20 - with the Board in 2018, at which time the Board had
21 begun accepting licensing applications for sports
22 wagering. At that time it was determined by the
23 Board's Bureau of Licensing that Sports Information
24 Services Limited should be licensed as a Sports
25 Wagering Operator. At present, Sports Information

1 Services Limited does not offer sports wagering in
2 either the retail or online setting. Rather, they
3 support operators who do so by providing various
4 services that operators rely on to be able to make
5 sports bets available to the public.

6 Because of this arrangement, they are
7 more appropriately identified as a Sports Wagering
8 Manufacturer and the Petition here is for that
9 change. The Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC) has
10 no objection to this requested relief and the matter
11 is now ripe for the Board's consideration.

12 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
13 May I have a motion please?

14 MR. GAINNEY: Madam Chair, Commissioner
15 Gainney moves that the Board grant Sports Information
16 Service Limited Petition to reclassify as a Sports
17 Wagering Manufacturer as described by the OCC,
18 subject to the conditions that will be outlined in
19 the Board's Order.

20 MR. DUNBAR: Commissioner Dunbar
21 seconds.

22 CHAIR: All in favor?

23 AYES RESPOND

24 CHAIR: All opposed?

25 Motion's adopted.

1 ATTORNEY COOK: Next before the Board
2 is Play'n Go New Jersey, LLC's Petition for a Change
3 in Control nunc pro tunc.

4 Play'n Go New Jersey, LLC is a
5 Licensed Interactive Gaming Manufacturer with the
6 Board and is indirectly owned by Planestate Holdings
7 AB, with an approximate 22 percent interest, and
8 Tigrim 1 AB, with an approximate 78 percent interest.
9 In late 2023, a Share Transfer Agreement was signed,
10 whereby Tigrim acquired Planestate Holdings' 22
11 percent interest. Subsequently, Planestate and
12 Joakim Dahl, its owner, withdrew their License
13 Applications with the Board and the Board approved
14 that withdrawal in January of 2024.

15 However, in June 20 - of 2024, Play'n
16 Go New Jersey informed the Board that Tigrim had not
17 fulfilled the terms of the Share Transfer Agreement,
18 which resulted in Planestate reverting back to being
19 a 22 percent shareholder of Play'n Go. However, at
20 that - at that point neither Planestate nor Mr. Dahl
21 were licensed. Because of this transfer to an
22 unlicensed - to two unlicensed parties, a
23 Change-in-Control Petition had to be filed. The
24 Petition presently before the Board seeks to cure
25 that deficiency.

1 It was - it's worth noting for the
2 record that on July 3rd, 2024, the parties entered
3 into a new Share Transfer Agreement which once again
4 resulted in Tigrim obtaining Planestate's 22 percent
5 interest and becoming the 100 percent owner of Play'n
6 Go.

7 The OEC has no objection to this
8 Petition, subject to conditions in its Answer.

9 Both parties have requested that
10 certain information in the pleadings be maintained as
11 confidential. The OCC would concur in that request
12 and this matter is now ripe for the Board's
13 consideration.

14 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
15 May I have a motion please?

16 MR. DUNBAR: Madam Chair, Commissioner
17 Dunbar moves that the Board grant Play'n Go New
18 Jersey, LLC's nunc pro tunc Petition for Approval of
19 Change of Control as described by the OCC. I further
20 move that both the Petitioners and the OEC's motions
21 to protect confidential information be granted.

22 MR. DILLON: Commissioner Dillon
23 seconds.

24 CHAIR: All in favor?

25 AYES RESPOND

1 CHAIR: All opposed?

2 Motion's adopted.

3 ATTORNEY COOK: The next Petition
4 before the Board today is Ace High Investment, LLP's
5 request seeking intervention into four challenges to
6 the confiscation of funds by an iGaming Operator,
7 Digital Gaming Corporation, doing business as Spin
8 Palace and Jackpot City Casino. These matters are
9 pending before the Board's Office of Hearings and
10 Appeals (OHA).

11 Ace High Investments, LLP is a company
12 that asserts that they provide their clients with an
13 analysis of promotions and wagering opportunities
14 offered by licensed online casinos. They further
15 state that they provide their clients with resources,
16 providing them guidance on various betting
17 strategies, helping them to make informed decisions
18 when wagering.

19 By way of background, in September of
20 2024, the Board issued Industry Guidance to all
21 Interactive Gaming and Sports Wagering Operators,
22 which laid out procedures to be followed in order for
23 the Operator to confiscate funds from patron accounts
24 when fraudulent activity was suspected on those
25 accounts. When a patron is notified by an Operator

1 their account is suspended of fraud - because of
2 suspected fraud, and it is - it is proposed that
3 funds be confiscated, the patron has 30 days to file
4 a challenge of that confiscation of funds with the
5 Board.

6 Four individuals, Emerson Naugle,
7 James Doherty, Carissa Ciociola and Donald James
8 filed challenges to confiscation of funds initiated
9 by Digital Gaming Corporation. Digital Gaming
10 Corporation filed a response to each of these
11 challenges that the four individuals, using Ace
12 High's tactics, violated the Board's regulations.

13 Ace High now wishes to intervene in
14 these challenges, stating that it has a direct and
15 immediate interest in the proceeding. None of the
16 parties in this matter filed an Answer to Ace High's
17 Motion to Intervene.

18 The OCC has no objection to Ace High
19 being granted intervention in this matter.
20 Additionally, as with similar matters to this one,
21 the OCC recommends that the Board, sua sponte, grant
22 intervenor status to the OEC on behalf of the various
23 bureaus within the Board, so as to allow staff's
24 position on these practices to be considered. And
25 this matter is now ripe for the Board's

1 consideration.

2 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
3 May I have a motion, please?

4 MR. DILLON: Madam Chair, Commissioner
5 Dillon moves that the Board grant the Motion to
6 Intervene as described by the OCC, subject to the
7 conditions listed in the Board's Order, so as to
8 ensure that the Board has all of the information
9 necessary to make the informed decision on the
10 underlying matters.

11 I further move that the OEC, as
12 Counsel for the various bureaus of the Board, be
13 joined as the intervenor as well.

14 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Commissioner
15 Quiñones-Sánchez seconds.

16 CHAIR: All in favor?

17 AYES RESPOND

18 CHAIR: All opposed?

19 Motion carries.

20 ATTORNEY COOK: The last Petition
21 before the Board pertains to a request to be removed
22 from the Lifetime Involuntary Exclusion List. An
23 individual with the initials X.W. placed herself on
24 the Self-Exclusion List on October 10th, 2015,
25 choosing the lifetime self-exclusion option.

1 On February 13th, 2026, X.W. filed a
2 request for removal from the List stating that when
3 she self-excluded, it was due to having just ended a
4 romantic relationship with a casino Dealer and she
5 placed herself on the List in order to stop herself
6 from visiting the facility. X.W. stated further that
7 she's now retired and she has discipline to
8 understand the gaming and attending casinos is for
9 entertainment only. She submitted the required
10 Problem Gambling Assessment Verification Form signed
11 by a Certified Assessment Provider, confirming that
12 an assessment of X.W. was completed and that no
13 gambling treatment was recommended.

14 The OEC filed an Answer stating that
15 it's been over ten years since X.W.'s placement on
16 the List and she submitted all the required
17 documentation. OEC did note that X.W. had four
18 violations of her exclusions, three of which she
19 actually gamed when found on a casino floor.
20 However, the first of these occurred shortly after
21 her exclusion ten years ago and the most recent one
22 was 2019, which is over six years ago. And as a
23 result the OEC has no objection to her removal from
24 the Self-Exclusion List.

25 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?

1 May I have a motion, please?

2 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Thank you,
3 Madam Chair. Commissioner Quiñones-Sánchez moves
4 that the Board grant X.W.'s Petition for removal from
5 the casino's Self-Exclusion List as described by the
6 OCC.

7 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
8 Manzano-Díaz second.

9 CHAIR: All in favor?

10 AYES RESPOND

11 CHAIR: All opposed?

12 Motion's adopted.

13 ATTORNEY COOK: Next presenting
14 Withdrawals and Surrenders, as well as Reports and
15 Recommendations is Deputy Chief Counsel Chad
16 Zimmermann.

17 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Good morning,
18 Madam Chair, members of the Board.

19 The next matter before the Board today
20 pertains to several unopposed Petitions to our
21 withdraw applications or surrender the credentials of
22 the following individuals and entities: Golden Glo
23 Carpet Cleaners, Inc.; Petfre Gibraltar Limited;
24 Joanna Whitaker; Kresimir Spajic; Maile Kelikoa-
25 Helton; Anthony Cooper; Casoomi Ltd.; Claudia Cassar;

1 Traffic Lab US, Inc.; Tim the Tatman, Inc.; William
2 Lovely; Mark Kropf; Craig Townsend; Aron Borod; JNBN
3 Investments, Inc.; RPRS Gaming, LLC; RPRS Gaming, LP;
4 Derivco UK Limited; Walton Majestic Holdings VI GP,
5 LLC; Walton Majestic Star Holdings VI, L.P.; High
6 Pitt Gaming GP, LLC; High Pitt Gaming LP; Pittsburgh
7 Gaming Investors Intermediate, LLC; Pittsburgh Gaming
8 Investors GP, LLC; Pittsburgh Gaming Holdings GP,
9 LLC; Ira Schulman; David Jeshurun; Ira Lubert; Eric
10 Mogentale; Cira Pittsburgh Gaming Investor, LP; Cira
11 Pittsburgh Gaming Investor GP, LLC; Spade Bidco
12 S.a.r.l.; Spade Holdco S.a.r.l.; Spade Midco
13 S.a.r.l.; Spade Topco S.a.r.l.; Spade Voteco Ltd.;
14 Boyle Construction, Inc.; Sean Boyle; Kenneth
15 Duerholz; Beverly Boger; Richard Flowerdew; Egon
16 Durban; Fawn Weaver; Jacqueline Reses; Mark Shapiro;
17 Patrick Whitesell; Seth Krauss; Steven Evans; Ursula
18 Burns; William Fullerton; Endeavor Executive Holdco,
19 LLC; Endeavor Groups Holding, Inc.; Endeavor Manager,
20 LLC; Endeavor Operating Company, LLC; Endeavor
21 Parent, LLC; New IMG, Inc.; Silver Lake West Holdco
22 II, LP; Silver Lake West Holdco, LP; Silver Lake West
23 VoteCo, LLC; WME IMG Holdings, LLC and WM IMG, LLC.

24 The OEC has no objections to any of
25 these Withdrawals or Surrenders. As a result, if the

1 Board chooses to grant the request in each case, it
2 would be doing so without prejudice to the requester.
3 For the record, Betfred Sports Pennsylvania, LLC did
4 petition the Board pertaining to the surrender of its
5 license, as well as 25 additional entities and
6 individuals. However, those licenses expired prior
7 to today's meeting, so the requests are deemed moot,
8 but the Board's Order will reflect that no prejudice
9 attaches to those licenses because they did follow
10 protocol.

11 This matter is now ripe for the
12 Board's consideration.

13 ATTORNEY COOK: You take the rest of
14 the day off.

15 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Yeah.

16 CHAIR: Chad, just for the record, you
17 may want to clarify Ira Lubert.

18 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Correct. Ira
19 Lubert's surrender is only related to his interests
20 previously held in the Rivers Pittsburgh license, not
21 his current licensure with other entities.

22 CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. Any other
23 questions or comments? May I have a motion, please?

24 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
25 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board issue

1 Orders to approve the Withdrawals and Surrenders as
2 described by the OCC.

3 MR. HUFFMAN: Commissioner Huffman
4 seconds.

5 CHAIR: All in favor?

6 AYES RESPOND

7 CHAIR: All opposed?

8 Motion's adopted.

9 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Next before the
10 Board for consideration are 12 Reports and
11 Recommendations received from the OHA. These Reports
12 and Recommendations, along with the evidentiary
13 record for each matter, have been provided to the
14 Board in advance of today's meeting. Additionally,
15 the persons involved in each have been notified that
16 the Board would be considering their matter today and
17 that each would have the ability to come forward and
18 briefly address the Board should they choose to do
19 so.

20 If any of these persons are present
21 today, I would and would like to address the Board.
22 They should come forward when their matter is called.

23 The first Report and Recommendation
24 before the Board today is Alvin Burrell. Mr. Burrell
25 holds a Gaming Employee Occupation Permit and is

1 employed as a Table Games Dealer at Live! Casino and
2 Hotel in Philadelphia. In February of 2021, Mr.
3 Burrell submitted an Application for a Gaming Level 2
4 Employee Occupation Permit to be employed as a Dual
5 Rate Table Games Dealer at Live! Philadelphia. The
6 Application, however, was put on hold for a period of
7 time only to be reactivated by Live! and Mr. Burrell
8 in 2024.

9 Thereafter, the OEC issued a Notice
10 recommending denial of the Application based on
11 outstanding tax obligations, failing to amend an
12 application and disregarding debts owed to a licensed
13 facility.

14 A hearing in this matter was held
15 December of 2025. OEC appeared, offering testimonial
16 and documentary evidence. Mr. Burrell appeared and
17 testified on his own behalf.

18 Evidence and testimony presented at
19 the hearing showed that Mr. Burrell owed back taxes
20 to three different states and had two defaults filed
21 against him for monies he owed to Harrah's
22 Philadelphia, who had paid moving expenses for him
23 when he took a position with them in 2010.

24 Mr. Burrell testified that he had
25 satisfied some of those debts and continues to work

1 on satisfying the tax issues in other jurisdictions.
2 He testified that he's been employed in the gaming
3 industry for over 30 years, has assisted in opening
4 casinos, has taught table games dealing and is doing
5 all he can to pay his outstanding debts.
6 He has recently bought a home and cares for his wife,
7 who has health issues.

8 Following a hearing, a Report and
9 Recommendation was issued, recommending that Mr.
10 Burrell's G2 Permit Application be granted, with a
11 special condition regarding the payment of his
12 outstanding liabilities.

13 And this matter is now ripe for the
14 Board's consideration.

15 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
16 May I have a motion, please?

17 MR. HUFFMAN: Madam Chair,
18 Commissioner Huffman moves that the Board adopt the
19 Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA as
20 described by the OCC and that Alvin Burrell's Gaming
21 Level 2 Employee Occupation Permit Application be
22 granted.

23 I further move that certain documents
24 which will be outlined - outlined in the Board's
25 Order be marked as confidential or be redacted.

1 MR. GAINNEY: Madam Chair, Commissioner
2 Gainney seconds.

3 CHAIR: All in favor?

4 AYES RESPOND

5 CHAIR: All opposed?

6 Motion's adopted.

7 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Next is Carl
8 Kornegay's Report and Recommendation. In December of
9 2024, Mr. Kornegay submitted his Gaming Employee
10 Occupation Permit Renewal Application to continue his
11 employment as a Sportsbook Writer at Mohegan
12 Pennsylvania.

13 In March of 2025, the OEC issued a
14 Notice of Recommendation of Denial of Mr. Kornegay's
15 Renewal Application due to failure to file federal
16 tax returns for the years 2020 through 2023.

17 A hearing in this matter was held on
18 May 29, 2025. OEC appeared offering testimony and
19 documentary evidence. Mr. Kornegay appeared and
20 testified on his own behalf. At the conclusion of
21 the hearing, Mr. Kornegay was given the opportunity
22 by the Hearing Officer to have three months to
23 provide evidence that he had filed all outstanding
24 federal tax returns. Thereafter, Mr. Kornegay did
25 provide proof that he had filed his 2020 and 2021

1 returns and that 2022 and 2023 were in the progress
2 of being filed.

3 The Hearing Officer provided Mr.
4 Kornegay with additional time to provide proof that
5 he had filed the '22 and '23 returns. However, he
6 failed to do so and the record was subsequently
7 closed.

8 A Report and Recommendation was
9 issued, recommending that Mr. Kornegay's Renewal
10 Application be denied, but that he be permitted to
11 reapply early, should he be able to demonstrate
12 compliance with his federal tax filing obligations.

13 This matter is now ripe for the
14 Board's consideration.

15 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
16 May I have a motion, please?

17 MR. GAINNEY: Madam Chair,
18 Commissioner Gainey moves that the Board adopt the
19 Report and Recommendations issued by the OHA as
20 described by the OCC and that Carl Kornegay's Gaming
21 Employee Occupation Permit Renewal Application be
22 denied, but he shall be able to reapply should he
23 satisfy the conditions which will be laid out in the
24 Board's Order.

25 I further move that certain documents,

1 which will be outlined in the Board's Order, be
2 marked as confidential or be redacted.

3 MR. DUNBAR: Commissioner Dunbar
4 seconds.

5 CHAIR: All in favor?

6 AYES RESPOND

7 CHAIR: All opposed?

8 Motion passes.

9 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Darnell
10 Rutherford is the next Report and Recommendation
11 before the Board. In May of 2025, Mr. Rutherford
12 submitted his Non-Gaming Employee Registration
13 Application, seeking to work as a Beverage Bar Porter
14 at Rivers Casino Philadelphia.

15 In September 2025, the OEC issued a
16 Notice of Recommendation in denial of Mr.
17 Rutherford's Application, based on a criminal felony
18 conviction, following theft of a motor vehicle and
19 also outstanding court costs owed.

20 A hearing in this matter was held
21 January of 2026. Mr. Rutherford failed to appear.
22 The OEC appeared and made a motion to enter a Default
23 Judgment against Mr. Rutherford. Consequently, a
24 Report and Recommendation was issued, recommending
25 that Mr. Rutherford's Application be denied.

1 And this matter is now ripe for the
2 Board's consideration.

3 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
4 May I have a motion, please?

5 MR. DILLON: Madam Chair, Commissioner
6 Dillon moves that the Board adopt the Report and
7 Recommendation issued by the OHA as described by the
8 OCC and that Darnell Rutherford's Non-Gaming Employee
9 Registration Application be denied.

10 I further move that certain documents,
11 which will be outlined in the Board's Order, be
12 marked as confidential or be redacted.

13 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Commissioner
14 Quiñones-Sánchez seconds.

15 CHAIR: All in favor?

16 AYES RESPOND

17 CHAIR: All opposed?

18 Motion's adopted.

19 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: The next Report
20 and Recommendation before the Board pertains to
21 Lightning Gaming, Inc.

22 On January 5th, 2026, the OEC filed a
23 Request for Emergency Suspension of Lightning
24 Gaming's Slot Manufacturer License, for their failure
25 to maintain financial suitability and failure to pay

1 their licensing fee.

2 On January 29th, 2026, a hearing was
3 held. OEC appeared, presenting testimony and
4 documentary evidence. Lightning Gaming failed to
5 appear and the hearing was held in their absence.
6 Evidence and testimony presented at the hearing
7 showed that Lightning Gaming had cash flow issues,
8 which resulted in a default on a loan agreement held
9 with one of their creditors. Due to Lightning
10 Gaming's financial issues, the Board permitted them
11 to pay their \$150,000 licensing renewal fee in three
12 installments of \$50,000 over a three year period.

13 Lightning Gaming made their first
14 installment payment but failed to make the other two.

15 Consequently, a Report and
16 Recommendation was issued, recommending that the
17 suspension of Lightning Gaming Slot Machine
18 Manufacturer License remain in effect.

19 And this matter's now ripe for the
20 Board's consideration.

21 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
22 May I have a motion please?

23 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Madam Chair,
24 Commissioner Quiñones-Sánchez moves that the Board
25 adopt the Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA

1 as described by the OCC, and that the suspension of
2 Lightning Gaming Inc.'s Slot Machine Manufacturer
3 License remain in effect.

4 I further move that certain documents,
5 which will be outlined in the Board's Order, be
6 marked as confidential or be redacted.

7 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
8 Manzano-Díaz second.

9 CHAIR: All in favor?

10 AYES RESPOND

11 CHAIR:

12 All opposed?

13 Motion's adopted.

14 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: The next Report
15 and Recommendation is for Mya Pellettiro. Ms.
16 Pellettiro holds a Non-Gaming Employee Registration
17 for employment as a Beverage Server at Rivers Casino
18 Pittsburgh.

19 On November 3rd of 2025, the OEC filed
20 a Request for Emergency Suspension of Ms.
21 Pellettiro's registration, after receiving notice
22 that she had been criminally charged with felony,
23 misdemeanor and summary offenses. As a result of
24 these charges, the Board's Executive Director issued
25 an Emergency Order suspending Ms. Pellettiro's

1 registration.

2 A hearing on this matter was held
3 November 7, 2025. OEC appeared, presenting both
4 documentary and testimonial evidence. Ms.
5 Pellettiro failed to appear and the hearing was
6 initially held in her absence. Evidence presented
7 showed that on October 1st, 2025, Ms. Pellettiro and
8 two male coconspirators followed a male victim out of
9 a bar to his vehicle where they assaulted and robbed
10 him.

11 The victim alleged that he had
12 previously been in a relationship with Ms.
13 Pellettiro. The misdemeanor charge of disorderly
14 conduct was dismissed, but all other charges remain
15 pending.

16 Following the hearing, a Report and
17 Recommendation was issued, recommending that the
18 suspension of Ms. Pellettiro's Registration remain in
19 effect.

20 And this matter is now ripe for the
21 Board's consideration.

22 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
23 May I have a motion, please?

24 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
25 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board adopt

1 the Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA as
2 described by the OCC, and that the suspension of Mya
3 Pellettiro's Non-Gaming Employee Registration remain
4 in effect.

5 I further move that certain documents,
6 which will be outlined in the Board's Order, be
7 marked as confidential or be redacted.

8 MR. HUFFMAN: Commissioner Huffman
9 seconds.

10 CHAIR: All in favor?

11 AYES RESPOND

12 CHAIR: All opposed?

13 Motion passes.

14 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Emily DiSanto's
15 matter is next before the Board.

16 In May of 2023, Ms. DiSanto was issued
17 a Non-Gaming Employee Registration to be employed as
18 a Bartender at Valley Forge Casino Resort.

19 On July 1, 2025, the OEC filed a
20 Complaint seeking to revoke Ms. DiSanto's
21 Registration.

22 A hearing on this matter was held
23 January 2026. OEC appeared, presenting testimonial,
24 documentary and surveillance evidence. Ms. DiSanto
25 appeared and testified on her own behalf.

1 Evidence and testimony showed that on
2 at least 27 occasions, between May 3rd and May 11,
3 2025, while bartending at Valley Forge, Ms. DiSanto
4 served alcoholic beverages to several patrons without
5 opening a tab or entering the transactions in the
6 point of sale and failing to follow the proper or
7 appropriate process on giving complimentary beverages
8 to slot machine patrons.

9 Specifically, on several instances,
10 Ms. DiSanto took large sums of cash from patrons,
11 placing it in the tip bucket and failing to enter the
12 sale on the computer. She further was seen using the
13 tip bucket instead of the cash drawer to make change
14 for cash sales. Ms. DiSanto also failed to either
15 confirm or inquire with patrons as to whether they
16 were Player Reward Card members who were entitled to
17 complimentary beverages prior to serving them.

18 It was also found that she did not
19 enter comp beverages into the system as required.

20 Ms. DiSanto testified that she made
21 change of the tip jar for convenience. She did not
22 always have the time to enter a drink into the point
23 of sale system, but at the end of the evening would
24 ring in drinks, because she was able to recall what
25 beverages were served and what customers were

1 entitled to comped beverages. She further stated
2 that she is an exemplary employee. She has never
3 received any warnings or disciplinary action prior to
4 her termination from Valley Forge and is not subject
5 to any criminal proceedings for the actions that led
6 to her termination. She is currently employed as a
7 Bartender at Live! Philadelphia and has received
8 several commendations or awards during her employment
9 with them.

10 After hearing all the evidence
11 presented, a Report and Recommendation was issued,
12 recommending that the Registration be revoked.

13 And this matter's now ripe for the
14 Board's consideration.

15 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
16 May I have a motion, please?

17 MR. HUFFMAN: Madam Chair,
18 Commissioner Huffman moves that the Board adopt the
19 Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA as
20 described by the OCC and that Emily DiSanto's
21 Non-Gaming Employee Registration be revoked.

22 I further move that certain documents,
23 which will be outlined in the Board's Order, be
24 marked as confidential or be redacted.

25 MR. GAINES: Madam Chair, Commissioner

1 Gainey seconds.

2 CHAIR: All in favor?

3 AYES RESPOND

4 CHAIR: All opposed?

5 Motion's adopted.

6 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Next before the
7 Board is a Report and Recommendation pertaining to
8 Izaiya Velazquez's Petition to lift the suspension of
9 his Gaming Employee Occupation Permit.

10 By way of background on May 13, 2025,
11 the OEC filed a request seeking Emergency Suspension
12 of Mr. Velazquez's Gaming Employee Occupation Permit
13 after receiving notice he had been criminally charged
14 with felony offenses stemming from thefts he
15 allegedly committed from his employer while working
16 at a Best Western Plus Hotel.

17 Specifically, it was alleged that he
18 stole \$3,000 from a safe and \$110 from a cash
19 register, as well as caused damage to the safe and
20 the property. As a result of the charges, the
21 Board's Executive Director issued an Emergency Order
22 suspending his Permit.

23 A hearing was held and subsequently a
24 recommendation was issued, saying that the suspension
25 should remain in effect. And the Board issued an

1 Order adopting that Report and Recommendation.

2 In October 2025, Mr. Velazquez filed a
3 Request to lift the suspension of his Permit, stating
4 that all criminal charges had been dismissed.

5 The OEC confirmed Mr. Velazquez's assertion and
6 filed an Answer indicating it had no objections to
7 the request, as a basis for the Emergency Suspension
8 no longer existed. However, the Board had some
9 concerns and requested the matter be sent to the OHA
10 for a hearing and the creation of an evidentiary
11 record.

12 A hearing was held January of 2026.
13 Both OEC and Mr. Velazquez appeared and - and he
14 testified.

15 Evidence and testimony presented at
16 the hearing showed that while working at the Best
17 Western on August 25, 2024, Mr. Velazquez, who was 20
18 years old at the time, was contacted by someone who
19 knew both his name and the GM of the hotel,
20 purporting to be the owner, and relay detailed
21 instructions to Mr. Velazquez how to obtain money
22 from the safe in order to pay for a work order for
23 the hotel smoke alarms.

24 Mr. Velazquez followed all the
25 instructions provided by the individual, including

1 taking the funds, depositing them into different
2 Bitcoin ATMs. Upon arriving back to work later that
3 day, he was terminated. Mr. Velazquez provided
4 screenshots of the entire conversation with the
5 person purporting to be the owner, along with
6 receipts from the ATMs where the deposits were made.
7 He also had a text from the individual telling him
8 that he had been scammed.

9 Following a hearing, a Report and
10 Recommendation was issued, recommending that Mr.
11 Velazquez's Petition be granted and the suspension be
12 lifted.

13 This matter is now ripe for the
14 Board's consideration.

15 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
16 May I have a motion, please?

17 MR. GAINNEY: Madam Chair,
18 Commissioner Gainey moves that the Board adopt the
19 Report and Recommendations issued by the OHA and
20 described by the OCC and grant Izaiya Velazquez's
21 Petition to lift suspension of his Gaming Employee
22 Occupation Permit.

23 I further move that certain documents,
24 which will be outlined in the Board's Order, be
25 marked as confidential or be redacted.

1 MR. DUNBAR: Commissioner Dunbar
2 seconds.

3 CHAIR: All in favor?

4 AYES RESPOND

5 CHAIR: All opposed?

6 Motion's adopted.

7 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Next is a Report
8 and Recommendation pertaining to Omar Muhammad.

9 By way of background, on April 26,
10 2023, the Board issued an Order revoking Mr.
11 Muhammad's Gaming Employee Occupation Permit after
12 discovering that while working as a Sportsbook Writer
13 at Live! Casino Philadelphia, he improperly accepted
14 bets from a patron over the phone, wherein the patron
15 refused to pay the bets, resulting in a \$95,000 loss
16 to the casino.

17 In September 2025, Mr. Muhammad filed
18 his Petition requesting permission to apply - reapply
19 early for a Permit, alleging that a supervisor placed
20 those unauthorized bets on his account when he was
21 not present by utilizing his login information
22 without his knowledge. OEC filed an Answer objecting
23 to Mr. Muhammad's request.

24 A hearing in this matter was held
25 January 7, 2026. Mr. Muhammad failed to appear and

1 OEC moved to dismiss the Petition based on Mr.
2 Muhammad's absence.

3 A Report and Recommendation was
4 issued, recommending that Mr. Muhammad's Petition be
5 dismissed.

6 And this matter is now ripe for the
7 Board's consideration.

8 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
9 May I have a motion, please?

10 MR. DUNBAR: Madam Chair, Commissioner
11 Dunbar moves that the Board adopt a Report and
12 Recommendation issued by the OHA as described by the
13 OCC and deny Omar Muhammad's Petition for early
14 reapplication for a Gaming Employee Occupation
15 Permit.

16 MR. DILLON: Commissioner Dillon
17 seconds.

18 CHAIR: All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

20 CHAIR: All opposed?

21 Motion passes.

22 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Next before the
23 Board is Sean Schweitzer's Report and Recommendation.

24 On July 21st, 2022, the OEC filed a
25 Petition to place Sean Schweitzer on the Board's

1 Involuntary Exclusion List after being notified that
2 in June 2021, Mr. Schweitzer left a 13-year-old child
3 unattended in a vehicle at Presque Isle Downs for 21
4 minutes while he entered the casino to cash in a
5 winning sports wagering ticket. He was banned from
6 the property for one year, but no criminal charges
7 were filed.

8 In March 2025, Mr. Schweitzer filed
9 his Request for removal from the List, asserting that
10 he had gone into Presque Isle to cash in his winning
11 Sportsbook ticket so that he could use the cash to
12 take his son to a restaurant near the casino. He
13 further stated in his request that he did not know
14 that leaving his son in the vehicle for a short
15 period of time was prohibited, but knowing that now,
16 he would not do it again.

17 A hearing in this matter was held June
18 of 2025. However, Mr. Schweitzer failed to appear
19 and OEC moved to have his Petition denied.

20 Thereafter, a Report and
21 Recommendation was issued, recommending that the
22 Petition for removal from the List be denied.

23 And this matter is now ripe for the
24 Board's consideration.

25 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?

1 May I have a motion, please?

2 MR. DILLON: Madam Chair, Commissioner
3 Dillon moves that the Board adopt a Report and
4 Recommendation issued by the OHA as described by the
5 OCC and that Sean Schweitzer's Petition for removal
6 from the Involuntary Exclusion List be denied.

7 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Commissioner
8 Quiñones-Sánchez seconds.

9 CHAIR: All in favor?

10 AYES RESPOND

11 CHAIR: All opposed?

12 Motion's adopted.

13 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: Ryan Terrell's
14 matter is next before the Board today. In September
15 2019, the OEC filed a Petition to place Ryan Terrell
16 on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List, following
17 an incident where he stole a purse from an individual
18 at Mohegan Sun Pocono Resort, now Mohegan
19 Pennsylvania. Consequently, the Board placed Mr.
20 Terrell on the List in January of 2020.

21 In November 2024, Mr. Terrell filed
22 his Request for removal from the List. OEC filed an
23 Answer objecting to the request. As per the Board
24 regulations, five years had not elapsed since his
25 placement on the List.

1 Thereafter, a hearing was held in
2 March of 2025. OEC appeared at the hearing. Mr.
3 Terrell failed to appear.

4 And a Report and Recommendation was
5 issued, recommending that Mr. Terrell's Petition be
6 dismissed. Thereafter, Mr. Terrell contacted the
7 OHA, saying he never received notice of the hearing.
8 A new hearing was held on February 5th, 2026.
9 However, once again, Mr. Terrell failed to appear.

10 Subsequently, another Report and
11 Recommendation was issued, recommending that Mr.
12 Terrell's Petition be dismissed.

13 And this matter is now ripe for the
14 Board's consideration.

15 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
16 May I have a motion, please?

17 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Madam Chair,
18 Commissioner Quiñones-Sánchez moves that the Board
19 adopt the Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA
20 as described by the OCC and that Ryan Terrell's
21 Petition for removal from the Involuntary Exclusion
22 List be denied.

23 I further move that certain documents,
24 which will be outlined in the Board's Order, be
25 marked as confidential or be redacted.

1 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
2 Manzano-Díaz second.

3 CHAIR: All in favor?

4 AYES RESPOND

5 CHAIR: All opposed?

6 Motion's adopted.

7 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: The next Report
8 and Recommendation stems from a request for early
9 removal from the Voluntary Self-Exclusion List by an
10 individual with the initials J.M.

11 On November 16, 2021, J.M. placed
12 himself on the casino Self-Exclusion List, choosing
13 the five year exclusionary period.

14 On October 1st, 2025, J.M. requested
15 removal from the List, claiming that it was a family
16 member who had placed him on the List without his
17 knowledge.

18 On October 7, 2025, the OHA issued a
19 Decision and Order denying the request. J.M.
20 appealed the Decision, which the Board granted. OEC
21 then filed an Answer objecting to J.M.'s request for
22 early removal and a hearing was held in February of
23 2026. OEC appeared, offering testimony and
24 documentary evidence. J.M. also appeared at the
25 hearing, testifying on his own behalf.

1 Evidence and testimony presented
2 showed that J.M. had properly filled out all of the
3 Exclusion Forms, signing all required
4 acknowledgments, stating he was a problem gambler, he
5 was excluding himself from casinos and gaming-related
6 activities, and that he could not be removed from the
7 List until after his expiration period.

8 J.M. testified that he did not request
9 to be placed on the Self-Exclusion List, but rather
10 that at a family gathering where he was intoxicated,
11 someone in his family placed him on the List.

12 Testimony and evidence further showed
13 that between September 19, 2019 and October 2023,
14 J.M. created 11 different iGaming accounts with
15 multiple operators. Then in March of 2022 sent
16 messages to three of them requesting Win/Loss
17 Statements, stating that he had decided to
18 self-exclude.

19 After hearing all the evidence
20 presented, a Report and Recommendation was issued,
21 recommending that J.M.'s request for early removal
22 from the List be denied.

23 And this matter is now ripe for the
24 Board's consideration.

25 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?

1 May I have a motion, please?

2 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
3 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board adopt
4 the Report and Recommendations issued by the OHA as
5 described by the OCC, and that J.M.'s Petition for
6 removal from the Self-Exclusion List be denied and he
7 remain on the Self-Exclusion List.

8 I further move that this matter be
9 placed under seal, as it involves a self-excluded
10 individual.

11 MR. HUFFMAN: Commissioner Huffman
12 seconds.

13 CHAIR: All in favor?

14 AYES RESPOND

15 CHAIR: All opposed?

16 Motion passes.

17 ATTORNEY ZIMMERMANN: The final Report
18 and Recommendation today pertains to a Petition to
19 recover funds confiscated while on the Involuntary
20 Exclusion List. The OEC filed an Answer objecting to
21 Daral Cresswell's request.

22 A hearing in this matter was held
23 January of 2026. OEC appeared, presenting both
24 testimony - testimony and documentary evidence.
25 Despite receiving proper notice, Mr. Cresswell failed

1 to appear and the hearing was held in his absence.
2 The evidence and testimony presented by OEC showed
3 that on October 29, 2024, the Board issued an Order
4 placing Mr. Cresswell on the Involuntary Exclusion
5 List after it was discovered that he colluded with a
6 Table Games Dealer at Rivers Casino Philadelphia,
7 resulting in Mr. Cresswell being paid out insurance
8 wagers on at least three occasions.

9 On August 14, 2025, Mr. Cresswell
10 violated his exclusion, entered in gaming at Rivers
11 Philadelphia. He attempted to have another
12 individual cash in \$12,600 worth of chips that he had
13 in his possession, all of which was confiscated upon
14 discovering he was on the List. On the same day, Mr.
15 Cresswell filed a Petition to recover - requesting
16 recovery of those funds.

17 OEC stipulated, after evaluating Mr.
18 Cresswell's game play, that he is entitled to have
19 \$2,000 returned to him, as that represents his final
20 buy-in amount and the rest is winnings subject to
21 confiscation.

22 Following a hearing, a Report and
23 Recommendation was issued, recommending that \$2,000
24 be returned to Mr. Cresswell and the remaining funds
25 be remitted to the Board to fund Compulsive and

1 Problem Gambling Programs.

2 And this matter is now ripe for the
3 Board's consideration.

4 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
5 May I have a motion, please?

6 MR. HUFFMAN: Madam Chair,
7 Commissioner Huffman moves that the Board adopt the
8 Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA as
9 described by the OCC and grant in part Daral
10 Cresswell's Petition to recover monies confiscated
11 from him while on the Involuntary Exclusion List and
12 that \$2,000 be returned to Daral Cresswell.

13 I further move that the certain
14 documents, which will be outlined - outlined in the
15 Board's Order, be marked as confidential or be
16 redacted.

17 MR. GAINNEY: Madam Chair, Commissioner
18 Gainney seconds.

19 CHAIR: All in favor?

20 AYES RESPOND

21 CHAIR: All opposed?

22 Motion is adopted.

23 ATTORNEY COOK: That concludes the
24 matters of the OCC.

25 CHAIR: Thank you, Steve and Chad. We

1 will next have the Bureau of Licensing matters
2 presented by the Director of Bureau of Licensing,
3 Sean Hannan.

4 Prior to Mr. Hannon starting, we're
5 going to take a five-minute break and we will be
6 right back.

7 SHORT BREAK TAKEN

8 CHAIR: All right. We're back on the
9 record and we are going through the Bureau of
10 Licensing matters with Sean Hannon. Sean.

11 MR. HANNON: Good morning, Madam
12 Chair, members of the Board.

13 Today's first licensing matter for
14 your consideration is the renewal of the Category 1
15 License for Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment,
16 Incorporated, doing business as Parx Casino. The
17 license renewal hearing for this entity is now
18 complete. The Bureau of Investigation and
19 Enforcement (BIE) has completed its investigation of
20 the company and the Bureau of Licensing has provided
21 you with a Background Investigation and Suitability
22 Report regarding the renewal. As a result, the
23 license renewal is ready for your consideration.

24 I have provided you with a draft Order
25 and ask the Board consider the Order to renew the

1 Category 1 License for Greenwood Gaming and
2 Entertainment, Incorporated.

3 ATTORNEY PITRE: The OEC has no
4 objection.

5 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
6 May I have a motion, please?

7 MR. GAINNEY: Madam Chair, Commissioner
8 Gainney moves that the Board grant the Category 1
9 License renewal as described by the Bureau of
10 Licensing.

11 MR. DUNBAR: Commissioner Dunbar
12 seconds.

13 CHAIR: All in favor?
14 AYES RESPOND

15 CHAIR: All opposed?
16 Motion's adopted.

17 MR. HANNON: Next, we have
18 consideration of an Interactive Gaming Manufacturer
19 License for Onseo New Jersey, LLC. Formed in 2019,
20 its principal place of business is in Florham Park,
21 New Jersey. The company intends to provide
22 technology solutions, including but not limited to,
23 software development and maintenance for interactive
24 gaming products. The company was granted interim
25 authorization on June 8th, 2025.

1 The required investigation is complete
2 and the Board has been provided with a Background
3 Investigation and Suitability Report. I have
4 provided you with a draft Order and ask the Board
5 consider the Order to approve Onseo New Jersey, LLC
6 for an Interactive Gaming Manufacturer License.

7 ATTORNEY PITRE: The OEC has no
8 objection.

9 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
10 May I have a motion, please?

11 MR. DUNBAR: Madam Chair, Commissioner
12 Dunbar moves that the Board grant the Interactive
13 Gaming Manufacturing License as described by the
14 Bureau of Licensing.

15 MR. DILLON: Commissioner Dillon
16 seconds.

17 CHAIR: All in favor?

18 AYES RESPOND

19 CHAIR: All opposed?

20 Motion's adopted.

21 MR. HANNON: Next is the approval of a
22 VGT Establishment License for Raceway Management
23 Company, Incorporated - Mansfield, doing business as
24 Onvo - Mansfield, who was previously approved for a
25 Conditional License. The required investigation,

1 including evaluation that the applicant meets all the
2 VGT establishment eligibility criteria in the Gaming
3 Act is now complete. The Board has been provided
4 with a Background Investigation and Suitability
5 Report.

6 I have provided you with a draft Order
7 and ask the Board consider the Order to license
8 Raceway Management Company, Incorporated - Mansfield,
9 located at 1904 South Main Street in Mansfield.

10 ATTORNEY PITRE: The OEC has no
11 objection.

12 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
13 May I have a motion, please?

14 MR. DILLON: Madam Chair, Commissioner
15 Dillon moves that the Board grant The Video Gaming
16 Terminal Establishment License as described by the
17 Bureau of Licensing.

18 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Commissioner
19 Quiñones-Sánchez seconds.

20 CHAIR: All in favor?

21 AYES RESPOND

22 CHAIR: All opposed?

23 Motion passes.

24 MR. HANNON: Next, there are Principal
25 and Key Employee Licenses. Licensing has provided

1 you a proposed Order for 37 Principals, 20 Key
2 Employees and 1 Qualifier. I ask that the Board
3 consider the Order approving these Licenses.

4 ATTORNEY PITRE: The OEC has no
5 objection.

6 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
7 May I have a motion, please?

8 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Madam Chair,
9 Commissioner Quiñones-Sánchez moves that the Board
10 grant the Principal and Key Employee Licenses as
11 described by the Bureau of Licensing.

12 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
13 Manzano-Díaz second.

14 CHAIR: All in favor?

15 AYES RESPOND

16 CHAIR: All opposed?

17 Motion's adopted.

18 MR. HANNON: There are also Principal
19 and Key Employee Temporary Credentials. Licensing
20 has provided an Order regarding the issuance of
21 temporary credentials for 6 Principals and 12 Key
22 Employees. I ask that the Board consider the Order
23 approving these credentials.

24 ATTORNEY PITRE: The OEC has no
25 objection.

1 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
2 May I have a motion, please?

3 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
4 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board grant
5 the Temporary Principal and Key Employee credentials
6 as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

7 MR. HUFFMAN: Commissioner Huffman
8 seconds.

9 CHAIR: All in favor?
10 AYES RESPOND

11 CHAIR: All opposed?
12 Motion carries.

13 MR. HANNON: Additionally, for your
14 consideration are Gaming Employee Permits and
15 Non-Gaming Employee Registrations. Licensing has
16 provided you with a list of 664 individuals to whom
17 the Bureau has granted Temporary or Full Occupation
18 Permits and 267 individuals to whom the Bureau has
19 granted Registrations under the authority delegated
20 to the Bureau of Licensing. I ask that the Board
21 consider a motion approving the Order.

22 ATTORNEY PITRE: The OEC has no
23 objection.

24 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
25 May I have a motion, please?

1 MR. HUFFMAN: Madam Chair,
2 Commissioner Huffman moves that the Board approve the
3 applications for Employee Occupation Permits and
4 Non-Gaming Employee Registrations as described by the
5 Bureau of Licensing.

6 MR. GAINNEY: Madam Chair, Commissioner
7 Gainney seconds.

8 CHAIR: All in favor?

9 AYES RESPOND

10 CHAIR: All opposed?

11 Motion's adopted.

12 MR. HANNON: The next matter for
13 consideration is Withdrawal Requests. In each case
14 the License, Permit or Registration is no longer
15 required.

16 For today's meeting, I've provided the
17 Board with 1 Key Employee, 26 Gaming and 28
18 Non-Gaming Applicants. I ask that the Board consider
19 the Orders approving these Withdrawals.

20 ATTORNEY PITRE: The OEC has no
21 objection.

22 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
23 May I have a motion, please?

24 MR. GAINNEY: Madam Chair, Commissioner
25 Gated moves that the Board grant the Withdrawal of

1 Key Employee Gaming and Non-Gaming Employee Applicant
2 - Applications as described by the Bureau of
3 Licensing.

4 MR. DUNBAR: Commissioner Dunbar
5 seconds.

6 CHAIR: All in favor?

7 AYES RESPOND

8 CHAIR: All opposed?

9 Motion's adopted.

10 MR. HANNON: In addition, we have an
11 Order to renew the Gaming Service Provider
12 Certifications for Swish Analytics, Pennsylvania,
13 Incorporated and Sportradar Solutions, LLC, as well
14 as initially certify C&N Sporting Risk Limited and
15 Metareviews, Incorporated.

16 I have provided you with a draft Order
17 and ask the Board consider the Order certifying these
18 companies.

19 ATTORNEY PITRE: The OEC has no
20 objection.

21 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
22 May I have a motion, please?

23 MR. DUNBAR: Madam Chair, Commissioner
24 Dunbar moves that the Board approve the Gaming
25 Service Provider Certifications as described by the

1 Bureau of Licensing.

2 MR. DILLON: Commissioner Dillon
3 seconds.

4 CHAIR: All in favor?

5 AYES RESPOND

6 CHAIR: All opposed?

7 Motion's adopted.

8 MR. HANNON: Finally, for
9 consideration are Gaming Service Provider
10 Registrations. The Bureau of Licensing provided you
11 with an Order and an attached list of 4 registered
12 Gaming Service Provider Applicants. I ask that the
13 Board consider the Order registering these Gaming
14 Service Providers.

15 ATTORNEY PITRE: The OEC has no
16 objection.

17 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
18 May I have a motion, please?

19 MR. DILLON: Madam Chair, Commissioner
20 Dillon moves that the Board approve the Gaming
21 Service Provider Registrations as described by the
22 Bureau of Licensing.

23 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Commissioner
24 Quiñones-Sánchez seconds.

25 CHAIR: All in favor?

1 AYES RESPOND

2 CHAIR: All opposed?

3 Motion carries.

4 MR. HANNON: Thank you, Madam Chair.

5 CHAIR: Thank you, Sean.

6 Next is the OEC, Cyrus Pitre. Cyrus.

7 ATTORNEY PITRE: Good afternoon, Madam
8 Chair, members of the Board. Today will - the OEC
9 will present ten matters for the Board's
10 consideration, in which we will request the approval
11 of two Consent Agreements, 1 Revocation, 1 Suspension
12 and 6 Involuntary Exclusions.

13 The next matter on the agenda for the
14 Board's consideration is a Consent Agreement between
15 the OEC and Category 3 Licensee Valley Forge
16 Convention Center Partners, LP, doing business as
17 Valley Forge Casino.

18 The matter will be presented by
19 Assistant Enforcement Counsel Juan Sanchez.
20 Representatives for Valley Forge are present. And at
21 this time I request that those individuals please
22 introduce themselves for the record, and that any
23 nonattorney witnesses please stand and be sworn.

24 ATTORNEY SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam
25 Chair, members of the Board. My name is Juan

1 Sanchez, S-A-N-C-H-E-Z, Assistant Enforcement Counsel
2 with the OEC.

3 This Consent Agreement involves Valley
4 Forge Casino Resorts' failure to protect assets. On
5 March 13, 2024, a Valley Forge Casino Resort Manager
6 discovered cash bags in an office behind a filing
7 cabinet and under a stack of papers which contained
8 coins and a bank slip with Tilo Smith's employee
9 number that dated back to December of 2023. At that
10 time, Mr. Smith was a Food and Beverage Shift
11 Supervisor whose responsibilities included obtaining,
12 handling, accounting for and returning cash for
13 point-of-sale register tills.

14 As a part of Food and Beverages'
15 operations off the Gaming Floor, each point-of-sale
16 register requires petty cash to be able to process
17 sales transactions, and for security purposes, Valley
18 Forge Casino Resort requires each Food and
19 Beverage employee to withdraw petty cash to
20 point-of-sale registers at the end of each shift to
21 open the point-of-sale register, where they were
22 assigned and return to cash from their point-of-sale
23 register at the end of each shift. In lieu of
24 establishing a second petty cash function off the
25 Gaming Floor, Food and Beverage employees would

1 withdraw and return their cash at the casino cage.

2 After confrontation, Mr. Smith
3 admitted to stealing more than the petty cash bags
4 found in the office. Based on Valley Forge Casino
5 Resort's subsequent review, Mr. Smith withdrew
6 \$299.50 in petty cash on 20 occasions from December
7 2023 through March 2024, that totaled \$5,990 in total
8 and failed to return any cash at the end of his
9 shift. During the time period of the theft, Valley
10 Forge Casino Resort stopped their manual audit
11 procedure for petty cash and resumed shortly after
12 discovering Mr. Smith's theft.

13 Law enforcement has not filed any
14 criminal charges against Mr. Smith nor has he paid
15 any restitution to Valley Forge Casino Resort to date
16 and - as a result of this incident. The Board
17 revoked Tilo Smith's Non-Gaming Employee Registration
18 GID 160076-1 on August 20th, 2025.

19 The OEC and Valley Forge Casino Resort
20 respectfully request that the Board approve the
21 Consent Agreement and Stipulations of Settlement,
22 which requires Valley Forge Casino Resort to pay a
23 civil penalty of \$5,000 as well as a \$3,500
24 administrative fee for costs incurred by the Board
25 staff in connection with this matter. If you have

1 any questions, we'd be happy to address them at this
2 time.

3 CHAIR: Valley Forge, would you like
4 to address the Board? And Mr. Fabius, can you just
5 have everybody state and spell their name for the
6 Court Reporter?

7 ATTORNEY FABIUS: Good morning or
8 after - good afternoon.

9 CHAIR: Yeah, 12:05. You're right.
10 Thanks for the correction.

11 ATTORNEY FABIUS: No, I honestly was
12 not sure.

13 We do want to address the Board. I
14 offered the spelling of the names to the Court
15 Reporter before the hearing, but to my right is
16 Martha Morales, VP and General Manager at Valley
17 Forge Casino. And that's M-A-R-T-H-A, M-O-R-A-L-E-S.
18 To my left is Scott Schroeder, VP of Finance. Scott
19 is S-C-O-T-T, S-C-H-R-O-E-D-E-R.

20 Also want to acknowledge in the
21 audience is Janet Murgans, Director of Regulatory
22 Compliance at Valley Forge.

23 With that, we'll continue. First we
24 join in the OEC's request for approval of the Consent
25 Agreement and concur in the facts, as recited.

1 Most importantly, though, we want to
2 address how an employee repeats this crime 20 times
3 over 16 weeks without detection. This fundamentally
4 comes down to using the casino cage as a petty cash
5 function for the nongaming resort side of the house.
6 And OEC mentioned the discontinuation of an audit
7 process.

8 What that refers to is at the time,
9 shortly before the thefts occurred, Valley Forge
10 implemented new technological devices to enhance the
11 cage operations, meant to take some of the burdens
12 off the Cage Cashiers and to make a manual process
13 more automated.

14 The device is generally known as a
15 cash recycler. I think of it in lay terms as a
16 two-way ATM. And what that meant is the F and B
17 employees who were taking out petty cash for
18 registers on the nongaming side of the house, instead
19 of going to a cage window where a Cage Cashier
20 manually counted out the cash, put it into a cash
21 bag, gave the employee a cash bag and then counted it
22 back in with the bag, it went to an automated process
23 where the F and B employees went to the cash
24 recycler, this two-way ATM which spat - you know,
25 counted out and issued out the money and also counted

1 the cash back in.

2 Next to the cash recyclers were the
3 cash bags. And so the process that was in place
4 before the implementation of the cash recyclers is a
5 bag out, bag in check. The Cage Cashiers were
6 handing out bags and making sure every employee who
7 was handed a bag returned with a bag. That process
8 went - you know, by design was meant to alleviate
9 demands and obligations on the Cage Cashier so that
10 they could focus on other cage functions.

11 And that manual bag out, bag in policy
12 was simply not possible. That doesn't mean we didn't
13 have the tools to have detected a readily-preventable
14 theft. And we are embarrassed to be here to tell you
15 that we did not. The two-way ATM, as I think you
16 would expect, recorded every transaction, every cash
17 out, every cash in, the amount, the name of the
18 employee, in addition to the bank slips that OEC
19 mentioned, it's also recorded in the accounting
20 systems.

21 And the fundamental breakdown here is
22 the shift of responsibility from the Cage Cashiers to
23 the accounting system didn't happen. And we learned
24 that lesson at a cost of \$6,000. As OEC mentioned,
25 we made sure that that check, that cash out, cash in

1 check was reliably happening after being pointed out
2 that it clearly wasn't. And so there's a daily
3 report being generated that confirms - identifies
4 every employee who cashed out and cashed back in.

5 There are days and circumstances when
6 there might be a cash out without a cash in. The
7 most typical one is if the - if the employee returned
8 with the cash the next gaming day, if there's a shift
9 overnight. So, the report is, you know, checked
10 daily and investigated when there's a - if there is a
11 instance when an employee has not returned the cash
12 to make sure there's a legitimate reason for it.

13 Martha and Scott both came to the
14 property in early 2025. At this time, the daily
15 report, this cash out, cash in policy was in place,
16 but before we take any more questions, I do want to
17 give Martha a chance to also address -.

18 MS. MORALES: Thank you, Fabius (sic).
19 Good afternoon, Madam Chair and Commissioners.

20 Again, my name is Martha Morales and
21 I'm the General Manager of Valley Forge. Scott and
22 I, as, as Fabius mentioned, we arrived to the
23 property last - early last year and have been here,
24 but definitely wanted to show our face, just to show,
25 as Fabius said, how embarrassed we are that this

1 actually happened. Right?

2 It's - it's -. The fact pattern is
3 embarrassing, but rest assured that we have audits to
4 those checklists and this happened - hasn't happened
5 since and we don't anticipate it happening in the
6 future

7 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: Yeah. With that we
8 want to take your questions on the facts and if
9 there's anything more that we can provide you.

10 CHAIR: Okay. Any questions?
11 Commissioner Dunbar?

12 MR. DUNBAR: Just - just briefly,
13 through the testimony we were told that the last
14 episode was March of '24, I believe, is what -.

15 So, since that time, have you had any
16 other instances where someone did not return their
17 bank -?

18 MS. MORALES: Not that it result - not
19 that it was theft. Only if - the two instances that
20 can happen where they didn't return, which would be
21 the next gaming day or if a team member that tips out
22 the base from the cash from the bank themselves if
23 there was no money to be returned, but any time
24 there's no money to be returned, our audit team does
25 now complete a check.

1 MR. DUNBAR: So, you've had no
2 exceptions?

3 MS. MORALES: No exceptions.

4 MR. DUNBAR: Very good. So, like
5 internal controls are wonderful, but only if all the
6 checks and balances are followed. So, -.

7 CHAIR: Any other questions?
8 Commissioner -? Commissioner Dillon, then
9 Commissioner Quiñones-Sánchez.

10 MR. DILLON: Have you guys did an
11 audit when this - after this occurred, going back to
12 see if there was any other occurrences since these
13 weren't following your procedures at that time?
14 Because my thinking is that if one employee's doing
15 it, usually they talk between one another and say,
16 yo, you can get away with this if you continue. So,
17 have you guys gone back and did an audit?

18 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: At the time, the
19 leadership of the property did a review immediately
20 after the discovery and found none other instances.
21 This employee's theft started just after the
22 installation of the cash recyclers. And he - this is
23 speculation on my part, but identified an opportunity
24 in that shift and took advantage of that opportunity.

25 CHAIR: Okay. Anything else, Shawn?

1 Commissioner Quiñones-Sánchez.

2 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Thank you,
3 Madam Chair. Just walk me through real quick,
4 because we're going from a manual system to an
5 automated report system.

6 Who checks the bags, eventually?

7 ATTORNEY FABIUS: Commissioner, when
8 you say the banks, would you -?

9 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: The bags.
10 Because you're saying when people have the ability to
11 go into - into the automated system.

12 Is there a video camera in that?

13 ATTORNEY FABIUS: The cash recycler
14 device is under surveillance. The bags are
15 self-serve now, next to the cash recyclers. So, it
16 is - the mechanism that tracks and provides that
17 check and balance is the accounting of the money
18 going in and out of the cash recycler.

19 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: And there's a
20 video there?

21 ATTORNEY FABIUS: It's also under
22 surveillance.

23 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: So, was it a
24 video prior to when - when the other employee was
25 able to conduct the theft?

1 ATTORNEY FABIUS: The video was not as
2 helpful, because in these 20 occasions he was not
3 returning with cash.

4 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Uh-huh.

5 ATTORNEY FABIUS: So, there was
6 nothing for the video to record or observe.

7 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: So, other than
8 reading the report, I'm trying to figure out what -
9 where's your check and balances, other than now
10 you're reviewing a report, to ensure that that
11 doesn't happen again?

12 ATTORNEY FABIUS: Let me ask if Martha
13 and Scott wanted to supplement this, -

14 MS. MORALES: Uh-huh.

15 ATTORNEY FABIUS: - but it's -. Go
16 ahead.

17 MR. SCHROEDER: So, each day when you
18 come in and, like Michael said, when you take your
19 bag, the system records, I took it out and we make
20 sure that -.

21 CHAIR: Can you talk into the mic,
22 please?

23 MR. SCHROEDER: Sorry. Excuse me.
24 Yeah, so really the - the biggest check is to making
25 sure that each day, once you take something out, when

1 you turn it back in, the system records both of those
2 transactions. And we are doing that.

3 And then we have the investigation the
4 very next day if there is a discrepancy in what you -
5 if you took one, to make sure that you didn't work
6 over a shift, or as Martha described that tip-out
7 situation. So, -.

8 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: To be candid with
9 you, I mean, we're not counting the physical bags
10 next to the cash recyclers. We're looking at the
11 records of the deposit, the withdrawals and deposits
12 being made into the machine.

13 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Okay. I just
14 want to make sure that - you know, automation is not
15 always the best practice. And you had video
16 surveillance. So, when there's -. The only time
17 this would happen is when there's an overnight shift
18 or for the recording purposes of the technology, if
19 I'm working and I'm checking in at one o'clock in the
20 morning, it wouldn't come out in the report. And
21 then at one o'clock in the morning there - there's a
22 check of that?

23 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: We'll know if the
24 employee who has withdrawn cash from their cycle
25 hasn't returned any and - and on a daily basis see

1 that and investigate the circumstances of it. Those
2 are the two legitimate reasons that that could happen
3 and does happen. And if that's not the explanation,
4 then there's something more to do about that
5 employee.

6 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Okay. So, you
7 said to Commissioner Dunbar there's been no more
8 theft.

9 When that has happened, what's the
10 corrective action? Has there been additional
11 training around that for employees?

12 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: In circumstances
13 when that's happened, it's appropriate that it's
14 happened. I mean, it is - it is - if the employee is
15 returning cash after their shift, it just wouldn't
16 show up. The cash out would show up on Saturday, the
17 Saturday gaming day. The cash in would show up on
18 the Sunday. And we just have to, you know, manually
19 verify that, as you say. That's the manual element
20 in the process by the nongaming accounting team.

21 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: And for the
22 record, was there any updates to the training or the
23 training manual for workers?

24 MS. MORALES: Only from a cage -.
25 Now, from the cage perspective, from our audit team,

1 when they're checking to make sure that the pickup
2 and the return were there. So, just make sure that
3 we're auditing that new process. So, that was
4 trained. As far as one of the other questions that
5 you asked, there is a variance policy.

6 So, if a team member is short, we have
7 the ability to go back and check. And there's a
8 policy -. Depending on the threshold of variances,
9 you either get, you know, verbal warning, a written
10 warning, a final written warning, or a termination.

11 This was a clear theft, so it was a
12 termination. There are instances where our team
13 members may be short. Maybe they gave the wrong
14 change back or whatever that may be. They gave the
15 wrong bill. We have the ability to go back and
16 investigate and then correct and train, as necessary.

17 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Okay. Thank
18 you. Thank you, Madam Chair.

19 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: Thank you.

20 CHAIR: Commissioner Dillon had one
21 more question.

22 MR. DILLON: Yeah, I - just a quick
23 question. This may be actually for you guys OEC.

24 How did you come up with the consent
25 amount? How did you guys come up with that number?

1 ATTORNEY PITRE: Well, we figure if
2 they're giving away money, we might as well take our
3 share, too. Usually - usually in those situations,
4 when - when they've done something that really we're
5 concerned that the internal control is followed or
6 that the internal control, if it needs to be fixed,
7 that we help them fix it to ensure that this doesn't
8 happen again.

9 So, what we did first was meet with
10 them to determine where the issue and how the issue
11 occurred. And then after that, discuss with them
12 their internal control procedures and verify that
13 they have not had any significant problems with that
14 particular procedure after that point in time.

15 They've already lost close to \$6,000
16 from the theft. So, we gather that the \$5,000 equal
17 to the theft amount that they gave - that they
18 allowed their employee to take, that the Commonwealth
19 should get \$5,000, too. And of course we have the
20 administrative fee of 3,500, so we're more concerned
21 with them being in compliance.

22 That fine amount was basically to
23 punish them in a way, but not to punish them overtly
24 in an amount that was far superseding than the amount
25 that had already been stolen from them.

1 CHAIR: Any other questions or
2 comments? I do have a question, I - I guess, Ms.
3 Morales and Mr. Schroeder. You're at a little bit of
4 a disadvantage, because you weren't there, but, Mr.
5 Fabius, you were.

6 ATTORNEY FABIUS: I was.

7 CHAIR: So, prior to this discovery of
8 the theft, was there not - were there not audits,
9 daily audits?

10 ATTORNEY FABIUS: There were
11 non-gaming revenue reconciliations performed. If we
12 could limit our detail on the specifics of the
13 reconciliation in a public forum. We can provide
14 more detail in a confidential setting. We don't want
15 to give any roadmaps to someone who might think of
16 more creative ways to circumvent those accounting
17 reconciliations, but there were reconciliations being
18 performed -.

19 CHAIR: On a daily basis?

20 ATTORNEY FABIUS: Of -?

21 CHAIR: On a daily basis?

22 ATTORNEY FABIUS: Of the non-gaming
23 revenue?

24 CHAIR: Uh-huh.

25 ATTORNEY FABIUS: Weekly basis.

1 CHAIR: A weekly basis?

2 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: Right.

3 CHAIR: And they didn't catch this?

4 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: At corporate and
5 property - at the corporate level - the
6 reconciliation's -.

7 CHAIR: At the corporate level.

8 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: Yeah, corporate. At
9 a corporate level and a property level.

10 CHAIR: So, I guess I don't understand
11 these -.

12 Are you calling these audits the non -
13 whatever reconciliation?

14 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: I'm using the term
15 reconciliation perhaps not in the appropriate
16 accounting sense. I think of an audit like an
17 independent audit.

18 It wasn't an independent audit. It's
19 an internal accounting reconciliation to make sure
20 that the non-gaming revenue that's being returned is
21 in the amounts it should be.

22 CHAIR: All right. You're saying
23 reconciliation, but during the testimony you said
24 they are now performing audits.

25 So, what's the difference? Daily

1 audits.

2 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: If the term audit
3 check -

4 CHAIR: Uh-huh.

5 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: - came out of my
6 mouth, it was imprecise. The daily process that
7 we're talking about is a daily report of who took out
8 cash and who returned cash. That is, to be candid
9 with you, what - the reason we're so embarrassed is,
10 that is a rudimentary check and balance.

11 It's not - it's not an audit. It's
12 not a reconciliation. It's just cash out. Did they
13 come back with cash? And it's just a report that the
14 accounting team is responsible for checking and
15 investigating. If somebody did not come back with
16 cash, did they not come back with cash for a
17 legitimate reason?

18 CHAIR: And that was not being done
19 prior to this discovery of the theft?

20 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: It was not being
21 done during the - during this theft period -

22 CHAIR: Uh-huh.

23 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: - because the
24 transition and responsibility from the cage to the
25 accounting team didn't happen in the way that it

1 should have happened.

2 CHAIR: So, were just sloppy? The
3 transition from manual to this automated recycler was
4 just sloppy, it sounds like.

5 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: Sloppy is not an
6 unfair way to put it. That's why we're so
7 embarrassed. I mean, candidly, \$6,000 is not an
8 astronomical sum. It's not the dollar loss, it's the
9 embarrassment. Our pride is hurt more than our
10 bottom line.

11 CHAIR: Uh-huh. Yeah, understood.
12 Any other questions, comments?

13 We're going to take a short break,
14 going to executive session and we will be back.

15 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: Thank you.

16 EXECUTIVE SESSION HELD

17 CHAIR: Okay. We're back on the
18 record. I'm just going to one last time ask my
19 fellow Commissioners, do you have any questions -
20 further questions or comments?

21 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Madam Chair.

22 CHAIR: Commissioner Quiñones-Sánchez

23 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Yes, thank you.

24 I just want to emphasize the
25 importance of ongoing staff training, both in writing

1 and with staff. And that refreshing these protocols
2 are hugely important, so that we - staff feels like
3 the rules are not about gotcha, when somebody does
4 something wrong, but just ensuring the protocols are
5 followed.

6 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: Thank you,
7 Commissioner. Point - your point's well taken.
8 Thank you.

9 CHAIR: Any other questions or
10 comments? Okay. May I have a motion, please?

11 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Thank you,
12 Madam Chair. Commissioner Quiñones-Sánchez moves
13 that the Board approve the Consent Agreement between
14 the OEC and Valley Forge Convention Center Partners
15 as described in the - by the OEC.

16 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner
17 Manzano-Díaz second.

18 CHAIR: All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

20 CHAIR: All opposed?

21 Motion is adopted. You guys dodged a
22 bullet.

23 MS. MORALES: Okay. Thank you.

24 ATTORNEY FABIOUS: Thank you.

25 MS. MORALES: Thank you.

1 OFF RECORD DISCUSSION

2 ATTORNEY PITRE: Back on the record.
3 The next matter on the agenda for the Board's
4 consideration is a Consent Agreement between the OEC
5 and iGaming Manufacturer Play'n Go New Jersey, LLC.
6 The matter will be presented by Senior Enforcement
7 Counsel Michael Roland.

8 Representatives for Play'n Go are
9 present. And at this time I would request that those
10 individuals please introduce themselves and that all
11 nonattorney witnesses please stand and be sworn.

12 ATTORNEY HAYES: Good morning, Chair
13 Smyler, Kevin Hayes from the Saiber Law Firm. I have
14 the privilege of representing Play'n Go New Jersey,
15 LLC, which is an iGaming Manufacturer. Here with me
16 today from Play'n Go is its Head of Government
17 Affairs, Shawn Fluharty. The spelling of my name is
18 K-E-V-I-N, H-A-Y-E-S. The spelling of Shawn's name
19 is S-H-A-W-N, F-L-U-H-A-R-T-Y.

20 CHAIR: Thank you. OEC you may
21 proceed.

22 ATTORNEY ROLAND: Thank you. Good
23 afternoon, Madam Chair, members of the Board, Michael
24 Roland, R-O-L-A-N-D, with the OEC.

25 On or about June 13th, 2024, Play'n

1 Go, an Interactive Gaming Manufacturer, notified the
2 Board's Bureau of Licensing, the BIE and the OEC of a
3 change in ownership. The company reported that on or
4 about June 1st, 2024, shares of Play'n Go Holding,
5 the entity that indirectly owns 100 percent of Play'n
6 Go, had been transferred to Planestate Holding AB.
7 At the time of transfer, Planestate was neither
8 licensed by the Board nor did it have a pending
9 Application. As a result of this transaction,
10 Planestate acquired 21.98 percent beneficial interest
11 in Play'n Go Holding.

12 Subsequently, on or about July 3rd,
13 2024, Planestate entered into a new agreement with
14 Tigrim 1 AB, transferring its interest in Play'n Go
15 Holding to Tigrim. Tigrim had originally been
16 intended to hold these shares as of May 22nd, 2024,
17 when Play'n Go was approved for licensure by the
18 Board. Because of the June 1 transfer to Planestate,
19 both Planestate and Markus Dahl were required to
20 submit new Applications for licensure. These
21 applications were filed on or about August 16, 2024.

22 On or about November 24th, 2025,
23 Play'n Go petitioned to withdraw the pending
24 Applications of Planestate and Mr. Dahl as neither
25 party continued to hold any indirect beneficial

1 interest in the Company. That Withdrawal Petition
2 remains pending before the Board. Additionally, on
3 or about November 18th, 2025, Play'n Go filed a
4 Petition seeking nunc pro tunc approval of the change
5 of control, which was presented to the Board earlier
6 this morning.

7 Pursuant to Board regulations, a
8 change of control occurs when an individual or entity
9 acquires more than 20 percent of the securities,
10 assets or other ownership interests of an Interactive
11 Gaming Manufacturer. Prior to acquiring such an
12 interest, the prospective owner must obtain Board
13 approval through the Petition process and submit all
14 required license Applications.

15 In this case, Board approval was not
16 obtained before the acquisition. Planestate held a
17 21.98 percent indirect ownership interest in Play'n
18 Go from approximately June 1st, 2024 until
19 July 3rd, 2024.

20 OEC now requests that the Board
21 approve this Consent Agreement and Stipulation of
22 Settlement entered into between the parties. The
23 terms of the settlement include that Play'n Go shall
24 pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$10,000 to the
25 Board within five business days of the Board's Order

1 approving this Consent Agreement. Also, within five
2 days, Play'n Go shall pay the Board \$3,500 for costs
3 incurred by the OEC and other related staff in
4 connection with this matter.

5 If you have any questions, we'd be
6 happy to address them at this time.

7 CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you. Play'n
8 Go, would you like to address the Board?

9 ATTORNEY HAYES: Yes, Madam Chair.
10 Briefly. I've listened to the recitation of the
11 facts as offered by Enforcement Counsel, and as
12 contained in the Consent Agreement, which was entered
13 by the parties on February 11, 2026. We agree with
14 the statement of facts as well as the conditions to
15 the Consent Agreement.

16 I would just like to point out that at
17 the time that the Application of Play'n Go was
18 pending with the Board and under review and going
19 through a background investigation, both Planestate
20 and its Principal had Applications pending. So, this
21 isn't a situation where they were adverse to
22 subjecting themselves to the jurisdiction of the
23 Board.

24 In October of 2023 an agreement had
25 been entered between the shareholders, which would

1 have resulted in them - the shares that they had held
2 with Play'n Go being transferred to Tigrim, away from
3 Planestate. So, we subsequently withdrew their
4 Applications.

5 Obviously the shares were transferred
6 back in June. As soon as that -. As soon as that
7 became - came to the attention of the compliance
8 staff of Play'n Go, we immediately notified the Board
9 staff of it. And they held those shares for
10 approximately a month.

11 So, the situation is such that the
12 transfer occurred in June. The License was issued in
13 May, so there's a violation. We clearly acknowledge
14 that there was a violation. And we're here because
15 we acknowledged there was a violation.

16 I just want you to understand that
17 this was self-reported by Play'n Go as soon as it
18 occurred. We have cooperated with Board staff
19 throughout the process. Planestate and its Principal
20 resubmitted applications within the deadline
21 prescribed by Enforcement Counsel. And those
22 applications remain pending with the Board, even
23 though they don't have any financial interest in
24 Play'n Go.

25 At this time I'd like to introduce

1 Shawn Fluharty from Play'n Go, who would be - like to
2 make a statement. And he and I will both make
3 ourselves available for any questions the Board staff
4 may have.

5 MR. FLUHARTY: Thank you. Madam
6 Chair. Shawn Fluharty. Happy to be here. Head of
7 Government Affairs at Play'n Go.

8 And not to regurgitate what Counsel
9 has stated, but this incident occurred roughly less
10 than a month after Play'n Go was licensed here in the
11 State of Pennsylvania. And we immediately reported
12 that the preapproval process was not met. And it's -
13 since that time our compliance team has made it clear
14 to our C level that this is a notification event when
15 it comes to the State of Pennsylvania. And,
16 therefore, any, any transactions similar to this,
17 roughly 21.98 percent and the regulatory standard
18 threshold here is 20 percent, must be reported to our
19 compliance team 30 days before, so that we can
20 properly present to the PGCB.

21 So, we took those affirmative actions
22 on our own. And we self-reported immediately when we
23 discovered this issue. And we look forward to being
24 - having a long - a long prosperous and great
25 relationship with the State of Pennsylvania as a

1 Licensee.

2 CHAIR: Thank you. Any questions or
3 comments from the Board?

4 Hearing none, may I have a motion,
5 please?

6 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
7 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board
8 approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC and
9 Play'n Go New Jersey, LLC as described by the OEC.

10 MR. HUFFMAN: Commissioner Huffman
11 seconds.

12 CHAIR: All in favor?

13 AYES RESPOND

14 CHAIR: All opposed?

15 Motion's adopted.

16 ATTORNEY HAYES: Thank you.

17 CHAIR: Thank you.

18 ATTORNEY HAYES: Thank you.

19 ATTORNEY PITRE: Deputy Chief

20 Enforcement Counsel Dustin Miller will now present
21 default judgments for the Board's consideration.

22 ATTORNEY MILLER: Good afternoon,
23 Chair Smyler, members of the Board, Dustin Miller on
24 behalf of the OEC. M-I-L-L-E-R.

25 The next matters on the agenda consist

1 of enforcement actions in which the OEC filed a
2 Complaint, seeking the revocation or suspension of
3 Licenses previously issued by the Board. The
4 Complaints have been filed with the Board's OHA and
5 properly served upon the persons named in the
6 complaint. The persons named in the complaint failed
7 to respond within 30 days as required by Board
8 regulation.

9 As a result, the OEC filed a Request
10 for Default Judgment and properly served the same
11 upon each Respondent. Therefore, the facts in the
12 Complaints are deemed admitted. All filed documents
13 have been provided to the Board and the matters are
14 presently ripe for the Board's consideration. In
15 each matter, we will provide a summary of the facts
16 and make a request for the appropriate Board action.

17 ATTORNEY KOLESAR: Good afternoon.
18 Sarah Kolesar, K-O-L-E-S-A-R, Enforcement Counsel
19 with the OEC.

20 The next matter on the agenda for the
21 Board's consideration is the revocation of the Gaming
22 Employee Occupation Permit issue to Lawrence Stecki,
23 who was previously employed as a Security Officer at
24 Hollywood Casino at the Meadows.

25 Security personnel at the Meadows

1 found a gold ring belonging to a patron on the Gaming
2 Floor and placed the ring into the lost and found
3 drawer at the Main Entrance. Mr. Stecki was posted
4 at the Main Entrance Security Podium for his shift.
5 During his shift, he took the ring from the drawer
6 and placed it in his pocket. At the end of his
7 shift, Mr. Stecki left the premises with the ring.
8 The ring was not returned.

9 As a result of this incident, Mr.
10 Stecki was terminated. He is not currently employed
11 in the Pennsylvania gaming industry.

12 At this time, the OEC requests that
13 the Board revoke the Gaming Employee Occupation
14 Permit issued to Lawrence Stecki.

15 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
16 May I have a motion, please?

17 MR. HUFFMAN: Madam Chair,
18 Commissioner Huffman moves that the Board approve the
19 revocation of Lawrence Stecki's Gaming Employee
20 Occupation Permit for the conduct described by the
21 OEC.

22 MR. GAINY: Madam Chair, Commissioner
23 Gainy seconds.

24 CHAIR: All in favor?

25 AYES RESPOND

1 CHAIR: All opposed?

2 Motion passes.

3 ATTORNEY ROBERTS: Good afternoon,
4 Chair, members of the Board. Andrea Roberts,
5 R-O-B-E-R-T-S, Assistant Enforcement Counsel. The
6 next matter on the agenda for the Board's
7 consideration is a suspension of the Table Game
8 Manufacturer License issued to Game on Chip Company.
9 Game on Chip Company manufactures table game and
10 poker chips.

11 Game on Chip Company has failed to
12 comply with the Act, the regulations and their
13 Executed Statement of Conditions by failing to
14 provide its 2024 audited annual financial statement
15 no later than 90 days after the end of its 2024
16 fiscal year. To date, Game on Chip's 2024 audited
17 financial statement has not been provided to the
18 Board.

19 At this time, the OEC requests the
20 Board suspend the Table Game Manufacturer License
21 issued to Game on Chip Company.

22 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
23 May I have a motion, please?

24 MR. GAINNEY: Madam Chair, Commissioner
25 Gainney moves that the Board approve the suspension of

1 Game on Chip Company, LLC Table Game Manufacturer
2 License for the conduct described by the OEC.

3 MR. DUNBAR: Commissioner Dunbar
4 seconds.

5 CHAIR: All in favor?

6 AYES RESPOND

7 CHAIR: All opposed?

8 Motion carries.

9 ATTORNEY MILLER: Dustin Miller, once
10 again on behalf of the OEC. The remaining matters on
11 the agenda consist of enforcement actions in which
12 the OEC filed Petitions seeking the involuntary
13 exclusion of individuals who are inimical to the
14 interests of the Commonwealth and/or licensed gaming
15 therein.

16 In each instance, the Petition for
17 Exclusion has been filed with the Board's OHA and
18 properly served upon the individual named in the
19 Petition. The individual named in each Petition
20 failed to respond within 30 days as required by Board
21 regulation.

22 As a result, the OEC filed a Request
23 for Default Judgment in each instance and properly
24 served the same upon each individual. Therefore, all
25 facts in each Petition are deemed admitted. All

1 filed documents have been provided to the Board and
2 the matters are presently ripe for Board
3 consideration.

4 In each instance, if the Board orders
5 the proposed exclusion, each individual's photo,
6 personal identifiers and a summary of the inimical
7 conduct may be placed on the Board's public website.
8 Once again, in each matter we will provide a summary
9 of the facts and make a request for the appropriate
10 Board action.

11 ATTORNEY ROBERTS: Again, Andrea
12 Roberts, Assistant Enforcement Counsel.

13 The next matter on the agenda is a
14 request to place Christian Garrison on the
15 Involuntary Exclusion List.

16 Mr. Garrison was present at Live!
17 Casino and Hotel Philadelphia on three separate dates
18 when he stole items from Live!'s gift shop. Live!
19 permanently banned Mr. Garrison from their property
20 as a result of these incidents. Mr. Garrison was
21 criminally charged for his actions.

22 Mr. Garrison was also present at Parx
23 Casino when he stole a wallet belonging to another
24 patron from Parx's Sportsbook seating area. Parx
25 permanently banned Mr. Garrison from their property

1 as a result of this incident. Mr. Garrison returned
2 to Parx on two occasions after his eviction. On one
3 of those occasions, he failed to pay his bill at
4 Parx's Chickie's and Pete's Restaurant. He was
5 criminally charged for his actions.

6 At this time, the OEC requests the
7 Board place Christian Garrison on the Involuntary
8 Exclusion List.

9 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
10 May I have a motion, please?

11 MR. DUNBAR: Madam Chair, Commissioner
12 Dunbar moves that the Board approve the addition of
13 Christian Garrison to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion
14 List for the conduct described by the OEC.

15 MR. DILLON: Commissioner Dillon
16 seconds.

17 CHAIR: All in favor?

18 AYES RESPOND

19 CHAIR: All opposed?

20 Motion's adopted.

21 ATTORNEY ROBERTS: The next matter on
22 the agenda is a request to place Liping Zhou on the
23 Involuntary Exclusion List. Ms. Zhou was present at
24 Wind Creek Bethlehem and was a member of a group that
25 cheated at Baccarat. A group member had the Dealer

1 hold the brick of cards horizontally. Then the group
2 member fanned the corner of the brick while a
3 cellphone camera pointed at the cards, likely
4 recording or photographing the card's value before
5 inserting the cut card behind the fanned section.

6 On seven of these occasions, a
7 cellphone was confirmed to be pointing at the deck
8 when the cards were fanned. On six of the
9 compromised Baccarat shoes, members of the group were
10 observed making large wagers for the same outcome of
11 each hand. Wind Creek permanently banned Ms. Zhou
12 from their property. Ms. Zhou was criminally charged
13 for her actions.

14 At this time, the OEC requests the
15 Board place Liping Zhou on the Involuntary Exclusion
16 List.

17 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
18 May I have a motion, please?

19 MR. DILLON: Madam Chair, Commissioner
20 Dillon moves that the Board approve the addition of
21 Liping Zhou to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List
22 for the conduct described by the OEC.

23 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Commissioner
24 Quiñones-Sánchez seconds.

25 CHAIR: All in favor?

1 AYES RESPOND

2 CHAIR: All opposed?

3 Motion's adopted.

4 ATTORNEY ROBERTS: The next matter on
5 the agenda is a request to place Kyree Smalls on the
6 Involuntary Exclusion List. Mr. Smalls was present
7 at Rivers Casino Philadelphia when he, along with a
8 female companion, left an approximately 11-month-old
9 child unattended in a vehicle on the fourth floor of
10 Rivers Parking Garage for a total of approximately
11 1 hour and 52 minutes. During this time, Mr. Smalls
12 entered the Gaming Floor and gamed at Roulette.
13 Rivers permanently banned Mr. Smalls from their
14 property for this incident.

15 At this time, the OEC requests the
16 Board place Kyree Smalls on the Involuntary Exclusion
17 List.

18 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
19 May I have a motion, please?

20 MS. QUIÑONES-SÁNCHEZ: Madam Chair,
21 Commissioner Quiñones-Sánchez moves that the Board
22 approve the addition of Kyree Smalls to the PGCB
23 Involuntary Exclusion List for the conduct - conduct
24 described by the OEC.

25 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Commissioner

1 Manzano-Díaz second.

2 CHAIR: All in favor?

3 AYES RESPOND

4 CHAIR: All opposed?

5 Motion's adopted.

6 ATTORNEY ROBERTS: The next matter on
7 the agenda is a request to place Jessica
8 Vargas-Rizo on the Involuntary Exclusion List. Ms.
9 Vargas-Rizo contacted the OHA this morning and
10 requested a hearing in this matter. And this matter
11 should be remanded for a hearing.

12 ATTORNEY PITRE: So we request that
13 the matter be remanded to the OHA for a hearing.

14 CHAIR: Any questions, comments? Can
15 somebody please make a motion to remand this matter
16 to the OHA?

17 MS. MANZANO-DÍAZ: Madam Chair,
18 Commissioner Manzano-Díaz moves that the Board move
19 to send this matter back to Hearings and Appeals for
20 further deliberations.

21 MR. HUFFMAN: Commissioner Hoffman
22 seconds.

23 CHAIR: All in favor?

24 AYES RESPOND

25 CHAIR: All opposed?

1 Motion carries.

2 ATTORNEY GROODY: Good afternoon,
3 Chair, members of the Board, Sarah Groody,
4 G-R-O-O-D-Y, with the OEC.

5 The next matter on the agenda is a
6 request to place Leeandre Money on the Involuntary
7 Exclusion List.

8 Mr. Money was at Harrah's Philadelphia
9 when he stole a voucher belonging to another patron.
10 The voucher was worth \$524.60 and was cashed out by
11 Mr. Money. He has not made restitution for theft of
12 the voucher.

13 At this time, the OEC requests that
14 the Board place Leeandre Money on the Involuntary
15 Exclusion List.

16 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
17 May I have a motion, please?

18 MR. HUFFMAN: Madam Chair,
19 Commissioner Huffman moves that the Board approve the
20 addition of Leeandre Money to the PGCB Involuntary
21 Exclusion List for the conduct described by the OEC.

22 MR. GAINY: Madam Chair, Commissioner
23 Gainy seconds.

24 CHAIR: All in favor?

25 AYES RESPOND

1 CHAIR: All opposed?

2 MR. DUNBAR: Opposed.

3 CHAIR: Please note for the record
4 that Commissioner Dunbar opposes this motion.
5 However, requiring a simple majority, the motion
6 passes.

7 ATTORNEY GROODY: The next matter on
8 the agenda for the Board's consideration is the
9 Petition to place Mark Perez on the Involuntary
10 Exclusion List. Mr. Perez passed counterfeit bills
11 at Harrah's Philadelphia and Live! Philadelphia. He
12 was criminally charged and permanently evicted from
13 Live! Philadelphia. In a separate incident, he was
14 permanently evicted from Rivers Philadelphia for
15 guest misconduct. He violated this eviction and when
16 confronted, he issued verbal threats and damaged a
17 metal detector.

18 At this time, the OEC requests that
19 the Board place Mark Perez on the Involuntary
20 Exclusion List.

21 CHAIR: Any questions or comments?
22 May I have a motion, please?

23 MR. GAINY: Madam Chair,
24 Commissioner Gainey moves that the Board approve the
25 addition of Mark Perez to the PGCB Involuntary

1 Exclusion List for the conduct described by the OEC.

2 MR. DUNBAR: Commissioner Dunbar
3 seconds.

4 CHAIR: All in favor?

5 AYES RESPOND

6 CHAIR: All opposed?

7 Motion's adopted.

8 ATTORNEY PITRE: Thank you. That
9 concludes our business.

10 CHAIR: Thank you, Enforcement
11 Counsel.

12 The last item on the agenda for today
13 is public comment. Is there anyone in the room that
14 would like to address the Board?

15 Seeing no one, that concludes today's
16 meeting. The next public meeting of the Board will
17 be held on Wednesday, May 20th, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. at
18 this location.

19 May I now have a motion to adjourn,
20 please?

21 MR. DUNBAR: Madam Chair, Commissioner
22 Dunbar moves to adjourn.

23 MR. DILLON: Mr. Dillon seconds.

24 CHAIR: All in favor?

25 AYES RESPOND

1 CHAIR: All opposed?

2 Motion's adopted. We are adjourned.

3 Thanks, everybody.

4 * * * * *

5 MEETING CONCLUDED AT 12:53 P.M.

6 * * * * *

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2 I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings,
3 a meeting held before Chair Smyler was reported by me
4 on April 29, 2026 and that I, Derek Richmond, read
5 this transcript, and that I attest that this
6 transcript is a true and accurate record of the
7 proceeding.

8
9 Date the 21st day of May, 2026

10
11 

12 Derek Richmond,

13 Court Reporter
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25